

Data Analysis Logbook
Stephen Haber and Victor Menaldo
Do Natural Resources Fuel Authoritarianism

NOTE TO USERS:

Some variable names were abbreviated during the writing of stata scripts. For example, Total Oil Income Per Capita was sometimes abbreviated in the script as TOI. These are intuitive.

Contents:

Algeria Unit Roots and cointegration tests and ECM	page 4
Angola Unit Roots and cointegration tests and ECM	page 21
Bahrain Unit Roots and cointegration tests and ECM	page 40
Chile Unit Roots and cointegration tests and ECM	page 59
Ecuador Unit Roots and cointegration tests and ECM	page 76
Equatorial Guinea Unit Roots and cointegration tests and ECM	page 94
Gabon Unit Roots and cointegration tests and ECM	page 120
Indonesia Unit Roots and cointegration tests and ECM	page 137
Iran Unit Roots and cointegration tests and ECM	page 154
Kuwait Unit Roots and cointegration tests and ECM	page 178
Mexico Unit Roots and cointegration tests and ECM	page 193
Nigeria Unit Roots and cointegration tests and ECM	page 211
Norway Unit Roots and cointegration tests and ECM	page 232
Oman Unit Roots and cointegration tests and ECM	page 250
Trinidad Unit Roots and cointegration tests and ECM	page 269
Venezuela Unit Roots and cointegration tests and ECM	page 284

Yemen Unit Roots and cointegration tests and ECM	page 303
Zambia Unit Roots and cointegration tests and ECM	page 324
Fiscal Reliance BIC statistics for dist lag models	page 341
Table 4, All Columns, Westerlund Tests, ECM, Regressions	page 347
Total Oil Income BIC stats for dist lag models	page 373
Table 5, Column 1 Westerlund, Tests, ECM Model, Robustness Tests	page 379
Total Oil Income BIC stats, 72 to 06	page 397
Table 5, Column 2, Westerlund, Tests, ECM Model, Robustness Tests	page 403
Total Oil Income BIC Stats, Threshold 1	page 420
Table 5, Column 3, Westerlund, Tests, ECM Model, Robustness Tests	page 426
Total Oil Income BIC Stats, Threshold 2	page 436
Table 5, Column 4, Westerlund, Tests, ECM Model, Robustness Tests	page 442
Total Oil Income, BIC Stats, Threshold 3	page 452
Table 5, Column 5 Westerlund, Tests, ECM Model, Robustness Tests	page 458
Total Oil Income BIC stats, Latam	page 464
Table 6, Column 1 Westerlund, Tests, ECM Model, Robustness Tests	page 470
Total Oil Income BIC stats, Sub-Saharan Africa	page 476
Table 6, Column 2 Westerlund, Tests, ECM Model, Robustness Tests	page 482
Total Oil Income BIC stats, MENA	page 490
Table 6, Column 3 Westerlund, Tests, ECM Model, Robustness Tests	page 496
Total Oil Income BIC Stats, East Europe, Central Asia	page 503
Table 6, Column 4 Westerlund, Tests, ECM Model, Robustness Tests	page 509
Total Oil Income BIC Stats, Southeast Asia	page 518

Table 7, Column 1 Westerlund, Tests, ECM Model, Robustness	page 524
Total Oil Income BIC Stats, Unequal Countries	page 533
Table 7, Column 2 Westerlund, Tests, ECM Model, Robustness	page 539
Total Oil Income BIC Stats, Very Unequal Countries	page 548
Table 7, Robustness for Column 2	page 554
Table 7, Robustness for Columns 1 & 2	page 560
Total Oil Income BIC Stats, Poor Countries	page 574
Table 7, Column 3 Westerlund, Tests, ECM Model, Robustness	page 580
Total Oil Income BIC Stats, Very Poor Countries	page 589
Table 7, Column 4 Westerlund, Tests, ECM Model, Robustness	page 595
Total Oil Income BIC Stats, Wealthy Countries	page 604
Table 7, Robustness Tests for Columns 3 & 4, Part 1	page 610
Table 7, Robustness Tests for Columns 3 & 4, Part 2	page 618
Table 8, All Difference in Difference Models including IV GMM	page 630
Conditional Logit Dynamic Panel Models	page 656

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR THE ALGERIA TIME-SERIES.

NOTA BENE:

We always calculate Unit Root Tests (using Augmented Dickey Fuller Tests) on Polity and Fiscal Reliance, first in levels and then in differences, before performing Engle Granger cointegration tests. These are preceded by ECM cointegration tests and ECM regression estimation.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L.Fiscal Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]`

NOTA BENE:

We always run a bunch of single lag experiments, where we introduce different lag lengths of Fiscal Reliance in differences. We do so one at a time. Namely, we proceed as follows: we introduce Fiscal Reliance lagged one year. Then we remove it and introduce the second lag of Fiscal Reliance and keep doing this until we reach Fiscal Reliance in t-5. These are reported in the regression tables in the appendix.

NOTA BENE:

Finally, we run a series of finite distributed lag models (where all of the lags are introduced simultaneously) and then use the BIC statistic to choose the most parsimonious model and that model is estimated and reported in the regression table in the online appendix.

ALGERIAN UNIT-ROOT TESTS

Polity_s

dfuller polity_s, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 43

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.762	-4.214	-3.197

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.2114

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.3564676	.1290755	-2.76	0.009	-.6175475	-.0953878
LD.	.1418915	.162699	0.87	0.388	-.1871983	.4709813
_trend	.4716368	.1600114	2.95	0.005	.1479833	.7952903
_cons	-3.715287	2.6054	-1.43	0.162	-8.985206	1.554632

.

Polity_s_FD

dfuller D.polity_s, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 42

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.724	-4.224	-3.199

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0006

D2.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D.polity_s						
L1.	-1.11717	.2364807	-4.72	0.000	-1.5959	-.6384401
LD.	.0597134	.1627115	0.37	0.716	-.2696787	.3891055
_trend	.1308842	.1122619	1.17	0.251	-.0963782	.3581465
_cons	-1.61493	2.791513	-0.58	0.566	-7.266053	4.036194

dfuller D.polity_s, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 42

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.558	-3.634	-2.610

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0002

D2.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
LD.	-1.042808	.2287634	-4.56	0.000	-1.505526	-.5800905
LD2.	.0214041	.1600915	0.13	0.894	-.3024115	.3452197
_cons	1.241438	1.344145	0.92	0.361	-1.477351	3.960227

dfuller fiscalreliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 33

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.411	-4.306	-3.568

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0021

D.fiscalreliance	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreliance						
L1.	-.3683267	.0835005	-4.41	0.000	-.5391045	-.1975489
LD.	.243698	.1094282	2.23	0.034	.0198921	.4675038
_trend	.5031995	.139916	3.60	0.001	.2170392	.7893597
_cons	5.417573	4.201376	1.29	0.207	-3.175207	14.01035

dfuller fiscalreliance, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 33

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.489	-3.696	-2.978

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.1183

D.fiscalreliance	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreliance						
L1.	-.2074487	.0833607	-2.49	0.019	-.3776939	-.0372035
LD.	.1634784	.1266598	1.29	0.207	-.0951955	.4221522
_cons	10.33146	4.697245	2.20	0.036	.7384011	19.92451

.
.
.

dfuller fiscalreliance_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 30

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-6.479	-4.334	-3.580

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.fiscalre~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~D						
L1.	-1.274373	.1966846	-6.48	0.000	-1.678664	-.870082
LD.	.3681993	.1180055	3.12	0.004	.1256356	.610763
_trend	.1875188	.157317	1.19	0.244	-.1358508	.5108884
_cons	-5.074773	4.618182	-1.10	0.282	-14.56758	4.418036

dfuller fiscalreliance_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 30

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-6.320	-3.716	-2.986

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.fiscalreli~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~D						
L1.	-1.230823	.1947617	-6.32	0.000	-1.630442	-.8312055
LD.	.3743132	.1188091	3.15	0.004	.1305369	.6180894
_cons	.0572113	1.683574	0.03	0.973	-3.397197	3.51162

CO-INTEGRATION TESTS

Dickey Fuller CRITICAL VALUES are NOT valid for the Engle-Granger co-integration test!!!

The critical values that STATA spits out after the Augmented Dickey Fuller Test are the critical values for an augmented Dickey Fuller Test on a variable, not residuals.

For an augmented Engle-Granger Test we instead turn to the critical values for cointegration provided by Engle-Granger from Engle and Yoo (1987, Table 3).

Polity and Fiscal Reliance

```
newey polity_s Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors      Number of obs =      41
maximum lag: 1                                F( 1, 39) =      6.50
                                              Prob > F       =      0.0148
```

```
-----+-----
```

	polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel	e	.3916934	.1536375	2.55	0.015	.0809322	.7024546
_cons		-.3994805	5.88012	-0.07	0.946	-12.29315	11.49418

```
-----+-----
```

```
predict residual, res
(4 missing values generated)
```

```
dfuller residual, regress trend lags(1)
```

```
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root      Number of obs =      33
```

```
-----+----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----
```

	Test Statistic	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.816	-4.306	-3.568	-3.221

```
-----+-----
```

```
MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.1910
```

```
-----+-----
```

	D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual							
L1.		-.3551283	.1260984	-2.82	0.009	-.6130285	-.097228
LD.		.0801343	.1636639	0.49	0.628	-.254596	.4148647
_trend		.3228113	.1597527	2.02	0.053	-.0039196	.6495422
_cons		-6.808121	4.506211	-1.51	0.142	-16.02436	2.408115

```
-----+-----
```

-3.4959 with trend at the 10 percent level. Therefore, there is no evidence of co-integration.

We cannot reject the hypothesis of non-integration. Therefore, we conclude that Polity and Fiscal Reliance are not co-integrated series.

Now we try the ECM Co-integration test approach

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	37
Model	106.177364	3	35.3924548	F(3, 33) =	0.43
Residual	2726.25507	33	82.6137899	Prob > F =	0.7340
				R-squared =	0.0375
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0500
Total	2832.43243	36	78.6786787	Root MSE =	9.0892

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0887199	.1022851	-0.87	0.392	-.2968205 .1193808
Fiscal_Rel					
L1.	.0281272	.0843921	0.33	0.741	-.1435697 .1998242
D1.	.1137692	.1290716	0.88	0.384	-.1488289 .3763673
_cons	1.512256	4.081234	0.37	0.713	-6.791077 9.815589

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 3 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.006	1	0.9359

H0: no serial correlation

```
whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 7.809182 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .5535

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.3170344	.8337044	-0.38	0.706	-2.013219 1.37915

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 33) = 0.38
```

```
  Prob > F = 0.6854
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	36
Model	44.0248173	3	14.6749391	F(3, 32) =	0.17
Residual	2786.53074	32	87.0790856	Prob > F =	0.9168
				R-squared =	0.0156
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0767
Total	2830.55556	35	80.8730159	Root MSE =	9.3316

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0625749	.1018973	-0.61	0.543	-.2701329 .1449831
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	-.0037318	.0848053	-0.04	0.965	-.1764746 .169011
LD.	.0003714	.1244376	0.00	0.998	-.2530998 .2538425
_cons	2.805753	4.22809	0.66	0.512	-5.806585 11.41809

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 3 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.013	1	0.9089

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 6.048977 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .735
```

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.0596369	1.398589	0.04	0.966	-2.789197 2.90847

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 32) = 0.24
```

```
Prob > F = 0.7856
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	32
Model	106.555854	3	35.518618	F(3, 28) =	0.37
Residual	2715.31915	28	96.9756838	Prob > F =	0.7779
				R-squared =	0.0378
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0653
Total	2821.875	31	91.0282258	Root MSE =	9.8476

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0834028	.1184124	-0.70	0.487	-.3259597 .1591541
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.0271787	.1151602	0.24	0.815	-.2087163 .2630737
L2D.	-.0948845	.1368569	-0.69	0.494	-.3752231 .1854542
_cons	2.018722	5.387365	0.37	0.711	-9.016794 13.05424

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 2 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.021	1	0.8855

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 2.188447 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .9881

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.325873	1.206415	-0.27	0.789	-2.797102 2.145356

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1)  L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2)  L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
      F( 2, 28) = 0.26
```

```
      Prob > F = 0.7731
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	31
Model	376.299822	3	125.433274	F(3, 27) =	1.41
Residual	2401.11953	27	88.9303531	Prob > F =	0.2613
				R-squared =	0.1355
				Adj R-squared =	0.0394
Total	2777.41935	30	92.5806452	Root MSE =	9.4303

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0723486	.1280764	-0.56	0.577	-.3351396 .1904423
Fiscal_Rel					
L1.	-.0021996	.1314145	-0.02	0.987	-.2718398 .2674407
L3D.	-.2234783	.121441	-1.84	0.077	-.4726547 .0256981
_cons	3.648005	5.624118	0.65	0.522	-7.891732 15.18774

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 4 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.016	1	0.8997

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 14.16975 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .1164
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.0304025	1.852144	0.02	0.987	-3.769883 3.830688

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 27) = 0.29
```

```
Prob > F = 0.7498
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	31
Model	125.089175	3	41.6963918	F(3, 27) =	0.42
Residual	2652.33018	27	98.2344511	Prob > F =	0.7370
				R-squared =	0.0450
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0611
Total	2777.41935	30	92.5806452	Root MSE =	9.9113

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0458146	.1388836	-0.33	0.744	-.3307801 .239151
Fiscal_Rel					
L1.	-.0509431	.1439836	-0.35	0.726	-.346373 .2444869
L4D.	.0937225	.1331338	0.70	0.487	-.1794454 .3668904
_cons	5.33839	6.102126	0.87	0.389	-7.182137 17.85892

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 5 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.005	1	0.9443

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 5.292264 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .8081

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	1.111941	5.965047	0.19	0.854	-11.12733 13.35121

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1)  L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2)  L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 27) = 0.36
```

```
Prob > F = 0.6997
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L5.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	29
Model	123.382178	3	41.1273928	F(3, 25) =	0.39
Residual	2647.30748	25	105.892299	Prob > F =	0.7623
				R-squared =	0.0445
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0701
Total	2770.68966	28	98.953202	Root MSE =	10.29

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0637703	.1272078	-0.50	0.621	-.3257597 .1982192
Fiscal_Rel					
L1.	-.0680226	.1293136	-0.53	0.604	-.3343491 .1983038
L5D.	.0447254	.1577276	0.28	0.779	-.2801206 .3695714
_cons	7.009557	6.437027	1.09	0.287	-6.247748 20.26686

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 4 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.053	1	0.8180

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 3.19172 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .9562

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	1.066683	3.608925	0.30	0.770	-6.366037 8.499402

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1)  L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2)  L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
      F( 2, 25) = 0.54
```

```
      Prob > F = 0.5914
```

```

quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance

fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -132.753   Log-Lik Full Model:      -132.046
D(33):                      264.093   LR(3):                   1.414
                              Prob > LR:         0.702
R2:                          0.037   Adjusted R2:             -0.050
AIC:                          7.354   AIC*n:                   272.093
BIC:                          144.933  BIC':                     9.419
BIC used by Stata:           278.536  AIC used by Stata:       272.093

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance

.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -120.242   Log-Lik Full Model:      -118.296
D(28):                      236.591   LR(4):                   3.892
                              Prob > LR:         0.421
R2:                          0.111   Adjusted R2:             -0.016
AIC:                          7.472   AIC*n:                   246.591
BIC:                          138.689  BIC':                     10.094
BIC used by Stata:           254.074  AIC used by Stata:       246.591

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance

.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -110.700   Log-Lik Full Model:      -107.770
D(24):                      215.540   LR(5):                   5.859
                              Prob > LR:         0.320
R2:                          0.177   Adjusted R2:             0.006
AIC:                          7.585   AIC*n:                   227.540
BIC:                          133.912  BIC':                     11.147
BIC used by Stata:           235.948  AIC used by Stata:       227.540

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.3.d.Fiscal_Rel
> iance

.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -100.792   Log-Lik Full Model:      -95.019
D(20):                      190.037   LR(6):                   11.548
                              Prob > LR:         0.073
R2:                          0.348   Adjusted R2:             0.152
AIC:                          7.557   AIC*n:                   204.037
BIC:                          124.120  BIC':                     8.227
BIC used by Stata:           213.108  AIC used by Stata:       204.037

```


(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s 1.polity_s 1.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
1.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.3.d.Fiscal_Rel  
> iance 1.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
.  
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-90.946	Log-Lik Full Model:	-85.206
D(16):	170.413	LR(7):	11.478
		Prob > LR:	0.119
R2:	0.380	Adjusted R2:	0.109
AIC:	7.767	AIC*n:	186.413
BIC:	119.564	BIC':	10.768
BIC used by Stata:	195.837	AIC used by Stata:	186.413

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s 1.polity_s 1.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
1.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.3.d.Fiscal_Rel  
> iance 1.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.5.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
.  
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-84.278	Log-Lik Full Model:	-73.461
D(13):	146.921	LR(8):	21.635
		Prob > LR:	0.006
R2:	0.626	Adjusted R2:	0.396
AIC:	7.496	AIC*n:	164.921
BIC:	106.738	BIC':	3.094
BIC used by Stata:	174.741	AIC used by Stata:	164.921

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s 1.polity_s 1.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
1.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.3.d.Fiscal_Rel  
> iance 1.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.5.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.6.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
.  
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-77.519	Log-Lik Full Model:	-61.226
D(10):	122.451	LR(9):	32.587
		Prob > LR:	0.000
R2:	0.804	Adjusted R2:	0.627
AIC:	7.123	AIC*n:	142.451
BIC:	92.494	BIC':	-5.625
BIC used by Stata:	152.409	AIC used by Stata:	142.451

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
.
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance l.d.Fiscal_Reliance
1.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.
> 4.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.5.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	22
Model	1713.56559	8	214.195698	F(8, 13) =	2.72
Residual	1023.93441	13	78.7641857	Prob > F =	0.0529
				R-squared =	0.6260
				Adj R-squared =	0.3958
Total	2737.5	21	130.357143	Root MSE =	8.8749

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s						
L1.	-.1926557	.4162473	-0.46	0.651	-1.091903	.7065918
Fiscal_Reliance						
L1.	.2865774	.4540623	0.63	0.539	-.6943646	1.267519
D1.	.5756061	.4030136	1.43	0.177	-.2950519	1.446264
LD.	-.3330494	.2762351	-1.21	0.249	-.929819	.2637203
L2D.	-.0823709	.2639066	-0.31	0.760	-.6525065	.4877648
L3D.	-.8970474	.2551715	-3.52	0.004	-1.448312	-.345783
L4D.	.4298813	.4558234	0.94	0.363	-.5548652	1.414628
L5D.	-.4794654	.2247834	-2.13	0.053	-.9650805	.0061497
_cons	-7.902123	15.29888	-0.52	0.614	-40.95335	25.14911

bgoDFrey, lags (1)

Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	1.501	1	0.2205

H0: no serial correlation

whitetst

White's general test statistic : 22 Chi-sq(21) P-value = .3995

nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

_nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

_nl_1	-1.48751	1.398083	-1.06	0.307	-4.507884	1.532864

test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F(2, 13) = 0.24
Prob > F = 0.7871

test d.Fiscal_Reliance l.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
1.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.5.d.Fiscal_Reliance

- (1) D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
- (2) LD.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
- (3) L2D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
- (4) L3D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
- (5) L4D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
- (6) L5D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F(6, 13) = 3.11
Prob > F = 0.0410

```

regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance l.d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.5.d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
L.Civil_War_Gledistsch d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE

```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	22
Model	2598.09679	15	173.206453	F(15, 6) =	7.45
Residual	139.403208	6	23.233868	Prob > F =	0.0104
				R-squared =	0.9491
				Adj R-squared =	0.8218
Total	2737.5	21	130.357143	Root MSE =	4.8202

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s						
L1.	-.6527129	.2828507	-2.31	0.060	-1.344824	.0393978
Fiscal_Reliance						
L1.	-.9048842	.3613034	-2.50	0.046	-1.788962	-.0208066
D1.	.1526768	.2585988	0.59	0.576	-.4800918	.7854453
LD.	.5693057	.2611032	2.18	0.072	-.0695908	1.208202
L2D.	.4510756	.228286	1.98	0.096	-.1075202	1.009671
L3D.	-.8289443	.2261775	-3.67	0.011	-1.382381	-.275508
L4D.	.1462002	.2575923	0.57	0.591	-.4841055	.7765059
L5D.	-.1182113	.3604602	-0.33	0.754	-1.000226	.7638029
log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2						
L1.	-57.23102	71.74926	-0.80	0.455	-232.7951	118.3331
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE						
L1.	.6134871	1.267616	0.48	0.646	-2.488258	3.715232
WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE						
L1.	4.631615	.9174878	5.05	0.002	2.386604	6.876627
Civil_War_Gledistsch						
L1.	-8.233425	3.638311	-2.26	0.064	-17.13605	.6692026
log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2						
D1.	11.30419	59.81883	0.19	0.856	-135.0672	157.6756
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE						
D1.	-.6431987	2.361222	-0.27	0.794	-6.4209	5.134503
WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE						
D1.	1.287968	2.212217	0.58	0.582	-4.125131	6.701067
_cons	386.2116	598.4189	0.65	0.543	-1078.067	1850.49

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.595	1	0.4405

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 22 Chi-sq(21) P-value = .3995
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	1.386344	1.009174	1.37	0.219	-1.083016	3.855703

test 1.polity_s 1.Fiscal_Reliance

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F(2, 6) = 12.27
Prob > F = 0.0076

test d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
1.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.5.d.Fiscal_Reliance

- (1) D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
- (2) LD.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
- (3) L2D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
- (4) L3D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
- (5) L4D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
- (6) L5D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F(6, 13) = 3.11
Prob > F = 0.0410

test 1.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 1.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE 1.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
L.Civil_War_Gledistsch

- (1) L.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
- (2) L.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
- (3) L.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
- (4) L.Civil_War_Gledistsch = 0

F(4, 6) = 8.45
Prob > F = 0.0122

test d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE

- (1) D.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
- (2) D.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
- (3) D.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0

F(3, 6) = 0.57
Prob > F = 0.6576

fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-84.278	Log-Lik Full Model:	-51.526
D(6):	103.053	LR(15):	65.503
		Prob > LR:	0.000
R2:	0.949	Adjusted R2:	0.822
AIC:	6.139	AIC*n:	135.053
BIC:	84.506	BIC':	-19.138
BIC used by Stata:	152.509	AIC used by Stata:	135.053

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

.

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR THE ANGOLA TIME-SERIES.

NOTA BENE:

We always calculate Unit Root Tests (using Augmented Dickey Fuller Tests) on Polity and Fiscal Reliance, first in levels and then in differences, before performing Engle Granger cointegration tests. These are preceded by ECM cointegration tests and ECM regression estimation.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L.Fiscal Reliance]/_b[L_polity_s]`

NOTA BENE:

We always run a bunch of single lag experiments, where we introduce different lag lengths of Fiscal Reliance in differences. We do so one at a time. Namely, we proceed as follows: we introduce Fiscal Reliance lagged one year. Then we remove it and introduce the second lag of Fiscal Reliance and keep doing this until we reach Fiscal Reliance in t-5. These are reported in the regression tables in the appendix.

NOTA BENE:

Finally, we run a series of finite distributed lag models (where all of the lags are introduced simultaneously) and then use the BIC statistic to choose the most parsimonious model and that model is estimated and reported in the regression table in the online appendix.

ANGOLAN UNIT-ROOT TESTS

Polity_s

dfuller polity_s, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 30

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.679	-4.334	-3.580

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.2449

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.3092476	.115438	-2.68	0.013	-.5465338	-.0719614
LD.	.4413578	.1755121	2.51	0.018	.0805876	.8021281
_trend	.3580673	.1640751	2.18	0.038	.0208062	.6953284
_cons	2.958651	1.937194	1.53	0.139	-1.023309	6.940611

.

dfuller polity_s, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 30

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-1.456	-3.716	-2.986

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.5551

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.1017182	.069855	-1.46	0.157	-.2450489	.0416124
LD.	.3435084	.1811264	1.90	0.069	-.0281322	.715149
_cons	3.310421	2.060602	1.61	0.120	-.9175853	7.538428

Polity_s_FD

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 29

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-3.657	-4.343	-3.584

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0253

D.polity_s~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s_FD						
L1.	-.8542839	.2335817	-3.66	0.001	-1.335354	-.3732134
LD.	.2020015	.1959325	1.03	0.312	-.2015292	.6055321
_trend	-.0056193	.1104133	-0.05	0.960	-.2330198	.2217813
_cons	.82636	1.999357	0.41	0.683	-3.291393	4.944113

.
.
.

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 29

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-3.732	-3.723	-2.989

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0037

D. polity_s_FD	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s_FD						
L1.	-.8545627	.2289946	-3.73	0.001	-1.325268	-.3838577
LD.	.2022814	.1920619	1.05	0.302	-.1925075	.5970703
_cons	.736692	.9267721	0.79	0.434	-1.168315	2.641699

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs

dfuller Fiscal_Reliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 25

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.281	-4.380	-3.600	-3.240

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.4442

D.Fiscal_R~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	-.4987643	.2186154	-2.28	0.033	-.9534	-.0441287
LD.	-.0462172	.2151269	-0.21	0.832	-.493598	.4011636
_trend	.862165	.450615	1.91	0.069	-.0749402	1.79927
_cons	19.91697	9.908607	2.01	0.057	-.6891027	40.52305

.
.

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs_FD

. dfuller D.Fiscal_Reliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 23

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.154	-4.380	-3.600	-3.240

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0053

D2.Fiscal_~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D.Fiscal_R~e						
L1.	-1.458012	.3510218	-4.15	0.001	-2.192709	-.7233154
LD.	.1256169	.2186056	0.57	0.572	-.3319298	.5831637
_trend	-.1169332	.3526142	-0.33	0.744	-.8549633	.6210969
_cons	3.754491	6.433634	0.58	0.566	-9.71126	17.22024

dfuller D.Fiscal_Reliance, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 23

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.259	-3.750	-3.000	-2.630

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0005

D2. Fiscal_Rel~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
LD.	-1.460973	.3430114	-4.26	0.000	-2.176482	-.7454638
LD2.	.1271176	.2136403	0.60	0.559	-.3185283	.5727634
_cons	1.768901	2.300848	0.77	0.451	-3.030583	6.568385

ANGOLAN CO-INTEGRATION TESTS

Dickey Fuller CRITICAL VALUES are NOT valid for the Engle-Granger co-integration test!!!

The critical values that STATA spits out after the Augmented Dickey Fuller Test are the critical values for an augmented Dickey Fuller Test on a variable, not residuals.

For an augmented Engle-Granger Test we instead turn to the critical values for cointegration provided by Engle-Granger from MacKinnon 1991.

Polity and Fiscal Reliance

```
newey polity_s Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors      Number of obs =      29
maximum lag: 1                                F( 1, 27) =      97.23
                                              Prob > F      =      0.0000
```

```
-----
```

		Newey-West				[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t			
Fiscal_Rel~e	.6138751	.0622556	9.86	0.000	.4861371	.7416131	
_cons	-11.8351	4.204187	-2.82	0.009	-20.46138	-3.208821	

```
-----
```

```
predict residual, res
(3 missing values generated)
```

```
dfuller residual, regress trend lags(1)
```

```
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root      Number of obs =      25
```

```
----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----
```

	Test Statistic	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-3.577	-4.380	-3.600	-3.240

```
-----
```

```
MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0319
```

```
-----
```

D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual						
L1.	-.8349423	.2334502	-3.58	0.002	-1.320429	-.3494561
LD.	.2357789	.2034612	1.16	0.260	-.1873418	.6588996
_trend	.1671903	.1882328	0.89	0.384	-.2242612	.5586417
_cons	-2.410008	3.458258	-0.70	0.494	-9.601849	4.781833

```
-----
```

dfuller residual, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 25

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-3.504	-3.750	-3.000	-2.630

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0079

D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual						
L1.	-.7618948	.2174336	-3.50	0.002	-1.212824	-.3109651
LD.	.1934891	.1968606	0.98	0.336	-.2147747	.6017529
_cons	.4321613	1.305292	0.33	0.744	-2.274849	3.139172

The critical value for co-integration with NO trend is -3.3377 at the 5 percent level. This is above that level. However, it is not significant at the 1 percent level, which is - 3.9001.

We can reject the hypothesis of non-integration. Therefore, we conclude that Polity and Fiscal Reliance are co-integrated series.

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	27
Model	71.1693069	3	23.7231023	F(3, 23) =	0.87
Residual	630.682545	23	27.4209802	Prob > F =	0.4733
				R-squared =	0.1014
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0158
Total	701.851852	26	26.994302	Root MSE =	5.2365

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1980859	.1799395	-1.10	0.282	-.5703191 .1741474
Fiscal_Reli					
L1.	.0877295	.1410594	0.62	0.540	-.204074 .379533
D1.	.1455156	.1286226	1.13	0.270	-.1205604 .4115917
_cons	.6726571	5.413076	0.12	0.902	-10.52514 11.87046

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
    _nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.4428864	.3960306	-1.12	0.275	-1.262138 .3763653

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F( 2, 23) = 0.93
Prob > F = 0.4083
```

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 9.475126 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .3946
```

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	4.219	1	0.0400

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors      Number of obs =      27
maximum lag: 1                                F( 3, 23) =      1.60
                                              Prob > F      =      0.2165
```

		Newey-West				[95% Conf. Interval]	
D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t			

polity_s							
L1.	-.1980859	.1294304	-1.53	0.140	-.4658331	.0696613	
Fiscal_Reliance							
L1.	.0877295	.0797412	1.10	0.283	-.0772277	.2526868	
D1.	.1455156	.0815949	1.78	0.088	-.0232762	.3143075	
_cons	.6726571	2.839018	0.24	0.815	-5.200299	6.545613	

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
    _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

_nl_1	-.4428864	.1898321	-2.33	0.029	-.8355841	-.0501887

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1)  L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2)  L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
    F( 2, 23) = 1.37
```

```
        Prob > F = 0.2729
```

regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	26
Model	43.4752559	3	14.491752	F(3, 22) =	0.48
Residual	657.486283	22	29.8857401	Prob > F =	0.6962
Total	700.961538	25	28.0384615	R-squared =	0.0620
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0659
				Root MSE =	5.4668

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1043658	.1597228	-0.65	0.520	-.4356107 .2268791
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0093167	.1229569	0.08	0.940	-.2456802 .2643136
LD.	-.0389251	.1106295	-0.35	0.728	-.2683566 .1905063
_cons	3.402021	5.039752	0.68	0.507	-7.049786 13.85383

whitetst

White's general test statistic : 13.96669 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .1235

bgodfrey, lags (1)

Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	5.327	1	0.0210

H0: no serial correlation

newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force

Regression with Newey-West standard errors	Number of obs =	26
maximum lag: 1	F(3, 22) =	1.26
	Prob > F =	0.3123

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1043658	.1518507	-0.69	0.499	-.4192848 .2105532
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0093167	.1071455	0.09	0.931	-.2128894 .2315228
LD.	-.0389251	.0627937	-0.62	0.542	-.1691513 .0913011
_cons	3.402021	4.547903	0.75	0.462	-6.029752 12.83379

nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

_nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.0892697	.9148426	-0.10	0.923	-1.986537 1.807998

test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance

(1) L.polity_s = 0
(2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
F(2, 22) = 0.81

Prob > F = 0.4565
 regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L2.d.Fiscal_Reliance

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	24
Model	124.330587	3	41.4435289	F(3, 20) =	1.44
Residual	574.627747	20	28.7313873	Prob > F =	0.2602
Total	698.958333	23	30.3894928	R-squared =	0.1779
				Adj R-squared =	0.0546
				Root MSE =	5.3602

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.100459	.1605157	-0.63	0.538	-.435289 .2343709
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	-.0304108	.1197116	-0.25	0.802	-.2801248 .2193033
L2D.	.1612753	.1011613	1.59	0.127	-.0497435 .372294
_cons	6.02894	5.011902	1.20	0.243	-4.425704 16.48358

bgodfrey, lags (1)

Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	4.723	1	0.0298

H0: no serial correlation

whitetst

White's general test statistic : 14.46033 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .1069

newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L2.d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force

Regression with Newey-West standard errors Number of obs = 24
 maximum lag: 1 F(3, 20) = 0.73
 Prob > F = 0.5481

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.100459	.1628301	-0.62	0.544	-.4401166 .2391985
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	-.0304108	.1222658	-0.25	0.806	-.2854528 .2246312
L2D.	.1612753	.1310319	1.23	0.233	-.1120525 .434603
_cons	6.02894	5.812309	1.04	0.312	-6.095324 18.1532

nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

 _nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.3027181	1.642433	0.18	0.856	-3.123337 3.728773

test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F(2, 20) = 1.04

Prob > F = 0.3713
 regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L3.d.Fiscal_Reliance

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	23
Model	75.1180966	3	25.0393655	F(3, 19) =	0.76
Residual	622.70799	19	32.7741048	Prob > F =	0.5282
Total	697.826087	22	31.7193676	R-squared =	0.1076
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0333
				Root MSE =	5.7249

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0561952	.168715	-0.33	0.743	-.4093198	.2969294
Fiscal_Reliance						
L1.	-.0621606	.1323576	-0.47	0.644	-.3391882	.214867
L3D.	-.0625271	.1106609	-0.57	0.579	-.294143	.1690888
_cons	7.181552	6.054061	1.19	0.250	-5.489744	19.85285

bgodfrey, lags (1)

Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	3.768	1	0.0522

H0: no serial correlation

whitetst

White's general test statistic : 13.33862 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .1479

newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L3.d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force

Regression with Newey-West standard errors
 maximum lag: 1
 Number of obs = 23
 F(3, 19) = 0.66
 Prob > F = 0.5888

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0561952	.1325399	-0.42	0.676	-.3336044	.2212139
Fiscal_Reliance						
L1.	-.0621606	.1283924	-0.48	0.634	-.3308889	.2065678
L3D.	-.0625271	.0902058	-0.69	0.497	-.2513299	.1262758
_cons	7.181552	7.201162	1.00	0.331	-7.890654	22.25376

. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

_nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	1.106154	4.626621	0.24	0.814	-8.577475	10.78978

. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F(2, 19) = 0.97

Prob > F = 0.3981
 regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L4.d.Fiscal_Reliance

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	23
Model	69.8396884	3	23.2798961	F(3, 19) =	0.70
Residual	627.986399	19	33.0519157	Prob > F =	0.5611
Total	697.826087	22	31.7193676	R-squared =	0.1001
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0420
				Root MSE =	5.7491

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0756746	.1628892	-0.46	0.648	-.4166056 .2652563
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	-.063339	.1317274	-0.48	0.636	-.3390475 .2123696
L4D.	.0385364	.1096873	0.35	0.729	-.1910418 .2681146
_cons	7.813547	6.17888	1.26	0.221	-5.118997 20.74609

bgodfrey, lags (1)

Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	3.049	1	0.0808

H0: no serial correlation

whitetst

White's general test statistic : 17.59577 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .0402

newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L4.d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force

Regression with Newey-West standard errors Number of obs = 23
 maximum lag: 1 F(3, 19) = 0.65
 Prob > F = 0.5917

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0756746	.1517582	-0.50	0.624	-.3933082 .241959
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	-.063339	.122954	-0.52	0.612	-.3206846 .1940067
L4D.	.0385364	.1105333	0.35	0.731	-.1928123 .2698852
_cons	7.813547	7.041728	1.11	0.281	-6.924958 22.55205

nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

 _nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.8369906	3.078426	0.27	0.789	-5.606229 7.28021

. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance

(1) L.polity_s = 0
 (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
 F(2, 19) = 0.98

Prob > F = 0.3947
 regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L5.d.Fiscal_Reliance

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	22
Model	84.185157	3	28.061719	F(3, 18) =	0.82
Residual	612.405752	18	34.0225418	Prob > F =	0.4972
Total	696.590909	21	33.1709957	R-squared =	0.1209
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0257
				Root MSE =	5.8329

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.079937	.1822822	-0.44	0.666	-.4628978 .3030238
Fiscal_Rel					
L1.	-.0535937	.1369332	-0.39	0.700	-.3412796 .2340922
L5D.	-.0685268	.1139327	-0.60	0.555	-.3078904 .1708369
_cons	7.465787	6.076921	1.23	0.235	-5.301349 20.23292

bgodfrey, lags (1)

Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	2.037	1	0.1535

H0: no serial correlation

whitetst

White's general test statistic : 14.62932 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .1016

nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

_nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.6704499	3.085868	0.22	0.830	-5.812718 7.153617

test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance

(1) L.polity_s = 0

(2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F(2, 18) = 0.91
 Prob > F = 0.4187

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-82.293	Log-Lik Full Model:	-80.849
D(23):	161.699	LR(3):	2.887
		Prob > LR:	0.409
R2:	0.101	Adjusted R2:	-0.016
AIC:	6.285	AIC*n:	169.699
BIC:	85.895	BIC':	7.001
BIC used by Stata:	174.882	AIC used by Stata:	169.699

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-77.126	Log-Lik Full Model:	-75.571
D(20):	151.142	LR(4):	3.110
		Prob > LR:	0.540
R2:	0.117	Adjusted R2:	-0.060
AIC:	6.446	AIC*n:	161.142
BIC:	86.764	BIC':	9.765
BIC used by Stata:	167.236	AIC used by Stata:	161.142

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-71.879	Log-Lik Full Model:	-68.867
D(17):	137.734	LR(5):	6.024
		Prob > LR:	0.304
R2:	0.230	Adjusted R2:	0.004
AIC:	6.510	AIC*n:	149.734
BIC:	84.430	BIC':	9.653
BIC used by Stata:	156.547	AIC used by Stata:	149.734

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-69.223	Log-Lik Full Model:	-66.068
D(15):	132.136	LR(6):	6.310
		Prob > LR:	0.389
R2:	0.249	Adjusted R2:	-0.051
AIC:	6.643	AIC*n:	146.136
BIC:	85.771	BIC':	12.236
BIC used by Stata:	153.774	AIC used by Stata:	146.136

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-66.545	Log-Lik Full Model:	-63.104
D(13):	126.209	LR(7):	6.881
		Prob > LR:	0.441
R2:	0.279	Adjusted R2:	-0.109
AIC:	6.772	AIC*n:	142.209
BIC:	86.630	BIC':	14.431
BIC used by Stata:	150.565	AIC used by Stata:	142.209

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	23
Model	160.803248	5	32.1606497	F(5, 17) =	1.02
Residual	537.022839	17	31.5895787	Prob > F =	0.4376
				R-squared =	0.2304
				Adj R-squared =	0.0041
Total	697.826087	22	31.7193676	Root MSE =	5.6205

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1737715	.2265686	-0.77	0.454	-.6517895 .3042464
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.0131973	.1991064	0.07	0.948	-.4068806 .4332751
D1.	.1160978	.153431	0.76	0.460	-.2076134 .439809
LD.	.0542531	.1269534	0.43	0.674	-.213595 .3221013
L2D.	.1790216	.1158485	1.55	0.141	-.0653974 .4234405
_cons	5.20777	8.217308	0.63	0.535	-12.12924 22.54477

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-71.879	Log-Lik Full Model:	-68.867
D(17):	137.734	LR(5):	6.024
		Prob > LR:	0.304
R2:	0.230	Adjusted R2:	0.004
AIC:	6.510	AIC*n:	149.734
BIC:	84.430	BIC':	9.653
BIC used by Stata:	156.547	AIC used by Stata:	149.734

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	5.540	1	0.0186

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 22.70147 Chi-sq(20) P-value = .3037

```
. newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors          Number of obs =      23
maximum lag: 1                                     F( 5, 17) =      1.17
                                                    Prob > F =      0.3625
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1737715	.1399816	-1.24	0.231	-.4691069 .1215639
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.0131973	.143295	0.09	0.928	-.2891287 .3155232
D1.	.1160978	.0687063	1.69	0.109	-.0288598 .2610553
LD.	.0542531	.1244117	0.44	0.668	-.2082325 .3167388
L2D.	.1790216	.1717697	1.04	0.312	-.1833809 .541424
_cons	5.20777	8.485824	0.61	0.548	-12.69575 23.11129

```
-----  
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
    _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
-----  
D.polity_s |       Coef.   Std. Err.       t   P>|t|       [95% Conf. Interval]  
-----+-----  
    _nl_1 |   -.075946   .7850398   -0.10   0.924   -1.732235    1.580343  
-----
```

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0  
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
      F( 2,     17) =     1.26  
      Prob > F =     0.3082
```

```
test d.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0  
( 2) LD.Fiscal_Reliance = 0  
( 3) L2D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
      F( 3,     17) =     1.37  
      Prob > F =     0.2861
```

```
test l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE L.Civil_War_Gledistsch
```

```
( 1) L.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0  
( 2) L.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0  
( 3) L.Civil_War_Gledistsch = 0
```

```
      F( 3,     10) =     1.17  
      Prob > F =     0.3704
```

```
test d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

```
( 1) D.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0  
( 2) D.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0  
( 3) D.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
```

```
      F( 3,     10) =     0.34  
      Prob > F =     0.7995
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber men l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE L.Civil_War_Gledistsch l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber men
d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	23
Model	391.049126	12	32.5874272	F(12, 10) =	1.06
Residual	306.776961	10	30.6776961	Prob > F =	0.4686
Total	697.826087	22	31.7193676	R-squared =	0.5604
				Adj R-squared =	0.0328
				Root MSE =	5.5387

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	.077579	.5701734	0.14	0.894	-1.192846 1.348005
log_gdp_pe~2					
L1.	1.560322	19.72709	0.08	0.939	-42.39438 45.51503
REGION_DEM~E					
L1.	-1.29604	.7803471	-1.66	0.128	-3.034761 .442682
WORLD_DEM~E					
L1.	.5027614	1.145972	0.44	0.670	-2.050622 3.056145
Civil_War~h					
L1.	-3.635341	5.79005	-0.63	0.544	-16.53638 9.265693
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	-.2226632	.3294782	-0.68	0.514	-.9567865 .51146
D1.	.0684969	.2077545	0.33	0.748	-.3944089 .5314028
LD.	.2261907	.2039299	1.11	0.293	-.2281934 .6805748
L2D.	.3040492	.14377	2.11	0.061	-.0162904 .6243887
log_gdp_pe~2					
D1.	14.89557	24.23845	0.61	0.553	-39.11106 68.9022
REGION_DEM~E					
D1.	.6070665	1.11089	0.55	0.597	-1.868151 3.082284
WORLD_DEM~E					
D1.	-.7328929	1.85307	-0.40	0.701	-4.861789 3.396003
_cons	.1918938	137.0796	0.00	0.999	-305.2405 305.6243

bfgodfrey, lags (1)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.451	1	0.5021

H0: no serial correlation

whitetst

White's general test statistic : 23 Chi-sq(22) P-value = .4017

nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

_nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-2.870147	20.19938	-0.14	0.890	-47.87717 42.13687

test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance

(1) L.polity_s = 0

(2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F(2, 10) = 0.23

Prob > F = 0.7977

test d.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance

- (1) D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
- (2) LD.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
- (3) L2D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F(3, 10) = 1.53
Prob > F = 0.2661

test l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE L.Civil_War_Gledistsch

- (1) L.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
- (2) L.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
- (3) L.Civil_War_Gledistsch = 0

F(3, 10) = 1.17
Prob > F = 0.3704

test d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE

- (1) D.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
- (2) D.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
- (3) D.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0

F(3, 10) = 0.34
Prob > F = 0.7995

.. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-71.879	Log-Lik Full Model:	-62.428
D(10):	124.856	LR(12):	18.903
		Prob > LR:	0.091
R2:	0.560	Adjusted R2:	0.033
AIC:	6.559	AIC*n:	150.856
BIC:	93.501	BIC':	18.723
BIC used by Stata:	165.617	AIC used by Stata:	150.856

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR THE BAHRAIN TIME-SERIES.

NOTA BENE:

We always calculate Unit Root Tests (using Augmented Dickey Fuller Tests) on Polity and Fiscal Reliance, first in levels and then in differences, before performing Engle Granger cointegration tests. These are preceded by ECM cointegration tests and ECM regression estimation.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L.Fiscal Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]`

NOTA BENE:

We always run a bunch of single lag experiments, where we introduce different lag lengths of Fiscal Reliance in differences. We do so one at a time. Namely, we proceed as follows: we introduce Fiscal Reliance lagged one year. Then we remove it and introduce the second lag of Fiscal Reliance and keep doing this until we reach Fiscal Reliance in t-5. These are reported in the regression tables in the appendix.

NOTA BENE:

Finally, we run a series of finite distributed lag models (where all of the lags are introduced simultaneously) and then use the BIC statistic to choose the most parsimonious model and that model is estimated and reported in the regression table in the online appendix.

BAHRAIN'S UNIT-ROOT TESTS

Polity_s

dfuller polity_s, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 34

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.550	-4.297	-3.218

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.3034

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s						
L1.	-.3680684	.1443413	-2.55	0.016	-.6628527	-.0732841
LD.	.2283374	.1833192	1.25	0.223	-.1460504	.6027252
_trend	.1249656	.0753649	1.66	0.108	-.0289501	.2788812
_cons	-.4558482	1.379751	-0.33	0.743	-3.273675	2.361979

dfuller polity_s, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 34

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-1.942	-3.689	-2.619

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.3124

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s						
L1.	-.2520452	.1297606	-1.94	0.061	-.5166937	.0126032
LD.	.1877607	.1867345	1.01	0.322	-.1930869	.5686083
_cons	1.396174	.832596	1.68	0.104	-.3019166	3.094265

Polity_s_FD

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 33

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-10.435	-4.306	-3.568	-3.221

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.polity_s~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s_FD						
L1.	-1.435806	.1376002	-10.43	0.000	-1.71723	-1.154382
LD.	.4699692	.0986316	4.76	0.000	.2682449	.6716935
_trend	.1377564	.0411973	3.34	0.002	.0534984	.2220144
_cons	-2.281522	.831936	-2.74	0.010	-3.983022	-.5800217

Adding more lags of the differenced dependent variable makes no difference to the results.

dfuller fiscalreliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 29

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.043	-4.343	-3.230

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0076

D.fiscalre~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~e						
L1.	-.7682643	.1900024	-4.04	0.000	-1.159582	-.3769471
LD.	.1148919	.1724219	0.67	0.511	-.2402177	.4700015
_trend	-.3765251	.215486	-1.75	0.093	-.8203269	.0672767
_cons	53.52088	12.87939	4.16	0.000	26.99529	80.04648

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs_FD

dfuller fiscalreliance_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 28

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-6.125	-3.730	-2.992

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.		Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~D							
fiscalreli~D	L1.	-1.235752	.2017551	-6.13	0.000	-1.651274	-.8202291
	LD.	.1109143	.1253077	0.89	0.385	-.1471617	.3689903
	_cons	-.331454	1.480882	-0.22	0.825	-3.381388	2.71848

BAHRAIN CO-INTEGRATION TESTS

Dickey Fuller CRITICAL VALUES are NOT valid for the Engle-Granger co-integration test!!!

The critical values that STATA spits out after the Augmented Dickey Fuller Test are the critical values for an augmented Dickey Fuller Test on a variable, not residuals.

For an augmented Engle-Granger Test we instead turn to the critical values for cointegration provided by Engle-Granger from Engle and Yoo (1987, Table 3).

Polity and Fiscal Reliance

newey polity_s Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force

Regression with Newey-West standard errors Number of obs = 31
 maximum lag: 1 F(1, 29) = 0.11
 Prob > F = 0.7411

polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e	.0297479	.0891722	0.33	0.741	-.1526297	.2121255
_cons	1.271251	5.387901	0.24	0.815	-9.748244	12.29075

dfuller residual, regress trend lags(2)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 28

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-3.466	-4.352	-3.588

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0432

D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual						
L1.	-.4740303	.136768	-3.47	0.002	-.7569564	-.1911042
LD.	.0061823	.1217217	0.05	0.960	-.2456182	.2579829
L2D.	-.2574527	.1070731	-2.40	0.025	-.4789502	-.0359552
_trend	.2706305	.0516266	5.24	0.000	.1638328	.3774282
_cons	-4.775432	.9775994	-4.88	0.000	-6.79775	-2.753113

-3.496 for 10 percent level. Not quite significant.

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	30
Model	145.934787	3	48.6449291	F(3, 26) =	3.40
Residual	371.565213	26	14.2909697	Prob > F =	0.0325
Total	517.5	29	17.8448276	R-squared =	0.2820
				Adj R-squared =	0.1992
				Root MSE =	3.7803

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.2104283	.1994147	-1.06	0.301	-.6203312 .1994746
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	-.1830796	.0787441	-2.32	0.028	-.3449405 -.0212188
D1.	-.1020403	.0850463	-1.20	0.241	-.2768555 .0727748
_cons	12.1203	4.642295	2.61	0.015	2.577929 21.66268

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	3.288	1	0.0698

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 22.67891 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .007
```

```
. newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors          Number of obs = 30
maximum lag: 1                                     F( 3, 26) = 1.71
                                                    Prob > F = 0.1899
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.2104283	.331895	-0.63	0.532	-.8926483 .4717917
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	-.1830796	.1116372	-1.64	0.113	-.4125532 .0463939
D1.	-.1020403	.108622	-0.94	0.356	-.3253161 .1212354
_cons	12.1203	7.08814	1.71	0.099	-2.449578 26.69018

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.8700334	1.449345	0.60	0.554	-2.109139 3.849205

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```
F( 2, 26) = 1.50
Prob > F = 0.2412
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	30
Model	123.657996	3	41.2193319	F(3, 26) =	6.08
Residual	176.342004	26	6.78238478	Prob > F =	0.0028
Total	300	29	10.3448276	R-squared =	0.4122
				Adj R-squared =	0.3444
				Root MSE =	2.6043

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0989435	.1067115	-0.93	0.362	-.318292 .1204051
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.0097777	.0509293	0.19	0.849	-.0949091 .1144645
LD.	-.1601231	.0502462	-3.19	0.004	-.2634057 -.0568405
_cons	-.1769357	3.174715	-0.06	0.956	-6.702655 6.348784

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	4.706	1	0.0301

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 17.98989 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .0353
```

```
. newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors          Number of obs =      30
maximum lag: 1                                     F( 3, 26) =      1.47
                                                    Prob > F =      0.2451
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0989435	.1182507	-0.84	0.410	-.3420112 .1441242
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.0097777	.0484074	0.20	0.841	-.0897251 .1092805
LD.	-.1601231	.0769011	-2.08	0.047	-.3181955 -.0020506
_cons	-.1769357	2.896765	-0.06	0.952	-6.131321 5.777449

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.0988211	.5711295	-0.17	0.864	-1.272795 1.075152

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```
F( 2, 26) = 0.79
Prob > F = 0.4635
```



```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	29
Model	84.156943	3	28.0523143	F(3, 25) =	3.25
Residual	215.843057	25	8.63372228	Prob > F =	0.0386
Total	300	28	10.7142857	R-squared =	0.2805
				Adj R-squared =	0.1942
				Root MSE =	2.9383

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.1799603	.1375035	-1.31	0.203	-.463154	.1032334
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	-.1053129	.0602454	-1.75	0.093	-.2293908	.0187649
L2D.	.0886554	.0484958	1.83	0.079	-.0112236	.1885343
_cons	6.919846	3.62272	1.91	0.068	-.5412862	14.38098

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	6.658	1	0.0099

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 27.27544 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .0013
```

```
newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L2.d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors          Number of obs =      29
maximum lag: 1                                     F( 3, 25) =      1.15
                                                    Prob > F =      0.3481
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.1799603	.2324779	-0.77	0.446	-.6587575	.298837
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	-.1053129	.0800354	-1.32	0.200	-.270149	.0595231
L2D.	.0886554	.0523402	1.69	0.103	-.0191412	.196452
_cons	6.919846	4.966615	1.39	0.176	-3.30909	17.14878

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.5852011	.6078538	0.96	0.345	-.6666973	1.837099

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```
F( 2, 25) = 0.87
Prob > F = 0.4330
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	28
Model	5.19164546	3	1.73054849	F(3, 24) =	0.67
Residual	61.7726403	24	2.57386001	Prob > F =	0.5774
Total	66.9642857	27	2.48015873	R-squared =	0.0775
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0378
				Root MSE =	1.6043

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	.1080433	.0844556	1.28	0.213	-.0662646 .2823511
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.0165937	.0332779	0.50	0.623	-.0520885 .0852758
L3D.	-.0102256	.0258473	-0.40	0.696	-.0635719 .0431206
_cons	-.7149062	2.033881	-0.35	0.728	-4.912631 3.482819

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.243	1	0.6222

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 12.03007 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .2116
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.1535834	.3400999	0.45	0.656	-.5483482 .8555151

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 24) = 1.01
Prob > F = 0.3809
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	27
Model	5.83528735	3	1.94509578	F(3, 23) =	0.74
Residual	60.8313793	23	2.64484258	Prob > F =	0.5416
Total	66.6666667	26	2.56410256	R-squared =	0.0875
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0315
				Root MSE =	1.6263

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	.0983907	.0862848	1.14	0.266	-.080103 .2768843
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0202513	.0366276	0.55	0.586	-.0555186 .0960211
L4D.	-.0152257	.0258512	-0.59	0.562	-.0687029 .0382515
_cons	-.8911755	2.193388	-0.41	0.688	-5.428545 3.646194

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.218	1	0.6407

H0: no serial correlation

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 10.54369 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .3083
```

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.2058249	.4429866	0.46	0.647	-.7105627 1.122213

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 23) = 0.95
```

```
Prob > F = 0.4000
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L5.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	26
Model	5.2172706	3	1.7390902	F(3, 22) =	0.63
Residual	61.1288832	22	2.7785856	Prob > F =	0.6059
Total	66.3461538	25	2.65384615	R-squared =	0.0786
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0470
				Root MSE =	1.6669

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	.0866067	.0909095	0.95	0.351	-.101928	.2751415
Fiscal_Reliance						
L1.	.0280094	.041747	0.67	0.509	-.0585687	.1145875
L5D.	-.0028225	.0270707	-0.10	0.918	-.0589636	.0533187
_cons	-1.303044	2.45517	-0.53	0.601	-6.394755	3.788668

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.371	1	0.5423

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 12.17804 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .2035
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.3234092	.655063	0.49	0.626	-1.035108	1.681927

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 22) = 0.89
Prob > F = 0.4236
```

```

. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -85.285   Log-Lik Full Model:      -80.316
D(26):                      160.632   LR(3):                   9.939
                               Prob > LR:                   0.019
R2:                          0.282   Adjusted R2:             0.199
AIC:                          5.621   AIC*n:                   168.632
BIC:                          72.201   BIC':                     0.265
BIC used by Stata:           174.237   AIC used by Stata:       168.632

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance
.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -75.028   Log-Lik Full Model:      -67.141
D(24):                      134.283   LR(4):                   15.774
                               Prob > LR:                   0.003
R2:                          0.420   Adjusted R2:             0.323
AIC:                          4.975   AIC*n:                   144.283
BIC:                          53.468   BIC':                     -2.305
BIC used by Stata:           151.119   AIC used by Stata:       144.283

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -72.932   Log-Lik Full Model:      -64.930
D(22):                      129.861   LR(5):                   16.004
                               Prob > LR:                   0.007
R2:                          0.435   Adjusted R2:             0.307
AIC:                          5.066   AIC*n:                   141.861
BIC:                          56.552   BIC':                     0.657
BIC used by Stata:           149.854   AIC used by Stata:       141.861

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
.
fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -50.514   Log-Lik Full Model:      -47.793
D(20):                      95.587   LR(6):                   5.440
                               Prob > LR:                   0.489
R2:                          0.182   Adjusted R2:             -0.063
AIC:                          4.059   AIC*n:                   109.587
BIC:                          29.670   BIC':                     14.335
BIC used by Stata:           118.658   AIC used by Stata:       109.587

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.3.d.Fiscal_Rel  
> iance l.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
.  
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-49.071	Log-Lik Full Model:	-46.015
D(18):	92.031	LR(7):	6.111
		Prob > LR:	0.527
R2:	0.209	Adjusted R2:	-0.098
AIC:	4.155	AIC*n:	108.031
BIC:	33.385	BIC':	16.696
BIC used by Stata:	118.095	AIC used by Stata:	108.031

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.3.d.Fiscal_Rel  
> iance l.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.5.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-47.608	Log-Lik Full Model:	-44.617
D(16):	89.234	LR(8):	5.982
		Prob > LR:	0.649
R2:	0.213	Adjusted R2:	-0.181
AIC:	4.289	AIC*n:	107.234
BIC:	37.732	BIC':	19.769
BIC used by Stata:	118.204	AIC used by Stata:	107.234

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
.
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance l.d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	27
Model	12.166011	6	2.0276685	F(6, 20) =	0.74
Residual	54.5006557	20	2.72503278	Prob > F =	0.6209
				R-squared =	0.1825
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0628
Total	66.6666667	26	2.56410256	Root MSE =	1.6508

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]

polity_s					
L1.	.2211791	.1237014	1.79	0.089	-.0368575 .4792156
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0042491	.0493734	0.09	0.932	-.098742 .1072402
D1.	-.012307	.0500977	-0.25	0.808	-.1168091 .092195
LD.	.0135556	.0510721	0.27	0.793	-.0929789 .1200902
L2D.	.0109021	.0362327	0.30	0.767	-.0646779 .0864822
L3D.	-.0014222	.0321112	-0.04	0.965	-.068405 .0655606
_cons	-.1247559	3.002517	-0.04	0.967	-6.387896 6.138384

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.585	1	0.4442

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 27 Chi-sq(26) P-value = .4093
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.0192111	.2226997	0.09	0.932	-.4453323 .4837546

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
F( 2, 20) = 1.60
Prob > F = 0.2268
```

```
. test d.Fiscal_Reliance l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
( 2) LD.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
( 3) L2D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
( 4) L3D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
F( 4, 20) = 0.06
Prob > F = 0.9932
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance l.d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2
l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE L.Civil_War_Gledistsch
d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	27
Model	41.1793583	12	3.43161319	F(12, 14) =	1.88
Residual	25.4873084	14	1.82052203	Prob > F =	0.1288
				R-squared =	0.6177
				Adj R-squared =	0.2900
Total	66.6666667	26	2.56410256	Root MSE =	1.3493

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.4999226	.3043653	-1.64	0.123	-1.152721	.1528759
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	-.1220722	.1267351	-0.96	0.352	-.3938919	.1497475
D1.	-.0399102	.0485357	-0.82	0.425	-.144009	.0641886
LD.	.0881884	.0912139	0.97	0.350	-.1074459	.2838227
L2D.	.1042041	.0647862	1.61	0.130	-.0347485	.2431566
L3D.	.0367293	.0383813	0.96	0.355	-.0455904	.1190491
log_gdp_pe~2						
L1.	41.22382	15.3015	2.69	0.017	8.405364	74.04228
REGION_DEM~E						
L1.	.0205255	.2053549	0.10	0.922	-.419917	.460968
WORLD_DEM~E						
L1.	-.0584839	.2184168	-0.27	0.793	-.5269414	.4099736
Civil_War~h						
L1.	(dropped)					
log_gdp_pe~2						
D1.	19.19368	15.02146	1.28	0.222	-13.02416	51.41152
REGION_DEM~E						
D1.	-.2796774	.2484964	-1.13	0.279	-.8126491	.2532943
WORLD_DEM~E						
D1.	.2951568	.2918009	1.01	0.329	-.3306939	.9210076
_cons	-389.9717	138.0832	-2.82	0.014	-686.1307	-93.81259

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.494	1	0.4821

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 27 Chi-sq(26) P-value = .4093
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.2441822	.3256045	0.75	0.466	-.4541701	.9425345

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 14) = 2.39
```

```
Prob > F = 0.1282
```



```

. test d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance

( 1) D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
( 2) LD.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
( 3) L2D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
( 4) L3D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

      F( 4, 14) = 0.83
      Prob > F = 0.5290

test 1.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 1.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE 1.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
L.Civil_War_Gledistsch

( 1) L.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
( 2) L.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
( 3) L.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
( 4) L.Civil_War_Gledistsch = 0
      Constraint 4 dropped

      F( 3, 14) = 3.70
      Prob > F = 0.0378

test d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE

( 1) D.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
( 2) D.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
( 3) D.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0

      F( 3, 14) = 1.93
      Prob > F = 0.1708

```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-50.514	Log-Lik Full Model:	-37.533
D(13):	75.066	LR(12):	25.961
		Prob > LR:	0.011
R2:	0.618	Adjusted R2:	0.290
AIC:	3.817	AIC*n:	103.066
BIC:	32.220	BIC':	13.589
BIC used by Stata:	117.912	AIC used by Stata:	101.066

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
.
```

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR THE CHILE TIME-SERIES.

NOTA BENE:

We always calculate Unit Root Tests (using Augmented Dickey Fuller Tests) on Polity and Fiscal Reliance, first in levels and then in differences, before performing Engle Granger cointegration tests. These are preceded by ECM cointegration tests and ECM regression estimation.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L.Fiscal Reliance]/_b[L_polity_s]`

NOTA BENE:

We always run a bunch of single lag experiments, where we introduce different lag lengths of Fiscal Reliance in differences. We do so one at a time. Namely, we proceed as follows: we introduce Fiscal Reliance lagged one year. Then we remove it and introduce the second lag of Fiscal Reliance and keep doing this until we reach Fiscal Reliance in t-5. These are reported in the regression tables in the appendix.

NOTA BENE:

Finally, we run a series of finite distributed lag models (where all of the lags are introduced simultaneously) and then use the BIC statistic to choose the most parsimonious model and that model is estimated and reported in the regression table in the online appendix.

CHILE UNIT-ROOT TESTS

Polity_s

dfuller polity_s, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 187

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.707	-4.011	-3.438

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.2333

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s						
L1.	-.0701158	.0259036	-2.71	0.007	-.1212239	-.0190077
LD.	.1760411	.0731191	2.41	0.017	.0317762	.320306
_trend	.0163084	.0105154	1.55	0.123	-.0044387	.0370555
_cons	2.584124	1.357962	1.90	0.059	-.0951508	5.263399

dfuller polity_s, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 187

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.227	-3.481	-2.884

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.1967

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s						
L1.	-.0506284	.0227383	-2.23	0.027	-.0954897	-.0057671
LD.	.1674337	.0731861	2.29	0.023	.023042	.3118255
_cons	3.080989	1.324659	2.33	0.021	.4675157	5.694463

Polity_s_FD

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 186

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-9.051	-4.011	-3.439	-3.139

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.polity_s~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s_FD						
L1.	-.8807679	.0973157	-9.05	0.000	-1.07278	-.6887558
LD.	.0234573	.0741902	0.32	0.752	-.1229261	.1698408
_trend	.0025254	.0094778	0.27	0.790	-.016175	.0212258
_cons	.1197027	1.030029	0.12	0.908	-1.91263	2.152036

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 186

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-9.070	-3.481	-2.884	-2.574

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s_FD						
L1.	-.8802093	.0970459	-9.07	0.000	-1.071682	-.6887366
LD.	.0231665	.0739936	0.31	0.755	-.1228238	.1691568
_cons	.3581433	.5087885	0.70	0.482	-.6457025	1.361989

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs

dfuller Fiscal_Reliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 138

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.762	-4.027	-3.145

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.2113

D.Fiscal_R~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	-.1396288	.0505566	-2.76	0.007	-.2396209	-.0396368
LD.	-.0915378	.0912641	-1.00	0.318	-.2720423	.0889668
_trend	-.0172002	.0191518	-0.90	0.371	-.0550791	.0206788
_cons	4.127952	1.989064	2.08	0.040	.1939309	8.061974

. dfuller Fiscal_Reliance, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 138

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.649	-3.497	-2.577

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0832

D.	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	-.1318815	.0497795	-2.65	0.009	-.2303299	-.033433
LD.	-.0929149	.0911859	-1.02	0.310	-.2732525	.0874226
_cons	2.714137	1.214924	2.23	0.027	.3113911	5.116882

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs_FD

dfuller D.Fiscal_Reliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 136

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-9.173	-4.028	-3.145

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D2.Fiscal_~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D.Fiscal_R~e						
L1.	-1.251476	.1364342	-9.17	0.000	-1.521356	-.9815954
LD.	.0938454	.0952665	0.99	0.326	-.0946012	.282292
_trend	-.0090898	.0197089	-0.46	0.645	-.0480758	.0298963
_cons	.959969	1.670613	0.57	0.567	-2.344668	4.264606

dfuller D.Fiscal_Reliance, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 136

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-9.188	-3.498	-2.578

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D2.Fiscal_Rel~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
LD.	-1.248108	.1358349	-9.19	0.000	-1.516784	-.9794322
LD2.	.091722	.0948731	0.97	0.335	-.0959334	.2793774
_cons	.291741	.8292068	0.35	0.726	-1.348398	1.93188

CHILE CO-INTEGRATION TESTS

Dickey Fuller CRITICAL VALUES are NOT valid for the Engle-Granger co-integration test!!!

The critical values that STATA spits out after the Augmented Dickey Fuller Test are the critical values for an augmented Dickey Fuller Test on a variable, not residuals.

For an augmented Engle-Granger Test we instead turn to the critical values for cointegration provided by MacKinnon (1991).

Polity and Fiscal Reliance

```
newey polity_s Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors      Number of obs =      142
maximum lag: 1                                F( 1, 140) =      1.40
                                                Prob > F       =      0.2392
```

```
-----+-----
```

polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel	.1239357	.1048453	1.18	0.239	-.0833491	.3312205
_cons	58.47954	4.029163	14.51	0.000	50.51367	66.44541

```
-----+-----
```

```
. predict residual, res
(47 missing values generated)
```

```
. dfuller residual, regress trend lags(1)
```

```
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root      Number of obs =      138
```

```
-----+----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----
```

Test Statistic	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.530	-4.027	-3.145

```
-----+-----
```

```
MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.3133
```

```
-----+-----
```

D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual						
L1.	-.0821223	.0324638	-2.53	0.013	-.1463301	-.0179146
LD.	.1749646	.085538	2.05	0.043	.0057853	.344144
_trend	.0095351	.0150975	0.63	0.529	-.0203252	.0393954
_cons	-.326587	1.285147	-0.25	0.800	-2.868383	2.215209

```
-----+-----
```


dfuller residual, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 138

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----			
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value	
Z(t)	-2.485	-3.497	-2.887	-2.577

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.1192

D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual						
L1.	-.0801034	.032234	-2.49	0.014	-.1438524	-.0163544
LD.	.1758671	.0853355	2.06	0.041	.0070998	.3446345
_cons	.3778947	.6368308	0.59	0.554	-.8815606	1.63735

Test statistic: -2.49

Critical Values:

-3.9001

We cannot reject the hypothesis of non-integration. Therefore, we conclude that Polity and Fiscal Reliance are not co-integrated series.

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	140
Model	290.055912	3	96.6853041	F(3, 136) =	1.71
Residual	7674.94409	136	56.4334124	Prob > F =	0.1672
Total	7965	139	57.3021583	R-squared =	0.0364
				Adj R-squared =	0.0152
				Root MSE =	7.5122

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0660345	.0315863	-2.09	0.038	-.1284984 - .0035707
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.0268932	.03956	0.68	0.498	-.0513391 .1051256
D1.	.0600007	.0682474	0.88	0.381	-.0749626 .1949639
_cons	3.989054	2.072856	1.92	0.056	-.1101456 8.088253

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	4.420	1	0.0355

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 5.55238 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .7837

```
. newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

Regression with Newey-West standard errors
 maximum lag: 1
 Number of obs = 140
 F(3, 136) = 1.35
 Prob > F = 0.2607

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0660345	.0364298	-1.81	0.072	-.1380766 .0060076
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.0268932	.0368121	0.73	0.466	-.0459049 .0996914
D1.	.0600007	.0420372	1.43	0.156	-.0231304 .1431317
_cons	3.989054	2.031778	1.96	0.052	-.0289113 8.007019

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.4072605	.4340083	-0.94	0.350	-1.265538 .4510173

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

F(2, 136) = 1.90
 Prob > F = 0.1533

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	140
Model	246.107464	3	82.0358212	F(3, 136) =	1.44
Residual	7738.71396	136	56.9023086	Prob > F =	0.2334
Total	7984.82143	139	57.4447585	R-squared =	0.0308
				Adj R-squared =	0.0094
				Root MSE =	7.5434

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0621736	.0315915	-1.97	0.051	-.1246477 .0003005
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0100255	.0397872	0.25	0.801	-.0686561 .0887071
LD.	.0405205	.0694191	0.58	0.560	-.09676 .1778011
_cons	4.135499	2.079368	1.99	0.049	.0234227 8.247574

```
bdiag, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	3.682	1	0.0550

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 6.238543 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .7158
```

```
newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors      Number of obs = 140
maximum lag: 1                                F( 3, 136) = 1.14
                                              Prob > F = 0.3354
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0621736	.0362588	-1.71	0.089	-.1338776 .0095304
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0100255	.0356976	0.28	0.779	-.0605686 .0806197
LD.	.0405205	.0607474	0.67	0.506	-.0796111 .1606522
_cons	4.135499	2.227403	1.86	0.066	-.2693268 8.540324

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.1612504	.5332087	-0.30	0.763	-1.215703 .8932022

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 136) = 1.69
Prob > F = 0.1875
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	138
Model	450.748218	3	150.249406	F(3, 134) =	2.67
Residual	7533.49091	134	56.2200814	Prob > F =	0.0500
Total	7984.23913	137	58.2791177	R-squared =	0.0565
				Adj R-squared =	0.0353
				Root MSE =	7.498

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0642347	.0315741	-2.03	0.044	-.1266827 -.0017866
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0066999	.0383919	0.17	0.862	-.0692325 .0826323
L2D.	.1411159	.0715452	1.97	0.051	-.0003881 .2826198
_cons	4.371502	2.079099	2.10	0.037	.2594064 8.483598

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	4.145	1	0.0418

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 27.09783 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .0013
```

```
newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L2.d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors          Number of obs = 138
maximum lag: 1                                     F( 3, 134) = 1.22
                                                    Prob > F = 0.3040
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0642347	.0369601	-1.74	0.085	-.1373354 .008866
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0066999	.0300036	0.22	0.824	-.0526419 .0660417
L2D.	.1411159	.1141098	1.24	0.218	-.0845734 .3668052
_cons	4.371502	2.298328	1.90	0.059	-.1741892 8.917194

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.1043037	.4432418	-0.24	0.814	-.9809586 .7723513

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 134) = 1.71
Prob > F = 0.1851
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	136
Model	245.595661	3	81.8652203	F(3, 132) =	1.40
Residual	7738.04404	132	58.6215458	Prob > F =	0.2467
Total	7983.63971	135	59.1380719	R-squared =	0.0308
				Adj R-squared =	0.0087
				Root MSE =	7.6565

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0642656	.0324955	-1.98	0.050	-.1285449 .0000137
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0216899	.0397572	0.55	0.586	-.0569538 .1003336
L3D.	-.0277681	.0764628	-0.36	0.717	-.1790191 .1234829
_cons	4.101466	2.147804	1.91	0.058	-.1471021 8.350033

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	4.489	1	0.0341

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 6.880128 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .6496
```

```
newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L3.d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

Regression with Newey-West standard errors	Number of obs =	136
maximum lag: 1	F(3, 132) =	1.22
	Prob > F =	0.3061

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0642656	.037943	-1.69	0.093	-.1393206 .0107895
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0216899	.0310938	0.70	0.487	-.0398168 .0831965
L3D.	-.0277681	.0602402	-0.46	0.646	-.1469291 .0913929
_cons	4.101466	2.206766	1.86	0.065	-.2637364 8.466668

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.3375038	.3808047	-0.89	0.377	-1.090773 .4157655

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 132) = 1.60
Prob > F = 0.2049
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	134
Model	245.028888	3	81.676296	F(3, 130) =	1.37
Residual	7737.9935	130	59.5230269	Prob > F =	0.2542
Total	7983.02239	133	60.0227247	R-squared =	0.0307
				Adj R-squared =	0.0083
				Root MSE =	7.7151

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0664481	.0329549	-2.02	0.046	-.1316455 - .0012506
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.0198662	.0397165	0.50	0.618	-.0587082 .0984406
L4D.	.0115885	.075777	0.15	0.879	-.1383271 .1615042
_cons	4.294429	2.171096	1.98	0.050	-.0008253 8.589683

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	4.204	1	0.0403

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 5.56336 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .7827
```

```
. newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L4.d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors  
maximum lag: 1
```

```
Number of obs = 134  
F( 3, 130) = 1.17  
Prob > F = 0.3238
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0664481	.0383379	-1.73	0.085	-.1422951 .0093989
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.0198662	.0344602	0.58	0.565	-.0483092 .0880415
L4D.	.0115885	.0294998	0.39	0.695	-.0467734 .0699504
_cons	4.294429	2.30313	1.86	0.064	-.2620386 8.850896

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.298973	.4328427	-0.69	0.491	-1.155301 .5573545

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```
F( 2, 130) = 1.71  
Prob > F = 0.1853
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L5.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	132
Model	290.593226	3	96.8644088	F(3, 128) =	1.61
Residual	7691.79314	128	60.0921339	Prob > F =	0.1898
Total	7982.38636	131	60.9342471	R-squared =	0.0364
				Adj R-squared =	0.0138
				Root MSE =	7.7519

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0658249	.0332979	-1.98	0.050	-.1317106 .0000607
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0264795	.040764	0.65	0.517	-.0541789 .107138
L5D.	-.0708406	.0854311	-0.83	0.409	-.2398807 .0981995
_cons	4.181074	2.206561	1.89	0.060	-.1849843 8.547133

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	4.147	1	0.0417

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 8.054199 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .5287
```

```
newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L5.d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors          Number of obs = 132
maximum lag: 1                                     F( 3, 128) = 1.32
                                                    Prob > F = 0.2716
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0658249	.0382312	-1.72	0.088	-.141472 .0098221
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0264795	.0393958	0.67	0.503	-.0514717 .1044308
L5D.	-.0708406	.0604776	-1.17	0.244	-.1905058 .0488246
_cons	4.181074	2.314354	1.81	0.073	-.3982698 8.760419

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.4022722	.4912376	-0.82	0.414	-1.37427 .5697253

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```
F( 2, 128) = 1.60
Prob > F = 0.2059
```

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -481.533   Log-Lik Full Model:      -478.937
D(136):                      957.873   LR(3):                   5.193
                              Prob > LR:                   0.158
R2:                          0.036     Adjusted R2:             0.015
AIC:                          6.899     AIC*n:                   965.873
BIC:                          285.810    BIC':                    9.631
BIC used by Stata:           977.640    AIC used by Stata:       965.873
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -475.643   Log-Lik Full Model:      -472.781
D(133):                      945.563   LR(4):                   5.722
                              Prob > LR:                   0.221
R2:                          0.041     Adjusted R2:             0.012
AIC:                          6.924     AIC*n:                   955.563
BIC:                          290.238    BIC':                    13.987
BIC used by Stata:           970.199    AIC used by Stata:       955.563
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -469.738   Log-Lik Full Model:      -464.745
D(130):                      929.490   LR(5):                   9.985
                              Prob > LR:                   0.076
R2:                          0.071     Adjusted R2:             0.035
AIC:                          6.923     AIC*n:                   941.490
BIC:                          290.845    BIC':                    14.578
BIC used by Stata:           958.966    AIC used by Stata:       941.490
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -463.818   Log-Lik Full Model:      -458.855
D(127):                      917.709   LR(6):                   9.926
                              Prob > LR:                   0.128
R2:                          0.071     Adjusted R2:             0.028
AIC:                          6.953     AIC*n:                   931.709
BIC:                          295.684    BIC':                    19.461
BIC used by Stata:           951.994    AIC used by Stata:       931.709
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

.


```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE L.Civil_War Gledistsch l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	140
Model	1091.52966	10	109.152966	F(10, 129) =	2.05
Residual	6873.47034	129	53.2827158	Prob > F =	0.0334
				R-squared =	0.1370
				Adj R-squared =	0.0701
Total	7965	139	57.3021583	Root MSE =	7.2995

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1017059	.0352918	-2.88	0.005	-.1715317 -.0318802
log_gdp_pe~2					
L1.	-5.063133	2.808409	-1.80	0.074	-10.61964 .4933719
REGION_DEM~E					
L1.	.1508714	.057046	2.64	0.009	.0380045 .2637383
WORLD_DEM~E					
L1.	.0931526	.1696162	0.55	0.584	-.2424372 .4287424
Civil_War~h					
L1.	-.978252	5.304941	-0.18	0.854	-11.47421 9.517703
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.0939439	.0458567	2.05	0.043	.0032153 .1846726
D1.	.0700986	.0682651	1.03	0.306	-.0649655 .2051628
log_gdp_pe~2					
D1.	7.229935	9.133143	0.79	0.430	-10.84021 25.30008
REGION_DEM~E					
D1.	.471901	.2652099	1.78	0.078	-.0528234 .9966253
WORLD_DEM~E					
D1.	-.4382881	.4361501	-1.00	0.317	-1.301222 .4246455
_cons	41.16548	19.86692	2.07	0.040	1.858296 80.47266

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	2.770	1	0.0960

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 67.03966 Chi-sq(56) P-value = .1483
```

```
newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber men l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE L.Civil_War_Gledistsch l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber men d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors      Number of obs =      140
maximum lag: 1                                F( 10, 129) =      0.54
                                                Prob > F =      0.8600
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1017059	.0542305	-1.88	0.063	-.2090023 .0055904
log_gdp_pe~2					
L1.	-5.063133	4.234487	-1.20	0.234	-13.44117 3.314904
REGION_DEM~E					
L1.	.1508714	.096376	1.57	0.120	-.0398109 .3415537
WORLD_DEM~E					
L1.	.0931526	.1596466	0.58	0.561	-.222712 .4090173
Civil_War~h					
L1.	-.978252	1.235733	-0.79	0.430	-3.42318 1.466677
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.0939439	.0731734	1.28	0.201	-.0508315 .2387193
D1.	.0700986	.0465212	1.51	0.134	-.0219448 .1621421
log_gdp_pe~2					
D1.	7.229935	7.94719	0.91	0.365	-8.493775 22.95364
REGION_DEM~E					
D1.	.471901	.4215666	1.12	0.265	-.3621788 1.305981
WORLD_DEM~E					
D1.	-.4382881	.3930917	-1.11	0.267	-1.21603 .3394535
_cons	41.16548	31.25543	1.32	0.190	-20.67416 103.0051

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.9236817	.4050213	-2.28	0.024	-1.725026 -.1223371

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```
F( 2, 129) = 1.91
Prob > F = 0.1528
```

```
test l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber men l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
L.Civil_War_Gledistsch
```

- (1) L.log_gdp_per_cap_haber men_2 = 0
- (2) L.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
- (3) L.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
- (4) L.Civil_War_Gledistsch = 0

```
F( 4, 129) = 1.20
Prob > F = 0.3123
```

```
test d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber men d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

- (1) D.log_gdp_per_cap_haber men_2 = 0
- (2) D.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
- (3) D.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0

```
F( 3, 129) = 0.50
Prob > F = 0.6828
```

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE L.Civil_War_Gleditsch l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-481.533	Log-Lik Full Model:	-471.216
D(129):	942.432	LR(10):	20.634
		Prob > LR:	0.024
R2:	0.137	Adjusted R2:	0.070
AIC:	6.889	AIC*n:	964.432
BIC:	304.960	BIC':	28.782
BIC used by Stata:	996.790	AIC used by Stata:	964.432

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR THE ECUADOR TIME-SERIES.

NOTA BENE:

We always calculate Unit Root Tests (using Augmented Dickey Fuller Tests) on Polity and Fiscal Reliance, first in levels and then in differences, before performing Engle Granger cointegration tests. These are preceded by ECM cointegration tests and ECM regression estimation.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L.Fiscal Reliance]/_b[L_polity_s]`

NOTA BENE:

We always run a bunch of single lag experiments, where we introduce different lag lengths of Fiscal Reliance in differences. We do so one at a time. Namely, we proceed as follows: we introduce Fiscal Reliance lagged one year. Then we remove it and introduce the second lag of Fiscal Reliance and keep doing this until we reach Fiscal Reliance in t-5. These are reported in the regression tables in the appendix.

NOTA BENE:

Finally, we run a series of finite distributed lag models (where all of the lags are introduced simultaneously) and then use the BIC statistic to choose the most parsimonious model and that model is estimated and reported in the regression table in the online appendix.

ECUADOR UNIT-ROOT TESTS

Polity_s

dfuller polity_s, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 175

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-3.030	-4.015	-3.440

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.1240

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s						
L1.	-.1033131	.0341006	-3.03	0.003	-.1706255	-.0360007
LD.	.0460075	.0764925	0.60	0.548	-.1049837	.1969986
_trend	.0269353	.0122814	2.19	0.030	.0026925	.051178
_cons	3.132423	1.512015	2.07	0.040	.1478057	6.117041

.
.
.

dfuller polity_s, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 175

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.133	-3.485	-2.885

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.2314

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s						
L1.	-.0598429	.0280544	-2.13	0.034	-.115218	-.0044677
LD.	.0287314	.0769239	0.37	0.709	-.123105	.1805678
_cons	3.298748	1.526746	2.16	0.032	.2851779	6.312318

.
.
.

Polity_s_FD

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 174

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----			
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value	
Z(t)	-9.491	-4.015	-3.440	-3.140

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.polity_s~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s_FD						
L1.	-1.032879	.1088301	-9.49	0.000	-1.247711	-.8180462
LD.	.0273208	.07676	0.36	0.722	-.1242048	.1788464
_trend	.0054781	.0103794	0.53	0.598	-.0150109	.0259671
_cons	-.2483144	1.05526	-0.24	0.814	-2.331415	1.834787

.
.

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 174

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----			
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value	
Z(t)	-9.496	-3.485	-2.885	-2.575

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s_FD						
polity_s_FD						
L1.	-1.03019	.1084812	-9.50	0.000	-1.244324	-.8160549
LD.	.0259644	.076555	0.34	0.735	-.1251501	.1770789
_cons	.2359577	.5201276	0.45	0.651	-.7907399	1.262655

.

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs

dfuller Fiscal_Reliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 174

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.821	-4.015	-3.440

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.1895

D.Fiscal_R~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	-.0980689	.0347685	-2.82	0.005	-.1667025	-.0294353
LD.	-.0614174	.0765876	-0.80	0.424	-.2126025	.0897677
_trend	.018171	.0082271	2.21	0.029	.0019306	.0344114
_cons	-.9195814	.6969466	-1.32	0.189	-2.295366	.4562029

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs_FD

dfuller D.Fiscal_Reliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 173

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-12.715	-4.016	-3.440

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D2.Fiscal_~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D.Fiscal_R~e						
L1.	-1.405895	.1105666	-12.72	0.000	-1.624165	-1.187626
LD.	.2663817	.0742651	3.59	0.000	.1197749	.4129884
_trend	.0039452	.0062397	0.63	0.528	-.0083725	.0162629
_cons	-.1440174	.6305681	-0.23	0.820	-1.388822	1.100787

dfuller D.Fiscal_Reliance, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 173

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-12.722	-3.486	-2.885

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D2.Fiscal_Rel~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
LD.	-1.402142	.110212	-12.72	0.000	-1.619703	-1.184582
LD2.	.2646065	.0740809	3.57	0.000	.1183696	.4108434
_cons	.202602	.311043	0.65	0.516	-.4114021	.8166061

dfuller D.Fiscal_Reliance, regress lags(2)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 172

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-9.746	-3.486	-2.885	-2.575

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D2.		Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
Fiscal_Rel~e						
Fiscal_Rel~e						
LD.		-1.50575	.1545067	-9.75	0.000	-1.810775 -1.200725
LD2.		.3487639	.1149329	3.03	0.003	.1218652 .5756627
L2D2.		.0739901	.0770907	0.96	0.339	-.0782013 .2261814
_cons		.2179941	.3132908	0.70	0.488	-.4005 .8364881

.

Model that is best is 1 lag and no trend.

ECUADOR'S CO-INTEGRATION TESTS

Dickey Fuller CRITICAL VALUES are NOT valid for the Engle-Granger co-integration test!!!

The critical values that STATA spits out after the Augmented Dickey Fuller Test are the critical values for an augmented Dickey Fuller Test on a variable, not residuals.

For an augmented Engle-Granger Test we instead turn to the critical values for cointegration provided by Engle-Granger from Engle and Yoo (1987, Table 3).

Polity and Fiscal Reliance

```
newey polity_s Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors      Number of obs =      176
maximum lag: 1                                F( 1, 174) =      82.36
                                                Prob > F      =      0.0000
```

	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Reliance	1.158081	.1276089	9.08	0.000	.9062203	1.409942
_cons	44.93828	.8986827	50.00	0.000	43.16455	46.712

.

```
predict residual, res
(1 missing value generated)
```

```
dfuller residual, regress trend lags(1)
```

```
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root      Number of obs =      174
```

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----			
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value	
Z(t)	-4.687	-4.015	-3.440	-3.140

```
MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0007
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D.residual						
residual						
L1.	-.2492314	.0531732	-4.69	0.000	-.3541961	-.1442667
LD.	.0362349	.0766663	0.47	0.637	-.1150992	.187569
_trend	.0085685	.0120254	0.71	0.477	-.0151698	.0323068
_cons	-.7322775	1.22153	-0.60	0.550	-3.143599	1.679044

dfuller residual, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 174

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----			
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value	
Z(t)	-4.641	-3.485	-2.885	-2.575

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0001

D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual						
L1.	-.2443733	.0526583	-4.64	0.000	-.3483172	-.1404293
LD.	.0340479	.0764912	0.45	0.657	-.1169407	.1850365
_cons	.0262598	.5981707	0.04	0.965	-1.15449	1.207009

Critical Values:

-4.64

-3.9001 for the 1 percent level.

We reject the hypothesis of non-integration. Therefore, we conclude that Polity and Fiscal Reliance are co-integrated.

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	175
Model	601.805191	3	200.60173	F(3, 171) =	4.63
Residual	7416.19481	171	43.3695603	Prob > F =	0.0039
				R-squared =	0.0751
				Adj R-squared =	0.0588
Total	8018	174	46.0804598	Root MSE =	6.5856

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1573261	.0422851	-3.72	0.000	-.240794 - .0738581
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.1934084	.0652553	2.96	0.003	.0645987 .3222182
D1.	.1139326	.1229521	0.93	0.355	-.1287667 .3566318
_cons	7.187149	1.96613	3.66	0.000	3.306139 11.06816

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.726	1	0.3941

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 28.46378 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = 8.0e-04
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance, r
```

Linear regression	Number of obs =	175
	F(3, 171) =	1.57
	Prob > F =	0.1979
	R-squared =	0.0751
	Root MSE =	6.5856

D.polity_s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1573261	.1073604	-1.47	0.145	-.3692484 .0545963
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.1934084	.1522893	1.27	0.206	-.1072005 .4940174
D1.	.1139326	.2088957	0.55	0.586	-.2984138 .5262789
_cons	7.187149	4.993056	1.44	0.152	-2.668814 17.04311

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-1.229348	.1920852	-6.40	0.000	-1.608511 - .8501843

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 171) = 1.71
Prob > F = 0.1845
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	175
Model	685.225565	3	228.408522	F(3, 171) =	5.31
Residual	7355.63158	171	43.0153893	Prob > F =	0.0016
				R-squared =	0.0852
				Adj R-squared =	0.0692
Total	8040.85714	174	46.2118227	Root MSE =	6.5586

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1607679	.0417822	-3.85	0.000	-.2432432 -.0782926
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.2095005	.0648989	3.23	0.001	.0813945 .3376066
LD.	-.2119288	.1223984	-1.73	0.085	-.4535352 .0296776
_cons	7.353089	1.95045	3.77	0.000	3.503029 11.20315

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.602	1	0.4378

H0: no serial correlation

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 26.50391 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .0017
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance, r
```

```
Linear regression
```

```
Number of obs = 175
F( 3, 171) = 0.99
Prob > F = 0.4000
R-squared = 0.0852
Root MSE = 6.5586
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1607679	.104145	-1.54	0.125	-.3663433 .0448075
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.2095005	.149173	1.40	0.162	-.0849571 .5039582
LD.	-.2119288	.1868815	-1.13	0.258	-.5808205 .1569629
_cons	7.353089	4.875571	1.51	0.133	-2.270967 16.97714

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-1.303124	.1646419	-7.91	0.000	-1.628117 -.978132

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```
F( 2, 171) = 1.47
Prob > F = 0.2325
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	174
Model	731.991603	3	243.997201	F(3, 170) =	5.68
Residual	7308.81299	170	42.9930176	Prob > F =	0.0010
				R-squared =	0.0910
				Adj R-squared =	0.0750
Total	8040.8046	173	46.4786393	Root MSE =	6.5569

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1623294	.0417098	-3.89	0.000	-.2446653 - .0799935
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.2107654	.0644468	3.27	0.001	.0835462 .3379845
L2D.	-.2458108	.1215774	-2.02	0.045	-.4858067 -.0058149
_cons	7.434881	1.94947	3.81	0.000	3.586594 11.28317

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.452	1	0.5015

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 51.14667 Chi-sq(9) P-value = 6.6e-08

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L2.d.Fiscal_Reliance, r
```

Linear regression	Number of obs =	174
	F(3, 170) =	0.98
	Prob > F =	0.4027
	R-squared =	0.0910
	Root MSE =	6.5569

D.polity_s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1623294	.1040666	-1.56	0.121	-.3677586 .0430998
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.2107654	.1492271	1.41	0.160	-.0838114 .5053421
L2D.	-.2458108	.2247585	-1.09	0.276	-.6894878 .1978662
_cons	7.434881	4.880562	1.52	0.130	-2.19943 17.06919

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-1.298381	.1830824	-7.09	0.000	-1.659788 -.9369728

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 170) = 1.43
Prob > F = 0.2412
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	173
Model	561.719255	3	187.239752	F(3, 169) =	4.23
Residual	7479.03219	169	44.2546283	Prob > F =	0.0065
Total	8040.75145	172	46.7485549	R-squared =	0.0699
				Adj R-squared =	0.0533
				Root MSE =	6.6524

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1453978	.0420089	-3.46	0.001	-.2283275 -.0624681
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.1765943	.064214	2.75	0.007	.0498295 .3033592
L3D.	.0427565	.1212657	0.35	0.725	-.1966343 .2821472
_cons	6.712545	1.968367	3.41	0.001	2.826791 10.5983

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.850	1	0.3566

H0: no serial correlation

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 14.15744 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .1168
```

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-1.21456	.2855337	-4.25	0.000	-1.778232 -.6508873

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 169) = 6.00
```

```
Prob > F = 0.0030
```

```
:
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	172
Model	851.598037	3	283.866012	F(3, 168) =	6.63
Residual	7189.09964	168	42.7922597	Prob > F =	0.0003
				R-squared =	0.1059
				Adj R-squared =	0.0899
Total	8040.69767	171	47.0216238	Root MSE =	6.5416

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1578725	.0411458	-3.84	0.000	-.2391019 -.076643
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.1987822	.0629149	3.16	0.002	.0745766 .3229878
L4D.	-.312138	.118801	-2.63	0.009	-.5466732 -.0776027
_cons	7.277227	1.929032	3.77	0.000	3.46896 11.08549

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	1.028	1	0.3105

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 77.2492 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = 5.7e-13
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance, r
```

Linear regression	Number of obs =	172
	F(3, 168) =	0.98
	Prob > F =	0.4053
	R-squared =	0.1059
	Root MSE =	6.5416

D.polity_s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1578725	.0975891	-1.62	0.108	-.3505314 .0347864
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.1987822	.1346091	1.48	0.142	-.0669611 .4645255
L4D.	-.312138	.2299255	-1.36	0.176	-.7660535 .1417775
_cons	7.277227	4.612939	1.58	0.117	-1.829569 16.38402

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-1.259131	.1770597	-7.11	0.000	-1.60868 -.9095825

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1)  L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2)  L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 168) = 1.46
Prob > F = 0.2342
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L5.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	171
Model	561.449866	3	187.149955	F(3, 167) =	4.18
Residual	7479.19341	167	44.7855893	Prob > F =	0.0070
				R-squared =	0.0698
				Adj R-squared =	0.0531
Total	8040.64327	170	47.2979016	Root MSE =	6.6922

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1451112	.042395	-3.42	0.001	-.2288104 -.0614121
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.1764878	.0646735	2.73	0.007	.0488049 .3041707
L5D.	.0422052	.122898	0.34	0.732	-.2004287 .2848391
_cons	6.699658	1.988122	3.37	0.001	2.774567 10.62475

```
bgoDFrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.570	1	0.4502

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 37.59214 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = 2.1e-05
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L5.d.Fiscal_Reliance, r
```

```
Linear regression
```

Number of obs =	171
F(3, 167) =	1.88
Prob > F =	0.1352
R-squared =	0.0698
Root MSE =	6.6922

D.polity_s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1451112	.0886481	-1.64	0.104	-.3201266 .0299041
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.1764878	.1199943	1.47	0.143	-.0604135 .4133891
L5D.	.0422052	.1667704	0.25	0.801	-.2870447 .3714551
_cons	6.699658	4.20866	1.59	0.113	-1.609378 15.00869

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-1.216224	.1811352	-6.71	0.000	-1.573834 -.8586142

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 167) = 1.55
Prob > F = 0.2155
```



```

quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -582.972   Log-Lik Full Model:      -576.145
D(171):                      1152.290   LR(3):                   13.654
                               Prob > LR:                0.003
R2:                          0.075     Adjusted R2:             0.059
AIC:                          6.630     AIC*n:                   1160.290
BIC:                          269.111   BIC':                     1.840
BIC used by Stata:           1172.949   AIC used by Stata:       1160.290

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -580.139   Log-Lik Full Model:      -571.974
D(169):                      1143.948   LR(4):                   16.330
                               Prob > LR:                0.003
R2:                          0.090     Adjusted R2:             0.068
AIC:                          6.632     AIC*n:                   1153.948
BIC:                          272.068   BIC':                     4.307
BIC used by Stata:           1169.743   AIC used by Stata:       1153.948

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -577.303   Log-Lik Full Model:      -566.538
D(167):                      1133.075   LR(5):                   21.530
                               Prob > LR:                0.001
R2:                          0.117     Adjusted R2:             0.091
AIC:                          6.619     AIC*n:                   1145.075
BIC:                          272.476   BIC':                     4.236
BIC used by Stata:           1163.995   AIC used by Stata:       1145.075

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -574.464   Log-Lik Full Model:      -563.500
D(165):                      1127.000   LR(6):                   21.928
                               Prob > LR:                0.001
R2:                          0.120     Adjusted R2:             0.088
AIC:                          6.634     AIC*n:                   1141.000
BIC:                          277.663   BIC':                     8.957
BIC used by Stata:           1163.032   AIC used by Stata:       1141.000

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance if
GDP_Per_Cap_Haber_Men_2 != .
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-254.463	Log-Lik Full Model:	-251.268
D(63):	502.536	LR(3):	6.390
		Prob > LR:	0.094
R2:	0.091	Adjusted R2:	0.048
AIC:	7.620	AIC*n:	510.536
BIC:	237.641	BIC':	6.224
BIC used by Stata:	519.355	AIC used by Stata:	510.536

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance if GDP_Per_Cap_Haber_Men_2 != .
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-254.463	Log-Lik Full Model:	-250.715
D(62):	501.431	LR(4):	7.496
		Prob > LR:	0.112
R2:	0.106	Adjusted R2:	0.048
AIC:	7.633	AIC*n:	511.431
BIC:	240.740	BIC':	9.323
BIC used by Stata:	522.454	AIC used by Stata:	511.431

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance if GDP_Per_Cap_Haber_Men_
> 2 != .
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-254.463	Log-Lik Full Model:	-249.583
D(61):	499.167	LR(5):	9.760
		Prob > LR:	0.082
R2:	0.136	Adjusted R2:	0.065
AIC:	7.629	AIC*n:	511.167
BIC:	242.680	BIC':	11.263
BIC used by Stata:	524.395	AIC used by Stata:	511.167

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
.
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance if
GDP_Per_Cap_Haber_Men_2 != .
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	67
Model	710.194439	3	236.73148	F(3, 63) =	2.10
Residual	7096.52198	63	112.643206	Prob > F =	0.1089
				R-squared =	0.0910
				Adj R-squared =	0.0477
Total	7806.71642	66	118.283582	Root MSE =	10.613

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1842408	.0734515	-2.51	0.015	-.3310218 -.0374598
Fiscal_Reli					
L1.	.1772989	.1111986	1.59	0.116	-.0449139 .3995116
D1.	.0996815	.1996164	0.50	0.619	-.2992201 .498583
_cons	9.901487	4.089582	2.42	0.018	1.72911 18.07386

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.393	1	0.5308

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 13.73226 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .1322
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.9623214	.4500219	-2.14	0.036	-1.861618 -.0630245

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 63) = 3.15
```

```
Prob > F = 0.0496
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2
l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE d.Fiscal_Reliance d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2
d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	66
Model	1455.99841	9	161.777601	F(9, 56) =	1.43
Residual	6350.44099	56	113.400732	Prob > F =	0.1991
				R-squared =	0.1865
				Adj R-squared =	0.0558
Total	7806.43939	65	120.099068	Root MSE =	10.649

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.2114767	.0893045	-2.37	0.021	-.3903751 -.0325784
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	-.0133634	.2011043	-0.07	0.947	-.4162239 .389497
log_gdp_pe~2					
L1.	6.644272	7.167646	0.93	0.358	-7.714248 21.00279
REGION_DEM~E					
L1.	.0069563	.1327998	0.05	0.958	-.2590735 .2729862
WORLD_DEM~E					
L1.	.1024793	.377484	0.27	0.787	-.653712 .8586707
Fiscal_Rel~e					
D1.	-.1164843	.2308128	-0.50	0.616	-.5788579 .3458893
log_gdp_pe~2					
D1.	18.96043	34.09943	0.56	0.580	-49.34894 87.26979
REGION_DEM~E					
D1.	.8310264	.4621536	1.80	0.078	-.0947785 1.756831
WORLD_DEM~E					
D1.	.598941	.8221125	0.73	0.469	-1.047948 2.24583
_cons	-44.85563	55.8446	-0.80	0.425	-156.7258 67.01455

```
. bdiag, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.034	1	0.8527

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 64.80823 Chi-sq(54) P-value = .1489
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.0631911	.9578199	0.07	0.948	-1.855553 1.981935

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1)  L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2)  L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 56) = 3.02
Prob > F = 0.0566
```

```
test 1.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 1.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE 1.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

- (1) L.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
- (2) L.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
- (3) L.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0

```
F( 3, 56) = 0.48  
Prob > F = 0.7009
```

```
. test d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

- (1) D.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
- (2) D.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
- (3) D.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0

```
F( 3, 56) = 1.79  
Prob > F = 0.1598
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-251.161	Log-Lik Full Model:	-244.349
D(56):	488.697	LR(9):	13.624
		Prob > LR:	0.136
R2:	0.187	Adjusted R2:	0.056
AIC:	7.708	AIC*n:	508.697
BIC:	254.076	BIC':	24.083
BIC used by Stata:	530.594	AIC used by Stata:	508.697

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

**THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS
RUN FOR THE EQUATORIAL GUINEA TIME-SERIES.**

NOTA BENE:

We always calculate Unit Root Tests (using Augmented Dickey Fuller Tests) on Polity and Fiscal Reliance, first in levels and then in differences, before performing Engle Granger cointegration tests. These are preceded by ECM cointegration tests and ECM regression estimation.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L.Fiscal Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]`

NOTA BENE:

We always run a bunch of single lag experiments, where we introduce different lag lengths of Fiscal Reliance in differences. We do so one at a time. Namely, we proceed as follows: we introduce Fiscal Reliance lagged one year. Then we remove it and introduce the second lag of Fiscal Reliance and keep doing this until we reach Fiscal Reliance in t-5. These are reported in the regression tables in the appendix.

NOTA BENE:

Finally, we run a series of finite distributed lag models (where all of the lags are introduced simultaneously) and then use the BIC statistic to choose the most parsimonious model and that model is estimated and reported in the regression table in the online appendix.

EQUATORIAL GUINNEA UNIT-ROOT TESTS

Polity_s

dfuller polity_s, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 37

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.061	-4.270	-3.552

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.5678

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.2054926	.0997004	-2.06	0.047	-.4083347	-.0026505
LD.	-.0110614	.037203	-0.30	0.768	-.0867514	.0646286
_trend	.0901356	.0459607	1.96	0.058	-.0033723	.1836434
_cons	2.261485	1.233886	1.83	0.076	-.2488756	4.771846

Polity_s_FD

dfuller D.polity_s, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 36

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-5.704	-4.279	-3.214

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D2.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D.polity_s						
L1.	-1.035534	.1815422	-5.70	0.000	-1.405324	-.6657449
LD.	.0001236	.0398155	0.00	0.998	-.080978	.0812252
_trend	.0121115	.0293208	0.41	0.682	-.0476131	.071836
_cons	.0513206	.6503488	0.08	0.938	-1.273397	1.376038

dfuller D.polity_s, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 36

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-5.780	-3.675	-2.617

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D2.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
LD.	-1.024265	.1772109	-5.78	0.000	-1.384803	-.663727
LD2.	-.0047847	.0375205	-0.13	0.899	-.0811207	.0715513
_cons	.290499	.2923572	0.99	0.328	-.3043062	.8853042

Unit Root Test on Fiscal Reliance

dfuller Fiscal_Reliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 34

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----			
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value	
Z(t)	0.905	-4.297	-3.564	-3.218

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 1.0000

D.Fiscal_R~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	.0194233	.0214517	0.91	0.372	-.024387	.0632336
LD.	-.1676814	.1327934	-1.26	0.216	-.4388818	.103519
_trend	.061046	.069001	0.88	0.383	-.0798728	.2019648
_cons	-.4515664	1.084556	-0.42	0.680	-2.666525	1.763392

. dfuller Fiscal_Reliance, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 34

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----			
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value	
Z(t)	2.447	-3.689	-2.975	-2.619

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.9990

D.	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	.0338823	.0138465	2.45	0.020	.0056423	.0621223
LD.	-.1529757	.1312865	-1.17	0.253	-.4207362	.1147849
_cons	.4010865	.4956685	0.81	0.425	-.609836	1.412009

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs_FD

dfuller D.Fiscal_Reliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 32

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-3.573	-4.316	-3.223

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0322

D2.Fiscal_~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D.Fiscal_R~e						
L1.	-.9951458	.2785461	-3.57	0.001	-1.565722	-.4245701
LD.	-.1862254	.135571	-1.37	0.180	-.46393	.0914792
_trend	.0837103	.0564678	1.48	0.149	-.0319586	.1993793
_cons	-.7088735	.9626425	-0.74	0.468	-2.680757	1.26301

dfuller D.Fiscal_Reliance, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 32

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-3.375	-3.702	-2.622

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0118

D2.Fiscal_Rel~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
LD.	-.7243751	.2145992	-3.38	0.002	-1.16328	-.2854704
LD2.	-.2829458	.1212682	-2.33	0.027	-.5309671	-.0349246
_cons	.502519	.5192554	0.97	0.341	-.5594775	1.564515

CO-INTEGRATION TESTS

Dickey Fuller CRITICAL VALUES are NOT valid for the Engle-Granger co-integration test!!!

The critical values that STATA spits out after the Augmented Dickey Fuller Test are the critical values for an augmented Dickey Fuller Test on a variable, not residuals.

For an augmented Engle-Granger Test we instead turn to the critical values for cointegration provided by MacKinnon 1991.

Polity and Fiscal Reliance

```
newey polity_s Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors      Number of obs =      38
maximum lag: 1                                F( 1, 36) =     17.72
                                                Prob > F      =     0.0002
```

```
-----
```

polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Reliance	.0916812	.021777	4.21	0.000	.0475153	.1358471
_cons	17.55146	1.742726	10.07	0.000	14.01704	21.08587

```
-----
```

```
predict residual, res
(4 missing values generated)
```

```
dfuller residual, regress trend lags(1)
```

```
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root      Number of obs =      34
```

```
----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----
```

Test Statistic	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-1.027	-4.297	-3.218

```
-----
```

```
MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.9404
```

```
-----
```

D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual						
L1.	-.1567342	.1526683	-1.03	0.313	-.4685245	.1550561
LD.	.0002361	.040301	0.01	0.995	-.0820696	.0825418
_trend	.0226561	.0327235	0.69	0.494	-.0441742	.0894863
_cons	-.453168	.8254131	-0.55	0.587	-2.138887	1.23255

```
-----
```

dfuller residual, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 34

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----			
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value	
Z(t)	-0.806	-3.689	-2.975	-2.619

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.8174

D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual						
L1.	-.1086583	.1348161	-0.81	0.426	-.3836176	.166301
LD.	.0060216	.0390927	0.15	0.879	-.0737085	.0857517
_cons	.0604786	.3587571	0.17	0.867	-.6712113	.7921684

Test statistic: -0.81

Critical Values:

-3.9001 with no trend. Therefore, there is no evidence of co-integration.

ECM REGRESSIONS

regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	36
Model	1871.8034	3	623.934467	F(3, 32) =	91.10
Residual	219.168823	32	6.84902571	Prob > F =	0.0000
Total	2090.97222	35	59.7420635	R-squared =	0.8952
				Adj R-squared =	0.8854
				Root MSE =	2.6171

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.9502122	.0577408	-16.46	0.000	-1.067826	-.832598
Fiscal_Rel						
L1.	.1024508	.0143721	7.13	0.000	.0731758	.1317259
D1.	.1613854	.1220828	1.32	0.196	-.087289	.4100598
_cons	15.0227	1.120944	13.40	0.000	12.73941	17.30599

. bgodfrey, lags (1)

Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	18.712	1	0.0000

H0: no serial correlation

whitetst

White's general test statistic : 32.40879 Chi-sq(9) P-value = 1.7e-04

Both Serial Correlation and Heteroskedasticity are detected. Therefore, the analysis is rerun using Newey West Standard Errors.

newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force

Regression with Newey-West standard errors Number of obs = 36
maximum lag: 1 F(3, 32) = 54.61
 Prob > F = 0.0000

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.9502122	.0801666	-11.85	0.000	-1.113506	-.7869181
Fiscal_Rel						
L1.	.1024508	.0129419	7.92	0.000	.0760891	.1288126
D1.	.1613854	.1282926	1.26	0.218	-.099938	.4227088
_cons	15.0227	1.155867	13.00	0.000	12.66827	17.37712

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
    _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
-----+-----  
D.polity_s |          Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|    [95% Conf. Interval]  
-----+-----  
    _nl_1 |   -.1078189   .0097174   -11.10   0.000   -.1276125   -.0880253  
-----+-----
```

```
test 1.polity_s 1.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1)  L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2)  L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
      F( 2,    32) =    70.40  
      Prob > F =    0.0000
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	35
Model	5.52042	3	1.84014	F(3, 31) =	0.62
Residual	91.6224371	31	2.95556249	Prob > F =	0.6057
Total	97.1428571	34	2.85714286	R-squared =	0.0568
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0344
				Root MSE =	1.7192

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0732301	.1150259	-0.64	0.529	-.307827 .1613668
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	-.000846	.0157744	-0.05	0.958	-.0330181 .031326
LD.	.1009641	.0863548	1.17	0.251	-.0751577 .2770858
_cons	1.494331	1.857387	0.80	0.427	-2.293835 5.282498

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.051	1	0.8220

H0: no serial correlation

```
whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 24.13146 Chi-sq(8) P-value = .0022

Heteroskedasticity detected. Therefore, the regression is rerun with robust standard errors.

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance, r
```

Linear regression	Number of obs =	35
	F(3, 31) =	0.33
	Prob > F =	0.8048
	R-squared =	0.0568
	Root MSE =	1.7192

D.polity_s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0732301	.0818219	-0.89	0.378	-.240107 .0936468
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	-.000846	.0045576	-0.19	0.854	-.0101412 .0084492
LD.	.1009641	.1145149	0.88	0.385	-.1325907 .3345188
_cons	1.494331	1.582777	0.94	0.352	-1.733764 4.722426

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.0115529	.0666861	0.17	0.864	-.1244543 .1475601

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```
F( 2, 31) = 0.49  
Prob > F = 0.6165
```



```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	33
Model	4.00275726	3	1.33425242	F(3, 29) =	0.42
Residual	92.9669397	29	3.20575654	Prob > F =	0.7427
				R-squared =	0.0413
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0579
Total	96.969697	32	3.03030303	Root MSE =	1.7905

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0196468	.1312302	-0.15	0.882	-.2880427 .248749
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0036507	.0159717	0.23	0.821	-.0290151 .0363165
L2D.	-.0924437	.1043745	-0.89	0.383	-.3059136 .1210262
_cons	.7304721	2.099919	0.35	0.730	-3.564344 5.025288

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.082	1	0.7740

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 25.51964 Chi-sq( 8) P-value = .0013
```

```
Heteroskedasticity is uncovered. Therefore, rerun with robust standard errors.
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance, r
```

Linear regression	Number of obs =	33
	F(3, 29) =	0.32
	Prob > F =	0.8132
	R-squared =	0.0413
	Root MSE =	1.7905

D.polity_s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0196468	.0340738	-0.58	0.569	-.0893356 .0500419
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0036507	.0045613	0.80	0.430	-.0056782 .0129795
L2D.	-.0924437	.1095215	-0.84	0.406	-.3164403 .1315529
_cons	.7304721	.8561502	0.85	0.401	-1.020552 2.481496

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.1858141	.2500048	-0.74	0.463	-.6971313 .3255032

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```
F( 2, 29) = 0.32  
Prob > F = 0.7258
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	32
Model	3.73425436	3	1.24475145	F(3, 28) =	0.37
Residual	93.1407456	28	3.3264552	Prob > F =	0.7723
Total	96.875	31	3.125	R-squared =	0.0385
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0645
				Root MSE =	1.8239

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0674273	.1202463	-0.56	0.579	-.3137407 .1788861
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	-.0001005	.0169562	-0.01	0.995	-.0348336 .0346326
L3D.	.073753	.0918626	0.80	0.429	-.1144189 .2619249
_cons	1.454956	1.959332	0.74	0.464	-2.558554 5.468465

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 2 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.078	1	0.7803

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 32 Chi-sq( 8) P-value = 9.3e-05
```

```
Heteroskedasticity detected. Regression rerun with robust standard errors.
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L3.d.Fiscal_Reliance, r
```

Linear regression	Number of obs =	32
	F(3, 28) =	0.32
	Prob > F =	0.8143
	R-squared =	0.0385
	Root MSE =	1.8239

D.polity_s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0674273	.0706282	-0.95	0.348	-.2121025 .0772479
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	-.0001005	.0025124	-0.04	0.968	-.0052469 .0050459
L3D.	.073753	.0865707	0.85	0.401	-.103579 .251085
_cons	1.454956	1.498114	0.97	0.340	-1.613792 4.523703

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.0014906	.0375206	0.04	0.969	-.0753669 .0783482

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```
F( 2, 28) = 0.47  
Prob > F = 0.6285
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	31
Model	1.72086927	3	.573623091	F(3, 27) =	0.16
Residual	95.0533243	27	3.52049349	Prob > F =	0.9204
Total	96.7741935	30	3.22580645	R-squared =	0.0178
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0914
				Root MSE =	1.8763

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0691621	.1241466	-0.56	0.582	-.3238899 .1855657
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.004024	.0175648	0.23	0.821	-.032016 .040064
L4D.	-.0059012	.0969283	-0.06	0.952	-.2047815 .1929792
_cons	1.511916	2.029137	0.75	0.463	-2.651529 5.67536

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 2 (gap count includes panel changes)

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.019	1	0.8908

H0: no serial correlation

```
whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 21.23968 Chi-sq(7) P-value = .0034

Heteroskedasticity detected. The regressions rerun with robust standard errors.

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L4.d.Fiscal_Reliance, r
```

Linear regression	Number of obs =	31
	F(3, 27) =	0.31
	Prob > F =	0.8205
	R-squared =	0.0178
	Root MSE =	1.8763

D.polity_s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0691621	.0723151	-0.96	0.347	-.2175405 .0792163
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.004024	.0044603	0.90	0.375	-.0051278 .0131758
L4D.	-.0059012	.0080254	-0.74	0.468	-.0223679 .0105655
_cons	1.511916	1.574007	0.96	0.345	-1.717679 4.741511

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.0581821	.0191669	-3.04	0.005	-.0975094 -.0188549

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```
F( 2, 27) = 0.46  
Prob > F = 0.6373
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L5.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	30
Model	1.90079571	3	.63359857	F(3, 26) =	0.17
Residual	94.765871	26	3.64484119	Prob > F =	0.9131
Total	96.6666667	29	3.33333333	R-squared =	0.0197
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0935
				Root MSE =	1.9091

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0746713	.1272127	-0.59	0.562	-.3361608	.1868182
Fiscal_Reli						
L1.	.0051226	.0202116	0.25	0.802	-.036423	.0466682
L5D.	-.013239	.1080973	-0.12	0.903	-.2354363	.2089582
_cons	1.617789	2.084216	0.78	0.445	-2.666379	5.901956

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 2 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.019	1	0.8902

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 20.55221 Chi-sq( 7) P-value = .0045
```

```
Heteroskedasticity detected and the regressions rerun with robust standard errors.
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L5.d.Fiscal_Reliance, r
```

Linear regression	Number of obs =	30
	F(3, 26) =	0.31
	Prob > F =	0.8199
	R-squared =	0.0197
	Root MSE =	1.9091

D.polity_s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0746713	.0780363	-0.96	0.347	-.2350773	.0857346
Fiscal_Reli						
L1.	.0051226	.0056629	0.90	0.374	-.0065176	.0167628
L5D.	-.013239	.0161399	-0.82	0.420	-.0464151	.019937
_cons	1.617789	1.68387	0.96	0.346	-1.843456	5.079033

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.0686019	.0228852	-3.00	0.006	-.1156431	-.0215606

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```
F( 2, 26) = 0.46  
Prob > F = 0.6375
```


SEARCHING FOR THE BEST DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-124.195	Log-Lik Full Model:	-83.596
D(32):	167.191	LR(3):	81.200
		Prob > LR:	0.000
R2:	0.895	Adjusted R2:	0.885
AIC:	4.866	AIC*n:	175.191
BIC:	52.519	BIC':	-70.449
BIC used by Stata:	181.525	AIC used by Stata:	175.191

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-66.076	Log-Lik Full Model:	-64.148
D(29):	128.296	LR(4):	3.857
		Prob > LR:	0.426
R2:	0.107	Adjusted R2:	-0.016
AIC:	4.068	AIC*n:	138.296
BIC:	26.031	BIC':	10.249
BIC used by Stata:	145.927	AIC used by Stata:	138.296

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-63.129	Log-Lik Full Model:	-59.108
D(26):	118.216	LR(5):	8.042
		Prob > LR:	0.154
R2:	0.222	Adjusted R2:	0.073
AIC:	4.069	AIC*n:	130.216
BIC:	28.107	BIC':	9.287
BIC used by Stata:	139.011	AIC used by Stata:	130.216

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-60.119	Log-Lik Full Model:	-55.912
D(23):	111.825	LR(6):	8.414
		Prob > LR:	0.209
R2:	0.245	Adjusted R2:	0.047
AIC:	4.194	AIC*n:	125.825
BIC:	33.597	BIC':	11.993
BIC used by Stata:	135.633	AIC used by Stata:	125.825

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-57.043	Log-Lik Full Model:	-29.760
D(20):	59.520	LR(7):	54.566
		Prob > LR:	0.000
R2:	0.858	Adjusted R2:	0.808
AIC:	2.697	AIC*n:	75.520
BIC:	-7.125	BIC':	-31.240
BIC used by Stata:	86.177	AIC used by Stata:	75.520

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.5.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-53.894	Log-Lik Full Model:	-26.920
D(17):	53.840	LR(8):	53.949
		Prob > LR:	0.000
R2:	0.874	Adjusted R2:	0.815
AIC:	2.763	AIC*n:	71.840
BIC:	-1.548	BIC':	-27.885
BIC used by Stata:	83.162	AIC used by Stata:	71.840

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
.
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance l.d.Fiscal_Reliance
1.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	28
Model	82.6924867	7	11.8132124	F(7, 20) =	17.20
Residual	13.7360847	20	.686804236	Prob > F =	0.0000
				R-squared =	0.8576
				Adj R-squared =	0.8077
Total	96.4285714	27	3.57142857	Root MSE =	.82874

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.5539994	.0956175	-5.79	0.000	-.7534541	-.3545448
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	.0275499	.010999	2.50	0.021	.0046064	.0504935
D1.	.8332691	.1050855	7.93	0.000	.6140646	1.052474
LD.	1.239786	.131095	9.46	0.000	.9663266	1.513245
L2D.	.039041	.0982755	0.40	0.695	-.165958	.24404
L3D.	.4631613	.0875121	5.29	0.000	.2806143	.6457084
L4D.	-.3824758	.0735319	-5.20	0.000	-.5358608	-.2290909
_cons	8.120886	1.439653	5.64	0.000	5.117823	11.12395

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	3.956	1	0.0467

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 28 Chi-sq(11) P-value = .0032
```

```
Serial Correlation and Heteroskedasticity detected. Regression rerun using Newey West standard errors.
```

```
newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance l.d.Fiscal_Reliance
1.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors          Number of obs = 28
maximum lag: 1                                     F( 7, 20) = 7.43
                                                    Prob > F = 0.0002
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.5539994	.1239796	-4.47	0.000	-.8126163	-.2953826
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	.0275499	.0092853	2.97	0.008	.008181	.0469188
D1.	.8332691	.1881183	4.43	0.000	.4408612	1.225677
LD.	1.239786	.2538863	4.88	0.000	.7101883	1.769384
L2D.	.039041	.0765142	0.51	0.615	-.1205649	.1986468
L3D.	.4631613	.0860914	5.38	0.000	.2835777	.642745
L4D.	-.3824758	.0978452	-3.91	0.001	-.5865773	-.1783743
_cons	8.120886	1.939349	4.19	0.000	4.075475	12.1663

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
    _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.0497291	.0115279	-4.31	0.000	-.073776 -.0256823

```
. test 1.polity_s 1.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
      F( 2,    20) =   10.07  
      Prob > F =   0.0009
```

```
test d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance  
1.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
( 2) LD.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
( 3) L2D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
( 4) L3D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
( 5) L4D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
      F( 5,    20) =   6.88  
      Prob > F =   0.0007
```

DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL WITH CONTROLS ADDED

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance l.d.Fiscal_Reliance
1.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
L.Civil_War_Gledistsch d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	28
Model	92.6135119	13	7.1241163	F(13, 14) =	26.14
Residual	3.81505951	14	.272504251	Prob > F =	0.0000
				R-squared =	0.9604
				Adj R-squared =	0.9237
Total	96.4285714	27	3.57142857	Root MSE =	.52202

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.7298287	.0974259	-7.49	0.000	-.9387866 - .5208708
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.0050609	.0300705	0.17	0.869	-.0594339 .0695556
D1.	.6388108	.1205038	5.30	0.000	.3803558 .8972658
LD.	1.087941	.1273786	8.54	0.000	.8147413 1.361141
L2D.	.1379373	.0807448	1.71	0.110	-.0352432 .3111177
L3D.	.4910847	.0607995	8.08	0.000	.3606828 .6214866
L4D.	-.2212242	.0761055	-2.91	0.011	-.3844543 -.0579942
log_gdp_pe~2					
L1.	.3617961	.8111491	0.45	0.662	-1.377946 2.101538
REGION_DEM~E					
L1.	.4707453	.1053596	4.47	0.001	.2447716 .6967191
WORLD_DEM~E					
L1.	-.1173293	.0543775	-2.16	0.049	-.2339575 -.0007012
Civil_War~h					
L1.	(dropped)				
log_gdp_pe~2					
D1.	-.2252117	1.253578	-0.18	0.860	-2.913869 2.463445
REGION_DEM~E					
D1.	.2368465	.083429	2.84	0.013	.057909 .415784
WORLD_DEM~E					
D1.	-.0365925	.1640937	-0.22	0.827	-.3885384 .3153535
_cons	7.557815	7.410885	1.02	0.325	-8.336952 23.45258

bdiag, lags (1)

Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	4.484	1	0.0342

H0: no serial correlation

whitetst

White's general test statistic : 28 Chi-sq(27) P-value = .411

SERIAL CORRELATION DETECTED. NEWKEY WEST STANDARD ERRORS REGRESSION RUN.

newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance l.d.Fiscal_Reliance
 l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
 l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
 L.Civil_War_Gledistsch d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
 d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, lag(1) force
 note: L.Civil_War_Gledistsch dropped because of collinearity

Regression with Newey-West standard errors Number of obs = 28
 maximum lag: 1 F(13, 14) = 50.38
 Prob > F = 0.0000

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.7298287	.0888529	-8.21	0.000	-.9203992	-.5392582
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	.0050609	.0238982	0.21	0.835	-.0461956	.0563173
D1.	.6388108	.1694112	3.77	0.002	.2754599	1.002162
LD.	1.087941	.1676061	6.49	0.000	.7284618	1.447421
L2D.	.1379373	.0638862	2.16	0.049	.0009669	.2749076
L3D.	.4910847	.0420338	11.68	0.000	.4009312	.5812382
L4D.	-.2212242	.1046977	-2.11	0.053	-.4457785	.00333
log_gdp_pe~2						
L1.	.3617961	.6090514	0.59	0.562	-.9444893	1.668081
REGION_DEM~E						
L1.	.4707453	.1648943	2.85	0.013	.1170823	.8244084
WORLD_DEM~E						
L1.	-.1173293	.0402888	-2.91	0.011	-.2037402	-.0309185
log_gdp_pe~2						
D1.	-.2252117	1.206115	-0.19	0.855	-2.812071	2.361647
REGION_DEM~E						
D1.	.2368465	.0829677	2.85	0.013	.0588986	.4147944
WORLD_DEM~E						
D1.	-.0365925	.1424155	-0.26	0.801	-.3420434	.2688584
_cons	7.557815	5.121056	1.48	0.162	-3.425758	18.54139

. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
 _nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.0069343	.0331087	-0.21	0.837	-.0779455	.0640769

test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance

(1) L.polity_s = 0
 (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

 F(2, 14) = 41.90
 Prob > F = 0.0000

test l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
 l.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance

(1) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
 (2) D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
 (3) LD.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
 (4) L2D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
 (5) L3D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
 (6) L4D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

 F(6, 14) = 29.28
 Prob > F = 0.0000

```
test l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

- (1) L.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
- (2) L.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
- (3) L.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0

```
F( 3, 14) = 5.29  
Prob > F = 0.0120
```

```
test d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

- (1) D.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
- (2) D.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
- (3) D.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0

```
F( 3, 14) = 4.37  
Prob > F = 0.0227
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-57.043	Log-Lik Full Model:	-11.825
D(13):	23.650	LR(13):	90.436
		Prob > LR:	0.000
R2:	0.960	Adjusted R2:	0.924
AIC:	1.916	AIC*n:	53.650
BIC:	-19.669	BIC':	-47.117
BIC used by Stata:	70.300	AIC used by Stata:	51.650

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR THE GABON TIME-SERIES.

NOTA BENE:

We always calculate Unit Root Tests (using Augmented Dickey Fuller Tests) on Polity and Fiscal Reliance, first in levels and then in differences, before performing Engle Granger cointegration tests. These are preceded by ECM cointegration tests and ECM regression estimation.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L.Fiscal Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]`

NOTA BENE:

We always run a bunch of single lag experiments, where we introduce different lag lengths of Fiscal Reliance in differences. We do so one at a time. Namely, we proceed as follows: we introduce Fiscal Reliance lagged one year. Then we remove it and introduce the second lag of Fiscal Reliance and keep doing this until we reach Fiscal Reliance in t-5. These are reported in the regression tables in the appendix.

NOTA BENE:

Finally, we run a series of finite distributed lag models (where all of the lags are introduced simultaneously) and then use the BIC statistic to choose the most parsimonious model and that model is estimated and reported in the regression table in the online appendix.

GABON UNIT-ROOT TESTS

Polity_s

dfuller polity_s, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 45

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.128	-4.196	-3.192

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.5306

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.1097484	.0515816	-2.13	0.039	-.2139195	-.0055773
LD.	.3575026	.1414466	2.53	0.015	.0718455	.6431597
_trend	.0900039	.0440951	2.04	0.048	.000952	.1790558
_cons	-.2753132	.8953412	-0.31	0.760	-2.083491	1.532865

Polity_s_FD

dfuller D.polity_s, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 44

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.360	-4.205	-3.524	-3.194

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0025

D2.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D.polity_s						
L1.	-.7939091	.1820865	-4.36	0.000	-1.16192	-.4258986
LD.	.1706334	.1562113	1.09	0.281	-.1450815	.4863483
_trend	.0348928	.0363275	0.96	0.343	-.0385278	.1083135
_cons	-.549331	.9531185	-0.58	0.568	-2.475655	1.376993

dfuller D.polity_s, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 44

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.258	-3.621	-2.947	-2.607

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0005

D2.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
LD.	-.7525151	.1767459	-4.26	0.000	-1.109461	-.3955695
LD2.	.1489848	.1544308	0.96	0.340	-.1628945	.4608641
_cons	.2565392	.4517955	0.57	0.573	-.6558803	1.168959

Unit Root Test on Fiscal Reliance

dfuller Fiscal_Reliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 45

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-1.697	-4.196	-3.520	-3.192

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.7520

D.Fiscal_R~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	-.1407025	.0828953	-1.70	0.097	-.3081129	.026708
LD.	.1050865	.1574444	0.67	0.508	-.2128789	.4230518
_trend	.112877	.1569742	0.72	0.476	-.2041388	.4298927
_cons	3.85514	2.837204	1.36	0.182	-1.874709	9.58499

. dfuller Fiscal_Reliance, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 45

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-1.778	-3.614	-2.944	-2.606

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.3917

D.	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	-.0954989	.0537243	-1.78	0.083	-.203919	.0129211
LD.	.0736751	.150391	0.49	0.627	-.2298263	.3771765
_cons	4.807493	2.494652	1.93	0.061	-.2269189	9.841905

.

.

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs_FD

dfuller D.Fiscal_Reliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 44

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.781	-4.205	-3.194

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0005

D2.Fiscal_~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D.Fiscal_R~e						
L1.	-1.050082	.2196529	-4.78	0.000	-1.494017	-.6061466
LD.	.0798292	.1575996	0.51	0.615	-.2386914	.3983498
_trend	-.1043452	.1109469	-0.94	0.353	-.3285773	.1198869
_cons	3.645348	3.004013	1.21	0.232	-2.425989	9.716685

dfuller D.Fiscal_Reliance, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 44

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.700	-3.621	-2.607

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0001

D2.Fiscal_Rel~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
LD.	-1.019853	.2169825	-4.70	0.000	-1.458059	-.5816483
LD2.	.0657168	.1566625	0.42	0.677	-.2506696	.3821032
_cons	1.155533	1.417747	0.82	0.420	-1.707664	4.018731

CO-INTEGRATION TESTS

Dickey Fuller CRITICAL VALUES are NOT valid for the Engle-Granger co-integration test!!!

The critical values that STATA spits out after the Augmented Dickey Fuller Test are the critical values for an augmented Dickey Fuller Test on a variable, not residuals.

For an augmented Engle-Granger Test we instead turn to the critical values for cointegration provided by MacKinnon 1991.

Polity and Fiscal Reliance

```
newey polity_s Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors      Number of obs =      47
maximum lag: 1                                F( 1, 45) =      2.66
                                              Prob > F =      0.1100
```

```
-----+-----
      polity_s |          Coef.   Newey-West      t   P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
Fiscal_Rel~e |   .1172262   .0719111   1.63  0.110   -.0276102   .2620625
  _cons |  10.95133   2.171441   5.04  0.000   6.577827   15.32484
-----+-----
```

```
predict residual, res
```

```
dfuller residual, regress trend lags(1)
```

```
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root      Number of obs =      45
```

```
-----+----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----
              Test          1% Critical      5% Critical      10% Critical
              Statistic      Value           Value           Value
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----
Z(t)          -1.849          -4.196          -3.520          -3.192
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----
```

```
MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.6806
```

```
-----+-----
D.residual |          Coef.   Std. Err.      t   P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----
  residual |
    L1. |   -.0836435   .0452318   -1.85  0.072   -.174991   .0077041
    LD. |   .3263937   .1436143   2.27  0.028   .0363588   .6164286
  _trend |   .0705594   .0377755   1.87  0.069   -.0057298   .1468487
  _cons |  -1.584042   1.003279   -1.58  0.122   -3.610204   .4421199
-----+-----
```

```
Test statistic: -1.85
```

```
Critical Values:
```

```
-3.4959 with trend. Therefore, there is no evidence of co-integration.
```

Rerunning this regressions with 2 lags of the differenced residual is not significant, so we go with only 1 lag.

ECM REGRESSIONS

regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	46
Model	29.2581601	3	9.75272005	F(3, 42) =	1.05
Residual	390.850536	42	9.30596513	Prob > F =	0.3813
				R-squared =	0.0696
				Adj R-squared =	0.0032
Total	420.108696	45	9.33574879	Root MSE =	3.0506

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0237221	.0421784	-0.56	0.577	-.1088415 .0613974
Fiscal_Rel					
L1.	.0193064	.0191064	1.01	0.318	-.019252 .0578647
D1.	.0839867	.0530896	1.58	0.121	-.0231525 .1911258
_cons	-.1536407	.9799708	-0.16	0.876	-2.131302 1.824021

bgodfrey, lags (1)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	6.029	1	0.0141

H0: no serial correlation

whitetst

White's general test statistic : 16.24696 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .0619

newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force

Regression with Newey-West standard errors	Number of obs =	46
maximum lag: 1	F(3, 42) =	0.67
	Prob > F =	0.5780

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0237221	.0257207	-0.92	0.362	-.0756286 .0281844
Fiscal_Rel					
L1.	.0193064	.0163257	1.18	0.244	-.0136403 .052253
D1.	.0839867	.0772695	1.09	0.283	-.0719496 .2399229
_cons	-.1536407	.8641457	-0.18	0.860	-1.897557 1.590276

nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

_nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.8138562	.7842363	-1.04	0.305	-2.396509 .7687967

test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance

(1) L.polity_s = 0

(2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F(2, 42) = 0.76
 Prob > F = 0.4730

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	45
Model	8.19373458	3	2.73124486	F(3, 41) =	0.27
Residual	411.806265	41	10.0440553	Prob > F =	0.8453
Total	420	44	9.54545455	R-squared =	0.0195
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0522
				Root MSE =	3.1692

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0247921	.0439184	-0.56	0.575	-.1134871 .0639028
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0113587	.0200228	0.57	0.574	-.0290782 .0517956
LD.	.0259551	.0541096	0.48	0.634	-.0833214 .1352315
_cons	.2281759	1.008542	0.23	0.822	-1.808615 2.264967

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	5.661	1	0.0173

H0: no serial correlation

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 15.7828 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .0716
```

```
newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors          Number of obs = 45
maximum lag: 1                                     F( 3, 41) = 0.59
                                                    Prob > F = 0.6271
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0247921	.0266866	-0.93	0.358	-.0786869 .0291026
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0113587	.017286	0.66	0.515	-.0235511 .0462684
LD.	.0259551	.04403	0.59	0.559	-.0629653 .1148754
_cons	.2281759	1.182722	0.19	0.848	-2.16038 2.616731

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.4581559	.7472246	-0.61	0.543	-1.967207 1.050895

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```
F( 2, 41) = 0.53
Prob > F = 0.5936
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	44
Model	5.86719581	3	1.95573194	F(3, 40) =	0.19
Residual	414.019168	40	10.3504792	Prob > F =	0.9033
Total	419.886364	43	9.76479915	R-squared =	0.0140
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0600
				Root MSE =	3.2172

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0257467	.0446454	-0.58	0.567	-.1159783 .064485
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.012541	.021037	0.60	0.554	-.0299763 .0550584
L2D.	.0045612	.0550578	0.08	0.934	-.1067147 .1158371
_cons	.2179736	1.051773	0.21	0.837	-1.907738 2.343685

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	5.908	1	0.0151

H0: no serial correlation

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 12.85637 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .1692
```

```
newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L2.d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors          Number of obs = 44
maximum lag: 1                                     F( 3, 40) = 0.46
                                                    Prob > F = 0.7089
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0257467	.0260107	-0.99	0.328	-.0783162 .0268228
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.012541	.0191428	0.66	0.516	-.0261481 .0512302
L2D.	.0045612	.0248465	0.18	0.855	-.0456554 .0547778
_cons	.2179736	1.264488	0.17	0.864	-2.337652 2.773599

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.4870931	.8059582	-0.60	0.549	-2.115995 1.141809

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```
F( 2, 40) = 0.60
Prob > F = 0.5540
```



```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	43
Model	64.0250893	3	21.3416964	F(3, 39) =	2.34
Residual	355.742353	39	9.12159878	Prob > F =	0.0883
Total	419.767442	42	9.9944629	R-squared =	0.1525
				Adj R-squared =	0.0873
				Root MSE =	3.0202

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0265328	.0420099	-0.63	0.531	-.1115059	.0584403
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	.0188525	.0204263	0.92	0.362	-.0224636	.0601686
L3D.	-.1303526	.0515542	-2.53	0.016	-.2346308	-.0260744
_cons	.1341956	1.017311	0.13	0.896	-1.923511	2.191902

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	2.740	1	0.0979

H0: no serial correlation

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 27.66712 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .0011
```

```
newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L3.d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors          Number of obs =      43
maximum lag: 1                                     F( 3, 39) =      0.80
                                                    Prob > F =      0.4995
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0265328	.0247314	-1.07	0.290	-.0765569	.0234913
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	.0188525	.0202987	0.93	0.359	-.0222056	.0599106
L3D.	-.1303526	.100442	-1.30	0.202	-.3335157	.0728105
_cons	.1341956	1.113336	0.12	0.905	-2.11774	2.386131

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.7105358	.6955035	-1.02	0.313	-2.117324	.6962528

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```
F( 2, 39) = 0.66
Prob > F = 0.5203
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	42
Model	67.4254674	3	22.4751558	F(3, 38) =	2.42
Residual	352.21739	38	9.26887868	Prob > F =	0.0806
Total	419.642857	41	10.2351916	R-squared =	0.1607
				Adj R-squared =	0.0944
				Root MSE =	3.0445

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0376143	.0427053	-0.88	0.384	-.1240666 .048838
Fiscal_Reli					
L1.	.0217724	.0215656	1.01	0.319	-.0218849 .0654298
L4D.	-.1352342	.0523726	-2.58	0.014	-.2412571 -.0292113
_cons	.1839755	1.061105	0.17	0.863	-1.96412 2.332071

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	1.817	1	0.1777

H0: no serial correlation

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 11.47893 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .2443
```

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.5788343	.7324335	-0.79	0.434	-2.061568 .9038999

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 38) = 0.69
```

```
  Prob > F = 0.5056
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L5.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	41
Model	13.0837547	3	4.36125156	F(3, 37) =	0.40
Residual	406.42844	37	10.9845524	Prob > F =	0.7559
Total	419.512195	40	10.4878049	R-squared =	0.0312
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0474
				Root MSE =	3.3143

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0335757	.0471996	-0.71	0.481	-.1292112 .0620598
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.0180579	.0248339	0.73	0.472	-.0322602 .0683761
L5D.	-.0488436	.0589561	-0.83	0.413	-.1683001 .0706128
_cons	.1689323	1.202416	0.14	0.889	-2.267394 2.605258

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	4.491	1	0.0341

H0: no serial correlation

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 14.79577 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .0967
```

```
newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L5.d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors          Number of obs = 41
maximum lag: 1                                     F( 3, 37) = 0.71
                                                    Prob > F = 0.5523
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0335757	.0299288	-1.12	0.269	-.0942173 .0270659
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.0180579	.0258364	0.70	0.489	-.0342917 .0704075
L5D.	-.0488436	.0378292	-1.29	0.205	-.1254929 .0278057
_cons	.1689323	1.588123	0.11	0.916	-3.04891 3.386775

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.5378272	.7519272	-0.72	0.479	-2.061376 .9857221

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1)  L.polity_s = 0
( 2)  L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F( 2, 37) = 0.68
Prob > F = 0.5111
```

SEARCHING FOR THE BEST DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-116.144	Log-Lik Full Model:	-114.484
D(42):	228.968	LR(3):	3.321
		Prob > LR:	0.345
R2:	0.070	Adjusted R2:	0.003
AIC:	5.151	AIC*n:	236.968
BIC:	68.165	BIC':	8.165
BIC used by Stata:	244.282	AIC used by Stata:	236.968

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-114.108	Log-Lik Full Model:	-112.395
D(40):	224.791	LR(4):	3.425
		Prob > LR:	0.489
R2:	0.073	Adjusted R2:	-0.019
AIC:	5.218	AIC*n:	234.791
BIC:	72.524	BIC':	11.801
BIC used by Stata:	243.824	AIC used by Stata:	234.791

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-112.061	Log-Lik Full Model:	-110.366
D(38):	220.732	LR(5):	3.389
		Prob > LR:	0.640
R2:	0.074	Adjusted R2:	-0.048
AIC:	5.289	AIC*n:	232.732
BIC:	76.933	BIC':	15.532
BIC used by Stata:	243.437	AIC used by Stata:	232.732

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-110.002	Log-Lik Full Model:	-104.673
D(36):	209.347	LR(6):	10.658
		Prob > LR:	0.100
R2:	0.220	Adjusted R2:	0.089
AIC:	5.194	AIC*n:	223.347
BIC:	73.943	BIC':	11.909
BIC used by Stata:	235.675	AIC used by Stata:	223.347

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-107.932	Log-Lik Full Model:	-98.142
D(34):	196.283	LR(7):	19.580
		Prob > LR:	0.007
R2:	0.373	Adjusted R2:	0.243
AIC:	5.054	AIC*n:	212.283
BIC:	69.203	BIC':	6.583
BIC used by Stata:	226.185	AIC used by Stata:	212.283

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.5.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-105.850	Log-Lik Full Model:	-95.356
D(32):	190.712	LR(8):	20.987
		Prob > LR:	0.007
R2:	0.401	Adjusted R2:	0.251
AIC:	5.091	AIC*n:	208.712
BIC:	71.878	BIC':	8.721
BIC used by Stata:	224.134	AIC used by Stata:	208.712

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL WITH CONTROLS ADDED

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
L.Civil_War_Gledistsch d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	46
Model	146.689718	9	16.2988576	F(9, 36) =	2.15
Residual	273.418978	36	7.5949716	Prob > F =	0.0507
				R-squared =	0.3492
				Adj R-squared =	0.1865
Total	420.108696	45	9.33574879	Root MSE =	2.7559

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.1696993	.0979636	-1.73	0.092	-.3683787	.0289801
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	-.0320215	.0360374	-0.89	0.380	-.1051087	.0410657
D1.	.0632191	.0554051	1.14	0.261	-.0491477	.175586
log_gdp_pe~2						
L1.	-1.174471	3.382418	-0.35	0.730	-8.034332	5.68539
REGION_DEM~E						
L1.	-.5557249	.1926903	-2.88	0.007	-.946519	-.1649307
WORLD_DEM~E						
L1.	.4785767	.2290188	2.09	0.044	.014105	.9430484
Civil_War~h						
L1.	(dropped)					
log_gdp_pe~2						
D1.	.6562389	4.638984	0.14	0.888	-8.752057	10.06454
REGION_DEM~E						
D1.	-.3252275	.2816008	-1.15	0.256	-.8963403	.2458854
WORLD_DEM~E						
D1.	.3773304	.4495143	0.84	0.407	-.5343269	1.288988
_cons	5.814546	36.22	0.16	0.873	-67.64301	79.27211

bgodfrey, lags (1)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	3.637	1	0.0565

H0: no serial correlation

whitetst

White's general test statistic : 46 Chi-sq(45) P-value = .4306

```

newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
L.Civil_War_Gledistsch d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, lag(1) force
note: L.Civil_War_Gledistsch dropped because of collinearity

```

```

Regression with Newey-West standard errors          Number of obs =      46
maximum lag: 1                                     F( 9, 36) =      0.44
                                                    Prob > F      =      0.9063

```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.1696993	.1092657	-1.55	0.129	-.3913005	.0519018
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	-.0320215	.0269724	-1.19	0.243	-.0867241	.0226811
D1.	.0632191	.059536	1.06	0.295	-.0575255	.1839637
log_gdp_pe~2						
L1.	-1.174471	2.343941	-0.50	0.619	-5.928204	3.579262
REGION_DEM~E						
L1.	-.5557249	.3212739	-1.73	0.092	-1.207299	.0958489
WORLD_DEM~E						
L1.	.4785767	.2686429	1.78	0.083	-.0662564	1.02341
log_gdp_pe~2						
D1.	.6562389	2.790318	0.24	0.815	-5.002788	6.315266
REGION_DEM~E						
D1.	-.3252275	.2497251	-1.30	0.201	-.8316934	.1812385
WORLD_DEM~E						
D1.	.3773304	.5278821	0.71	0.479	-.6932642	1.447925
_cons	5.814546	25.15788	0.23	0.819	-45.20801	56.8371

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.1886953	.1216822	1.55	0.130	-.0580876	.4354782

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```

F( 2, 36) = 1.23
Prob > F = 0.3037

```

```
test l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

- (1) L.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
- (2) L.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
- (3) L.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0

```

F( 3, 36) = 1.25
Prob > F = 0.3073

```

```
test d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

- (1) D.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
- (2) D.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
- (3) D.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0

```

F( 3, 36) = 0.68
Prob > F = 0.5703

```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-116.144	Log-Lik Full Model:	-106.266
D(35):	212.531	LR(9):	19.757
		Prob > LR:	0.019
R2:	0.349	Adjusted R2:	0.186
AIC:	5.099	AIC*n:	234.531
BIC:	78.529	BIC':	14.700
BIC used by Stata:	250.818	AIC used by Stata:	232.531

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```


THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR THE INDONESIA TIME-SERIES.

NOTA BENE:

We always calculate Unit Root Tests (using Augmented Dickey Fuller Tests) on Polity and Fiscal Reliance, first in levels and then in differences, before performing Engle Granger cointegration tests. These are preceded by ECM cointegration tests and ECM regression estimation.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L.Fiscal Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]`

NOTA BENE:

We always run a bunch of single lag experiments, where we introduce different lag lengths of Fiscal Reliance in differences. We do so one at a time. Namely, we proceed as follows: we introduce Fiscal Reliance lagged one year. Then we remove it and introduce the second lag of Fiscal Reliance and keep doing this until we reach Fiscal Reliance in t-5. These are reported in the regression tables in the appendix.

NOTA BENE:

Finally, we run a series of finite distributed lag models (where all of the lags are introduced simultaneously) and then use the BIC statistic to choose the most parsimonious model and that model is estimated and reported in the regression table in the online appendix.

INDONESIA UNIT-ROOT TESTS

Polity_s

dfuller polity_s, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 60

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-0.704	-4.128	-3.174

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.9729

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0319634	.0454301	-0.70	0.485	-.1229708	.0590441
LD.	.0929266	.1188955	0.78	0.438	-.1452498	.331103
_trend	.1326488	.0600159	2.21	0.031	.0124224	.2528752
_cons	-2.737635	2.633989	-1.04	0.303	-8.01415	2.538879

Polity_s_FD

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 59

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-5.643	-4.130	-3.491	-3.175

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.polity_s~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s_FD						
L1.	-.9623231	.1705421	-5.64	0.000	-1.304097	-.620549
LD.	.0159165	.1144402	0.14	0.890	-.2134268	.2452599
_trend	.1404696	.0652603	2.15	0.036	.009685	.2712542
_cons	-3.855798	2.248345	-1.71	0.092	-8.361581	.6499852

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs

dfuller fiscalreliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 60

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-1.133	-4.128	-3.490

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.9233

D.fiscalre~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~e						
L1.	-.0631281	.0557086	-1.13	0.262	-.1747259	.0484697
LD.	-.0658589	.1363787	-0.48	0.631	-.3390582	.2073404
_trend	.01285	.0635826	0.20	0.841	-.1145212	.1402212
_cons	1.470929	1.670882	0.88	0.382	-1.876251	4.818108

dfuller fiscalreliance, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 60

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-1.379	-3.566	-2.922

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.5923

D.fiscalreli~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~e						
L1.	-.0554016	.0401773	-1.38	0.173	-.1358552	.0250519
LD.	-.072746	.1309367	-0.56	0.581	-.3349421	.1894502
_cons	1.70439	1.197028	1.42	0.160	-.6926174	4.101397

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs_FD

dfuller fiscalreliance_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 59

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-6.035	-4.130	-3.491

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.fiscalre~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~D						
L1.	-1.206346	.1999045	-6.03	0.000	-1.606963	-.805728
LD.	.0908671	.1348802	0.67	0.503	-.1794389	.361173
_trend	-.0426526	.0484471	-0.88	0.382	-.1397428	.0544376
_cons	1.843604	1.721609	1.07	0.289	-1.606578	5.293785

The trend was not significant, so we omit it.

dfuller fiscalreliance_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 59

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-5.982	-3.567	-2.923

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.fiscalreli~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~D						
L1.	-1.180156	.1972814	-5.98	0.000	-1.575358	-.7849536
LD.	.0788771	.1339211	0.59	0.558	-.1893992	.3471534
_cons	.5107586	.8181026	0.62	0.535	-1.128098	2.149615

INDONESIA'S CO-INTEGRATION TESTS

Dickey Fuller CRITICAL VALUES are NOT valid for the Engle-Granger co-integration test!!!

The critical values that STATA spits out after the Augmented Dickey Fuller Test are the critical values for an augmented Dickey Fuller Test on a variable, not residuals.

For an augmented Engle-Granger Test we instead turn to the critical values for cointegration provided by MacKinnon 1991, Table 1. (p. 275).

Polity and Fiscal Reliance

```
newey polity_s fiscal_reliance_2, lag(1)
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors      Number of obs =      62
maximum lag: 1                                F( 1, 60) =      4.39
                                              Prob > F      =      0.0404
```

	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
fiscal_rel~2	-.3155665	.1506291	-2.09	0.040	-.6168694	-.0142635
_cons	40.39081	4.456024	9.06	0.000	31.47744	49.30419

```
predict residual, res
```

```
dfuller residual, regress trend lags(1)
```

```
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root      Number of obs =      60
```

	Test Statistic	----- 1% Critical Value	----- 5% Critical Value	----- 10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-1.211	-4.128	-3.490	-3.174

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.9082

D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual						
L1.	-.0595529	.0491815	-1.21	0.231	-.1580753	.0389695
LD.	.1638832	.1182252	1.39	0.171	-.0729504	.4007168
_trend	.1324753	.0619177	2.14	0.037	.0084391	.2565114
_cons	-3.775872	2.215591	-1.70	0.094	-8.214235	.6624909

We compare -1.21, the coefficient on the lag of the dependent variable's level, with the test statistic.

-3.4959 is the critical value from MacKinnon 1991 for the 10 percent level.

Two non-stationary variables with a time-trend.

We cannot reject the hypothesis of non-integration. Therefore, we conclude that Polity and Fiscal Reliance are not co-integrated series.

Co-integration ECM F-test strategy

No lags of differenced Polity

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	61
Model	39.6067872	3	13.2022624	F(3, 57) =	0.15
Residual	5066.13092	57	88.8794898	Prob > F =	0.9302
				R-squared =	0.0078
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0445
Total	5105.7377	60	85.0956284	Root MSE =	9.4276

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0220367	.0535826	-0.41	0.682	-.129334 .0852605
fiscal_rel~2					
L1.	.0242391	.0647837	0.37	0.710	-.105488 .1539663
D1.	.0255568	.2055799	0.12	0.902	-.3861098 .4372234
_cons	1.233521	2.847793	0.43	0.667	-4.469086 6.936128

whitetst

White's general test statistic : 9.130949 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .4253

bgodfrey, lags (1)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	1.021	1	0.3122

H0: no serial correlation

Co-integration F-test

```
. test l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
( 2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
```

```
F( 2, 57) = 0.22
```

10 Percent Critical Value is 4.95 for T equal to 50 and 2 non-stationary variables in the equation.

No evidence of co-integration

Standard error of LongRun Multiplier

nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

_nl_1: _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-1.099942	4.518718	-0.24	0.809	-10.14852 7.948635

1 lag of differenced Polity

regress D.polity_s 1.polity_s 1.fiscal_reliance_2 L.d.fiscal_reliance_2

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	60
Model	98.4333354	3	32.8111118	F(3, 56) =	0.48
Residual	3836.56666	56	68.510119	Prob > F =	0.6982
				R-squared =	0.0250
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0272
Total	3935	59	66.6949153	Root MSE =	8.2771

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0056255	.0468429	-0.12	0.905	-.0994631 .0882121
fiscal_rel~2					
L1.	.0596632	.0565068	1.06	0.296	-.0535335 .1728599
LD.	.0410203	.1776364	0.23	0.818	-.3148281 .3968687
_cons	-.6745515	2.479656	-0.27	0.787	-5.641899 4.292796

outreg using october, nolabel 3aster bracket bdec(3) append

. test 1.polity_s 1.fiscal_reliance_2

(1) L.polity_s = 0
(2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
F(2, 56) = 0.65

10 Percent Critical Value is 4.95 for T equal to 50 and 2 non-stationary variables in the equation.

No evidence of co-integration

nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

_nl_1: _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-10.60585	91.56207	-0.12	0.908	-194.0267 172.815

whitetst

White's general test statistic : 9.731306 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .3727

bgodfrey, lags (1)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	1.052	1	0.3050

H0: no serial correlation

2 lags of Polity

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 L2.d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	59
Model	104.40258	3	34.8008599	F(3, 55) =	0.50
Residual	3830.34318	55	69.6426033	Prob > F =	0.6840
				R-squared =	0.0265
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0266
				Root MSE =	8.3452
Total	3934.74576	58	67.8404442		

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0064903	.0474482	-0.14	0.892	-.1015786 .0885981
fiscal_rel~2					
L1.	.06009	.0572573	1.05	0.299	-.0546563 .1748363
L2D.	.0633219	.1789011	0.35	0.725	-.2952039 .4218477
_cons	-.6859461	2.502044	-0.27	0.785	-5.700155 4.328263

```
outreg using october, nolabel 3aster bracket bdec(3) append
```

```
test l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0  
( 2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
```

```
F( 2, 55) = 0.64
```

10 Percent Critical Value is 4.95 for T equal to 50 and 2 non-stationary variables in the equation.

No evidence of co-integration

```
nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-9.258498	70.50455	-0.13	0.896	-150.5528 132.0358

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 8.650306 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .4702
```

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	1.023	1	0.3117

H0: no serial correlation

3 lags of Polity

regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 L3.d.fiscal_reliance_2

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	58
Model	115.933622	3	38.6445406	F(3, 54) =	0.55
Residual	3798.29052	54	70.3387133	Prob > F =	0.6507
				R-squared =	0.0296
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0243
				Root MSE =	8.3868

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0121256	.0482832	-0.25	0.803	-.1089277 .0846764
fiscal_rel~2					
L1.	.0658631	.0580964	1.13	0.262	-.0506132 .1823394
L3D.	.027802	.1938026	0.14	0.886	-.3607488 .4163528
_cons	-.7388376	2.52291	-0.29	0.771	-5.796968 4.319293

test l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2

(1) L.polity_s = 0
(2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
F(2, 54) = 0.80

10 Percent Critical Value is 4.95 for T equal to 50 and 2 non-stationary variables in the equation.

No evidence of co-integration

nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

_nl_1: _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-5.431719	23.32014	-0.23	0.817	-52.18579 41.32235

whitetst

White's general test statistic : 8.460287 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .4885

bgodfrey, lags (1)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	1.093	1	0.2959

H0: no serial correlation

Four lags of Polity

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 L.4.d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	57
Model	117.430586	3	39.1435288	F(3, 53) =	0.55
Residual	3796.6045	53	71.6340472	Prob > F =	0.6527
				R-squared =	0.0300
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0249
Total	3914.03509	56	69.8934837	Root MSE =	8.4637

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.012583	.0490596	-0.26	0.799	-.1109841	.085818
fiscal_rel~2						
L1.	.0692679	.0599863	1.15	0.253	-.0510494	.1895851
L4D.	-.0209837	.209257	-0.10	0.921	-.4407001	.3987327
_cons	-.8013122	2.553351	-0.31	0.755	-5.922686	4.320062

```
. outreg using october, nolabel 3aster bracket bdec(3) append
```

```
. test l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
```

```
F( 2, 53) = 0.81
```

```
Prob > F = 0.4509
```

10 Percent Critical Value is 4.95 for T equal to 50 and 2 non-stationary variables in the equation.

```
nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-5.504858	23.01899	-0.24	0.812	-51.6751	40.66538

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 11.99036 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .2139
```

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	1.185	1	0.2764

H0: no serial correlation

```
.
```

5 LAGS OF FISCAL RELIANCE

regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 L5.d.fiscal_reliance_2

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	56
Model	83.5070199	3	27.8356733	F(3, 52) =	0.40
Residual	3587.92155	52	68.9984914	Prob > F =	0.7511
				R-squared =	0.0227
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0336
Total	3671.42857	55	66.7532468	Root MSE =	8.3065

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	.0032423	.0490769	0.07	0.948	-.0952377	.1017222
fiscal_rel~2						
L1.	.0616698	.0591428	1.04	0.302	-.0570089	.1803485
L5D.	-.1037685	.2104644	-0.49	0.624	-.5260961	.3185591
_cons	-.8043819	2.513654	-0.32	0.750	-5.848398	4.239634

outreg using october, nolabel 3aster bracket bdec(3) append

test l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2

(1) L.polity_s = 0
 (2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
 F(2, 52) = 0.56

10 Percent Critical Value is 4.95 for T equal to 50 and 2 non-stationary variables in the equation.

nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

_nl_1: _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	19.02044	284.5151	0.07	0.947	-551.9008	589.9417

whitetst

White's general test statistic : 7.898291 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .5444

bgodfrey, lags (1)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.920	1	0.3375

H0: no serial correlation

CHOOSING A DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL WITH THE BIC STATISTIC

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-221.586	Log-Lik Full Model:	-221.349
D(57):	442.697	LR(3):	0.475
		Prob > LR:	0.924
R2:	0.008	Adjusted R2:	-0.044
AIC:	7.388	AIC*n:	450.697
BIC:	208.378	BIC':	11.858

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2  
L.d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-210.636	Log-Lik Full Model:	-209.802
D(55):	419.603	LR(4):	1.669
		Prob > LR:	0.796
R2:	0.027	Adjusted R2:	-0.043
AIC:	7.160	AIC*n:	429.603
BIC:	194.414	BIC':	14.709

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2  
L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.2.d.fiscal_reliance  
fiscal_reliance ambiguous abbreviation  
r(111);
```

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2  
L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.2.d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-207.619	Log-Lik Full Model:	-206.703
D(53):	413.406	LR(5):	1.833
		Prob > LR:	0.872
R2:	0.031	Adjusted R2:	-0.061
AIC:	7.210	AIC*n:	425.406
BIC:	197.296	BIC':	18.555

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2  
L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.2.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.3.  
> d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-204.444	Log-Lik Full Model:	-203.393
D(51):	406.786	LR(6):	2.103
		Prob > LR:	0.910
R2:	0.036	Adjusted R2:	-0.078
AIC:	7.255	AIC*n:	420.786
BIC:	199.704	BIC':	22.260

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

THE BIC CHOOSES 1 LAG OF FISCAL RELIANCE

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2
L.d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	60
Model	107.935168	4	26.983792	F(4, 55) =	0.39
Residual	3827.06483	55	69.5829969	Prob > F =	0.8165
				R-squared =	0.0274
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0433
Total	3935	59	66.6949153	Root MSE =	8.3416

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0029287	.047769	-0.06	0.951	-.0986599	.0928026
fiscal_rel~2						
L1.	.0642916	.0583086	1.10	0.275	-.0525615	.1811447
D1.	.0675443	.1827833	0.37	0.713	-.2987616	.4338502
LD.	.0466606	.1796714	0.26	0.796	-.3134089	.4067301
_cons	-.89776	2.57096	-0.35	0.728	-6.050079	4.254559

```
test l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
( 2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
F( 2, 55) = 0.69
```

10 Percent Critical Value is 4.95 for T equal to 50 and 2 non-stationary variables in the equation.

```
nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-21.95238	364.4452	-0.06	0.952	-752.3168	708.412

F-test on Fiscal Reliance and its lag:

```
test d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

```
( 1) D.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
( 2) LD.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
F( 2, 55) = 0.09
Prob > F = 0.9099
```

```
whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 14.12866 Chi-sq(14) P-value = .4402

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.902	1	0.3423

H0: no serial correlation

NO MISSING VALUES FOR GDP PER CAPITA, SO NO NEED TO TRUNCATE THE DATASET AND RUN THE BIVARIATE SPECIFICATION AGAIN. WE CAN SIMPLY MOVE TO THE CONTROL VARIABLE SPECIFICATION.

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2
l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE L.civil_war_gledistsch d.fiscal_reliance_2
L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	60
Model	820.874087	11	74.624917	F(11, 48) =	1.15
Residual	3114.12591	48	64.8776232	Prob > F =	0.3458
				R-squared =	0.2086
				Adj R-squared =	0.0272
Total	3935	59	66.6949153	Root MSE =	8.0547

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.1435656	.0878128	-1.63	0.109	-.3201251	.0329939
fiscal_rel~2						
L1.	.1201434	.1736167	0.69	0.492	-.2289363	.4692231
log_gdp_pe~2						
L1.	-13.84323	12.18334	-1.14	0.261	-38.33947	10.65301
REGION_DEM~E						
L1.	-.1558268	.2356952	-0.66	0.512	-.6297237	.3180701
WORLD_DEM~E						
L1.	1.659448	.9281777	1.79	0.080	-.2067782	3.525675
civil_war~h						
L1.	-3.793778	4.888753	-0.78	0.442	-13.62327	6.035719
fiscal_rel~2						
D1.	.1754937	.2020958	0.87	0.390	-.2308472	.5818345
LD.	.1249735	.182091	0.69	0.496	-.2411449	.491092
log_gdp_pe~2						
D1.	-30.02096	25.43856	-1.18	0.244	-81.16862	21.12669
REGION_DEM~E						
D1.	.0660224	.2655678	0.25	0.805	-.4679374	.5999823
WORLD_DEM~E						
D1.	.1264486	1.103182	0.11	0.909	-2.091647	2.344544
_cons	57.79707	63.77745	0.91	0.369	-70.43608	186.0302

whitetst

White's general test statistic : 60 Chi-sq(59) P-value = .4392

. bgodfrey, lags (1)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.141	1	0.7075

H0: no serial correlation

. nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

 _nl_1: _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.8368537	1.008947	-0.83	0.411	-2.865478	1.19177


```

test l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2

( 1) L.polity_s = 0
( 2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0

      F( 2, 48) = 1.38
      Prob > F = 0.2615

.
test d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.d.fiscal_reliance_2

( 1) D.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
( 2) LD.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0

      F( 2, 48) = 0.60
      Prob > F = 0.5544

.
test l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
L.civil_war_gledistsch

( 1) L.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
( 2) L.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
( 3) L.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
( 4) L.civil_war_gledistsch = 0

      F( 4, 48) = 2.32
      Prob > F = 0.0704

.
test d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE

( 1) D.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
( 2) D.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
( 3) D.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0

      F( 3, 48) = 0.54
      Prob > F = 0.6578

. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -210.636   Log-Lik Full Model:   -203.617
D(48):                   407.234   LR(11):               14.038
                          Prob > LR:   0.231
R2:                       0.209   Adjusted R2:         0.027
AIC:                       7.187   AIC*n:               431.234
BIC:                       210.706   BIC':                31.000

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR THE IRAN TIME-SERIES.

NOTA BENE:

We always calculate Unit Root Tests (using Augmented Dickey Fuller Tests) on Polity and Fiscal Reliance, first in levels and then in differences, before performing Engle Granger cointegration tests. These are preceded by ECM cointegration tests and ECM regression estimation.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L.Fiscal Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]`

NOTA BENE:

We always run a bunch of single lag experiments, where we introduce different lag lengths of Fiscal Reliance in differences. We do so one at a time. Namely, we proceed as follows: we introduce Fiscal Reliance lagged one year. Then we remove it and introduce the second lag of Fiscal Reliance and keep doing this until we reach Fiscal Reliance in t-5. These are reported in the regression tables in the appendix.

NOTA BENE:

Finally, we run a series of finite distributed lag models (where all of the lags are introduced simultaneously) and then use the BIC statistic to choose the most parsimonious model and that model is estimated and reported in the regression table in the online appendix.

IRAN UNIT-ROOT TESTS

Polity_s

dfuller polity_s, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 205

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-3.444	-4.005	-3.436

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0458

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s						
L1.	-.1088205	.0315992	-3.44	0.001	-.171129	-.046512
LD.	.0848996	.0703337	1.21	0.229	-.053787	.2235861
_trend	.0182455	.0102911	1.77	0.078	-.0020468	.0385379
_cons	-.4334029	1.054527	-0.41	0.682	-2.512758	1.645952

dfuller polity_s, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 205

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.938	-3.475	-2.883

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0411

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s						
L1.	-.0788021	.0268215	-2.94	0.004	-.131688	-.0259161
LD.	.0691874	.0701423	0.99	0.325	-.0691177	.2074924
_cons	1.086408	.6174124	1.76	0.080	-.130992	2.303808

.
.
.

Polity_s_FD

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 204

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-9.881	-4.005	-3.436	-3.136

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.polity_s~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s_FD						
L1.	-.9730784	.0984825	-9.88	0.000	-1.167276	-.7788811
LD.	.0032796	.0707101	0.05	0.963	-.1361534	.1427126
_trend	-.0007709	.0090302	-0.09	0.932	-.0185775	.0170357
_cons	.1751909	1.075422	0.16	0.871	-1.94543	2.295812

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 204

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-9.905	-3.475	-2.883	-2.573

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s_FD						
L1.	-.9730097	.0982358	-9.90	0.000	-1.166715	-.7793049
LD.	.0032459	.0705342	0.05	0.963	-.135836	.1423278
_cons	.0953931	.5305337	0.18	0.857	-.9507326	1.141519

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs

dfuller fiscalreliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 162

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----			
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value	
Z(t)	-2.619	-4.019	-3.442	-3.142

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.2710

D.fiscalre~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~e						
L1.	-.0753901	.0287811	-2.62	0.010	-.1322355	-.0185447
LD.	.0093759	.080569	0.12	0.908	-.1497552	.168507
_trend	.0270539	.0118197	2.29	0.023	.0037089	.050399
_cons	-1.316811	.8801452	-1.50	0.137	-3.055179	.4215566

dfuller fiscalreliance, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 162

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----			
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value	
Z(t)	-1.265	-3.489	-2.886	-2.576

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.6449

D.fiscalreli~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~e						
L1.	-.0198038	.0156504	-1.27	0.208	-.0507134	.0111057
LD.	-.0111584	.0811282	-0.14	0.891	-.1713863	.1490696
_cons	.3992149	.4671612	0.85	0.394	-.5234268	1.321857

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs_FD

dfuller fiscalreliance_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 158

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-11.417	-4.021	-3.442	-3.142

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.fiscalre~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~D						
L1.	-1.177214	.1031086	-11.42	0.000	-1.380904	-.9735242
LD.	.1724624	.0713221	2.42	0.017	.0315664	.3133584
_trend	-.0016728	.0057788	-0.29	0.773	-.0130888	.0097432
_cons	.0935041	.6456866	0.14	0.885	-1.182042	1.36905

dfuller fiscalreliance_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 158

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-11.511	-3.491	-2.886	-2.576

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.fiscalreli~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~D						
L1.	-1.179599	.1024747	-11.51	0.000	-1.382026	-.9771717
LD.	.1737839	.0709652	2.45	0.015	.0336003	.3139676
_cons	-.0613447	.3605199	-0.17	0.865	-.7735111	.6508217

CO-INTEGRATION TESTS

Dickey Fuller CRITICAL VALUES are NOT valid for the Engle-Granger co-integration test!!!

The critical values that STATA spits out after the Augmented Dickey Fuller Test are the critical values for an augmented Dickey Fuller Test on a variable, not residuals.

For an augmented Engle-Granger Test we instead turn to the critical values for cointegration provided by MacKinnon (1991, Table 1).

Polity and Fiscal Reliance

```
newey polity_s fiscal_reliance_2, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors      Number of obs =      173
maximum lag: 1                                F( 1, 171) =      9.13
                                                Prob > F      =      0.0029
```

	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscal_rel~2	.2565726	.08493	3.02	0.003	.0889263	.4242189
_cons	5.756013	1.824586	3.15	0.002	2.1544	9.357626

```
. dfuller residual, regress trend lags(1)
```

```
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root      Number of obs =      162
```

Test Statistic	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.019	-3.442	-3.142

```
MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.2578
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D.residual						
residual						
L1.	-.1031089	.0389219	-2.65	0.009	-.1799832	-.0262346
LD.	.0408406	.0811373	0.50	0.615	-.119413	.2010941
_trend	.0098698	.0099887	0.99	0.325	-.0098587	.0295983
_cons	-.6158429	1.129584	-0.55	0.586	-2.846876	1.61519

dfuller residual, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 162

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.475	-3.489	-2.886	-2.576

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.1216

D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual						
L1.	-.092817	.0374995	-2.48	0.014	-.1668784	-.0187556
LD.	.0359806	.080982	0.44	0.657	-.1239586	.1959198
_cons	.325979	.6061189	0.54	0.591	-.8711035	1.523061

-2.48 test statistic versus -3.0462 ten percent level with no trend for a pair of integrated series.

We cannot reject the hypothesis of non-integration. Therefore, we conclude that Polity and Fiscal Reliance are not co-integrated series.

ECM BASED F-TEST FOR CO-INTEGRATION

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	167
Model	368.857737	3	122.952579	F(3, 163) =	2.24
Residual	8951.80095	163	54.9190242	Prob > F =	0.0857
				R-squared =	0.0396
				Adj R-squared =	0.0219
Total	9320.65868	166	56.1485463	Root MSE =	7.4107

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]

polity_s					
L1.	-.0844135	.0339953	-2.48	0.014	-.1515416 -.0172855
fiscal_rel~2					
L1.	.0272502	.0241066	1.13	0.260	-.0203512 .0748517
D1.	-.0703284	.1121197	-0.63	0.531	-.2917228 .151066
_cons	.7786022	.6875068	1.13	0.259	-.5789656 2.13617

```
. outreg using october, nolabel 3aster bracket bdec(3) replace
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]

_nl_1	-.322818	.265673	-1.22	0.226	-.8474224 .2017863

```
. test l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0

```
      F( 2, 163) = 3.11
      Prob > F = 0.0475
```

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 4 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.001	1	0.9760

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 12.95606 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .1646

1 lag of D.fiscal reliance

. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 L.d.fiscal_reliance_2

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	166
Model	494.306422	3	164.768807	F(3, 162) =	2.67
Residual	10014.2779	162	61.8165303	Prob > F =	0.0497
				R-squared =	0.0470
				Adj R-squared =	0.0294
Total	10508.5843	165	63.6883899	Root MSE =	7.8623

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0843874	.035596	-2.37	0.019	-.1546795 -.0140954
fiscal_rel~2					
L1.	.0289717	.0257536	1.12	0.262	-.0218844 .0798278
LD.	-.2093117	.121345	-1.72	0.086	-.4489336 .0303102
_cons	1.035198	.7321171	1.41	0.159	-.4105248 2.480922

. outreg using october, nolabel 3aster bracket bdec(3) append

. nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

 _nl_1: _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.3433179	.2845102	-1.21	0.229	-.9051448 .2185089

. test l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2

(1) L.polity_s = 0
(2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0

F(2, 162) = 2.84
Prob > F = 0.0612

. bgodfrey, lags (1)

Number of gaps in sample: 4 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.005	1	0.9434

H0: no serial correlation

. whitetst

White's general test statistic : 17.78417 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .0378

HETEROSKEDASTICITY UNCOVERED. RERUN WITH WHITE STANDARD ERRORS.

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 L.d.fiscal_reliance_2, r
```

```
Linear regression
```

```
Number of obs = 166
F( 3, 162) = 1.17
Prob > F = 0.3239
R-squared = 0.0470
Root MSE = 7.8623
```

	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D.polity_s						
polity_s						
L1.	-.0843874	.0485746	-1.74	0.084	-.1803084	.0115336
fiscal_reli~2						
L1.	.0289717	.04288	0.68	0.500	-.055704	.1136475
LD.	-.2093117	.1482038	-1.41	0.160	-.5019721	.0833488
_cons	1.035198	.5649266	1.83	0.069	-.0803711	2.150768

```
. outreg using october, nolabel 3aster bracket bdec(3) append
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D.polity_s						
_nl_1	-.3433179	.4793197	-0.72	0.475	-1.289838	.6032023

```
. test l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
```

```
F( 2, 162) = 1.51
Prob > F = 0.2232
```

2 lags

. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 L2.d.fiscal_reliance_2

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	161
Model	335.33649	3	111.77883	F(3, 157) =	1.73
Residual	10171.1852	157	64.7846194	Prob > F =	0.1640
				R-squared =	0.0319
				Adj R-squared =	0.0134
				Root MSE =	8.0489
Total	10506.5217	160	65.6657609		

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0855155	.0376153	-2.27	0.024	-.1598128 -.0112183
fiscal_rel~2					
L1.	.0264513	.0269684	0.98	0.328	-.0268164 .0797191
L2D.	-.0594368	.1294512	-0.46	0.647	-.3151275 .1962539
_cons	1.062622	.7588175	1.40	0.163	-.4361865 2.56143

. whitetst

White's general test statistic : 11.70882 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .2302

. bgodfrey, lags (1)

Number of gaps in sample: 3 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.002	1	0.9620

H0: no serial correlation

. nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

 _nl_1: _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.3093158	.2889141	-1.07	0.286	-.8799758 .2613442

test l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0

F(2, 157) = 2.59
Prob > F = 0.0785

3 lags

regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 L3.d.fiscal_reliance_2

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	158
Model	326.873155	3	108.957718	F(3, 154) =	1.65
Residual	10178.3484	154	66.0931712	Prob > F =	0.1805
				R-squared =	0.0311
				Adj R-squared =	0.0122
Total	10505.2215	157	66.912239	Root MSE =	8.1298

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0809983	.0375768	-2.16	0.033	-.1552309	-.0067657
fiscal_rel~2						
L1.	.0240212	.0273889	0.88	0.382	-.0300852	.0781276
L3D.	.0235056	.1300046	0.18	0.857	-.2333168	.2803281
_cons	1.087428	.7735952	1.41	0.162	-.4408003	2.615656

whitetst

White's general test statistic : 35.48787 Chi-sq(9) P-value = 4.9e-05

HETEROSKEDASTICITY DETECTED. THEREFORE, RERUN WITH STANDARD ERRORS.

bgoofrey, lags (1)

Number of gaps in sample: 4 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.001	1	0.9713

H0: no serial correlation

. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 L3.d.fiscal_reliance_2, r

Linear regression

Number of obs = 158
 F(3, 154) = 1.34
 Prob > F = 0.2637
 R-squared = 0.0311
 Root MSE = 8.1298

D.polity_s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0809983	.0558648	-1.45	0.149	-.1913585	.0293619
fiscal_rel~2						
L1.	.0240212	.0431632	0.56	0.579	-.0612471	.1092895
L3D.	.0235056	.273815	0.09	0.932	-.5174126	.5644239
_cons	1.087428	.6030575	1.80	0.073	-.1039051	2.278761

nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

 _nl_1: _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.2965641	.4930308	-0.60	0.548	-1.270541	.6774123

```
test 1.polity_s 1.fiscal_reliance_2
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0

```
F( 2, 154) = 1.05  
Prob > F = 0.3520
```

.

.

4 lags

regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 L4.d.fiscal_reliance_2

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	155
Model	499.741885	3	166.580628	F(3, 151) =	2.51
Residual	10004.1291	151	66.2525105	Prob > F =	0.0606
				R-squared =	0.0476
				Adj R-squared =	0.0287
Total	10503.871	154	68.2069543	Root MSE =	8.1396

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0734976	.0372756	-1.97	0.050	-.1471467	.0001515
fiscal_rel~2						
L1.	.0182113	.0278048	0.65	0.513	-.0367253	.073148
L4D.	.2383183	.1485281	1.60	0.111	-.0551434	.53178
_cons	1.141798	.781601	1.46	0.146	-.4024889	2.686084

. whitetst

White's general test statistic : 15.71008 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .0732

. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 L4.d.fiscal_reliance_2, r

Linear regression

Number of obs = 155
F(3, 151) = 1.10
Prob > F = 0.3492
R-squared = 0.0476
Root MSE = 8.1396

D.polity_s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0734976	.0465177	-1.58	0.116	-.1654073	.0184121
fiscal_rel~2						
L1.	.0182113	.0362862	0.50	0.616	-.0534828	.0899055
L4D.	.2383183	.2743046	0.87	0.386	-.3036526	.7802891
_cons	1.141798	.6014596	1.90	0.060	-.0465657	2.330161

. outreg using october, nolabel 3aster bracket bdec(3) append

. nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

 _nl_1: _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.2477815	.5005304	-0.50	0.621	-1.236729	.7411659

. test l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2

(1) L.polity_s = 0
(2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0

F(2, 151) = 1.30
Prob > F = 0.2755

bgodfrey, lags (1)

Number of gaps in sample: 4 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.073	1	0.7869

H0: no serial correlation

5 lags

. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 L5.d.fiscal_reliance_2

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	153
Model	471.37793	3	157.125977	F(3, 149) =	2.65
Residual	8846.59593	149	59.373127	Prob > F =	0.0512
				R-squared =	0.0506
				Adj R-squared =	0.0315
				Root MSE =	7.7054

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0838119	.0346246	-2.42	0.017	-.1522306	-.0153933
fiscal_rel~2						
L1.	.0297206	.0260982	1.14	0.257	-.0218498	.0812909
L5D.	.1868117	.1339663	1.39	0.165	-.0779074	.4515309
_cons	.8827315	.7471888	1.18	0.239	-.5937234	2.359186

. whitetst

White's general test statistic : 21.60565 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .0102

. bgodfrey, lags (1)

Number of gaps in sample: 4 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.117	1	0.7325

H0: no serial correlation

. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 L5.d.fiscal_reliance_2, r

Linear regression	Number of obs =	153
	F(3, 149) =	1.44
	Prob > F =	0.2349
	R-squared =	0.0506
	Root MSE =	7.7054

D.polity_s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0838119	.0446141	-1.88	0.062	-.17197	.0043461
fiscal_rel~2						
L1.	.0297206	.0444985	0.67	0.505	-.0582091	.1176502
L5D.	.1868117	.2720739	0.69	0.493	-.3508098	.7244332
_cons	.8827315	.5353681	1.65	0.101	-.1751628	1.940626

. nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

 _nl_1: _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.3546101	.505325	-0.70	0.484	-1.353139	.6439187

```
. test l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
```

```
F( 2, 149) = 1.77  
Prob > F = 0.1741
```

```
.
```

LAGS CHOSEN BY THE BIC STATISTIC

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2  
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-572.799	Log-Lik Full Model:	-569.428
D(163):	1138.855	LR(3):	6.743
		Prob > LR:	0.081
R2:	0.040	Adjusted R2:	0.022
AIC:	6.867	AIC*n:	1146.855
BIC:	304.622	BIC':	8.611

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2  
L.d.fiscal_reliance_2  
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-558.104	Log-Lik Full Model:	-552.577
D(157):	1105.154	LR(4):	11.054
		Prob > LR:	0.026
R2:	0.066	Adjusted R2:	0.042
AIC:	6.884	AIC*n:	1115.154
BIC:	306.401	BIC':	9.296

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2  
L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.2.d.fiscal_reliance_2  
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-546.292	Log-Lik Full Model:	-540.540
D(152):	1081.080	LR(5):	11.504
		Prob > LR:	0.042
R2:	0.070	Adjusted R2:	0.040
AIC:	6.918	AIC*n:	1093.080
BIC:	311.566	BIC':	13.809

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2  
L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.2.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.3.d.fiscal_re  
> liance_2  
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-534.430	Log-Lik Full Model:	-528.521
D(147):	1057.042	LR(6):	11.818
		Prob > LR:	0.066
R2:	0.074	Adjusted R2:	0.036
AIC:	6.955	AIC*n:	1071.042
BIC:	316.610	BIC':	18.404

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2  
L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.2.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.3.d.fiscal_re  
> liance_2 L.4.d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-522.516	Log-Lik Full Model:	-514.744
D(142):	1029.487	LR(7):	15.544
		Prob > LR:	0.030
R2:	0.098	Adjusted R2:	0.054
AIC:	6.970	AIC*n:	1045.487
BIC:	317.977	BIC':	19.531

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2  
L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.2.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.3.d.fiscal_reliance_2  
L.4.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.5.d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-516.538	Log-Lik Full Model:	-508.561
D(139):	1017.122	LR(8):	15.955
		Prob > LR:	0.043
R2:	0.102	Adjusted R2:	0.051
AIC:	6.994	AIC*n:	1035.122
BIC:	322.509	BIC':	24.023

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
.
```

```
NO LAGS CHOSEN. SIMPLY REPEAT THE SPECIFICATION WITH NO LAGS.
```

LAGS CHOSEN WITH THE DATA TRUNCATED TO 1950, BECAUSE THE COVERAGE FOR ONE OF THE CONTROL VARIABLES, GDP PER CAPITA, ONLY BEGINS IN 1950.

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2 if
gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 != .
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-180.319	Log-Lik Full Model:	-177.964
D(42):	355.927	LR(3):	4.711
		Prob > LR:	0.194
R2:	0.097	Adjusted R2:	0.033
AIC:	7.911	AIC*n:	363.927
BIC:	195.124	BIC':	6.775

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2
L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 if gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 != .
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-173.456	Log-Lik Full Model:	-170.018
D(39):	340.036	LR(4):	6.876
		Prob > LR:	0.143
R2:	0.145	Adjusted R2:	0.057
AIC:	7.955	AIC*n:	350.036
BIC:	192.452	BIC':	8.260

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2
L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.2.d.fiscal_reliance_2 if gdp_per_cap_
haber_men_2 != .
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-166.547	Log-Lik Full Model:	-162.833
D(36):	325.666	LR(5):	7.428
		Prob > LR:	0.191
R2:	0.162	Adjusted R2:	0.046
AIC:	8.040	AIC*n:	337.666
BIC:	191.110	BIC':	11.260

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2
L.(1/3).d.fiscal_reliance_2 if gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 != .
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-159.591	Log-Lik Full Model:	-155.667
D(33):	311.333	LR(6):	7.849
		Prob > LR:	0.249
R2:	0.178	Adjusted R2:	0.029
AIC:	8.133	AIC*n:	325.333
BIC:	189.600	BIC':	14.285

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2
L.(1/4).d.fiscal_reliance_2 if gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 != .
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-152.584	Log-Lik Full Model:	-148.510
D(30):	297.019	LR(7):	8.150
		Prob > LR:	0.320
R2:	0.193	Adjusted R2:	0.005
AIC:	8.237	AIC*n:	313.019
BIC:	187.892	BIC':	17.314

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2
L.(1/5).d.fiscal_reliance_2 if gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 != .
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-149.062	Log-Lik Full Model:	-144.992
D(28):	289.983	LR(8):	8.140
		Prob > LR:	0.420
R2:	0.197	Adjusted R2:	-0.032
AIC:	8.324	AIC*n:	307.983
BIC:	188.877	BIC':	20.747

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

A model with 4 lags of Fiscal Reliance is chosen by the BIC statistic

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2
L.(1/4).d.fiscal_reliance_2 if gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 != .
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	38
Model	1320.1856	7	188.597942	F(7, 30) =	1.03
Residual	5519.28809	30	183.97627	Prob > F =	0.4343
				R-squared =	0.1930
				Adj R-squared =	0.0047
Total	6839.47368	37	184.85064	Root MSE =	13.564

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s						
L1.	-.2075829	.1054595	-1.97	0.058	-.42296	.0077942
fiscal_rel~2						
L1.	.1756572	.2615364	0.67	0.507	-.3584714	.7097859
D1.	.0240341	.2895971	0.08	0.934	-.5674022	.6154704
LD.	-.4496758	.2865522	-1.57	0.127	-1.034893	.1355418
L2D.	-.1589323	.2940189	-0.54	0.593	-.7593991	.4415345
L3D.	-.1284448	.2933272	-0.44	0.665	-.7274989	.4706092
L4D.	.1764027	.2993121	0.59	0.560	-.4348742	.7876796
_cons	-4.590117	15.19735	-0.30	0.765	-35.62725	26.44701

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 36.97253 Chi-sq(35) P-value = .3779
```

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.007	1	0.9344

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.8462029	1.279646	-0.66	0.513	-3.459589	1.767184

```
test l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
```

```
F( 2, 30) = 2.03
```

```
Prob > F = 0.1490
```

```
test d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.2.d.fiscal_reliance_2
L.3.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.4.d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

```
( 1) D.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
```

```
( 2) LD.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
```

```
( 3) L2D.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
```

```
( 4) L3D.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
```

```
( 5) L4D.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
```

```
F( 5, 30) = 0.64
```

Prob > F = 0.6674

MODEL WITH DISTRIBUTED LAGS AND THE CONTROL VARIABLES

regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2
l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE L.civil_war_gledistsch d.fiscal_reliance_2
L.(1/4).d.fiscal_reliance_2 d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE if gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 != .

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	38
Model	2942.84311	14	210.203079	F(14, 23) =	1.24
Residual	3896.63058	23	169.418721	Prob > F =	0.3133
				R-squared =	0.4303
				Adj R-squared =	0.0835
Total	6839.47368	37	184.85064	Root MSE =	13.016

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.4504125	.1965438	-2.29	0.031	-.8569942	-.0438307
fiscal_rel~2						
L1.	-.0525731	.4583211	-0.11	0.910	-1.000683	.8955363
log_gdp_pe~2						
L1.	5.709728	19.02246	0.30	0.767	-33.64123	45.06069
REGION_DEM~E						
L1.	-.710663	1.751483	-0.41	0.689	-4.333882	2.912556
WORLD_DEM~E						
L1.	1.144226	.6578686	1.74	0.095	-.2166785	2.505131
civil_war~h						
L1.	10.97685	10.03655	1.09	0.285	-9.785339	31.73903
fiscal_rel~2						
D1.	-.0539629	.3279331	-0.16	0.871	-.7323441	.6244183
LD.	-.1328597	.402191	-0.33	0.744	-.9648552	.6991357
L2D.	-.1101167	.3774915	-0.29	0.773	-.8910173	.670784
L3D.	-.1717928	.3639203	-0.47	0.641	-.9246194	.5810338
L4D.	.1871825	.331297	0.56	0.578	-.4981576	.8725225
log_gdp_pe~2						
D1.	-48.56948	24.09462	-2.02	0.056	-98.413	1.274044
REGION_DEM~E						
D1.	-.2657471	1.559648	-0.17	0.866	-3.492124	2.96063
WORLD_DEM~E						
D1.	-1.155668	2.174276	-0.53	0.600	-5.653502	3.342166
_cons	-68.7266	151.3153	-0.45	0.654	-381.7462	244.293

. whitetst

White's general test statistic : 38 Chi-sq(37) P-value = .4236

. bgodfrey, lags (1)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	2.243	1	0.1342

H0: no serial correlation

. nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

 _nl_1: _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.1167222	1.031271	0.11	0.911	-2.016625	2.250069

test l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
( 2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0

F( 2, 23) = 2.87
Prob > F = 0.0771
```

fitstat, saving(mod1)

test d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.2.d.fiscal_reliance_2
L.3.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.4.d.fiscal_reliance_2

```
( 1) D.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
( 2) LD.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
( 3) L2D.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
( 4) L3D.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
( 5) L4D.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0

F( 5, 23) = 0.30
Prob > F = 0.9106
```

test l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
L.civil_war_gledistsch

```
( 1) L.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
( 2) L.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
( 3) L.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
( 4) L.civil_war_gledistsch = 0

F( 4, 23) = 1.18
Prob > F = 0.3472
```

test d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE

```
( 1) D.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
( 2) D.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
( 3) D.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0

F( 3, 23) = 1.62
Prob > F = 0.2129
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-152.584	Log-Lik Full Model:	-141.895
D(23):	283.790	LR(14):	21.379
		Prob > LR:	0.092
R2:	0.430	Adjusted R2:	0.083
AIC:	8.258	AIC*n:	313.790
BIC:	200.126	BIC':	29.547

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

**THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS
RUN FOR THE KUWAIT TIME-SERIES.**

NOTA BENE:

We always calculate Unit Root Tests (using Augmented Dickey Fuller Tests) on Polity and Fiscal Reliance, first in levels and then in differences, before performing Engle Granger cointegration tests. These are preceded by ECM cointegration tests and ECM regression estimation.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L.Fiscal Reliance]/_b[L_polity_s]`

NOTA BENE:

We always run a bunch of single lag experiments, where we introduce different lag lengths of Fiscal Reliance in differences. We do so one at a time. Namely, we proceed as follows: we introduce Fiscal Reliance lagged one year. Then we remove it and introduce the second lag of Fiscal Reliance and keep doing this until we reach Fiscal Reliance in t-5. These are reported in the regression tables in the appendix.

NOTA BENE:

Finally, we run a series of finite distributed lag models (where all of the lags are introduced simultaneously) and then use the BIC statistic to choose the most parsimonious model and that model is estimated and reported in the regression table in the online appendix.

KUWAIT'S UNIT-ROOT TESTS

Polity_s

dfuller polity_s, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 39

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.043	-4.251	-3.544

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.5776

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.2391298	.1170271	-2.04	0.049	-.4767075	-.0015521
LD.	.1222694	.1536257	0.80	0.431	-.1896074	.4341463
_trend	.0767103	.049788	1.54	0.132	-.0243647	.1777853
_cons	.1960988	1.031327	0.19	0.850	-1.897606	2.289803

dfuller polity_s, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 39

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-1.351	-3.655	-2.961

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.6054

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.1239839	.0917587	-1.35	0.185	-.3100793	.0621114
LD.	.075768	.1534793	0.49	0.625	-.2355024	.3870383
_cons	.8562661	.9558399	0.90	0.376	-1.082267	2.794799

Polity_s_FD

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 37

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.454	-4.270	-3.211

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0018

D.polity_s~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s_FD						
L1.	-1.001414	.2248363	-4.45	0.000	-1.458846	-.5439809
LD.	-.0015553	.14833	-0.01	0.992	-.303335	.3002244
_trend	-.0049993	.0420886	-0.12	0.906	-.0906291	.0806306
_cons	-.028926	1.040681	-0.03	0.978	-2.146207	2.088355

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 37

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.551	-3.668	-2.616

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0002

D.	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s_FD						
polity_s_FD						
L1.	-1.003891	.2205972	-4.55	0.000	-1.452198	-.5555836
LD.	-1.11e-16	.145593	-0.00	1.000	-.2958806	.2958806
_cons	-.1361868	.5097171	-0.27	0.791	-1.172057	.8996831

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs

dfuller fiscalreliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 42

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.441	-4.224	-3.199

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.3581

D.fiscalre~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~e						
L1.	-.4800668	.1966764	-2.44	0.019	-.8782174	-.0819162
LD.	-.2514521	.1666346	-1.51	0.140	-.5887863	.085882
_trend	-.0533836	.0571198	-0.93	0.356	-.1690165	.0622493
_cons	44.74171	18.58746	2.41	0.021	7.11336	82.37007

dfuller fiscalreliance, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 42

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.325	-3.634	-2.610

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.1640

D.fiscalreli~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~e						
L1.	-.3755325	.1615122	-2.33	0.025	-.7022218	-.0488432
LD.	-.3114878	.1535055	-2.03	0.049	-.621982	-.0009936
_cons	34.07065	14.64334	2.33	0.025	4.451706	63.68959

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs_FD

. dfuller D.Fiscal_Reliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 41

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-7.258	-4.233	-3.202

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D2.Fiscal_~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D.Fiscal_R~e						
L1.	-1.972424	.2717731	-7.26	0.000	-2.523088	-1.421759
LD.	.310529	.1570144	1.98	0.055	-.0076124	.6286704
_trend	.0320593	.0505975	0.63	0.530	-.070461	.1345796
_cons	-.6465335	1.262749	-0.51	0.612	-3.205106	1.912039

. dfuller D.Fiscal_Reliance, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 41

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-7.288	-3.641	-2.611

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D2.Fiscal_Rel~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
LD.	-1.959328	.2688434	-7.29	0.000	-2.503573	-1.415083
LD2.	.3051014	.1555409	1.96	0.057	-.0097748	.6199776
_cons	.0585605	.5920887	0.10	0.922	-1.14006	1.257181

.

KUWAIT'S CO-INTEGRATION TESTS

Dickey Fuller CRITICAL VALUES are NOT valid for the Engle-Granger co-integration test!!!

The critical values that STATA spits out after the Augmented Dickey Fuller Test are the critical values for an augmented Dickey Fuller Test on a variable, not residuals.

For an augmented Engle-Granger Test we instead turn to the critical values for cointegration provided by Engle-Granger from Engle and Yoo (1987, Table 3).

Polity and Fiscal Reliance

```
newey polity_s Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors           Number of obs =          43
maximum lag: 1                                       F( 1, 41) =          0.92
                                                       Prob > F    =          0.3429
```

	polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Reliance		-.2493928	.2599154	-0.96	0.343	-.7743026	.275517
_cons		31.46553	23.73718	1.33	0.192	-16.47268	79.40374

```
predict residual, res
dfuller residual, regress trend lags(1)
```

```
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root           Number of obs =          39
```

Test Statistic	Interpolated Dickey-Fuller 1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.251	-3.544	-3.206

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.6480

	D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual							
L1.		-.2418311	.1264287	-1.91	0.064	-.498495	.0148328
LD.		.03946	.1494319	0.26	0.793	-.2639029	.342823
_trend		.0750294	.0507883	1.48	0.149	-.0280763	.1781351
_cons		-1.845794	1.221831	-1.51	0.140	-4.326243	.6346554

```
dfuller residual, regress lags(1)
```

```
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root           Number of obs =          39
```

Test Statistic	Interpolated Dickey-Fuller 1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-3.655	-2.961	-2.613

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.6402

	D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual							
L1.		-.1335449	.1046892	-1.28	0.210	-.3458644	.0787746
LD.		.0007539	.1495136	0.01	0.996	-.3024736	.3039814
_cons		-.2083395	.5224709	-0.40	0.692	-1.26796	.8512806

The test statistic is -3.0462. We cannot reject the hypothesis of non-integration. Therefore, we conclude that Polity and Fiscal Reliance are NOT co-integrated series.

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	41
Model	84.8007618	3	28.2669206	F(3, 37) =	2.86
Residual	365.199238	37	9.87024968	Prob > F =	0.0497
Total	450	40	11.25	R-squared =	0.1884
				Adj R-squared =	0.1226
				Root MSE =	3.1417

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1663033	.0921346	-1.81	0.079	-.3529859 .0203792
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	-.2082964	.1532654	-1.36	0.182	-.5188415 .1022487
D1.	.1234893	.1631422	0.76	0.454	-.2070683 .4540469
_cons	20.31754	14.20755	1.43	0.161	-8.469681 49.10476

```
.. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 5.171541 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .8191
```

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.680	1	0.4094

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
    _nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	1.252509	.9767164	1.28	0.208	-.7265065 3.231524

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 37) = 2.00
Prob > F = 0.1492
```



```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	40
Model	79.8258487	3	26.6086162	F(3, 36) =	2.59
Residual	370.174151	36	10.2826153	Prob > F =	0.0680
				R-squared =	0.1774
				Adj R-squared =	0.1088
Total	450	39	11.5384615	Root MSE =	3.2067

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1736904	.1011364	-1.72	0.095	-.3788046 .0314238
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	-.2633426	.1550018	-1.70	0.098	-.5777009 .0510156
LD.	-.029751	.1501844	-0.20	0.844	-.3343391 .274837
_cons	25.4085	14.45149	1.76	0.087	-3.90049 54.71748

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	1.101	1	0.2941

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 7.820109 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .5524
```

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	1.516162	.9652998	1.57	0.125	-.4415572 3.47388

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 36) = 2.07
```

```
Prob > F = 0.1408
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	39
Model	74.8129051	3	24.937635	F(3, 35) =	2.50
Residual	349.546069	35	9.98703055	Prob > F =	0.0757
Total	424.358974	38	11.1673414	R-squared =	0.1763
				Adj R-squared =	0.1057
				Root MSE =	3.1602

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1768968	.0906739	-1.95	0.059	-.3609746 .007181
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	-.2678428	.1203882	-2.22	0.033	-.5122438 -.0234418
L2D.	.0617001	.1142712	0.54	0.593	-.1702828 .2936831
_cons	25.95894	11.11505	2.34	0.025	3.394201 48.52369

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	1.077	1	0.2993

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 9.960281 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .3537

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	1.514119	.916649	1.65	0.108	-.3467777 3.375015

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1)  L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2)  L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 35) = 3.62
Prob > F = 0.0373
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	38
Model	72.1000174	3	24.0333391	F(3, 34) =	2.32
Residual	352.242088	34	10.3600614	Prob > F =	0.0928
Total	424.342105	37	11.4687055	R-squared =	0.1699
				Adj R-squared =	0.0967
				Root MSE =	3.2187

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1774614	.0929569	-1.91	0.065	-.3663725 .0114498
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	-.2673799	.1227007	-2.18	0.036	-.5167377 -.0180221
L3D.	.0060358	.1158527	0.05	0.959	-.2294053 .2414768
_cons	25.92921	11.32565	2.29	0.028	2.912726 48.9457

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.961	1	0.3269

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 4.174809 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .8995

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	1.506694	.9338333	1.61	0.116	-.391084 3.404471

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1)  L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2)  L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

F(2, 34) = 3.48
Prob > F = 0.0423

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	37
Model	72.2774471	3	24.0924824	F(3, 33) =	2.26
Residual	352.046877	33	10.6680872	Prob > F =	0.0999
Total	424.324324	36	11.7867868	R-squared =	0.1703
				Adj R-squared =	0.0949
				Root MSE =	3.2662

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1787105	.0947737	-1.89	0.068	-.371529 .014108
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	-.2678032	.1254506	-2.13	0.040	-.5230344 -.0125719
L4D.	.0093685	.1187238	0.08	0.938	-.2321768 .2509138
_cons	25.98944	11.57503	2.25	0.032	2.439859 49.53903

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.984	1	0.3213

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 4.74856 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .8557

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	1.498531	.9448595	1.59	0.122	-.4238004 3.420862

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 33) = 3.37
Prob > F = 0.0467
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L5.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	36
Model	75.2280317	3	25.0760106	F(3, 32) =	2.30
Residual	349.077524	32	10.9086726	Prob > F =	0.0962
Total	424.305556	35	12.1230159	R-squared =	0.1773
				Adj R-squared =	0.1002
				Root MSE =	3.3028

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1839477	.0966019	-1.90	0.066	-.3807192 .0128239
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	-.276069	.1275199	-2.16	0.038	-.5358185 -.0163195
L5D.	-.059698	.1213914	-0.49	0.626	-.3069642 .1875682
_cons	26.79871	11.76652	2.28	0.030	2.831087 50.76633

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	1.174	1	0.2785

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 5.83838 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .756
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	1.500802	.9341944	1.61	0.118	-.4020897 3.403694

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 32) = 3.44  
Prob > F = 0.0442
```

```

. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -107.288   Log-Lik Full Model:      -103.007
D(37):                       206.015   LR(3):                   8.561
                               Prob > LR:                   0.036
R2:                           0.188   Adjusted R2:             0.123
AIC:                           5.220   AIC*n:                   214.015
BIC:                           68.613   BIC':                    2.580
BIC used by Stata:           220.869   AIC used by Stata:       214.015

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance

. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -105.165   Log-Lik Full Model:      -100.981
D(35):                       201.961   LR(4):                   8.368
                               Prob > LR:                   0.079
R2:                           0.189   Adjusted R2:             0.096
AIC:                           5.299   AIC*n:                   211.961
BIC:                           72.851   BIC':                    6.387
BIC used by Stata:           220.406   AIC used by Stata:       211.961

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance

. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -101.885   Log-Lik Full Model:      -97.760
D(33):                       195.520   LR(5):                   8.250
                               Prob > LR:                   0.143
R2:                           0.191   Adjusted R2:             0.068
AIC:                           5.321   AIC*n:                   207.520
BIC:                           74.623   BIC':                    10.067
BIC used by Stata:           217.502   AIC used by Stata:       207.520

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.3.d.Fiscal_Rel
> iance

. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -99.766   Log-Lik Full Model:      -95.578
D(31):                       191.156   LR(6):                   8.375
                               Prob > LR:                   0.212
R2:                           0.198   Adjusted R2:             0.043
AIC:                           5.399   AIC*n:                   205.156
BIC:                           78.391   BIC':                    13.450
BIC used by Stata:           216.619   AIC used by Stata:       205.156

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```

```

regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
L.Civil_War_Gledistsch d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE

```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	41
Model	193.960731	9	21.5511924	F(9, 31) =	2.61
Residual	256.039269	31	8.25933125	Prob > F =	0.0227
				R-squared =	0.4310
				Adj R-squared =	0.2658
Total	450	40	11.25	Root MSE =	2.8739

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.4339092	.1334427	-3.25	0.003	-.7060673	-.1617511
Fiscal_Reli						
L1.	-.2271038	.1977784	-1.15	0.260	-.6304756	.176268
D1.	.054345	.1748471	0.31	0.758	-.3022579	.410948
log_gdp_per_2						
L1.	3.672064	1.737803	2.11	0.043	.1277923	7.216336
REGION_DEM						
L1.	-.7536936	.2745843	-2.74	0.010	-1.313712	-.1936753
WORLD_DEM						
L1.	.3920107	.1281994	3.06	0.005	.1305463	.6534752
Civil_War_h						
L1.	(dropped)					
log_gdp_per_2						
D1.	-.4570809	4.677841	-0.10	0.923	-9.9976	9.083438
REGION_DEM						
D1.	-.2844234	.2787567	-1.02	0.315	-.8529513	.2841046
WORLD_DEM						
D1.	.1989278	.4418172	0.45	0.656	-.7021643	1.10002
_cons	-22.72221	20.88221	-1.09	0.285	-65.31175	19.86734

```
. bfgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.024	1	0.8776

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 41 Chi-sq(40) P-value = .4265
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.5233901	.4674662	1.12	0.271	-.4300135	1.476794

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 31) = 5.62
Prob > F = 0.0083
```

fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-107.288	Log-Lik Full Model:	-95.728
D(30):	191.455	LR(9):	23.121
		Prob > LR:	0.006
R2:	0.431	Adjusted R2:	0.266
AIC:	5.206	AIC*n:	213.455
BIC:	80.048	BIC':	10.302
BIC used by Stata:	228.591	AIC used by Stata:	211.455

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. test l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE  
L.Civil_War_Gledistsch
```

```
( 1) L.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0  
( 2) L.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0  
( 3) L.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0  
( 4) L.Civil_War_Gledistsch = 0  
Constraint 4 dropped
```

```
F( 3, 31) = 4.09  
Prob > F = 0.0148
```

```
. test d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

```
( 1) D.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0  
( 2) D.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0  
( 3) D.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
```

```
F( 3, 31) = 0.41  
Prob > F = 0.7467
```


**THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS
RUN FOR THE MEXICO TIME-SERIES.**

NOTA BENE:

We always calculate Unit Root Tests (using Augmented Dickey Fuller Tests) on Polity and Fiscal Reliance, first in levels and then in differences, before performing Engle Granger cointegration tests. These are preceded by ECM cointegration tests and ECM regression estimation.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L.Fiscal Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]`

NOTA BENE:

We always run a bunch of single lag experiments, where we introduce different lag lengths of Fiscal Reliance in differences. We do so one at a time. Namely, we proceed as follows: we introduce Fiscal Reliance lagged one year. Then we remove it and introduce the second lag of Fiscal Reliance and keep doing this until we reach Fiscal Reliance in t-5. These are reported in the regression tables in the appendix.

NOTA BENE:

Finally, we run a series of finite distributed lag models (where all of the lags are introduced simultaneously) and then use the BIC statistic to choose the most parsimonious model and that model is estimated and reported in the regression table in the online appendix.

MEXICO UNIT-ROOT TESTS

Polity_s

dfuller polity_s, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 176

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-0.685	-4.015	-3.440

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.9741

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s						
L1.	-.0124266	.0181474	-0.68	0.494	-.0482469	.0233936
LD.	.0086846	.0775589	0.11	0.911	-.1444052	.1617744
_trend	.0149043	.0067997	2.19	0.030	.0014827	.0283258
_cons	-.7956579	.8189981	-0.97	0.333	-2.412239	.8209232

dfuller polity_s, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 176

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-0.199	-3.485	-2.885

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.9387

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s						
L1.	-.0035543	.0178837	-0.20	0.843	-.0388526	.0317439
LD.	.0275757	.0779215	0.35	0.724	-.1262235	.1813749
_cons	.3552614	.635406	0.56	0.577	-.8988847	1.609407

Polity_s_FD

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 173

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-9.346	-4.016	-3.440	-3.140

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.polity_s~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s_FD						
L1.	-1.018	.1089229	-9.35	0.000	-1.233025	-.8029751
LD.	.016084	.0769409	0.21	0.835	-.1358052	.1679732
_trend	.0144667	.0068998	2.10	0.038	.0008458	.0280875
_cons	-1.118649	.741444	-1.51	0.133	-2.582334	.3450359

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 173

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-9.018	-3.486	-2.885	-2.575

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s_FD						
L1.	-.9662571	.1071449	-9.02	0.000	-1.177763	-.7547513
LD.	-.0098785	.0766928	-0.13	0.898	-.1612712	.1415143
_cons	.2513385	.3539092	0.71	0.479	-.4472841	.9499612

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs

dfuller Fiscal_Reliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 178

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.361	-4.014	-3.440

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.4007

D.Fiscal_R~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	-.0736372	.0311921	-2.36	0.019	-.1352009	-.0120736
LD.	.0873106	.0766991	1.14	0.257	-.0640698	.238691
_trend	.0121514	.0052391	2.32	0.022	.001811	.0224917
_cons	-.5394532	.4329275	-1.25	0.214	-1.393918	.315012

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs_FD

dfuller D.Fiscal_Reliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 176

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-7.646	-4.015	-3.440

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D2.Fiscal_~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D.Fiscal_R~e						
L1.	-.802693	.1049805	-7.65	0.000	-1.009909	-.595477
LD.	-.1605695	.0757902	-2.12	0.036	-.3101682	-.0109708
_trend	.0033084	.0038741	0.85	0.394	-.0043385	.0109552
_cons	-.1871944	.4127018	-0.45	0.651	-1.001807	.6274179

dfuller D.Fiscal_Reliance, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 176

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-7.609	-3.485	-2.885

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D2.Fiscal_Rel~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
LD.	-.7956651	.1045755	-7.61	0.000	-1.002073	-.589257
LD2.	-.1635769	.0756491	-2.16	0.032	-.3128909	-.0142629
_cons	.1178341	.2065717	0.57	0.569	-.2898912	.5255595

dfuller D.Fiscal_Reliance, regress lags(2)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 174

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-6.294	-3.485	-2.575

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D2.						
Fiscal_Rel~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
LD.	-.7461366	.1185419	-6.29	0.000	-.9801402	-.512133
LD2.	-.2377933	.1034018	-2.30	0.023	-.4419102	-.0336765
L2D2.	-.0956205	.0743957	-1.29	0.200	-.242479	.0512379
_cons	.0581031	.2004404	0.29	0.772	-.3375697	.4537759

MEXICO CO-INTEGRATION TESTS

Dickey Fuller CRITICAL VALUES are NOT valid for the Engle-Granger co-integration test!!!

The critical values that STATA spits out after the Augmented Dickey Fuller Test are the critical values for an augmented Dickey Fuller Test on a variable, not residuals.

For an augmented Engle-Granger Test we instead turn to the critical values for cointegration provided by Engle-Granger from Engle and Yoo (1987, Table 3).

Polity and Fiscal Reliance

```
newey polity_s Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors      Number of obs =      179
maximum lag: 1                                F( 1, 177) =     19.59
                                                Prob > F      =     0.0000
```

```
-----+-----
```

polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Reliance	1.148421	.2594455	4.43	0.000	.6364161	1.660425
_cons	22.91343	1.912317	11.98	0.000	19.13956	26.68731

```
-----+-----
```

```
predict residual, res
(6 missing values generated)
```

```
dfuller residual, regress trend lags(1)
```

```
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root      Number of obs =      171
```

```
-----+----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----
```

Test Statistic	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-1.612	-4.016	-3.141

```
-----+-----
```

```
MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.7877
```

```
-----+-----
```

D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual						
L1.	-.0427677	.0265301	-1.61	0.109	-.0951454	.0096099
LD.	-.0250681	.0785909	-0.32	0.750	-.1802279	.1300917
_trend	.0078991	.0082735	0.95	0.341	-.0084351	.0242333
_cons	-.6728476	.8970227	-0.75	0.454	-2.443813	1.098118

```
-----+-----
```

dfuller residual, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 171

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----			
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value	
Z(t)	-1.777	-3.486	-2.885	-2.575

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.3920

D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual						
L1.	-.0465875	.0262198	-1.78	0.077	-.0983503	.0051753
LD.	-.0157683	.0779644	-0.20	0.840	-.1696845	.138148
_cons	.0768054	.4336208	0.18	0.860	-.7792423	.9328531

Test statistic: -1.78

Critical Values:

-3.0462

Not significant.

We cannot reject the hypothesis of non-integration. Therefore, we conclude that Polity and Fiscal Reliance are not co-integrated series.

ECM COINTEGRATION TESTS

regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	175
Model	38.4335437	3	12.8111812	F(3, 171) =	0.61
Residual	3597.28074	171	21.0367295	Prob > F =	0.6100
				R-squared =	0.0106
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0068
Total	3635.71429	174	20.8949097	Root MSE =	4.5866

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0147657	.0208891	-0.71	0.481	-.0559994 .026468
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.0590637	.044551	1.33	0.187	-.0288769 .1470044
D1.	-.008032	.1274291	-0.06	0.950	-.2595686 .2435046
_cons	.3538805	.6289563	0.56	0.574	-.8876378 1.595399

. bgodfrey, lags (1)

Number of gaps in sample: 3 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.192	1	0.6612

H0: no serial correlation

. whitetst

White's general test statistic : 2.637102 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .977

. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

_nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-4.000071	4.786663	-0.84	0.405	-13.44863 5.448486

. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance

(1) L.polity_s = 0

(2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F(2, 171) = 0.88

Prob > F = 0.4171


```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	174
Model	61.6178904	3	20.5392968	F(3, 170) =	0.98
Residual	3574.01429	170	21.0236135	Prob > F =	0.4050
				R-squared =	0.0169
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0004
Total	3635.63218	173	21.0152149	Root MSE =	4.5852

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.014285	.0206007	-0.69	0.489	-.0549512 .0263812
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.0500024	.0449764	1.11	0.268	-.0387817 .1387865
LD.	.135732	.128726	1.05	0.293	-.1183752 .3898391
_cons	.3751178	.6273601	0.60	0.551	-.8633015 1.613537

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 3 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.312	1	0.5766

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 1.978096 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .9918

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-3.500349	4.353056	-0.80	0.422	-12.09335 5.092656

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1)  L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2)  L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
      F( 2, 170) = 0.63
```

```
      Prob > F = 0.5351
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	172
Model	88.7232308	3	29.5744103	F(3, 168) =	1.40
Residual	3546.74189	168	21.1115588	Prob > F =	0.2444
Total	3635.46512	171	21.2600299	R-squared =	0.0244
				Adj R-squared =	0.0070
				Root MSE =	4.5947

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0160881	.0207926	-0.77	0.440	-.0571366 .0249604
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0729293	.0452074	1.61	0.109	-.0163185 .1621771
L2D.	-.2007099	.129739	-1.55	0.124	-.4568387 .0554189
_cons	.3273452	.6287569	0.52	0.603	-.9139374 1.568628

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 3 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.204	1	0.6512

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 2.13469 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .9892

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-4.533121	4.999293	-0.91	0.366	-14.40265 5.336409

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1)  L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2)  L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

F(2, 168) = 1.30
 Prob > F = 0.2740

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	170
Model	63.6108051	3	21.2036017	F(3, 166) =	0.99
Residual	3571.68331	166	21.5161645	Prob > F =	0.4011
Total	3635.29412	169	21.5106161	R-squared =	0.0175
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0003
				Root MSE =	4.6386

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0177133	.0212571	-0.83	0.406	-.0596825 .0242558
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0725936	.0465048	1.56	0.120	-.0192236 .1644107
L3D.	-.1450717	.1334469	-1.09	0.279	-.4085437 .1184002
_cons	.3652316	.6346017	0.58	0.566	-.8876991 1.618162

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 3 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.065	1	0.7994

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 2.222552 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .9874

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-4.098242	4.14228	-0.99	0.324	-12.27658 4.080101

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F(2, 166) = 1.22
 Prob > F = 0.2983

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	168
Model	55.2681561	3	18.4227187	F(3, 164) =	0.84
Residual	3579.85089	164	21.8283591	Prob > F =	0.4716
Total	3635.11905	167	21.7671799	R-squared =	0.0152
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0028
				Root MSE =	4.6721

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0186372	.0217406	-0.86	0.393	-.0615647 .0242903
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0725673	.0476604	1.52	0.130	-.0215398 .1666744
L4D.	-.1196429	.138023	-0.87	0.387	-.392174 .1528882
_cons	.3882781	.6402135	0.61	0.545	-.8758455 1.652402

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 3 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.214	1	0.6433

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 1.805825 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .9942

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-3.893683	3.781777	-1.03	0.305	-11.36093 3.573566

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F(2, 164) = 1.16
 Prob > F = 0.3163

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L5.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	167
Model	59.5442541	3	19.8480847	F(3, 163) =	0.90
Residual	3575.48569	163	21.935495	Prob > F =	0.4402
Total	3635.02994	166	21.8977707	R-squared =	0.0164
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0017
				Root MSE =	4.6835

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0120448	.0218199	-0.55	0.582	-.0551309 .0310412
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0489687	.0487016	1.01	0.316	-.0471987 .1451361
L5D.	.1325604	.1407517	0.94	0.348	-.1453713 .4104921
_cons	.3316357	.6413999	0.52	0.606	-.9348884 1.59816

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 4 (gap count includes panel changes)

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.297	1	0.5860

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 1.721773 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .9951

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-4.065535	6.133774	-0.66	0.508	-16.17744 8.046367

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

F(2, 163) = 0.51
 Prob > F = 0.6041

```

. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -513.770   Log-Lik Full Model:      -512.840
D(171):                      1025.679   LR(3):                   1.860
                              Prob > LR:                0.602
R2:                           0.011   Adjusted R2:             -0.007
AIC:                           5.907   AIC*n:                   1033.679
BIC:                           142.501   BIC':                    13.635
BIC used by Stata:            1046.338   AIC used by Stata:       1033.679

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance

.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -508.888   Log-Lik Full Model:      -507.400
D(168):                      1014.800   LR(4):                   2.976
                              Prob > LR:                0.562
R2:                           0.017   Adjusted R2:             -0.006
AIC:                           5.924   AIC*n:                   1024.800
BIC:                           149.047   BIC':                    17.637
BIC used by Stata:            1040.567   AIC used by Stata:       1024.800

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance

.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -503.995   Log-Lik Full Model:      -501.306
D(165):                      1002.612   LR(5):                   5.379
                              Prob > LR:                0.371
R2:                           0.031   Adjusted R2:             0.002
AIC:                           5.933   AIC*n:                   1014.612
BIC:                           154.238   BIC':                    20.330
BIC used by Stata:            1033.462   AIC used by Stata:       1014.612

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance

.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -499.091   Log-Lik Full Model:      -495.570
D(162):                      991.140   LR(6):                   7.041
                              Prob > LR:                0.317
R2:                           0.041   Adjusted R2:             0.005
AIC:                           5.948   AIC*n:                   1005.140
BIC:                           160.097   BIC':                    23.738
BIC used by Stata:            1027.050   AIC used by Stata:       1005.140

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

.

```

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance if
GDP_Per_Cap_Haber_Men_2 != .
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-338.308	Log-Lik Full Model:	-338.248
D(104):	676.496	LR(3):	0.121
		Prob > LR:	0.989
R2:	0.001	Adjusted R2:	-0.028
AIC:	6.338	AIC*n:	684.496
BIC:	189.554	BIC':	13.925
BIC used by Stata:	695.224	AIC used by Stata:	684.496

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance if GDP_Per_Cap_Haber_Men_2 != .
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-335.662	Log-Lik Full Model:	-335.080
D(102):	670.161	LR(4):	1.164
		Prob > LR:	0.884
R2:	0.011	Adjusted R2:	-0.028
AIC:	6.357	AIC*n:	680.161
BIC:	193.532	BIC':	17.527
BIC used by Stata:	693.525	AIC used by Stata:	680.161

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance if GDP_Per_Cap_Haber_Men_2 !=.
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-333.012	Log-Lik Full Model:	-331.826
D(100):	663.651	LR(5):	2.372
		Prob > LR:	0.796
R2:	0.022	Adjusted R2:	-0.027
AIC:	6.374	AIC*n:	675.651
BIC:	197.307	BIC':	20.945
BIC used by Stata:	691.632	AIC used by Stata:	675.651

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
.
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance if
GDP_Per_Cap_Haber_Men_2 != .
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	108
Model	3.72302884	3	1.24100961	F(3, 104) =	0.04
Residual	3321.27697	104	31.9353555	Prob > F =	0.9897
Total	3325	107	31.0747664	R-squared =	0.0011
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0277
				Root MSE =	5.6511

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s						
L1.	-.0057313	.0326567	-0.18	0.861	-.0704907	.059028
Fiscal_Reliance						
L1.	.0100024	.073923	0.14	0.893	-.1365898	.1565946
D1.	-.0375924	.160602	-0.23	0.815	-.3560723	.2808874
_cons	.9180638	.9295824	0.99	0.326	-.9253331	2.761461

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.021	1	0.8860

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 2.510054 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .9806
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-1.74521	9.740322	-0.18	0.858	-21.06064	17.57021

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F( 2, 104) = 0.02
Prob > F = 0.9844
```



```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2
l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE d.Fiscal_Reliance d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2
d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	107
Model	307.871856	9	34.207984	F(9, 97) =	1.10
Residual	3016.42721	97	31.0971877	Prob > F =	0.3702
				R-squared =	0.0926
				Adj R-squared =	0.0084
Total	3324.29907	106	31.3613119	Root MSE =	5.5765

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.1215847	.0608971	-2.00	0.049	-.2424485	-.0007209
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	.005899	.0780862	0.08	0.940	-.1490804	.1608784
log_gdp_pe~2						
L1.	-.5079114	1.600761	-0.32	0.752	-3.684979	2.669156
REGION_DEM~E						
L1.	.1307097	.055653	2.35	0.021	.020254	.2411655
WORLD_DEM~E						
L1.	.002551	.1455359	0.02	0.986	-.2862975	.2913995
Fiscal_Rel~e						
D1.	.0367402	.164773	0.22	0.824	-.2902886	.3637691
log_gdp_pe~2						
D1.	-4.274123	12.8845	-0.33	0.741	-29.84629	21.29804
REGION_DEM~E						
D1.	-.1813196	.2208389	-0.82	0.414	-.6196237	.2569846
WORLD_DEM~E						
D1.	.3841815	.3690765	1.04	0.300	-.3483331	1.116696
_cons	5.794809	11.86438	0.49	0.626	-17.75269	29.34231

```
. bdiag, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.026	1	0.8714

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 46.63513 Chi-sq(54) P-value = .7514
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.0485178	.6351936	-0.08	0.939	-1.309201	1.212166

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 97) = 2.15
Prob > F = 0.1217
```

```

test 1.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 1.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE 1.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE

( 1) L.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
( 2) L.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
( 3) L.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0

      F( 3, 97) = 2.82
      Prob > F = 0.0432

. test d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE

( 1) D.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
( 2) D.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
( 3) D.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0

      F( 3, 97) = 0.50
      Prob > F = 0.6810

fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -335.662   Log-Lik Full Model:      -330.463
D(97):                      660.926   LR(9):                   10.399
                              Prob > LR:      0.319
R2:                          0.093     Adjusted R2:             0.008
AIC:                          6.364     AIC*n:                   680.926
BIC:                          207.661    BIC':                    31.657
BIC used by Stata:           707.654    AIC used by Stata:      680.926

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```

**THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS
RUN FOR THE NIGERIA TIME-SERIES.**

NOTA BENE:

We always calculate Unit Root Tests (using Augmented Dickey Fuller Tests) on Polity and Fiscal Reliance, first in levels and then in differences, before performing Engle Granger cointegration tests. These are preceded by ECM cointegration tests and ECM regression estimation.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L.Fiscal Reliance]/_b[L_polity_s]`

NOTA BENE:

We always run a bunch of single lag experiments, where we introduce different lag lengths of Fiscal Reliance in differences. We do so one at a time. Namely, we proceed as follows: we introduce Fiscal Reliance lagged one year. Then we remove it and introduce the second lag of Fiscal Reliance and keep doing this until we reach Fiscal Reliance in t-5. These are reported in the regression tables in the appendix.

NOTA BENE:

Finally, we run a series of finite distributed lag models (where all of the lags are introduced simultaneously) and then use the BIC statistic to choose the most parsimonious model and that model is estimated and reported in the regression table in the online appendix.

NIGERIAN UNIT-ROOT TESTS

Polity_s

dfuller polity_s, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 45

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.519	-4.196	-3.192

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.3184

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.2127113	.0844372	-2.52	0.016	-.3832356	-.042187
LD.	.2062471	.1494719	1.38	0.175	-.0956176	.5081118
_trend	.1818578	.1944693	0.94	0.355	-.2108808	.5745965
_cons	4.240142	6.49525	0.65	0.518	-8.877282	17.35757

dfuller polity_s, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 45

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.563	-3.614	-2.606

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.1009

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.2158911	.0842425	-2.56	0.014	-.3858994	-.0458829
LD.	.2300953	.1470601	1.56	0.125	-.0666841	.5268747
_cons	8.749236	4.345345	2.01	0.051	-.0200254	17.5185

Polity_s_FD

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 44

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.318	-4.205	-3.524	-3.194

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0030

D.polity_s~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s_FD						
L1.	-.9114381	.2110805	-4.32	0.000	-1.338048	-.4848285
LD.	.0206587	.1579772	0.13	0.897	-.2986251	.3399425
_trend	.2217884	.2211905	1.00	0.322	-.2252542	.6688311
_cons	-5.626318	5.893615	-0.95	0.345	-17.53776	6.285122

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 44

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.200	-3.621	-2.947	-2.607

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0007

D.	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s_FD						
L1.	-.8631855	.2055353	-4.20	0.000	-1.278273	-.4480985
LD.	-.0032812	.1561729	-0.02	0.983	-.3186788	.3121164
_cons	-.3923571	2.736576	-0.14	0.887	-5.918983	5.134269

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs

dfuller fiscalreliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 45

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-1.521	-4.196	-3.520

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.8217

D.fiscalre~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~e						
L1.	-.1033978	.0679633	-1.52	0.136	-.2406524	.0338567
LD.	-.0035623	.1551675	-0.02	0.982	-.3169295	.3098048
_trend	.0914437	.1493435	0.61	0.544	-.2101617	.3930491
_cons	5.779528	2.784664	2.08	0.044	.1557847	11.40327

.
.

dfuller fiscalreliance, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 45

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-1.709	-3.614	-2.944

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.4267

D.fiscalreli~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~e						
L1.	-.0704677	.0412425	-1.71	0.095	-.1536984	.012763
LD.	-.0276138	.1489921	-0.19	0.854	-.328292	.2730644
_cons	6.061836	2.725718	2.22	0.032	.5611142	11.56256

.
.
.

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs_FD

dfuller fiscalreliance_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 44

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.670	-4.205	-3.194

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0008

D.fiscalre~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~D						
L1.	-1.070289	.2291986	-4.67	0.000	-1.533517	-.6070617
LD.	.0178753	.1579875	0.11	0.910	-.3014293	.33718
_trend	-.0991137	.0992125	-1.00	0.324	-.2996297	.1014023
_cons	4.303578	2.748563	1.57	0.125	-1.251476	9.858632

.
.

dfuller fiscalreliance_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 44

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.562	-3.621	-2.607

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0002

D.	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~D						
fiscalreli~D						
L1.	-1.021684	.2239695	-4.56	0.000	-1.473999	-.5693682
LD.	-.0067589	.1560475	-0.04	0.966	-.3219032	.3083854
_cons	1.884071	1.29955	1.45	0.155	-.7404237	4.508567

NIGERIAN CO-INTEGRATION TESTS

Dickey Fuller CRITICAL VALUES are NOT valid for the Engle-Granger co-integration test!!!

The critical values that STATA spits out after the Augmented Dickey Fuller Test are the critical values for an augmented Dickey Fuller Test on a variable, not residuals.

For an augmented Engle-Granger Test we instead turn to the critical values for cointegration provided by Mackinnon 1991, Table 1 (p. 275).

Polity and Fiscal Reliance

```
newey polity_s fiscal_reliance_2, lag(1)
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors      Number of obs =      47
maximum lag: 1                                F( 1, 45) =      0.72
                                              Prob > F      =      0.4015
```

polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscal_rel~2	-.1935301	.2284856	-0.85	0.401	-.6537237	.2666635
_cons	55.10021	15.70263	3.51	0.001	23.47348	86.72693

-----predict

```
dfuller residual, regress trend lags(1)
```

```
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root      Number of obs =      45
```

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.539	-4.196	-3.520

```
MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.3089
```

D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual						
L1.	-.2205093	.0868568	-2.54	0.015	-.3959201	-.0450984
LD.	.202731	.1498696	1.35	0.184	-.0999367	.5053987
_trend	.2425094	.1957745	1.24	0.222	-.1528653	.637884
_cons	-6.222998	5.336488	-1.17	0.250	-17.00025	4.554257

dfuller residual, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 45

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----			
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value	
Z(t)	-2.404	-3.614	-2.944	-2.606

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.1405

D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual						
L1.	-.208947	.0869014	-2.40	0.021	-.3843212	-.0335728
LD.	.2244875	.1497807	1.50	0.141	-.0777823	.5267573
_cons	-.3837845	2.517278	-0.15	0.880	-5.463858	4.696289

-2.40 is the test statistic

Critical Values:

-3.0462 for 10% level

We cannot reject the hypothesis of non-cointegration. Therefore, we conclude that Polity and Fiscal Reliance are not co-integrated series.

Co-integration ECM F-test strategy

No lags of differenced Polity

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	46
Model	1551.36345	3	517.12115	F(3, 42) =	1.78
Residual	12189.9409	42	290.236688	Prob > F =	0.1653
				R-squared =	0.1129
				Adj R-squared =	0.0495
Total	13741.3043	45	305.362319	Root MSE =	17.036

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1577613	.083632	-1.89	0.066	-.3265376 .0110149
fiscal_rel~2					
L1.	.0783153	.0916144	0.85	0.397	-.1065701 .2632007
D1.	-.0164634	.3397029	-0.05	0.962	-.7020117 .6690849
_cons	1.848442	7.719611	0.24	0.812	-13.73036 17.42725

```
nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.4964162	.6910248	-0.72	0.477	-1.890961 .8981282

```
test l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
 (2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0

```
F( 2, 42) = 2.66
Prob > F = 0.0815
```

10 Percent Critical Value is 4.95 for T equal to 50 and 2 non-stationary variables in the equation.

No evidence of co-integration

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 8.094557 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .5246
```

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	1.457	1	0.2275

H0: no serial correlation

1 lag of differenced Polity

. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 L.d.fiscal_reliance_2

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	45
Model	2331.95221	3	777.317403	F(3, 41) =	2.79
Residual	11409.1589	41	278.272168	Prob > F =	0.0523
				R-squared =	0.1697
				Adj R-squared =	0.1090
				Root MSE =	16.681

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1797726	.0827711	-2.17	0.036	-.3469323 -.0126129
fiscal_rel~2					
L1.	.097028	.0891582	1.09	0.283	-.0830306 .2770867
LD.	-.4717831	.3197978	-1.48	0.148	-1.117628 .1740617
_cons	2.240161	7.258112	0.31	0.759	-12.41789 16.89822

. outreg using october, nolabel 3aster bracket bdec(3) append

. test l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2

(1) L.polity_s = 0
(2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0

F(2, 41) = 3.38
Prob > F = 0.0439

. nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

_nl_1: _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.5397264	.5868453	-0.92	0.363	-1.724885 .6454317

. whitetst

White's general test statistic : 7.765817 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .5579

. bgodfrey, lags (1)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	1.507	1	0.2196

H0: no serial correlation

2 lags of Polity

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 L2.d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	44
Model	1948.97914	3	649.659715	F(3, 40) =	2.20
Residual	11791.9299	40	294.798249	Prob > F =	0.1026
				R-squared =	0.1418
				Adj R-squared =	0.0775
Total	13740.9091	43	319.556025	Root MSE =	17.17

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1844025	.0883934	-2.09	0.043	-.3630522 -.0057527
fiscal_rel~2					
L1.	.1197201	.0951708	1.26	0.216	-.0726271 .3120674
L2D.	-.0033587	.3344319	-0.01	0.992	-.6792709 .6725534
_cons	-.1466847	7.632408	-0.02	0.985	-15.57236 15.27899

```
. outreg using october, nolabel 3aster bracket bdec(3) append
```

```
. test l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0

```
F( 2, 40) = 3.22  
Prob > F = 0.0505
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.6492328	.6255221	-1.04	0.306	-1.91346 .6149944

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 9.616352 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .3824
```

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	1.142	1	0.2852

H0: no serial correlation

3 lags of Polity

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 L3.d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	43
Model	2278.15865	3	759.386215	F(3, 39) =	2.58
Residual	11462.539	39	293.911257	Prob > F =	0.0670
				R-squared =	0.1658
				Adj R-squared =	0.1016
Total	13740.6977	42	327.159468	Root MSE =	17.144

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.201231	.0903891	-2.23	0.032	-.3840602 -.0184017
fiscal_rel~2					
L1.	.1496335	.0994096	1.51	0.140	-.0514415 .3507084
L3D.	.0931505	.3327262	0.28	0.781	-.5798517 .7661526
_cons	-1.879639	7.707854	-0.24	0.809	-17.47025 13.71097

```
. outreg using october, nolabel 3aster bracket bdec(3) append
```

```
. test l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0

```
F( 2, 39) = 3.65  
Prob > F = 0.0352
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.7435905	.5994775	-1.24	0.222	-1.956148 .4689671

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 17.44046 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .0422
```

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	1.028	1	0.3106

H0: no serial correlation

Four lags of Polity

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 L.4.d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	42
Model	2590.61619	3	863.538731	F(3, 38) =	2.95
Residual	11129.0267	38	292.869123	Prob > F =	0.0449
				R-squared =	0.1888
				Adj R-squared =	0.1248
Total	13719.6429	41	334.625436	Root MSE =	17.113

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s						
L1.	-.2374884	.0934783	-2.54	0.015	-.4267253	-.0482516
fiscal_rel~2						
L1.	.1875245	.1061414	1.77	0.085	-.0273476	.4023966
L4D.	-.1869725	.3344805	-0.56	0.579	-.8640928	.4901478
_cons	-2.733134	7.833018	-0.35	0.729	-18.59025	13.12398

```
. outreg using october, nolabel 3aster bracket bdec(3) append
```

```
. test l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
( 2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
```

```
F( 2, 38) = 4.41
Prob > F = 0.0189
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

_nl_1	-.7896153	.5203064	-1.52	0.137	-1.84292	.2636898

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 14.01448 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .1218
```

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2

1	0.743	1	0.3888

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
.
```

5 LAGS OF FISCAL RELIANCE

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 L5.d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	41
Model	3231.23319	3	1077.07773	F(3, 37) =	3.80
Residual	10488.279	37	283.467	Prob > F =	0.0180
				R-squared =	0.2355
				Adj R-squared =	0.1735
Total	13719.5122	40	342.987805	Root MSE =	16.836

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s						
L1.	-.2824052	.0966935	-2.92	0.006	-.4783249	-.0864855
fiscal_rel~2						
L1.	.2581715	.1141904	2.26	0.030	.0267998	.4895431
L5D.	-.213564	.3329797	-0.64	0.525	-.888245	.4611169
_cons	-6.081244	7.993471	-0.76	0.452	-22.27756	10.11507

```
. outreg using october, nolabel 3aster bracket bdec(3) append
```

```
. test l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0

```
F( 2, 37) = 5.69
Prob > F = 0.0070
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

_nl_1	-.9141882	.4569483	-2.00	0.053	-1.840053	.011677

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 25.91514 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .0021
```

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	4.738	1	0.0295

H0: no serial correlation

BECAUSE BOTH AUTOCORRELATION AND HETEROSKEDASTICITY DETECTED, RE-RAN THE MODELS WITH NEWBY WEST STANDARD ERRORS.

```
newey D.polity_s 1.polity_s 1.fiscal_reliance_2 L5.d.fiscal_reliance_2, lag(1)
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors      Number of obs =      41
maximum lag: 1                                F( 3, 37) =      1.78
                                              Prob > F      =      0.1682
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.2824052	.1437992	-1.96	0.057	-.5737701	.0089598
fiscal_rel~2						
L1.	.2581715	.1373315	1.88	0.068	-.0200885	.5364314
L5D.	-.213564	.5070163	-0.42	0.676	-1.240877	.8137485
_cons	-6.081244	6.996607	-0.87	0.390	-20.25772	8.095229

```
. outreg using october, nolabel 3aster bracket bdec(3) append
```

```
. test 1.polity_s 1.fiscal_reliance_2
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
( 2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
```

```
F( 2, 37) = 2.23
Prob > F = 0.1219
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.9141882	.3922383	-2.33	0.025	-1.708938	-.1194379

CHOOSING A DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL WITH THE BIC STATISTIC

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-196.360	Log-Lik Full Model:	-193.605
D(42):	387.210	LR(3):	5.511
		Prob > LR:	0.138
R2:	0.113	Adjusted R2:	0.050
AIC:	8.592	AIC*n:	395.210
BIC:	226.407	BIC':	5.975

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2  
L.d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-192.586	Log-Lik Full Model:	-188.395
D(40):	376.791	LR(4):	8.381
		Prob > LR:	0.079
R2:	0.170	Adjusted R2:	0.087
AIC:	8.595	AIC*n:	386.791
BIC:	224.524	BIC':	6.846

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2  
L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.2.d.fiscal_reliance  
fiscal_reliance ambiguous abbreviation  
r(111);
```

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2  
L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.2.d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-188.800	Log-Lik Full Model:	-184.160
D(38):	368.321	LR(5):	9.280
		Prob > LR:	0.098
R2:	0.190	Adjusted R2:	0.084
AIC:	8.644	AIC*n:	380.321
BIC:	224.521	BIC':	9.641

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2  
L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.2.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.3.d.fiscal_re  
> liance_2
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-185.003	Log-Lik Full Model:	-179.790
D(36):	359.579	LR(6):	10.427
		Prob > LR:	0.108
R2:	0.215	Adjusted R2:	0.085
AIC:	8.688	AIC*n:	373.579
BIC:	224.176	BIC':	12.141

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```

quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2
L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.2.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.3.d.fiscal_re
> liance_2 L.4.d.fiscal_reliance
fiscal_reliance ambiguous abbreviation
r(111);

. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2
L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.2.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.3.d.fiscal_re
> liance_2 L.4.d.fiscal_reliance_2

. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -181.163      Log-Lik Full Model:      -175.594
D(34):                       351.188      LR(7):                   11.138
                               Prob > LR:                0.133
R2:                           0.233      Adjusted R2:             0.075
AIC:                          8.743      AIC*n:                   367.188
BIC:                          224.107     BIC':                    15.026

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2
L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.2.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.3.d.fiscal_re
> liance_2 L.4.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.5.d.fiscal_reliance_2

. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -177.343      Log-Lik Full Model:      -170.176
D(32):                       340.352      LR(8):                   14.334
                               Prob > LR:                0.073
R2:                           0.295      Adjusted R2:             0.119
AIC:                          8.740      AIC*n:                   358.352
BIC:                          221.518     BIC':                    15.375

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

.

```

THE BIC CHOOSES 5 LAGS OF FISCAL RELIANCE

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2
L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.2.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.3.d.fiscal_reliance_2
L.4.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.5.d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	41
Model	4047.77517	8	505.971896	F(8, 32) =	1.67
Residual	9671.73703	32	302.241782	Prob > F =	0.1433
				R-squared =	0.2950
				Adj R-squared =	0.1188
Total	13719.5122	40	342.987805	Root MSE =	17.385

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.3075899	.106044	-2.90	0.007	-.5235945	-.0915853
fiscal_rel~2						
L1.	.2870364	.1276369	2.25	0.032	.0270485	.5470243
D1.	.1796769	.3649695	0.49	0.626	-.5637416	.9230953
LD.	-.45831	.3389191	-1.35	0.186	-1.148666	.2320457
L2D.	-.0396957	.3446979	-0.12	0.909	-.7418225	.662431
L3D.	-.0123355	.3465749	-0.04	0.972	-.7182855	.6936145
L4D.	-.197197	.347259	-0.57	0.574	-.9045405	.5101465
L5D.	-.2366563	.3489951	-0.68	0.503	-.9475361	.4742234
_cons	-5.999501	9.145334	-0.66	0.517	-24.62794	12.62893

. bgodfrey, lags (1)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	7.604	1	0.0058

H0: no serial correlation

BECAUSE SERIAL CORRELATION DETECTED, NEWKEY WEST STANDARD ERRORS ARE USED

```
newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.d.fiscal_reliance_2
L.2.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.3.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L
> .4.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.5.d.fiscal_reliance_2, lag(1)
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors          Number of obs =      41
maximum lag: 1                                     F( 8, 32) =      0.98
                                                    Prob > F          =    0.4687
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.3075899	.1465503	-2.10	0.044	-.606103	-.0090768
fiscal_rel~2						
L1.	.2870364	.1687868	1.70	0.099	-.056771	.6308438
D1.	.1796769	.3520109	0.51	0.613	-.5373459	.8966996
LD.	-.45831	.3137401	-1.46	0.154	-1.097378	.1807576
L2D.	-.0396957	.2297758	-0.17	0.864	-.5077338	.4283424
L3D.	-.0123355	.307603	-0.04	0.968	-.6389023	.6142313
L4D.	-.197197	.3076954	-0.64	0.526	-.8239521	.4295581
L5D.	-.2366563	.5003294	-0.47	0.639	-1.255794	.7824813
_cons	-5.999501	9.403051	-0.64	0.528	-25.15289	13.15389

. outreg using october, nolabel 3aster bracket bdec(3) append

```
. test l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0

```
F( 2, 32) = 2.34  
Prob > F = 0.1128
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.9331791	.4458129	-2.09	0.044	-1.84127 - .025088

```
test d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.2.d.fiscal_reliance_2  
L.3.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.4.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.5.d.fiscal_reliance_2
```

- (1) D.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
- (2) LD.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
- (3) L2D.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
- (4) L3D.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
- (5) L4D.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
- (6) L5D.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0

```
F( 6, 32) = 0.87  
Prob > F = 0.5265
```

BECAUSE GDP PER CAPITA IS NOT MISSING ANY VALUES, WE CAN SIMPLY MOVE TO A SPECIFICATION WITH THE CONTROL VARIABLES AND NOT A TRUNCATED BIVARIATE RERUN.

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.fiscal_reliance_2 l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2
l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE L.civil_war_gledistsch d.fiscal_reliance_2
L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.2.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.3.d.fiscal_reliance_2
L.4.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.5.d.fiscal_reliance_2 d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2
d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	41
Model	8058.42332	15	537.228221	F(15, 25) =	2.37
Residual	5661.08888	25	226.443555	Prob > F =	0.0271
				R-squared =	0.5874
				Adj R-squared =	0.3398
Total	13719.5122	40	342.987805	Root MSE =	15.048

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.418235	.1048995	-3.99	0.001	-.6342796 -.2021904
fiscal_rel~2					
L1.	-.0470287	.1990302	-0.24	0.815	-.4569391 .3628818
log_gdp_pe~2					
L1.	128.4616	38.59452	3.33	0.003	48.9747 207.9485
REGION_DEM~E					
L1.	-1.811414	1.330928	-1.36	0.186	-4.552512 .9296827
WORLD_DEM~E					
L1.	.7567044	.8957818	0.84	0.406	-1.088193 2.601602
civil_war~h					
L1.	21.76205	10.63772	2.05	0.051	-.1467386 43.67084
fiscal_rel~2					
D1.	.037358	.3735275	0.10	0.921	-.7319363 .8066523
LD.	-.7140038	.3179626	-2.25	0.034	-1.36886 -.0591475
L2D.	-.3335499	.3502113	-0.95	0.350	-1.054824 .3877239
L3D.	-.4292396	.4089555	-1.05	0.304	-1.271499 .41302
L4D.	-.2945266	.4241851	-0.69	0.494	-1.168152 .579099
L5D.	-.7773705	.3807017	-2.04	0.052	-1.56144 .0066994
log_gdp_pe~2					
D1.	-6.927521	55.07585	-0.13	0.901	-120.3584 106.5033
REGION_DEM~E					
D1.	-.9015617	1.933748	-0.47	0.645	-4.884191 3.081068
WORLD_DEM~E					
D1.	3.735761	3.157635	1.18	0.248	-2.76751 10.23903
_cons	-881.733	257.6831	-3.42	0.002	-1412.441 -351.0248

whitetst

White's general test statistic : 41 Chi-sq(40) P-value = .4265

bgodfrey, lags (1)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	4.683	1	0.0305

H0: no serial correlation

THIS SPECIFICATION HAS SERIAL CORRELATION, SO WE HAVE TO RERUN WITH ROBUST STANDARD ERRORS.

fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-177.343	Log-Lik Full Model:	-159.196
D(25):	318.393	LR(15):	36.293
		Prob > LR:	0.002
R2:	0.587	Adjusted R2:	0.340
AIC:	8.546	AIC*n:	350.393
BIC:	225.553	BIC':	19.410

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

newey D.polity_s 1.polity_s 1.fiscal_reliance_2 1.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2
 1.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE 1.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE L.civil_war_gledistsch d.fiscal_reliance_2
 L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.2.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.3.d.fiscal_reliance_2
 L.4.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.5.d.fiscal_reliance_2 d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2
 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, lag(1)

Regression with Newey-West standard errors	Number of obs =	41
maximum lag: 1	F(15, 25) =	2.08
	Prob > F =	0.0511

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.418235	.1424546	-2.94	0.007	-.7116257	-.1248443
fiscal_rel~2						
L1.	-.0470287	.2608262	-0.18	0.858	-.5842102	.4901529
log_gdp_pe~2						
L1.	128.4616	53.83693	2.39	0.025	17.58237	239.3408
REGION_DEM~E						
L1.	-1.811414	1.384201	-1.31	0.203	-4.662229	1.0394
WORLD_DEM~E						
L1.	.7567044	1.018484	0.74	0.464	-1.340904	2.854312
civil_war~h						
L1.	21.76205	11.20602	1.94	0.063	-1.317189	44.84129
fiscal_rel~2						
D1.	.037358	.4034406	0.09	0.927	-.7935435	.8682595
LD.	-.7140038	.2658677	-2.69	0.013	-1.261568	-.166439
L2D.	-.3335499	.3386615	-0.98	0.334	-1.031036	.3639366
L3D.	-.4292396	.3454236	-1.24	0.226	-1.140653	.2821736
L4D.	-.2945266	.3758349	-0.78	0.441	-1.068573	.4795199
L5D.	-.7773705	.4670623	-1.66	0.109	-1.739303	.1845623
log_gdp_pe~2						
D1.	-6.927521	50.90236	-0.14	0.893	-111.7629	97.90785
REGION_DEM~E						
D1.	-.9015617	1.761894	-0.51	0.613	-4.53025	2.727126
WORLD_DEM~E						
D1.	3.735761	2.046609	1.83	0.080	-.4793099	7.950832
_cons	-881.733	360.5159	-2.45	0.022	-1624.229	-139.2365

nlcom _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

 _nl_1: _b[L.fiscal_reliance_2]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.1124455	.6290665	0.18	0.860	-1.183141	1.408032

test 1.polity_s 1.fiscal_reliance_2

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0

F(2, 25) = 4.44
Prob > F = 0.0224

test d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.2.d.fiscal_reliance_2
L.3.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.4.d.fiscal_reliance_2 L.5.d.fiscal_reliance_2

- (1) D.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
- (2) LD.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
- (3) L2D.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
- (4) L3D.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
- (5) L4D.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
- (6) L5D.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0

F(6, 25) = 1.47
Prob > F = 0.2284

test 1.fiscal_reliance_2 1.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 1.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
1.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE L.civil_war_gledistsch

- (1) L.fiscal_reliance_2 = 0
- (2) L.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
- (3) L.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
- (4) L.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
- (5) L.civil_war_gledistsch = 0

F(5, 25) = 2.54
Prob > F = 0.0546

test d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE

- (1) D.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
- (2) D.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
- (3) D.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0

F(3, 25) = 1.11
Prob > F = 0.3632

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR THE NORWAY TIME-SERIES.

NOTA BENE:

We always calculate Unit Root Tests (using Augmented Dickey Fuller Tests) on Polity and Fiscal Reliance, first in levels and then in differences, before performing Engle Granger cointegration tests. These are preceded by ECM cointegration tests and ECM regression estimation.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L.Fiscal Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]`

NOTA BENE:

We always run a bunch of single lag experiments, where we introduce different lag lengths of Fiscal Reliance in differences. We do so one at a time. Namely, we proceed as follows: we introduce Fiscal Reliance lagged one year. Then we remove it and introduce the second lag of Fiscal Reliance and keep doing this until we reach Fiscal Reliance in t-5. These are reported in the regression tables in the appendix.

NOTA BENE:

Finally, we run a series of finite distributed lag models (where all of the lags are introduced simultaneously) and then use the BIC statistic to choose the most parsimonious model and that model is estimated and reported in the regression table in the online appendix.

NORWAY UNIT-ROOT TESTS

Polity_s

. dfuller polity_s, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 184

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-1.365	-4.012	-3.439

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.8710

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0252525	.0185057	-1.36	0.174	-.0617685	.0112634
LD.	.0036923	.0749315	0.05	0.961	-.1441648	.1515495
_trend	.0132639	.0131786	1.01	0.316	-.0127405	.0392683
_cons	.8174905	.6819595	1.20	0.232	-.5281731	2.163154

.
.

. dfuller polity_s, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 184

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-1.020	-3.482	-2.884

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.7458

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.008746	.0085728	-1.02	0.309	-.0256615	.0081695
LD.	-.007019	.0741746	-0.09	0.925	-.153377	.1393391
_cons	1.028937	.6488162	1.59	0.115	-.251279	2.309153

.
.

Polity_s_FD

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 182

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----			
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value	
Z(t)	-9.596	-4.013	-3.439	-3.139

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.polity_s~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s_FD						
L1.	-1.022705	.1065726	-9.60	0.000	-1.233014	-.812397
LD.	.0113224	.0749398	0.15	0.880	-.1365623	.1592071
_trend	-.0027978	.0062283	-0.45	0.654	-.0150886	.009493
_cons	.7436883	.6896143	1.08	0.282	-.6171834	2.10456

. dfuller polity_s_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 182

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----			
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value	
Z(t)	-9.607	-3.483	-2.885	-2.575

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s_FD						
L1.	-1.020646	.1062363	-9.61	0.000	-1.230282	-.8110092
LD.	.0103229	.0747395	0.14	0.890	-.137161	.1578068
_cons	.4766752	.3488526	1.37	0.174	-.2117174	1.165068

.

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs

dfuller fiscalreliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 188

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-3.406	-4.011	-3.438

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0506

D.fiscalre~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~e						
L1.	-.0835088	.0245204	-3.41	0.001	-.1318862	-.0351314
LD.	.4533973	.0631609	7.18	0.000	.3287847	.57801
_trend	.0100192	.0042073	2.38	0.018	.0017184	.01832
_cons	-.5848352	.41656	-1.40	0.162	-1.406683	.2370128

dfuller Fiscal_Reliance, regress trend lags(2)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 186

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.791	-4.011	-3.439

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.2004

D.Fiscal_R~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	-.0689257	.0246981	-2.79	0.006	-.1176589	-.0201925
LD.	.605097	.0688711	8.79	0.000	.4692035	.7409904
L2D.	-.2786259	.0712338	-3.91	0.000	-.4191814	-.1380704
_trend	.0079068	.0040858	1.94	0.055	-.000155	.0159687
_cons	-.4569365	.4036725	-1.13	0.259	-1.253446	.3395726

dfuller Fiscal_Reliance, regress trend lags(3)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 184

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.954	-4.012	-3.439

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.1453

D.Fiscal_R~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	-.0765032	.0258964	-2.95	0.004	-.1276066	-.0253997
LD.	.6282903	.0749967	8.38	0.000	.4802933	.7762873
L2D.	-.307345	.0893392	-3.44	0.001	-.4836453	-.1310448
L3D.	.0746046	.0766016	0.97	0.331	-.0765595	.2257687
_trend	.0083994	.0041618	2.02	0.045	.0001866	.0166121
_cons	-.4922216	.411296	-1.20	0.233	-1.303865	.3194222

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs_FD

dfuller fiscalreliance_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 186

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-9.974	-4.011	-3.439	-3.139

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.fiscalre~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~D						
L1.	-.7424349	.0744357	-9.97	0.000	-.8893027	-.595567
LD.	.3305002	.0700365	4.72	0.000	.1923122	.4686881
_trend	.0020374	.0035676	0.57	0.569	-.0050017	.0090765
_cons	-.1064114	.3878614	-0.27	0.784	-.8716945	.6588717

dfuller fiscalreliance_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 186

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-9.978	-3.481	-2.884	-2.574

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.fiscalreli~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~D						
L1.	-.7407074	.0742371	-9.98	0.000	-.8871781	-.5942366
LD.	.3301772	.0699052	4.72	0.000	.1922534	.468101
_cons	.0860835	.1915435	0.45	0.654	-.2918341	.4640011

dfuller D.Fiscal_Reliance, regress lags(2)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 184

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-7.625	-3.482	-2.884	-2.574

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D2.Fiscal_Rel~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
LD.	-.7146442	.0937288	-7.62	0.000	-.8995928	-.5296956
LD2.	.3177303	.0789375	4.03	0.000	.1619685	.4734922
L2D2.	-.0373709	.0770661	-0.48	0.628	-.1894402	.1146983
_cons	.0872848	.1941749	0.45	0.654	-.2958671	.4704366

NORWAY CO-INTEGRATION TESTS

Dickey Fuller CRITICAL VALUES are NOT valid for the Engle-Granger co-integration test!!!

The critical values that STATA spits out after the Augmented Dickey Fuller Test are the critical values for an augmented Dickey Fuller Test on a variable, not residuals.

For an augmented Engle-Granger Test we instead turn to the critical values for cointegration provided by Engle-Granger from Engle and Yoo (1987, Table 3).

Polity and Fiscal Reliance

```
newey polity_s Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors      Number of obs =      187
maximum lag: 1                                F( 1, 185) =     40.10
                                                Prob > F      =     0.0000
```

```
-----+-----
```

polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e	1.303042	.2057663	6.33	0.000	.8970917	1.708992
_cons	59.69508	4.353991	13.71	0.000	51.10522	68.28494

```
-----+-----
```

```
predict residual, res
(6 missing values generated)
```

```
dfuller residual, regress trend lags(1)
```

```
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root      Number of obs =      181
```

```
----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----
```

Test Statistic	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.013	-3.439	-3.139

```
-----
```

```
MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.8344
```

```
-----+-----
```

D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual						
L1.	-.0276622	.0186343	-1.48	0.139	-.0644363	.0091118
LD.	.1972893	.0729535	2.70	0.008	.0533187	.3412599
_trend	.0086945	.0127508	0.68	0.496	-.0164687	.0338577
_cons	-.5989765	1.291133	-0.46	0.643	-3.146973	1.94902

```
-----+-----
```

dfuller residual, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 181

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-1.497	-3.483	-2.885

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.5349

D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual						
L1.	-.017942	.0119833	-1.50	0.136	-.0415897	.0057056
LD.	.1914019	.0723318	2.65	0.009	.0486637	.3341402
_cons	.2255453	.4519602	0.50	0.618	-.6663443	1.117435

dfuller residual, regress lags(2)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 178

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-1.424	-3.484	-2.885

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.5709

D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual						
L1.	-.0173899	.0122145	-1.42	0.156	-.0414975	.0067178
LD.	.2078306	.0753515	2.76	0.006	.05911	.3565511
L2D.	-.0625786	.0756253	-0.83	0.409	-.2118397	.0866825
_cons	.2599156	.460084	0.56	0.573	-.6481483	1.16798

Test statistic: -1.42

Critical Values:

This t-stat is well-short of the 10% significance level which is -3.0462

We cannot reject the hypothesis of non-integration. Therefore, we conclude that Polity and Fiscal Reliance are not co-integrated series.

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	184
Model	22.2973141	3	7.43243804	F(3, 180) =	0.35
Residual	3863.43638	180	21.4635355	Prob > F =	0.7919
Total	3885.7337	183	21.2335175	R-squared =	0.0057
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0108
				Root MSE =	4.6329

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0080857	.0090902	-0.89	0.375	-.0260227 .0098512
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	-.0064585	.0372549	-0.17	0.863	-.079971 .067054
D1.	-.0041405	.1084371	-0.04	0.970	-.218112 .2098309
_cons	1.002522	.6490443	1.54	0.124	-.2781917 2.283237

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 2 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.012	1	0.9143

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 8.697989 Chi-sq( 7) P-value = .2751
```

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.7987537	4.978325	0.16	0.873	-9.024631 10.62214

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 180) = 0.52
```

```
Prob > F = 0.5959
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance l.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	183
Model	22.8346729	3	7.61155762	F(3, 179) =	0.35
Residual	3862.68445	179	21.5792428	Prob > F =	0.7872
Total	3885.51913	182	21.3490062	R-squared =	0.0059
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0108
				Root MSE =	4.6453

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0082664	.0091214	-0.91	0.366	-.0262657 .009733
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	-.0064298	.0383732	-0.17	0.867	-.0821518 .0692923
LD.	.0022394	.1087289	0.02	0.984	-.2123159 .2167947
_cons	1.017584	.6550401	1.55	0.122	-.2750101 2.310178

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 2 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.012	1	0.9144

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 8.645284 Chi-sq(7) P-value = .2791

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.7778229	4.985995	0.16	0.876	-9.061069 10.61671

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 179) = 0.53
Prob > F = 0.5901
```



```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	181
Model	23.2431674	3	7.74772245	F(3, 177) =	0.36
Residual	3861.83971	177	21.8183034	Prob > F =	0.7855
				R-squared =	0.0060
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0109
Total	3885.08287	180	21.5837937	Root MSE =	4.671

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0083936	.0092352	-0.91	0.365	-.026619 .0098317
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	-.006748	.0402292	-0.17	0.867	-.0861386 .0726426
L2D.	.005042	.1132033	0.04	0.965	-.2183598 .2284439
_cons	1.031902	.6633346	1.56	0.122	-.2771609 2.340964

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 2 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.012	1	0.9138

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 8.53915 Chi-sq(7) P-value = .2875

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.8039449	5.148278	0.16	0.876	-9.355962 10.96385

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 177) = 0.53
Prob > F = 0.5880
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance l.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	180
Model	23.833102	3	7.94436732	F(3, 176) =	0.36
Residual	3861.02801	176	21.9376591	Prob > F =	0.7804
Total	3884.86111	179	21.7031347	R-squared =	0.0061
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0108
				Root MSE =	4.6838

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0085712	.0092804	-0.92	0.357	-.0268864 .0097439
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	-.0063981	.0391061	-0.16	0.870	-.0835754 .0707791
L3D.	.0037554	.1168239	0.03	0.974	-.2268007 .2343115
_cons	1.047563	.6696839	1.56	0.120	-.2740816 2.369207

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 3 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.012	1	0.9136

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 8.486522 Chi-sq(7) P-value = .2917

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.7464686	4.880148	0.15	0.879	-8.884671 10.37761

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1)  L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2)  L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

F(2, 176) = 0.54
 Prob > F = 0.5820

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance l.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	179
Model	24.4520596	3	8.15068654	F(3, 175) =	0.37
Residual	3860.18481	175	22.0581989	Prob > F =	0.7751
Total	3884.63687	178	21.8238026	R-squared =	0.0063
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0107
				Root MSE =	4.6966

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0087475	.0093325	-0.94	0.350	-.0271662 .0096713
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	-.0061863	.0384755	-0.16	0.872	-.082122 .0697495
L4D.	.0023285	.1105345	0.02	0.983	-.2158238 .2204809
_cons	1.063548	.676204	1.57	0.118	-.2710164 2.398113

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 3 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.012	1	0.9133

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 8.433936 Chi-sq(7) P-value = .2959

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.7072042	4.69049	0.15	0.880	-8.550006 9.964414

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1)  L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2)  L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 175) = 0.55
```

```
Prob > F = 0.5755
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance l.5.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	178
Model	25.1331513	3	8.37771711	F(3, 174) =	0.38
Residual	3859.27696	174	22.1797526	Prob > F =	0.7692
Total	3884.41011	177	21.9458198	R-squared =	0.0065
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0107
				Root MSE =	4.7095

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0088959	.0094179	-0.94	0.346	-.0274839 .0096922
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	-.006566	.0402074	-0.16	0.870	-.0859229 .0727909
L5D.	.0048905	.1206915	0.04	0.968	-.2333173 .2430983
_cons	1.079335	.6830455	1.58	0.116	-.268786 2.427456

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 2 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.012	1	0.9126

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 8.381434 Chi-sq( 7) P-value = .3002
```

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.7380942	4.826563	0.15	0.879	-8.788053 10.26424

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```
F( 2, 174) = 0.57
Prob > F = 0.5685
```

```

quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance

. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -541.697   Log-Lik Full Model:      -541.167
D(180):                      1082.335   LR(3):                   1.059
                              Prob > LR:         0.787
R2:                           0.006   Adjusted R2:            -0.011
AIC:                          5.926   AIC*n:                  1090.335
BIC:                          143.646   BIC':                   14.586
BIC used by Stata:           1103.194   AIC used by Stata:     1090.335

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance

. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -536.793   Log-Lik Full Model:      -536.260
D(177):                      1072.520   LR(4):                   1.066
                              Prob > LR:         0.900
R2:                           0.006   Adjusted R2:            -0.017
AIC:                          5.948   AIC*n:                  1082.520
BIC:                          151.411   BIC':                   19.750
BIC used by Stata:           1098.540   AIC used by Stata:     1082.520

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance

. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -531.879   Log-Lik Full Model:      -531.343
D(174):                      1062.685   LR(5):                   1.072
                              Prob > LR:         0.957
R2:                           0.006   Adjusted R2:            -0.023
AIC:                          5.970   AIC*n:                  1074.685
BIC:                          159.111   BIC':                   24.893
BIC used by Stata:           1093.843   AIC used by Stata:     1074.685

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance

. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -526.953   Log-Lik Full Model:      -526.414
D(171):                      1052.827   LR(6):                   1.079
                              Prob > LR:         0.982
R2:                           0.006   Adjusted R2:            -0.029
AIC:                          5.993   AIC*n:                  1066.827
BIC:                          166.742   BIC':                   30.012
BIC used by Stata:           1089.100   AIC used by Stata:     1066.827

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

.

```

WE NOW TRUNCATE THE TIME DIMENSION TO WHERE WE HAVE VALUES FOR PER CAPITA GDP AND RERUN AGAIN AND FIND THE BEST MODEL

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance if GDP_Per_Cap_Haber_Men_2 != .
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-504.647	Log-Lik Full Model:	-503.915
D(165):	1007.830	LR(3):	1.463
		Prob > LR:	0.691
R2:	0.009	Adjusted R2:	-0.009
AIC:	6.011	AIC*n:	1015.830
BIC:	161.397	BIC':	13.927
BIC used by Stata:	1028.350	AIC used by Stata:	1015.830

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance if GDP_Per_Cap_Haber_Men_2 != .
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-502.153	Log-Lik Full Model:	-501.431
D(163):	1002.862	LR(4):	1.445
		Prob > LR:	0.836
R2:	0.009	Adjusted R2:	-0.016
AIC:	6.029	AIC*n:	1012.862
BIC:	167.656	BIC':	19.051
BIC used by Stata:	1028.482	AIC used by Stata:	1012.862

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance if GDP_Per_Cap_Haber_Men_2 != .
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-499.657	Log-Lik Full Model:	-498.945
D(161):	997.889	LR(5):	1.425
		Prob > LR:	0.922
R2:	0.008	Adjusted R2:	-0.022
AIC:	6.047	AIC*n:	1009.889
BIC:	173.892	BIC':	24.164
BIC used by Stata:	1028.597	AIC used by Stata:	1009.889

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

.

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance if
GDP_Per_Cap_Haber_Men_2 != .
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	169
Model	33.464012	3	11.1546707	F(3, 165) =	0.48
Residual	3848.78451	165	23.3259667	Prob > F =	0.6979
Total	3882.24852	168	23.1086221	R-squared =	0.0086
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0094
				Root MSE =	4.8297

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0109099	.0101242	-1.08	0.283	-.0308995 .0090797
Fiscal_Reli					
L1.	-.0060304	.0388414	-0.16	0.877	-.0827206 .0706598
D1.	-.0038661	.1130444	-0.03	0.973	-.2270662 .219334
_cons	1.272081	.7572917	1.68	0.095	-.2231499 2.767313

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 2 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.014	1	0.9070

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 7.912585 Chi-sq( 7) P-value = .3404
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.5527466	3.753848	0.15	0.883	-6.859022 7.964516

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 165) = 0.72
Prob > F = 0.4897
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2
l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE d.Fiscal_Reliance d.log_gdp_
> per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	168
Model	192.157269	9	21.3508077	F(9, 158) =	0.91
Residual	3689.83678	158	23.3533973	Prob > F =	0.5144
				R-squared =	0.0495
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0046
Total	3881.99405	167	23.2454733	Root MSE =	4.8325

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0498107	.02887	-1.73	0.086	-.1068316 .0072103
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	-.0160523	.052967	-0.30	0.762	-.120667 .0885624
log_gdp_pe~2					
L1.	-.9689699	1.231784	-0.79	0.433	-3.401857 1.463918
REGION_DEM~E					
L1.	.0619344	.0714654	0.87	0.387	-.0792164 .2030852
WORLD_DEM~E					
L1.	.054692	.1172204	0.47	0.641	-.176829 .2862131
Fiscal_Rel~e					
D1.	-.0141389	.1151317	-0.12	0.902	-.2415346 .2132567
log_gdp_pe~2					
D1.	-7.50539	11.32993	-0.66	0.509	-29.88305 14.87227
REGION_DEM~E					
D1.	.3798754	.2102111	1.81	0.073	-.035311 .7950617
WORLD_DEM~E					
D1.	-.1273309	.4078678	-0.31	0.755	-.9329073 .6782455
_cons	7.465052	8.390253	0.89	0.375	-9.10647 24.03657

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 2 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.108	1	0.7427

H0: no serial correlation

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

(1) L.polity_s = 0

(2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F(2, 158) = 1.49

Prob > F = 0.2287

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.3222667	1.042006	0.31	0.758	-1.73579 2.380324

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 49.31169 Chi-sq(52) P-value = .5803


```
. test l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

```
( 1) L.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0  
( 2) L.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0  
( 3) L.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
```

```
F( 3, 158) = 0.83  
Prob > F = 0.4774
```

```
. test d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

```
( 1) D.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0  
( 2) D.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0  
( 3) D.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
```

```
F( 3, 158) = 1.78  
Prob > F = 0.1528
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-502.153	Log-Lik Full Model:	-497.889
D(158):	995.778	LR(9):	8.529
		Prob > LR:	0.482
R2:	0.049	Adjusted R2:	-0.005
AIC:	6.046	AIC*n:	1015.778
BIC:	186.192	BIC':	37.587
BIC used by Stata:	1047.018	AIC used by Stata:	1015.778

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
.
```

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR THE OMAN TIME-SERIES.

NOTA BENE:

We always calculate Unit Root Tests (using Augmented Dickey Fuller Tests) on Polity and Fiscal Reliance, first in levels and then in differences, before performing Engle Granger cointegration tests. These are preceded by ECM cointegration tests and ECM regression estimation.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L.Fiscal Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]`

NOTA BENE:

We always run a bunch of single lag experiments, where we introduce different lag lengths of Fiscal Reliance in differences. We do so one at a time. Namely, we proceed as follows: we introduce Fiscal Reliance lagged one year. Then we remove it and introduce the second lag of Fiscal Reliance and keep doing this until we reach Fiscal Reliance in t-5. These are reported in the regression tables in the appendix.

NOTA BENE:

Finally, we run a series of finite distributed lag models (where all of the lags are introduced simultaneously) and then use the BIC statistic to choose the most parsimonious model and that model is estimated and reported in the regression table in the online appendix.

OMAN'S UNIT-ROOT TESTS

Polity_s

dfuller polity_s, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 205

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-1.971	-4.005	-3.436

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.6172

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s						
L1.	-.0369603	.0187527	-1.97	0.050	-.0739376	.0000169
LD.	.0167993	.0704538	0.24	0.812	-.122124	.1557225
_trend	-.0036497	.002478	-1.47	0.142	-.0085359	.0012365
_cons	.9112575	.5210271	1.75	0.082	-.1161228	1.938638

dfuller polity_s, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 205

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-1.310	-3.475	-2.883

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.6244

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s						
L1.	-.0173851	.0132678	-1.31	0.192	-.0435462	.008776
LD.	.0078809	.0703959	0.11	0.911	-.1309242	.146686
_cons	.2242531	.2328316	0.96	0.337	-.234839	.6833451

Polity_s_FD

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 204

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-10.017	-4.005	-3.436	-3.136

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.polity_s~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s_FD						
L1.	-1.002302	.1000584	-10.02	0.000	-1.199607	-.8049976
LD.	.0011532	.0707113	0.02	0.987	-.138282	.1405885
_trend	-.0001842	.0017825	-0.10	0.918	-.0036991	.0033306
_cons	-.0300647	.2122614	-0.14	0.888	-.4486222	.3884927

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 204

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-10.041	-3.475	-2.883	-2.573

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D. polity_s_FD	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s_FD						
L1.	-1.002183	.0998052	-10.04	0.000	-1.198983	-.8053838
LD.	.0010917	.0705345	0.02	0.988	-.1379908	.1401742
_cons	-.0491266	.1048165	-0.47	0.640	-.2558076	.1575543

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs

dfuller fiscalreliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 197

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-1.772	-4.008	-3.437	-3.137

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.7180

D.fiscalre~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~e						
L1.	-.0295714	.0166865	-1.77	0.078	-.0624826	.0033399
LD.	.3433188	.0729697	4.70	0.000	.1993983	.4872393
_trend	.0155598	.0082854	1.88	0.062	-.0007817	.0319013
_cons	-.8798444	.8081603	-1.09	0.278	-2.473804	.7141158

.
.
.

dfuller fiscalreliance, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 197

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-0.718	-3.478	-2.884	-2.574

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.8420

D.	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~e						
L1.	-.0091455	.0127368	-0.72	0.474	-.0342658	.0159748
LD.	.3418608	.0734392	4.66	0.000	.197019	.4867026
_cons	.438102	.4033697	1.09	0.279	-.3574511	1.233655

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs_FD

dfuller fiscalreliance_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 194

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-1.996	-4.009	-3.437	-3.137

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.6035

D.fiscalre~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~D						
L1.	-.5207819	.2608893	-2.00	0.047	-1.035393	-.0061704
LD.	-.1428626	.242626	-0.59	0.557	-.6214493	.335724
_trend	.0064061	.0064908	0.99	0.325	-.0063973	.0192094
_cons	-.30212	.7484567	-0.40	0.687	-1.778472	1.174232

dfuller fiscalreliance_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 194

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.043	-3.479	-2.884	-2.574

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.2682

D.fiscalreli~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~D						
L1.	-.5323022	.2606103	-2.04	0.042	-1.046346	-.0182583
LD.	-.1274729	.242108	-0.53	0.599	-.6050217	.3500758
_cons	.3358397	.3772692	0.89	0.374	-.4083094	1.079989

dfuller D.Fiscal_Reliance, regress

Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 197

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-3.478	-2.884	-2.574

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D2.		Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]

Fiscal_Rel~e						
LD.		-.6635231	.0729646	-9.09	0.000	-.8074243 - .519622
_cons		.3244436	.3705529	0.88	0.382	-.4063624 1.05525

OMAN'S CO-INTEGRATION TESTS

Dickey Fuller CRITICAL VALUES are NOT valid for the Engle-Granger co-integration test!!!

The critical values that STATA spits out after the Augmented Dickey Fuller Test are the critical values for an augmented Dickey Fuller Test on a variable, not residuals.

For an augmented Engle-Granger Test we instead turn to the critical values for cointegration provided by Engle-Granger from Engle and Yoo (1987, Table 3).

Polity and Fiscal Reliance

```
newey polity_s Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors          Number of obs =      204
maximum lag: 1                                     F( 1, 202) =      421.37
                                                    Prob > F          =      0.0000
```

```
-----+-----
```

polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e	-.1954111	.0095196	-20.53	0.000	-.2141816	-.1766406
_cons	18.81298	.5083651	37.01	0.000	17.8106	19.81536

```
-----+-----
```

```
predict residual, res
(3 missing values generated)
```

```
dfuller residual, regress trend lags(1)
```

```
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root          Number of obs =      197
```

```
-----+----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----
```

Test Statistic	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-3.087	-4.008	-3.437

```
-----+-----
```

```
MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.1095
```

```
-----+-----
```

D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual						
L1.	-.0944534	.030601	-3.09	0.002	-.1548087	-.0340982
LD.	.1447011	.0736929	1.96	0.051	-.0006458	.290048
_trend	-.0009581	.0023072	-0.42	0.678	-.0055087	.0035924
_cons	.1241166	.266492	0.47	0.642	-.401494	.6497272

```
-----+-----
```


dfuller residual, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 197

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----			
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value	
Z(t)	-3.113	-3.478	-2.884	-2.574

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0256

D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual						
L1.	-.0906149	.0291093	-3.11	0.002	-.1480262	-.0332035
LD.	.1418181	.0732085	1.94	0.054	-.0025688	.2862049
_cons	.0272849	.1287567	0.21	0.832	-.2266578	.2812277

-3.11 test statistic versus -3.0462 ten percent level with no trend for a pair of integrated series. Significant at the 10 percent level. Not significant at the 5 percent level though, because the critical value is -3.3377. However, we can reject the hypothesis of no co-integration.

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	42
Model	1.08786339	3	.362621129	F(3, 38) =	0.30
Residual	46.5311842	38	1.22450485	Prob > F =	0.8279
Total	47.6190476	41	1.16144019	R-squared =	0.0228
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0543
				Root MSE =	1.1066

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0256556	.0462753	-0.55	0.583	-.119335	.0680238
Fiscal_Reliance						
L1.	-.0078278	.0126912	-0.62	0.541	-.0335198	.0178643
D1.	-.013561	.0186336	-0.73	0.471	-.0512828	.0241608
_cons	2.946117	4.525911	0.65	0.519	-6.21611	12.10834

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.354932	1.561595	-0.23	0.820	-3.434619	2.724755

```
test  l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```
F( 2, 196) = 1.07
Prob > F = 0.3437
```

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : .1801822  Chi-sq( 9)  P-value = 1
```

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 2  (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.003	1	0.9535

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

1 lag of differenced Fiscal Reliance

regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	199
Model	5.19880414	3	1.73293471	F(3, 195) =	0.76
Residual	444.298683	195	2.27845479	Prob > F =	0.5175
Total	449.497487	198	2.27018933	R-squared =	0.0116
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0036
				Root MSE =	1.5095

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s						
L1.	-.0088562	.0237542	-0.37	0.710	-.0557043	.0379919
Fiscal_Reliance						
L1.	.0034936	.0058708	0.60	0.552	-.0080848	.0150721
LD.	-.0079284	.0200803	-0.39	0.693	-.0475308	.031674
_cons	.0492587	.4617675	0.11	0.915	-.861441	.9599585

nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

_nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

_nl_1	-.3944832	1.633936	-0.24	0.809	-3.616938	2.827972

. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance

(1) L.polity_s = 0

(2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F(2, 195) = 1.13
 Prob > F = 0.3245

whitetst

White's general test statistic : .1629859 Chi-sq(9) P-value = 1

bgodfrey, lags (1)

Number of gaps in sample: 2 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.003	1	0.9582

H0: no serial correlation

2 lags of differenced Fiscal Reliance

regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L2.d.Fiscal_Reliance

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	196
Model	5.26997643	3	1.75665881	F(3, 192) =	0.76
Residual	444.219819	192	2.31364489	Prob > F =	0.5182
Total	449.489796	195	2.30507588	R-squared =	0.0117
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0037
				Root MSE =	1.5211

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0084552	.0239015	-0.35	0.724	-.0555984	.038688
Fiscal_Reliance						
L1.	.0035357	.0060152	0.59	0.557	-.0083287	.0154002
L2D.	.0054341	.0216473	0.25	0.802	-.0372629	.0481312
_cons	.0404972	.4645724	0.09	0.931	-.8758237	.9568182

nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

 _nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.4181746	1.794255	-0.23	0.816	-3.957157	3.120808

test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance

(1) L.polity_s = 0

(2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F(2, 192) = 1.03

Prob > F = 0.3605

whitetst

White's general test statistic : .3172785 Chi-sq(9) P-value = 1

bgodfrey, lags (1)

Number of gaps in sample: 2 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.004	1	0.9465

H0: no serial correlation

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	195
Model	5.47565671	3	1.8252189	F(3, 191) =	0.79
Residual	444.011523	191	2.32466766	Prob > F =	0.5035
				R-squared =	0.0122
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0033
Total	449.487179	194	2.31694422	Root MSE =	1.5247

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0083058	.0239697	-0.35	0.729	-.055585	.0389734
Fiscal_Rel						
L1.	.0038225	.0059997	0.64	0.525	-.0080117	.0156568
L3D.	-.0168738	.0436217	-0.39	0.699	-.1029159	.0691683
_cons	.0359606	.4658148	0.08	0.939	-.8828415	.9547626

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.4602252	1.947475	-0.24	0.813	-4.301545	3.381095

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```
      F( 2, 191) = 1.14
      Prob > F = 0.3225
```

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : .1580875 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = 1
```

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 3 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.003	1	0.9583

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	194
Model	5.24101113	3	1.74700371	F(3, 190) =	0.75
Residual	444.243525	190	2.33812382	Prob > F =	0.5252
Total	449.484536	193	2.32893542	R-squared =	0.0117
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0039
				Root MSE =	1.5291

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0086389	.0240543	-0.36	0.720	-.0560867	.0388088
Fiscal_Reliance						
L1.	.0037584	.0060201	0.62	0.533	-.0081163	.0156332
L4D.	-.004999	.0218442	-0.23	0.819	-.0480874	.0380893
_cons	.0419217	.4673445	0.09	0.929	-.8799285	.9637718

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.4350595	1.809389	-0.24	0.810	-4.004131	3.134012

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```
F( 2, 190) = 1.12
Prob > F = 0.3282
```

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : .5496717 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = 1
```

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 3 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.007	1	0.9333

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L5.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	193
Model	6.06333315	3	2.02111105	F(3, 189) =	0.86
Residual	443.418532	189	2.3461298	Prob > F =	0.4621
Total	449.481865	192	2.34105138	R-squared =	0.0135
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0022
				Root MSE =	1.5317

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0086583	.0241077	-0.36	0.720	-.0562131 .0388964
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0038167	.0059948	0.64	0.525	-.0080086 .0156421
L5D.	-.0279293	.0440403	-0.63	0.527	-.114803 .0589444
_cons	.0413467	.4682724	0.09	0.930	-.8823652 .9650586

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.4408157	1.822	-0.24	0.809	-4.034883 3.153252

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 189) = 1.16
Prob > F = 0.3148
```

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : .2647612 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = 1
```

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 4 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.006	1	0.9376

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

FINDING THE BEST LAG STRUCTURE

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-364.770	Log-Lik Full Model:	-363.679
D(196):	727.358	LR(3):	2.181
		Prob > LR:	0.536
R2:	0.011	Adjusted R2:	-0.004
AIC:	3.677	AIC*n:	735.358
BIC:	-311.112	BIC':	13.714
BIC used by Stata:	748.551	AIC used by Stata:	735.358

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-360.785	Log-Lik Full Model:	-359.600
D(192):	719.200	LR(4):	2.370
		Prob > LR:	0.668
R2:	0.012	Adjusted R2:	-0.009
AIC:	3.702	AIC*n:	729.200
BIC:	-295.175	BIC':	18.763
BIC used by Stata:	745.616	AIC used by Stata:	729.200

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-356.778	Log-Lik Full Model:	-354.181
D(188):	708.362	LR(5):	5.194
		Prob > LR:	0.393
R2:	0.026	Adjusted R2:	0.001
AIC:	3.713	AIC*n:	720.362
BIC:	-281.996	BIC':	21.146
BIC used by Stata:	739.969	AIC used by Stata:	720.362

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

LAGS CHOSEN WITH THE DATA TRUNCATED TO 1950, BECAUSE THE COVERAGE FOR ONE OF THE CONTROL VARIABLES, GDP PER CAPITA, ONLY BEGINS IN 1950.

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance if  
GDP_Per_Cap_Haber_Men_2 != .
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-127.779	Log-Lik Full Model:	-125.066
D(47):	250.131	LR(3):	5.426
		Prob > LR:	0.143
R2:	0.101	Adjusted R2:	0.044
AIC:	5.061	AIC*n:	258.131
BIC:	65.336	BIC':	6.369
BIC used by Stata:	265.859	AIC used by Stata:	258.131

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance if GDP_Per_Cap_Haber_Men_2 != .
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-123.744	Log-Lik Full Model:	-121.052
D(44):	242.105	LR(4):	5.383
		Prob > LR:	0.250
R2:	0.104	Adjusted R2:	0.023
AIC:	5.145	AIC*n:	252.105
BIC:	70.865	BIC':	10.185
BIC used by Stata:	261.564	AIC used by Stata:	252.105

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance if GDP_Per_Cap_Haber_Men_2 != .
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-119.668	Log-Lik Full Model:	-116.612
D(41):	233.224	LR(5):	6.111
		Prob > LR:	0.296
R2:	0.122	Adjusted R2:	0.015
AIC:	5.218	AIC*n:	245.224
BIC:	75.368	BIC':	13.139
BIC used by Stata:	256.325	AIC used by Stata:	245.224

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
.
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance if
GDP_Per_Cap_Haber_Men_2 != .
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	51
Model	45.2213984	3	15.0737995	F(3, 47) =	1.76
Residual	402.817817	47	8.57059186	Prob > F =	0.1680
				R-squared =	0.1009
				Adj R-squared =	0.0435
Total	448.039216	50	8.96078431	Root MSE =	2.9276

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1052655	.0649249	-1.62	0.112	-.2358776 .0253465
Fiscal_Reli					
L1.	.0084692	.0120081	0.71	0.484	-.0156881 .0326264
D1.	-.0005906	.0401468	-0.01	0.988	-.0813555 .0801743
_cons	-.1116887	.9419641	-0.12	0.906	-2.006676 1.783299

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 6.404897 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .6988
```

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 2 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.014	1	0.9056

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.0804551	.1437102	-0.56	0.578	-.3695628 .2086525

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 47) = 2.64
```

```
Prob > F = 0.0821
```

DISTRIBUTED LAGS WITH CONTROL VARIABLES (No Civil Wars observed during Omani history, so Civil War is NOT included)

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2
l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE L.Civil_War_Gledistsch d.Fiscal_Reliance
d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	50
Model	69.5483859	9	7.72759843	F(9, 40) =	0.82
Residual	378.451614	40	9.46129035	Prob > F =	0.6041
				R-squared =	0.1552
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0348
Total	448	49	9.14285714	Root MSE =	3.0759

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1935559	.1199845	-1.61	0.115	-.4360536 .0489419
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.0323596	.0522685	0.62	0.539	-.073279 .1379982
log_gdp_pe~2					
L1.	-1.389184	2.771748	-0.50	0.619	-6.991095 4.212727
REGION_DEM~E					
L1.	.1347656	.2697306	0.50	0.620	-.4103803 .6799116
WORLD_DEM~E					
L1.	.1500394	.1650168	0.91	0.369	-.1834719 .4835508
Civil_War~h					
L1.	(dropped)				
Fiscal_Rel~e					
D1.	.0163504	.0678186	0.24	0.811	-.1207162 .153417
log_gdp_pe~2					
D1.	-1.679264	6.806258	-0.25	0.806	-15.43522 12.0767
REGION_DEM~E					
D1.	.0578477	.2405533	0.24	0.811	-.4283286 .544024
WORLD_DEM~E					
D1.	-.1584867	.3795035	-0.42	0.678	-.9254919 .6085185
_cons	5.726373	17.41162	0.33	0.744	-29.46382 40.91657

```
test l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
L.Civil_War_Gledistsch
```

- (1) L.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
 - (2) L.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
 - (3) L.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
 - (4) L.Civil_War_Gledistsch = 0
- Constraint 4 dropped

F(3, 40) = 0.50
 Prob > F = 0.6854

```
test d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

- (1) D.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
- (2) D.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
- (3) D.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0

F(3, 40) = 0.11
 Prob > F = 0.9543

```

. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
      _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
-----+-----
D.polity_s |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 |  -0.1671849   .2327707    -0.72   0.477    -0.6376321    0.3032623
-----+-----

. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -125.766   Log-Lik Full Model:      -121.549
D(39):                      243.097   LR(9):                   8.435
                              Prob > LR:         0.491
R2:                          0.155     Adjusted R2:            -0.035
AIC:                          5.302     AIC*n:                  265.097
BIC:                          90.528     BIC':                   26.773
BIC used by Stata:           282.217     AIC used by Stata:      263.097

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

. test 1.polity_s 1.Fiscal_Reliance

( 1) L.polity_s = 0
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

      F( 2, 40) = 1.34
      Prob > F = 0.2739

. whitetst

White's general test statistic :      50  Chi-sq(49)  P-value = .4334

. bgodfrey, lags (1)

Number of gaps in sample: 2  (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
-----+-----
lags(p) |      chi2      df      Prob > chi2
-----+-----
      1 |      0.043      1      0.8351
-----+-----
H0: no serial correlation

```

**THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS
RUN FOR THE TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO TIME-SERIES.**

NOTA BENE:

We always calculate Unit Root Tests (using Augmented Dickey Fuller Tests) on Polity and Fiscal Reliance, first in levels and then in differences, before performing Engle Granger cointegration tests. These are preceded by ECM cointegration tests and ECM regression estimation.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L.Fiscal Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]`

NOTA BENE:

We always run a bunch of single lag experiments, where we introduce different lag lengths of Fiscal Reliance in differences. We do so one at a time. Namely, we proceed as follows: we introduce Fiscal Reliance lagged one year. Then we remove it and introduce the second lag of Fiscal Reliance and keep doing this until we reach Fiscal Reliance in t-5. These are reported in the regression tables in the appendix.

NOTA BENE:

Finally, we run a series of finite distributed lag models (where all of the lags are introduced simultaneously) and then use the BIC statistic to choose the most parsimonious model and that model is estimated and reported in the regression table in the online appendix.

TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO UNIT-ROOT TESTS

Polity_s

dfuller polity_s, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 43

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.517	-4.214	-3.197

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.3194

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.2551487	.1013626	-2.52	0.016	-.4601739	-.0501235
LD.	.0481517	.1547194	0.31	0.757	-.2647978	.3611011
_trend	.0818115	.031587	2.59	0.013	.0179208	.1457021
_cons	22.2228	8.817831	2.52	0.016	4.387052	40.05855

dfuller polity_s, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 43

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-0.333	-3.628	-2.608

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.9206

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0144161	.0432308	-0.33	0.741	-.1017889	.0729566
LD.	-.0370014	.1616139	-0.23	0.820	-.3636354	.2896325
_cons	1.590581	4.04197	0.39	0.696	-6.578544	9.759707

Polity_s_FD

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 42

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.778	-4.224	-3.532	-3.199

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0005

D.polity_s~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s_FD						
L1.	-1.132566	.237029	-4.78	0.000	-1.612406	-.6527261
LD.	.0672332	.1625737	0.41	0.682	-.2618799	.3963464
_trend	.0095011	.014352	0.66	0.512	-.0195531	.0385553
_cons	.0558847	.3611951	0.15	0.878	-.6753166	.787086

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 42

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.770	-3.634	-2.952	-2.610

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0001

D.	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s_FD						
L1.	-1.105263	.2317261	-4.77	0.000	-1.573973	-.6365528
LD.	.0526316	.1599062	0.33	0.744	-.2708093	.3760724
_cons	.2631579	.1787806	1.47	0.149	-.09846	.6247758

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs

dfuller fiscalreliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 41

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.291	-4.233	-3.202

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.4389

D.fiscalre~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~e						
L1.	-.2418537	.1055673	-2.29	0.028	-.4557534	-.027954
LD.	.209428	.1632899	1.28	0.208	-.1214287	.5402848
_trend	-.0280478	.1279284	-0.22	0.828	-.2872553	.2311597
_cons	10.3882	5.49318	1.89	0.066	-.7420423	21.51844

dfuller fiscalreliance, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 41

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.319	-3.641	-2.611

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.1660

D.fiscalreli~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~e						
L1.	-.2376289	.1024854	-2.32	0.026	-.4450998	-.030158
LD.	.2069575	.1608473	1.29	0.206	-.1186608	.5325758
_cons	9.611517	4.145373	2.32	0.026	1.219647	18.00339

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs_FD

dfuller fiscalreliance_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 40

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.869	-4.242	-3.204

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0004

D.fiscalre~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~D						
L1.	-1.099941	.2259013	-4.87	0.000	-1.55809	-.6417919
LD.	.1994008	.1665374	1.20	0.239	-.1383528	.5371544
_trend	.0163351	.1387341	0.12	0.907	-.2650308	.297701
_cons	.3343047	3.386744	0.10	0.922	-6.53433	7.202939

dfuller fiscalreliance_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 40

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.940	-3.648	-2.612

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.fiscalreli~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~D						
L1.	-1.100607	.2228007	-4.94	0.000	-1.552044	-.6491698
LD.	.2000946	.1642003	1.22	0.231	-.1326068	.532796
_cons	.6856098	1.580985	0.43	0.667	-2.51777	3.88899

TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO CO-INTEGRATION TESTS

Dickey Fuller CRITICAL VALUES are NOT valid for the Engle-Granger co-integration test!!!

The critical values that STATA spits out after the Augmented Dickey Fuller Test are the critical values for an augmented Dickey Fuller Test on a variable, not residuals.

For an augmented Engle-Granger Test we instead turn to the critical values for cointegration provided by Engle-Granger from Engle and Yoo (1987, Table 3).

Polity and Fiscal Reliance

```
newey polity_s Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors      Number of obs =      43
maximum lag: 1                                F( 1, 41) =      2.84
                                              Prob > F      =      0.0995
```

		Newey-West				[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t			
Fiscal_Reliance	-.0784793	.0465629	-1.69	0.100	-.1725151	.0155565	
_cons	96.83965	2.23441	43.34	0.000	92.32717	101.3521	

```
predict residual, res
(2 missing values generated)
```

```
dfuller residual, regress trend lags(1)
```

```
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root      Number of obs =      41
```

	Test Statistic	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-3.324	-4.233	-3.536	-3.202

```
MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0624
```

D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual						
L1.	-.5122547	.1541088	-3.32	0.002	-.8245089	-.2000006
LD.	.1364602	.1620615	0.84	0.405	-.1919077	.4648281
_trend	.1572828	.0465446	3.38	0.002	.0629745	.251591
_cons	-3.240567	1.069389	-3.03	0.004	-5.407354	-1.07378

Critical Values:

This t-stat is just shy of the 10% significance level which is -3.496 for two variables being co-integrated with a time trend included in the equation.

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	42
Model	1.08786339	3	.362621129	F(3, 38) =	0.30
Residual	46.5311842	38	1.22450485	Prob > F =	0.8279
Total	47.6190476	41	1.16144019	R-squared =	0.0228
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0543
				Root MSE =	1.1066

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0256556	.0462753	-0.55	0.583	-.119335 .0680238
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	-.0078278	.0126912	-0.62	0.541	-.0335198 .0178643
D1.	-.013561	.0186336	-0.73	0.471	-.0512828 .0241608
_cons	2.946117	4.525911	0.65	0.519	-6.21611 12.10834

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.3051092	.6013371	0.51	0.615	-.9122341 1.522452

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 38) = 0.26
```

```
  Prob > F = 0.7746
```

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 5.579512 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .7812
```

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.151	1	0.6973

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	41
Model	.774881782	3	.258293927	F(3, 37) =	0.20
Residual	46.7860938	37	1.26448902	Prob > F =	0.8928
Total	47.5609756	40	1.18902439	R-squared =	0.0163
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0635
				Root MSE =	1.1245

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0243669	.0486195	-0.50	0.619	-.1228794 .0741456
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	-.0036229	.013378	-0.27	0.788	-.0307294 .0234836
LD.	-.0086562	.0195328	-0.44	0.660	-.0482333 .030921
_cons	2.670367	4.788413	0.56	0.580	-7.031878 12.37261

nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

_nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.1486825	.5083654	0.29	0.772	-.8813637 1.178729

test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance

(1) L.polity_s = 0
 (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F(2, 37) = 0.13
 Prob > F = 0.8798

whitetst

White's general test statistic : 6.32674 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .7068

bgodfrey, lags (1)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.136	1	0.7125

H0: no serial correlation

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	40
Model	1.08701789	3	.362339297	F(3, 36) =	0.28
Residual	46.4129821	36	1.2892495	Prob > F =	0.8387
Total	47.5	39	1.21794872	R-squared =	0.0229
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0585
				Root MSE =	1.1355

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0281904	.0497542	-0.57	0.575	-.1290965 .0727158
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	-.003548	.0137782	-0.26	0.798	-.0314915 .0243954
L2D.	-.0117861	.0200879	-0.59	0.561	-.0525262 .028954
_cons	3.034056	4.911388	0.62	0.541	-6.926701 12.99481

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.1258602	.4471851	0.28	0.780	-.7810733 1.032794

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1)  L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2)  L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
      F( 2, 36) = 0.16
```

```
      Prob > F = 0.8519
```

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 7.13284 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .6233
```

```
. bfgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.125	1	0.7240

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	39
Model	.966620159	3	.32220672	F(3, 35) =	0.24
Residual	46.4692773	35	1.32769364	Prob > F =	0.8660
Total	47.4358974	38	1.24831309	R-squared =	0.0204
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0636
				Root MSE =	1.1523

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0357114	.0513711	-0.70	0.492	-.1400003 .0685775
Fiscal_Reli					
L1.	-.0064585	.013576	-0.48	0.637	-.0340193 .0211022
L3D.	-.0070134	.019459	-0.36	0.721	-.0465173 .0324905
_cons	3.86241	5.069305	0.76	0.451	-6.428827 14.15365

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.180853	.3612421	0.50	0.620	-.5525074 .9142135

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1)  L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2)  L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 35) = 0.26
```

```
Prob > F = 0.7702
```

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 6.040994 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .7358
```

```
. bfgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.076	1	0.7833

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	38
Model	1.04281775	3	.347605915	F(3, 34) =	0.26
Residual	46.3256033	34	1.36251774	Prob > F =	0.8571
				R-squared =	0.0220
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0643
Total	47.3684211	37	1.2802276	Root MSE =	1.1673

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0415801	.0537706	-0.77	0.445	-.1508551 .067695
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	-.0092411	.0138249	-0.67	0.508	-.0373368 .0188545
L4D.	.0034512	.0199553	0.17	0.864	-.0371028 .0440053
_cons	4.534843	5.317479	0.85	0.400	-6.271575 15.34126

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.222249	.3317984	0.67	0.507	-.4520465 .8965445

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```
  F( 2, 34) = 0.37
  Prob > F = 0.6955
```

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 6.418778 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .6974
```

```
. bfgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.052	1	0.8194

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L5.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	37
Model	1.64996837	3	.549989457	F(3, 33) =	0.40
Residual	45.6473289	33	1.38325239	Prob > F =	0.7556
Total	47.2972973	36	1.31381381	R-squared =	0.0349
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0529
				Root MSE =	1.1761

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0501761	.0556939	-0.90	0.374	-.1634863 .063134
Fiscal_Reli					
L1.	-.0094306	.0141688	-0.67	0.510	-.0382572 .019396
L5D.	-.0111908	.0200629	-0.56	0.581	-.0520091 .0296274
_cons	5.364186	5.527398	0.97	0.339	-5.881389 16.60976

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.1879497	.2621636	0.72	0.478	-.3454262 .7213257

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1)  L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2)  L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 33) = 0.45
```

```
Prob > F = 0.6445
```

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 6.261029 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .7135
```

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.054	1	0.8169

```
H0: no serial correlation
```


NO lags of Fiscal Reliance chosen in the distributed lag model

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-62.232	Log-Lik Full Model:	-61.747
D(38):	123.494	LR(3):	0.971
		Prob > LR:	0.808
R2:	0.023	Adjusted R2:	-0.054
AIC:	3.131	AIC*n:	131.494
BIC:	-18.538	BIC':	10.242
BIC used by Stata:	138.445	AIC used by Stata:	131.494

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-61.220	Log-Lik Full Model:	-60.637
D(36):	121.274	LR(4):	1.165
		Prob > LR:	0.884
R2:	0.028	Adjusted R2:	-0.080
AIC:	3.202	AIC*n:	131.274
BIC:	-12.414	BIC':	13.690
BIC used by Stata:	139.842	AIC used by Stata:	131.274

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-60.195	Log-Lik Full Model:	-59.304
D(34):	118.609	LR(5):	1.780
		Prob > LR:	0.879
R2:	0.044	Adjusted R2:	-0.097
AIC:	3.265	AIC*n:	130.609
BIC:	-6.813	BIC':	16.664
BIC used by Stata:	140.742	AIC used by Stata:	130.609

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

Model chosen (no lags) with the control variables added

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2
l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE L.Civil_War_Gledistsch d.Fiscal_Reliance
d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	42
Model	11.839099	9	1.31545544	F(9, 32) =	1.18
Residual	35.7799486	32	1.1181234	Prob > F =	0.3426
				R-squared =	0.2486
				Adj R-squared =	0.0373
Total	47.6190476	41	1.16144019	Root MSE =	1.0574

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.2285644	.1201591	-1.90	0.066	-.4733205 .0161916
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	-.006681	.0162182	-0.41	0.683	-.0397164 .0263545
log_gdp_pe~2					
L1.	.4909146	1.263907	0.39	0.700	-2.08358 3.065409
REGION_DEM~E					
L1.	.0091514	.0386687	0.24	0.814	-.0696141 .0879169
WORLD_DEM~E					
L1.	.125398	.0819389	1.53	0.136	-.041506 .2923021
Civil_War~h					
L1.	(dropped)				
Fiscal_Rel~e					
D1.	-.006316	.0203933	-0.31	0.759	-.0478558 .0352238
log_gdp_pe~2					
D1.	-2.869937	2.516258	-1.14	0.263	-7.995387 2.255514
REGION_DEM~E					
D1.	.0061098	.0343456	0.18	0.860	-.0638498 .0760694
WORLD_DEM~E					
D1.	-.1753439	.1581037	-1.11	0.276	-.4973907 .1467028
_cons	13.08036	15.84589	0.83	0.415	-19.19665 45.35737

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
      _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.0292302	.0710256	0.41	0.683	-.1154443 .1739046

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```
F( 2, 32) = 1.83
Prob > F = 0.1764
```

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 42 Chi-sq(41) P-value = .4274
```

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.658	1	0.4173

H0: no serial correlation

```
test 1.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 1.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE 1.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
L.Civil_War_Gledistsch
```

- (1) L.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
 - (2) L.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
 - (3) L.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
 - (4) L.Civil_War_Gledistsch = 0
- Constraint 4 dropped

```
F( 3, 32) = 2.10
Prob > F = 0.1196
```

```
. test d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

- (1) D.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
- (2) D.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
- (3) D.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0

```
F( 3, 32) = 0.81
Prob > F = 0.4958
```

```
.
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-62.232	Log-Lik Full Model:	-56.229
D(31):	112.459	LR(9):	12.005
		Prob > LR:	0.213
R2:	0.249	Adjusted R2:	0.037
AIC:	3.201	AIC*n:	134.459
BIC:	-3.409	BIC':	21.634
BIC used by Stata:	149.836	AIC used by Stata:	132.459

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR THE VENEZUELA TIME-SERIES.

NOTA BENE:

We always calculate Unit Root Tests (using Augmented Dickey Fuller Tests) on Polity and Fiscal Reliance, first in levels and then in differences, before performing Engle Granger cointegration tests. These are preceded by ECM cointegration tests and ECM regression estimation.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L.Fiscal Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]`

NOTA BENE:

We always run a bunch of single lag experiments, where we introduce different lag lengths of Fiscal Reliance in differences. We do so one at a time. Namely, we proceed as follows: we introduce Fiscal Reliance lagged one year. Then we remove it and introduce the second lag of Fiscal Reliance and keep doing this until we reach Fiscal Reliance in t-5. These are reported in the regression tables in the appendix.

NOTA BENE:

Finally, we run a series of finite distributed lag models (where all of the lags are introduced simultaneously) and then use the BIC statistic to choose the most parsimonious model and that model is estimated and reported in the regression table in the online appendix.

VENEZUELA'S UNIT-ROOT TESTS

Polity_s

dfuller polity_s, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 175

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-1.575	-4.015	-3.440

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.8022

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s						
L1.	-.0247212	.0156988	-1.57	0.117	-.0557097	.0062673
LD.	.0460327	.0765774	0.60	0.549	-.105126	.1971914
_trend	.0133244	.0091812	1.45	0.149	-.0047987	.0314475
_cons	.1406158	.6379482	0.22	0.826	-1.118652	1.399883

dfuller polity_s, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 175

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-0.745	-3.485	-2.885

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.8347

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s						
L1.	-.0079344	.0106482	-0.75	0.457	-.0289524	.0130835
LD.	.0423878	.0767819	0.55	0.582	-.1091684	.193944
_cons	.6110792	.551209	1.11	0.269	-.4769259	1.699084

Polity_s_FD

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 174

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-8.848	-4.015	-3.440	-3.140

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.polity_s~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s_FD	-----					
L1.	-.9480204	.1071467	-8.85	0.000	-1.15953	-.736511
LD.	-.0171928	.0770936	-0.22	0.824	-.169377	.1349913
_trend	.0025964	.006331	0.41	0.682	-.009901	.0150938
_cons	.0411461	.6427924	0.06	0.949	-1.227737	1.310029

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 174

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-8.862	-3.485	-2.885	-2.575

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D. polity_s_FD	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s_FD	-----					
L1.	-.9450642	.1066436	-8.86	0.000	-1.155572	-.7345567
LD.	-.018696	.0768189	-0.24	0.808	-.1703315	.1329396
_cons	.2699916	.3182923	0.85	0.397	-.3582965	.8982796

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs

dfuller Fiscal_Reliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 174

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.911	-4.015	-3.440	-3.140

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.1587

D.Fiscal_R~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	-.1041977	.0357951	-2.91	0.004	-.1748579	-.0335375
LD.	-.1100895	.0758573	-1.45	0.149	-.259833	.039654
_trend	.0555909	.0192487	2.89	0.004	.0175937	.093588
_cons	-2.039192	1.144023	-1.78	0.076	-4.297513	.2191284

dfuller D.Fiscal_Reliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 173

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-13.643	-4.016	-3.440	-3.140

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D2.Fiscal_~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D.Fiscal_R~e						
L1.	-1.524118	.111714	-13.64	0.000	-1.744653	-1.303584
LD.	.3139027	.0735465	4.27	0.000	.1687145	.4590909
_trend	.0074859	.0089002	0.84	0.401	-.0100841	.0250559
_cons	-.0327	.8991486	-0.04	0.971	-1.80771	1.74231

dfuller D.Fiscal_Reliance, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 173

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-13.629	-3.486	-2.885	-2.575

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D2.Fiscal_Rel~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
LD.	-1.518924	.1114472	-13.63	0.000	-1.738923	-1.298926
LD2.	.3116747	.0734355	4.24	0.000	.1667117	.4566376
_cons	.6240039	.4455464	1.40	0.163	-.2555122	1.50352

dfuller D.Fiscal_Reliance, regress lags(2)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 172

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-9.986	-3.486	-2.885	-2.575

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D2.Fiscal_Rel~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e						
LD.	-1.627462	.1629698	-9.99	0.000	-1.949194	-1.305729
LD2.	.398186	.1198494	3.32	0.001	.1615811	.634791
L2D2.	.0711215	.0777445	0.91	0.362	-.0823606	.2246037
_cons	.6667525	.4504047	1.48	0.141	-.2224298	1.555935

CO-INTEGRATION TESTS

Dickey Fuller CRITICAL VALUES are NOT valid for the Engle-Granger co-integration test!!!

The critical values that STATA spits out after the Augmented Dickey Fuller Test are the critical values for an augmented Dickey Fuller Test on a variable, not residuals.

For an augmented Engle-Granger Test we instead turn to the critical values for cointegration provided by Engle-Granger from Engle and Yoo (1987, Table 3).

Polity and Fiscal Reliance

```
. newey polity_s Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

```
Regression with Newey-West standard errors      Number of obs =      176
maximum lag: 1                                F( 1, 174) =      249.51
                                                Prob > F =      0.0000
```

```
-----+-----
      |               Newey-West
      |               Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
Fiscal_Rel~e |   .8752691   .0554118    15.80  0.000    .7659034   .9846348
      _cons |  22.17824   1.508481    14.70  0.000   19.20097   25.15552
-----+-----
```

```
predict residual, res
(1 missing value generated)
```

```
. dfuller residual, regress trend lags(1)
```

```
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root      Number of obs =      174
```

```
-----+----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----
      |               Test          1% Critical      5% Critical      10% Critical
      |               Statistic      Value          Value          Value
-----+-----
Z(t) |               -2.489          -4.015          -3.440          -3.140
-----+-----
```

```
MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.3336
```

```
-----+-----
D.residual |               Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      residual |
      L1. |   -.0728451   .0292689    -2.49  0.014   -.1306225   -.0150677
      LD. |   .0063957   .0767243     0.08  0.934   -.1450594   .1578507
      _trend |   .0003879   .009939     0.04  0.969   -.0192318   .0200077
      _cons |   -.085144   1.011094    -0.08  0.933   -2.08106   1.910772
-----+-----
```

dfuller residual, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 174

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----			
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value	
Z(t)	-2.497	-3.485	-2.885	-2.575

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.1162

D.residual	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
residual						
L1.	-.0727943	.0291546	-2.50	0.013	-.1303435	-.0152452
LD.	.0063568	.0764935	0.08	0.934	-.1446363	.15735
_cons	-.0508134	.4972826	-0.10	0.919	-1.032416	.9307895

Test statistic: -2.50

Critical Values:

This t-stat is shy of the 10% significance level which is .

We cannot reject the hypothesis of non-integration. Therefore, we conclude that Polity and Fiscal Reliance are not co-integrated series.

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	175
Model	190.543308	3	63.514436	F(3, 171) =	3.92
Residual	2767.17098	171	16.1822864	Prob > F =	0.0097
				R-squared =	0.0644
				Adj R-squared =	0.0480
Total	2957.71429	174	16.998358	Root MSE =	4.0227

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0533623	.0175865	-3.03	0.003	-.0880769	-.0186476
Fiscal_Reli						
L1.	.0635832	.0191134	3.33	0.001	.0258547	.1013118
D1.	.0461917	.0499341	0.93	0.356	-.052375	.1447583
_cons	1.091685	.5567217	1.96	0.052	-.0072467	2.190617

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.196	1	0.6579

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 14.66301 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .1006
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance, r
```

```
Linear regression
```

```
Number of obs = 175
F( 3, 171) = 1.05
Prob > F = 0.3740
R-squared = 0.0644
Root MSE = 4.0227
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0533623	.0303308	-1.76	0.080	-.1132332	.0065087
Fiscal_Reli						
L1.	.0635832	.0370171	1.72	0.088	-.009486	.1366525
D1.	.0461917	.0329573	1.40	0.163	-.0188638	.1112472
_cons	1.091685	.7162057	1.52	0.129	-.3220575	2.505428

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-1.191539	.1265844	-9.41	0.000	-1.441408	-.9416697

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F(2, 171) = 1.55
 Prob > F = 0.2152

. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	175
Model	171.986104	3	57.3287012	F(3, 171) =	3.48
Residual	2813.72818	171	16.4545508	Prob > F =	0.0171
				R-squared =	0.0576
				Adj R-squared =	0.0411
Total	2985.71429	174	17.1592775	Root MSE =	4.0564

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0544973	.018204	-2.99	0.003	-.0904308	-.0185637
Fiscal_Reliance						
L1.	.0625199	.0198582	3.15	0.002	.023321	.1017187
LD.	-.0360101	.0520931	-0.69	0.490	-.1388384	.0668182
_cons	1.161065	.5675685	2.05	0.042	.0407226	2.281408

. bgodfrey, lags (1)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.161	1	0.6878

H0: no serial correlation

. whitetst

White's general test statistic : 11.94702 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .2163

. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

_nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-1.147211	.2232477	-5.14	0.000	-1.587887	-.7065344

. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance

(1) L.polity_s = 0

(2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F(2, 171) = 5.21
 Prob > F = 0.0064

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	174
Model	165.979894	3	55.3266314	F(3, 170) =	3.34
Residual	2819.65229	170	16.5861899	Prob > F =	0.0208
				R-squared =	0.0556
				Adj R-squared =	0.0389
Total	2985.63218	173	17.2579895	Root MSE =	4.0726

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0504223	.0180647	-2.79	0.006	-.0860823	-.0147623
Fiscal_Reliance						
L1.	.0573932	.019638	2.92	0.004	.0186274	.096159
L2D.	.0174411	.0514546	0.34	0.735	-.0841313	.1190134
_cons	1.084002	.5692947	1.90	0.059	-.0397949	2.2078

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.103	1	0.7479

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 19.33594 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .0225
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L2.d.Fiscal_Reliance, r
```

Linear regression	Number of obs =	174
	F(3, 170) =	2.32
	Prob > F =	0.0774
	R-squared =	0.0556
	Root MSE =	4.0726

D.polity_s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0504223	.0267236	-1.89	0.061	-.1031752	.0023306
Fiscal_Reliance						
L1.	.0573932	.0317986	1.80	0.073	-.0053777	.1201641
L2D.	.0174411	.0617719	0.28	0.778	-.1044978	.1393799
_cons	1.084002	.6692485	1.62	0.107	-.2371053	2.40511

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-1.138251	.1379733	-8.25	0.000	-1.410612	-.8658892

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```

F( 2, 170) = 1.79
Prob > F = 0.1694
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L3.d.Fiscal_Reliance

```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	173
Model	166.373137	3	55.4577122	F(3, 169) =	3.32
Residual	2819.176	169	16.6815148	Prob > F =	0.0211
				R-squared =	0.0557
				Adj R-squared =	0.0390
Total	2985.54913	172	17.3578438	Root MSE =	4.0843

```

-----+-----
D.polity_s |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
polity_s |
  L1. |   -.0520392   .0178625    -2.91   0.004   - .0873015   - .0167768
Fiscal_Rel~e |
  L1. |    .0594084   .0193331     3.07   0.002    .0212429    .0975738
  L3D. |   -.0191111   .0509361    -0.38   0.708   - .1196639    .0814419
  _cons |    1.118804   .5696929     1.96   0.051   - .0058272    2.243435
-----+-----

```

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

```

-----+-----
lags(p) |      chi2      df      Prob > chi2
-----+-----
1 |      0.087      1      0.7684
-----+-----

```

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 11.02233 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .2742
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```

-----+-----
D.polity_s |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
_nl_1 |  -1.141609   .2351508    -4.85   0.000   -1.60582   - .6773974
-----+-----

```

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```

F( 2, 169) = 4.98
Prob > F = 0.0079

```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	172
Model	178.377843	3	59.4592809	F(3, 168) =	3.56
Residual	2807.08727	168	16.7088528	Prob > F =	0.0156
				R-squared =	0.0597
				Adj R-squared =	0.0430
Total	2985.46512	171	17.4588603	Root MSE =	4.0876

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.053014	.0178993	-2.96	0.004	-.0883507 -.0176774
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0605424	.019395	3.12	0.002	.022253 .0988318
L4D.	-.0474503	.0511083	-0.93	0.355	-.1483474 .0534469
_cons	1.141753	.5715617	2.00	0.047	.0133844 2.270122

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.096	1	0.7562

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 19.88447 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .0186
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L4.d.Fiscal_Reliance, r
```

Linear regression	Number of obs =	172
	F(3, 168) =	1.67
	Prob > F =	0.1760
	R-squared =	0.0597
	Root MSE =	4.0876

D.polity_s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.053014	.0311933	-1.70	0.091	-.1145954 .0085673
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0605424	.0375856	1.61	0.109	-.0136586 .1347434
L4D.	-.0474503	.0602239	-0.79	0.432	-.1663434 .0714428
_cons	1.141753	.762866	1.50	0.136	-.3642857 2.647792

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-1.142007	.1371857	-8.32	0.000	-1.412837 -.8711774

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```

F( 2, 168) = 1.49
Prob > F = 0.2283
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L5.d.Fiscal_Reliance

```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	171
Model	166.118654	3	55.3728847	F(3, 167) =	3.28
Residual	2819.26146	167	16.8818052	Prob > F =	0.0224
				R-squared =	0.0556
				Adj R-squared =	0.0387
Total	2985.38012	170	17.5610595	Root MSE =	4.1087

```

-----+-----
D.polity_s |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
polity_s |
  L1. | -.0508499   .0180348    -2.82   0.005   -.0864556   -.0152442
Fiscal_Rel~e |
  L1. | .0581211   .0195638     2.97   0.003    .0194969    .0967452
  L5D. | .018572   .0515242     0.36   0.719   -.0831508    .1202947
  _cons | 1.083214   .5762469     1.88   0.062   -.0544532    2.220882
-----+-----

```

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

```

-----+-----
lags(p) |      chi2      df      Prob > chi2
-----+-----
1 |      0.151      1      0.6979
-----+-----

```

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 11.9295 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .2173
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```

-----+-----
D.polity_s |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
_nl_1 | -1.142993   .2430427    -4.70   0.000   -1.622825   -.6631605
-----+-----

```

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```

F( 2, 167) = 4.66
Prob > F = 0.0108

```



```

quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -495.711   Log-Lik Full Model:      -489.884
D(171):                      979.768   LR(3):                   11.653
                               Prob > LR:                0.009
R2:                          0.064   Adjusted R2:             0.048
AIC:                          5.644   AIC*n:                   987.768
BIC:                          96.589   BIC':                    3.841
BIC used by Stata:           1000.427   AIC used by Stata:       987.768

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance

.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -493.374   Log-Lik Full Model:      -487.388
D(169):                      974.777   LR(4):                   11.971
                               Prob > LR:                0.018
R2:                          0.066   Adjusted R2:             0.044
AIC:                          5.660   AIC*n:                   984.777
BIC:                          102.896   BIC':                    8.666
BIC used by Stata:           1000.572   AIC used by Stata:       984.777

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance

.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -491.034   Log-Lik Full Model:      -484.884
D(167):                      969.767   LR(5):                   12.300
                               Prob > LR:                0.031
R2:                          0.069   Adjusted R2:             0.041
AIC:                          5.675   AIC*n:                   981.767
BIC:                          109.167   BIC':                    13.466
BIC used by Stata:           1000.687   AIC used by Stata:       981.767

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance

.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -488.691   Log-Lik Full Model:      -482.490
D(165):                      964.980   LR(6):                   12.402
                               Prob > LR:                0.054
R2:                          0.070   Adjusted R2:             0.036
AIC:                          5.692   AIC*n:                   978.980
BIC:                          115.644   BIC':                    18.483
BIC used by Stata:           1001.013   AIC used by Stata:       978.980

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```

```
.  
  
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L2.d.Fiscal_Reliance L3.d.Fiscal_Reliance if  
GDP_Per_Cap_Haber_Men_2 !=.
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-369.513	Log-Lik Full Model:	-364.800
D(116):	729.599	LR(6):	9.426
		Prob > LR:	0.151
R2:	0.074	Adjusted R2:	0.026
AIC:	6.046	AIC*n:	743.599
BIC:	171.386	BIC':	19.447
BIC used by Stata:	763.285	AIC used by Stata:	743.599

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance if
l.GDP_Per_Cap_Haber_Men_2 != . & l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE != . & l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE != .
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	122
Model	200.61395	3	66.8713165	F(3, 118) =	2.89
Residual	2728.89425	118	23.1262224	Prob > F =	0.0383
				R-squared =	0.0685
				Adj R-squared =	0.0448
Total	2929.5082	121	24.2108115	Root MSE =	4.809

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.0558014	.0212648	-2.62	0.010	-.0979114	-.0136914
Fiscal_Reliance						
L1.	.0728238	.0257984	2.82	0.006	.0217359	.1239117
D1.	.0516533	.060113	0.86	0.392	-.0673868	.1706935
_cons	.7540571	.7976015	0.95	0.346	-.8254111	2.333525

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.119	1	0.7299

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-1.305053	.3209344	-4.07	0.000	-1.94059	-.6695152

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 118) = 4.21
Prob > F = 0.0171
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-367.003	Log-Lik Full Model:	-362.676
D(118):	725.352	LR(3):	8.654
		Prob > LR:	0.034
R2:	0.068	Adjusted R2:	0.045
AIC:	6.011	AIC*n:	733.352
BIC:	158.477	BIC':	5.758
BIC used by Stata:	744.568	AIC used by Stata:	733.352

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
.
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2
l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE d.Fiscal_Reliance d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2
d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	122
Model	436.036643	9	48.4485159	F(9, 112) =	2.18
Residual	2493.47155	112	22.2631389	Prob > F =	0.0288
				R-squared =	0.1488
				Adj R-squared =	0.0804
Total	2929.5082	121	24.2108115	Root MSE =	4.7184

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.084494	.030358	-2.78	0.006	-.1446445 -.0243435
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.0570915	.0743689	0.77	0.444	-.0902608 .2044439
log_gdp_pe~2					
L1.	1.458615	1.924913	0.76	0.450	-2.355354 5.272584
REGION_DEM~E					
L1.	.0422046	.0420462	1.00	0.318	-.0411045 .1255137
WORLD_DEM~E					
L1.	-.1957551	.1201195	-1.63	0.106	-.4337565 .0422463
Fiscal_Rel~e					
D1.	.0464639	.0678618	0.68	0.495	-.0879956 .1809235
log_gdp_pe~2					
D1.	-8.129751	5.68086	-1.43	0.155	-19.38565 3.126145
REGION_DEM~E					
D1.	.0186185	.182668	0.10	0.919	-.3433148 .3805517
WORLD_DEM~E					
D1.	-.4924419	.3067625	-1.61	0.111	-1.100252 .1153686
_cons	-4.282629	12.11931	-0.35	0.724	-28.29549 19.73023

```
. bdiag, lags (1)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.154	1	0.6947

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 87.61627 Chi-sq(54) P-value = .0026
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2
l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE d.Fiscal_Reliance d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2
d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, r
```

Linear regression

```
Number of obs = 122
F( 9, 112) = 1.21
Prob > F = 0.2983
R-squared = 0.1488
Root MSE = 4.7184
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.084494	.0408587	-2.07	0.041	-.1654502	-.0035378
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	.0570915	.0412678	1.38	0.169	-.0246754	.1388584
log_gdp_pe~2						
L1.	1.458615	1.109336	1.31	0.191	-.739392	3.656622
REGION_DEM~E						
L1.	.0422046	.0311781	1.35	0.179	-.0195709	.1039801
WORLD_DEM~E						
L1.	-.1957551	.1020653	-1.92	0.058	-.3979845	.0064743
Fiscal_Rel~e						
D1.	.0464639	.032786	1.42	0.159	-.0184973	.1114252
log_gdp_pe~2						
D1.	-8.129751	6.159898	-1.32	0.190	-20.3348	4.075297
REGION_DEM~E						
D1.	.0186185	.0867524	0.21	0.830	-.1532703	.1905072
WORLD_DEM~E						
D1.	-.4924419	.3762572	-1.31	0.193	-1.237947	.2530635
_cons	-4.282629	6.057892	-0.71	0.481	-16.28557	7.720308

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.6756875	.4023022	-1.68	0.096	-1.472798	.1214227

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 112) = 2.17
Prob > F = 0.1194
```

```
. test l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

```
( 1) L.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
```

```
( 2) L.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
```

```
( 3) L.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
```

```
F( 3, 112) = 1.59
Prob > F = 0.1961
```

```
. test d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

```
( 1) D.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
```

```
( 2) D.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
```

```
( 3) D.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
```

```
F( 3, 112) = 0.73
```

Prob > F = 0.5339

. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-367.003	Log-Lik Full Model:	-357.173
D(112):	714.345	LR(9):	19.661
		Prob > LR:	0.020
R2:	0.149	Adjusted R2:	0.080
AIC:	6.019	AIC*n:	734.345
BIC:	176.295	BIC':	23.575
BIC used by Stata:	762.385	AIC used by Stata:	734.345

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

.

**THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS
RUN FOR THE YEMEN TIME-SERIES.**

NOTA BENE:

We always calculate Unit Root Tests (using Augmented Dickey Fuller Tests) on Polity and Fiscal Reliance, first in levels and then in differences, before performing Engle Granger cointegration tests. These are preceded by ECM cointegration tests and ECM regression estimation.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L.Fiscal Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]`

NOTA BENE:

We always run a bunch of single lag experiments, where we introduce different lag lengths of Fiscal Reliance in differences. We do so one at a time. Namely, we proceed as follows: we introduce Fiscal Reliance lagged one year. Then we remove it and introduce the second lag of Fiscal Reliance and keep doing this until we reach Fiscal Reliance in t-5. These are reported in the regression tables in the appendix.

NOTA BENE:

Finally, we run a series of finite distributed lag models (where all of the lags are introduced simultaneously) and then use the BIC statistic to choose the most parsimonious model and that model is estimated and reported in the regression table in the online appendix.

YEMEN' S UNIT-ROOT TESTS

Polity_s

dfuller polity_s, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 87

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.376	-4.069	-3.158

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.3923

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1163679	.0489701	-2.38	0.020	-.2137675 -0.0189682
LD.	.1469659	.1085821	1.35	0.180	-.0689995 .3629313
_trend	.0604014	.0309902	1.95	0.055	-.0012369 .1220398
_cons	.0148675	.9151489	0.02	0.987	-1.805327 1.835062

Polity_s_FD

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 86

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----			
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value	
Z(t)	-6.031	-4.071	-3.464	-3.158

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.polity_s~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s_FD						
L1.	-.8990511	.1490713	-6.03	0.000	-1.195601	-.6025009
LD.	-.0134512	.1104205	-0.12	0.903	-.2331128	.2062103
_trend	-.0009345	.0183883	-0.05	0.960	-.0375148	.0356457
_cons	.4597506	.9394621	0.49	0.626	-1.409139	2.32864

.
dfuller polity_s_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 86

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----			
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value	
Z(t)	-6.068	-3.530	-2.901	-2.586

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D. polity_s_FD	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	

polity_s_FD						
L1.	-.8990627	.1481727	-6.07	0.000	-1.193772	-.6043532
LD.	-.0134316	.1097544	-0.12	0.903	-.2317286	.2048654
_cons	.4181687	.4589294	0.91	0.365	-.4946235	1.330961

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs

dfuller fiscalreliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 83

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-0.452	-4.077	-3.467	-3.160

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.9852

D.fiscalre~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~e						
L1.	-.0129698	.0286712	-0.45	0.652	-.0700384	.0440988
LD.	.1899037	.1073052	1.77	0.081	-.023682	.4034895
_trend	.0436601	.0249006	1.75	0.083	-.0059032	.0932235
_cons	-1.107117	1.038859	-1.07	0.290	-3.174913	.9606793

dfuller fiscalreliance, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 83

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	0.869	-3.534	-2.904	-2.587

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.9927

D.fiscalreli~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~e						
L1.	.019339	.0222488	0.87	0.387	-.0249376	.0636155
LD.	.190016	.1086875	1.75	0.084	-.026279	.406311
_cons	.4873057	.5087868	0.96	0.341	-.5252122	1.499824

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs_FD

dfuller fiscalreliance_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 81

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-10.692	-4.082	-3.469	-3.161

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.fiscalre~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~D						
L1.	-1.258302	.1176848	-10.69	0.000	-1.492643	-1.023962
LD.	.5639678	.094483	5.97	0.000	.3758281	.7521075
_trend	.0484236	.0167435	2.89	0.005	.0150831	.0817641
_cons	-1.215633	.7990078	-1.52	0.132	-2.80666	.375395

dfuller fiscalreliance_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 81

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-9.840	-3.537	-2.905	-2.588

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.fiscalreli~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~D						
L1.	-1.170431	.1189412	-9.84	0.000	-1.407225	-.9336374
LD.	.535464	.0983034	5.45	0.000	.339757	.731171
_cons	.7863684	.4174449	1.88	0.063	-.0447005	1.617437

YEMEN' S CO-INTEGRATION TESTS

Dickey Fuller CRITICAL VALUES are NOT valid for the Engle-Granger co-integration test!!!

The critical values that STATA spits out after the Augmented Dickey Fuller Test are the critical values for an augmented Dickey Fuller Test on a variable, not residuals.

For an augmented Engle-Granger Test we instead turn to the critical values for cointegration provided by MacKinnon 1991.

Polity and Fiscal Reliance

newey polity_s Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force

Regression with Newey-West standard errors Number of obs = 87
 maximum lag: 1 F(1, 85) = 67.94
 Prob > F = 0.0000

	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Fiscal_Rel~e	.3750503	.0455005	8.24	0.000	.2845831	.4655174
_cons	15.88373	2.476374	6.41	0.000	10.96004	20.80743

dfuller res, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 83

Test Statistic	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-4.077	-3.467	-3.160

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.7853

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
res						
L1.	-.0702315	.0434047	-1.62	0.110	-.1566265	.0161634
LD.	.1491417	.1097379	1.36	0.178	-.0692861	.3675695
_trend	.0033579	.0243066	0.14	0.890	-.0450232	.051739
_cons	-.091651	1.160432	-0.08	0.937	-2.401433	2.218131

dfuller res, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 83

Test Statistic	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-3.534	-2.904	-2.587

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.3273

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D.res						
res						
L1.	-.0667117	.0349235	-1.91	0.060	-.1362116	.0027882
LD.	.1461838	.1069669	1.37	0.176	-.0666872	.3590548
_cons	.0540403	.4811585	0.11	0.911	-.9034956	1.011576

The critical value for co-integration with NO trend is -3.9001 at the 10 percent level.
This is below that level.

**We cannot reject the hypothesis of non-integration. Therefore, we conclude that Polity
and Fiscal Reliance are not co-integrated series.**

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	85
Model	37.1953932	3	12.3984644	F(3, 81) =	0.71
Residual	1423.39284	81	17.5727511	Prob > F =	0.5515
Total	1460.58824	84	17.3879552	R-squared =	0.0255
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0106
				Root MSE =	4.192

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0478264	.0329991	-1.45	0.151	-.1134842 .0178315
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0194121	.0241993	0.80	0.425	-.0287369 .0675612
D1.	.0032148	.1028471	0.03	0.975	-.2014186 .2078482
_cons	1.15644	.7193014	1.61	0.112	-.2747439 2.587625

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.862	1	0.3531

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 1.472747 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .9973
```

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
    _nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.4058878	.4352025	-0.93	0.354	-1.271804 .4600286

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 81) = 1.05  
Prob > F = 0.3537
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	85
Model	40.1468273	3	13.3822758	F(3, 81) =	0.77
Residual	1399.26494	81	17.2748758	Prob > F =	0.5115
				R-squared =	0.0279
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0081
Total	1439.41176	84	17.1358543	Root MSE =	4.1563

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0486674	.032803	-1.48	0.142	-.113935 .0166002
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0174858	.0240343	0.73	0.469	-.0303349 .0653064
LD.	-.0377884	.105616	-0.36	0.721	-.2479311 .1723543
_cons	1.167612	.7237855	1.61	0.111	-.2724945 2.607718

```
bdiagfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.643	1	0.4228

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 1.514542 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .997
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.3592911	.4237073	-0.85	0.399	-1.202336 .4837536

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 81) = 1.10
Prob > F = 0.3373
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	83
Model	43.9209112	3	14.6403037	F(3, 79) =	0.84
Residual	1373.54897	79	17.3866958	Prob > F =	0.4749
				R-squared =	0.0310
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0058
Total	1417.46988	82	17.286218	Root MSE =	4.1697

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0521275	.0332943	-1.57	0.121	-.118398 .0141431
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0139365	.024425	0.57	0.570	-.0346803 .0625532
L2D.	.0029153	.1075677	0.03	0.978	-.2111929 .2170234
_cons	1.200032	.7378296	1.63	0.108	-.2685806 2.668645

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.624	1	0.4294

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 1.466837 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .9974
```

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.2673534	.4081337	-0.66	0.514	-1.079723 .5450165

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 79) = 1.26
Prob > F = 0.2886
```



```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	82
Model	45.3584983	3	15.1194994	F(3, 78) =	0.85
Residual	1393.66589	78	17.8675114	Prob > F =	0.4728
				R-squared =	0.0315
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0057
Total	1439.02439	81	17.7657332	Root MSE =	4.227

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.054203	.0341865	-1.59	0.117	-.1222632 .0138571
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0183044	.0238909	0.77	0.446	-.0292588 .0658675
L3D.	-.0161866	.1111875	-0.15	0.885	-.237544 .2051708
_cons	1.272252	.7592774	1.68	0.098	-.2393536 2.783857

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 2 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.625	1	0.4291

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 1.372926 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .998
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.3377003	.3795009	-0.89	0.376	-1.093229 .4178279

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 78) = 1.26  
Prob > F = 0.2899
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	81
Model	43.0138147	3	14.3379382	F(3, 77) =	0.78
Residual	1416.86273	77	18.4008147	Prob > F =	0.5091
				R-squared =	0.0295
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0083
Total	1459.87654	80	18.2484568	Root MSE =	4.2896

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0532251	.0351374	-1.51	0.134	-.1231927 .0167424
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0180203	.0241053	0.75	0.457	-.0299795 .0660201
L4D.	.0242114	.1070705	0.23	0.822	-.1889933 .237416
_cons	1.309197	.7837913	1.67	0.099	-.2515311 2.869925

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 2 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.829	1	0.3626

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 1.257653 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .9986

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.3385677	.3905296	-0.87	0.389	-1.116212 .4390761

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 77) = 1.15
Prob > F = 0.3228
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L5.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	80
Model	46.2678862	3	15.4226287	F(3, 76) =	0.83
Residual	1413.41961	76	18.5976265	Prob > F =	0.4818
				R-squared =	0.0317
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0065
Total	1459.6875	79	18.477057	Root MSE =	4.3125

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0544425	.0354968	-1.53	0.129	-.1251405 .0162555
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.0171091	.0247196	0.69	0.491	-.0321242 .0663424
L5D.	.0418224	.1101735	0.38	0.705	-.1776071 .2612519
_cons	1.353334	.8010489	1.69	0.095	-.2420933 2.948761

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 2 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.822	1	0.3645

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 1.243415 Chi-sq( 9) P-value = .9986
```

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
    _nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.3142604	.3954282	-0.79	0.429	-1.101824 .4733032

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 76) = 1.18  
Prob > F = 0.3132
```

```

quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance

fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -241.477   Log-Lik Full Model:      -240.381
D(81):                       480.762   LR(3):                   2.193
                               Prob > LR:                0.533
R2:                           0.025   Adjusted R2:             -0.011
AIC:                           5.750   AIC*n:                   488.762
BIC:                           120.907   BIC':                     11.135
BIC used by Stata:            498.533   AIC used by Stata:       488.762

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

.
.
.
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance

.
.
.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -236.170   Log-Lik Full Model:      -234.874
D(78):                       469.749   LR(4):                   2.592
                               Prob > LR:                0.628
R2:                           0.031   Adjusted R2:             -0.019
AIC:                           5.780   AIC*n:                   479.749
BIC:                           125.079   BIC':                     15.083
BIC used by Stata:            491.843   AIC used by Stata:       479.749

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

.
.
.
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance

.
.
.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -230.847   Log-Lik Full Model:      -229.383
D(75):                       458.767   LR(5):                   2.927
                               Prob > LR:                0.711
R2:                           0.035   Adjusted R2:             -0.029
AIC:                           5.812   AIC*n:                   470.767
BIC:                           129.183   BIC':                     19.045
BIC used by Stata:            485.134   AIC used by Stata:       470.767

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

.
.
.
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance

.
.

```

```

.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -226.129   Log-Lik Full Model:      -224.611
D(72):                      449.222   LR(6):                   3.036
                             Prob > LR:         0.804
R2:                          0.038   Adjusted R2:            -0.042
AIC:                          5.864   AIC*n:                  463.222
BIC:                          134.622  BIC':                   23.181
BIC used by Stata:           479.808  AIC used by Stata:      463.222

```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```

.
.
.
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.4.d.
> Fiscal_Reliance

```

```

.
.
.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -221.386   Log-Lik Full Model:      -219.751
D(69):                      439.501   LR(7):                   3.271
                             Prob > LR:         0.859
R2:                          0.042   Adjusted R2:            -0.056
AIC:                          5.916   AIC*n:                  455.501
BIC:                          139.779  BIC':                   27.136
BIC used by Stata:           474.252  AIC used by Stata:      455.501

```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

CHOOSING LAG STRUCTURE WITH TRUNCATION TO 1950 DUE TO MISSING DATA ON GDP PER CAPITA

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance if
log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 != .
```

```
.
.
.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-162.943	Log-Lik Full Model:	-160.489
D(50):	320.979	LR(3):	4.907
		Prob > LR:	0.179
R2:	0.087	Adjusted R2:	0.032
AIC:	6.092	AIC*n:	328.979
BIC:	121.529	BIC':	7.060
BIC used by Stata:	336.934	AIC used by Stata:	328.979

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
.
.
.
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance if log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 != .
```

```
.
.
.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-159.961	Log-Lik Full Model:	-157.485
D(48):	314.971	LR(4):	4.951
		Prob > LR:	0.292
R2:	0.089	Adjusted R2:	0.013
AIC:	6.132	AIC*n:	324.971
BIC:	124.397	BIC':	10.930
BIC used by Stata:	334.822	AIC used by Stata:	324.971

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
.
.
.
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance if log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men
> _2 != .
```

```
.
.
.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-156.961	Log-Lik Full Model:	-154.478
D(46):	308.956	LR(5):	4.965
		Prob > LR:	0.420
R2:	0.091	Adjusted R2:	-0.008
AIC:	6.172	AIC*n:	320.956
BIC:	127.199	BIC':	14.791
BIC used by Stata:	332.664	AIC used by Stata:	320.956

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```

.
.
.
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance if log
> _gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 != .

.
.
.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -154.437   Log-Lik Full Model:      -151.929
D(44):                      303.858   LR(6):                   5.017
                              Prob > LR:         0.542
R2:                          0.094     Adjusted R2:            -0.030
AIC:                          6.233     AIC*n:                  317.858
BIC:                          130.858    BIC':                   18.574
BIC used by Stata:           331.381    AIC used by Stata:      317.858

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

.
.
.
. quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
L.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance L.4.d.
> Fiscal_Reliance if log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 != .

.
.
.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -151.904   Log-Lik Full Model:      -149.406
D(42):                      298.811   LR(7):                   4.997
                              Prob > LR:         0.660
R2:                          0.095     Adjusted R2:            -0.056
AIC:                          6.296     AIC*n:                  314.811
BIC:                          134.506    BIC':                   22.387
BIC used by Stata:           330.107    AIC used by Stata:      314.811

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

.

```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance if
log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 != .
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	54
Model	114.725436	3	38.2418119	F(3, 50) =	1.59
Residual	1206.1079	50	24.122158	Prob > F =	0.2046
				R-squared =	0.0869
				Adj R-squared =	0.0321
Total	1320.83333	53	24.9213836	Root MSE =	4.9114

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.1675852	.0771175	-2.17	0.035	-.3224803 -.0126902
Fiscal_Rel					
L1.	.0367832	.029733	1.24	0.222	-.0229374 .0965037
D1.	.0088175	.1205345	0.07	0.942	-.2332832 .2509181
_cons	4.73786	2.22142	2.13	0.038	.2760078 9.199713

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.601	1	0.4383

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 1.256903 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .9986

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.2194894	.1541925	-1.42	0.161	-.5291941 .0902152

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F(2, 50) = 2.38
 Prob > F = 0.1033

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-162.943	Log-Lik Full Model:	-160.489
D(50):	320.979	LR(3):	4.907
		Prob > LR:	0.179
R2:	0.087	Adjusted R2:	0.032
AIC:	6.092	AIC*n:	328.979
BIC:	121.529	BIC':	7.060
BIC used by Stata:	336.934	AIC used by Stata:	328.979

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)


```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE L.Civil_War_Gledistsch l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	53
Model	225.580679	10	22.5580679	F(10, 42) =	0.87
Residual	1095.17404	42	26.0755723	Prob > F =	0.5717
				R-squared =	0.1708
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0266
Total	1320.75472	52	25.3991292	Root MSE =	5.1064

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.2026323	.1381596	-1.47	0.150	-.4814496	.076185
log_gdp_pe~2						
L1.	-3.40656	2.604549	-1.31	0.198	-8.662754	1.849633
REGION_DEM~E						
L1.	-.0611857	.3961789	-0.15	0.878	-.8607071	.7383357
WORLD_DEM~E						
L1.	-.0330233	.3870103	-0.09	0.932	-.8140416	.7479951
Civil_War~h						
L1.	.7637854	3.164055	0.24	0.810	-5.621536	7.149107
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	.0771044	.1085036	0.71	0.481	-.1418647	.2960735
D1.	.0548527	.149665	0.37	0.716	-.2471835	.356889
log_gdp_pe~2						
D1.	-.3151691	21.78962	-0.01	0.989	-44.28841	43.65807
REGION_DEM~E						
D1.	-.1960546	.3657696	-0.54	0.595	-.9342076	.5420983
WORLD_DEM~E						
D1.	.9438442	.6278926	1.50	0.140	-.3232943	2.210983
_cons	28.28503	23.08319	1.23	0.227	-18.29873	74.86879

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 1 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	3.039	1	0.0813

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
. whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 53 Chi-sq(52) P-value = .4354
```

```

newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber men l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE L.Civil_War_Gledistsch l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fis
cal_Reliance d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber men d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, lag(1)
force

```

```

Regression with Newey-West standard errors      Number of obs =      53
maximum lag: 1                                F( 10, 42) =      0.81
                                              Prob > F =      0.6162

```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.2026323	.1167307	-1.74	0.090	-.4382044	.0329398
log_gdp_pe~2						
L1.	-3.40656	3.23637	-1.05	0.299	-9.937818	3.124698
REGION_DEM~E						
L1.	-.0611857	.2834129	-0.22	0.830	-.6331361	.5107647
WORLD_DEM~E						
L1.	-.0330233	.3485849	-0.09	0.925	-.7364962	.6704496
Civil_War~h						
L1.	.7637854	1.503036	0.51	0.614	-2.269463	3.797034
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	.0771044	.0987294	0.78	0.439	-.1221396	.2763484
D1.	.0548527	.0984718	0.56	0.580	-.1438715	.253577
log_gdp_pe~2						
D1.	-.3151691	14.83111	-0.02	0.983	-30.24556	29.61522
REGION_DEM~E						
D1.	-.1960546	.1781872	-1.10	0.277	-.555651	.1635418
WORLD_DEM~E						
D1.	.9438442	.4549605	2.07	0.044	.0256968	1.861992
_cons	28.28503	30.18496	0.94	0.354	-32.63069	89.20074

```

. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
      _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]

```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.3805137	.3539156	-1.08	0.288	-1.094744	.3337169

```

. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance

```

- (1) L.polity_s = 0
- (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

```

F( 2, 42) = 1.82
Prob > F = 0.1751

```

```

test l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber men l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
L.Civil_War_Gledistsch

```

- (1) L.log_gdp_per_cap_haber men_2 = 0
- (2) L.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
- (3) L.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
- (4) L.Civil_War_Gledistsch = 0

```

F( 4, 42) = 0.91
Prob > F = 0.4652

```

```
test d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

```
( 1) D.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0  
( 2) D.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0  
( 3) D.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
```

```
F( 3, 42) = 1.49  
Prob > F = 0.2324
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-160.419	Log-Lik Full Model:	-155.456
D(42):	310.911	LR(10):	9.926
		Prob > LR:	0.447
R2:	0.171	Adjusted R2:	-0.027
AIC:	6.281	AIC*n:	332.911
BIC:	144.159	BIC':	29.777
BIC used by Stata:	354.585	AIC used by Stata:	332.911

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

**THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS
RUN FOR THE ZAMBIA TIME-SERIES.**

NOTA BENE:

We always calculate Unit Root Tests (using Augmented Dickey Fuller Tests) on Polity and Fiscal Reliance, first in levels and then in differences, before performing Engle Granger cointegration tests. These are preceded by ECM cointegration tests and ECM regression estimation.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L.Fiscal Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]`

NOTA BENE:

We always run a bunch of single lag experiments, where we introduce different lag lengths of Fiscal Reliance in differences. We do so one at a time. Namely, we proceed as follows: we introduce Fiscal Reliance lagged one year. Then we remove it and introduce the second lag of Fiscal Reliance and keep doing this until we reach Fiscal Reliance in t-5. These are reported in the regression tables in the appendix.

NOTA BENE:

Finally, we run a series of finite distributed lag models (where all of the lags are introduced simultaneously) and then use the BIC statistic to choose the most parsimonious model and that model is estimated and reported in the regression table in the online appendix.

ZAMBIA'S UNIT-ROOT TESTS

Polity_s

dfuller polity_s, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 41

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.087	-4.233	-3.202

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.5535

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.1704293	.0816715	-2.09	0.044	-.3359114	-.0049472
LD.	.0358837	.1588769	0.23	0.823	-.2860315	.3577989
_trend	.4040487	.2110171	1.91	0.063	-.0235126	.8316099
_cons	-2.197208	4.902377	-0.45	0.657	-12.13037	7.735952

.
.

dfuller polity_s, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 41

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-1.376	-3.641	-2.611

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.5939

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.1058447	.0769463	-1.38	0.177	-.2616143	.0499249
LD.	.0578162	.1639286	0.35	0.726	-.27404	.3896724
_cons	4.281608	3.669814	1.17	0.251	-3.147543	11.71076

.
.

Polity_s_FD

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 40

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----			
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value	
Z(t)	-4.469	-4.242	-3.540	-3.204

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0017

D.polity_s~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s_FD						
L1.	-1.071783	.2398193	-4.47	0.000	-1.558159	-.585407
LD.	.0361027	.1667006	0.22	0.830	-.3019817	.3741872
_trend	.2471355	.2174458	1.14	0.263	-.1938651	.688136
_cons	-4.911494	5.246514	-0.94	0.355	-15.55192	5.72893

.

dfuller polity_s_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 40

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----			
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value	
Z(t)	-4.305	-3.648	-2.958	-2.612

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0004

D.	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s_FD						
polity_s_FD						
L1.	-1.001284	.2325698	-4.31	0.000	-1.472515	-.5300526
LD.	.0006418	.164399	0.00	0.997	-.3324621	.3337458
_cons	.3754814	2.435745	0.15	0.878	-4.559807	5.31077

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs

dfuller fiscalreliance, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 29

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-3.516	-4.343	-3.230

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0377

D.fiscalre~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~e						
L1.	-.401096	.1140753	-3.52	0.002	-.6360385	-.1661535
LD.	.2024767	.1558377	1.30	0.206	-.118477	.5234304
_trend	-.1783234	.1482651	-1.20	0.240	-.483681	.1270342
_cons	6.015736	4.088675	1.47	0.154	-2.405048	14.43652

.
dfuller fiscalreliance, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 29

Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-3.595	-3.723	-2.625

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0059

D.fiscalreli~e	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~e						
L1.	-.3104319	.0863521	-3.59	0.001	-.4879312	-.1329326
LD.	.1472121	.1501829	0.98	0.336	-.1614933	.4559176
_cons	1.451033	1.533917	0.95	0.353	-1.70198	4.604045

.
.

Fiscal_Reliance_Resource_Revs_FD

dfuller fiscalreliance_FD, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 26

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-6.130	-4.371	-3.596	-3.238

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.fiscalre~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~D						
L1.	-1.464389	.2388875	-6.13	0.000	-1.959811	-.9689663
LD.	.4698598	.1619726	2.90	0.008	.1339491	.8057704
_trend	.2235247	.1339918	1.67	0.109	-.0543572	.5014066
_cons	-6.214414	2.798295	-2.22	0.037	-12.01772	-.4111049

.
.

dfuller fiscalreliance_FD, regress lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 26

	Test Statistic	----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----		
		1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-5.687	-3.743	-2.997	-2.629

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

D.fiscalreli~D	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
fiscalreli~D						
L1.	-1.371481	.2411404	-5.69	0.000	-1.870318	-.8726441
LD.	.4465896	.1675086	2.67	0.014	.1000717	.7931075
_cons	-2.234347	1.517869	-1.47	0.155	-5.374297	.9056044

CO-INTEGRATION TESTS

Dickey Fuller CRITICAL VALUES are NOT valid for the Engle-Granger co-integration test!!!

The critical values that STATA spits out after the Augmented Dickey Fuller Test are the critical values for an augmented Dickey Fuller Test on a variable, not residuals.

For an augmented Engle-Granger Test we instead turn to the critical values for cointegration provided by MacKinnon 1991

Polity and Fiscal Reliance

newey polity_s Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force

Regression with Newey-West standard errors	Number of obs =	36
maximum lag: 1	F(1, 34) =	0.00
	Prob > F =	0.9874

```
-----+-----
```

		Newey-West		t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.					
Fiscal_Reliance	-.0048742	.306606	-0.02	0.987	-.6279726	.6182242	
_cons	35.19656	9.280068	3.79	0.001	16.3372	54.05593	

```
-----+-----
```

predict residual, res
(7 missing values generated)

dfuller residual, regress trend lags(1)

Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root	Number of obs =	29
--	-----------------	----

```
-----+----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller -----
```

Test Statistic	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value
Z(t)	-2.366	-4.343	-3.230

```
-----+-----
```

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.3981

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D.residual						
residual						
L1.	-.298588	.1262213	-2.37	0.026	-.5585456	-.0386303
LD.	.0478847	.1884584	0.25	0.802	-.3402526	.4360221
_trend	.9067393	.3459594	2.62	0.015	.1942226	1.619256
_cons	-17.4635	7.752738	-2.25	0.033	-33.43056	-1.496439

```
-----+-----
```

Test statistic: -2.37

Critical Values:

This t-stat is well short of the 10% significance level which is -3.496

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	32
Model	311.533487	3	103.844496	F(3, 28) =	0.38
Residual	7660.34151	28	273.583625	Prob > F =	0.7685
				R-squared =	0.0391
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0639
Total	7971.875	31	257.157258	Root MSE =	16.54

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0835594	.0954981	-0.87	0.389	-.2791784 .1120596
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	-.1410581	.2140778	-0.66	0.515	-.5795765 .2974604
D1.	-.1018803	.3951321	-0.26	0.798	-.9112717 .7075111
_cons	5.684333	4.870462	1.17	0.253	-4.292357 15.66102

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 2 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.017	1	0.8959

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 7.970814 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .5371

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	1.688117	3.11942	0.54	0.593	-4.701726 8.077959

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1)  L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2)  L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 28) = 0.57
Prob > F = 0.5723
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	32
Model	833.63157	3	277.87719	F(3, 28) =	1.09
Residual	7138.24343	28	254.937265	Prob > F =	0.3696
Total	7971.875	31	257.157258	R-squared =	0.1046
				Adj R-squared =	0.0086
				Root MSE =	15.967

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0820801	.0880878	-0.93	0.359	-.2625197 .0983596
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	-.1932551	.2075604	-0.93	0.360	-.6184232 .2319131
LD.	.5253373	.3626801	1.45	0.159	-.2175791 1.268254
_cons	6.8568	4.777226	1.44	0.162	-2.928904 16.6425

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 2 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.067	1	0.7951

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 4.680523 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .8612

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	2.35447	3.374692	0.70	0.491	-4.558274 9.267214

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1)  L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2)  L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 28) = 0.78
```

```
Prob > F = 0.4670
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	29
Model	329.94689	3	109.982297	F(3, 25) =	1.33
Residual	2073.50139	25	82.9400554	Prob > F =	0.2882
Total	2403.44828	28	85.8374384	R-squared =	0.1373
				Adj R-squared =	0.0338
				Root MSE =	9.1071

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.03169	.0524585	-0.60	0.551	-.1397303 .0763503
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	-.2936489	.1488478	-1.97	0.060	-.6002067 .012909
L2D.	.1674637	.2130817	0.79	0.439	-.2713863 .6063137
_cons	2.82967	2.967279	0.95	0.349	-3.281556 8.940897

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 2 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	2.910	1	0.0881

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 22.32529 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .0079

```
. newey D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L2.d.Fiscal_Reliance, lag(1) force
```

Regression with Newey-West standard errors
 maximum lag: 1
 Number of obs = 29
 F(3, 25) = 0.74
 Prob > F = 0.5381

D.polity_s	Coef.	Newey-West Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.03169	.0389021	-0.81	0.423	-.1118104 .0484304
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	-.2936489	.2039051	-1.44	0.162	-.7135994 .1263016
L2D.	.1674637	.1716099	0.98	0.338	-.1859736 .520901
_cons	2.82967	1.991632	1.42	0.168	-1.272173 6.931514

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	9.266293	8.158012	1.14	0.267	-7.535446 26.06803

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

(1) L.polity_s = 0
 (2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
 F(2, 25) = 1.08
 Prob > F = 0.3542

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	27
Model	874.571264	3	291.523755	F(3, 23) =	4.39
Residual	1527.28059	23	66.4035038	Prob > F =	0.0139
Total	2401.85185	26	92.3789174	R-squared =	0.3641
				Adj R-squared =	0.2812
				Root MSE =	8.1488

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0497421	.050671	-0.98	0.336	-.154563 .0550788
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	-.5166747	.1756782	-2.94	0.007	-.8800928 -.1532567
L3D.	-.3944955	.18497	-2.13	0.044	-.7771351 -.0118558
_cons	3.671072	2.785489	1.32	0.201	-2.091151 9.433295

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 3 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.030	1	0.8632

H0: no serial correlation

```
whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 26.47616 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .0017

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L3.d.Fiscal_Reliance, r
```

Linear regression	Number of obs =	27
	F(3, 23) =	0.85
	Prob > F =	0.4813
	R-squared =	0.3641
	Root MSE =	8.1488

D.polity_s	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0497421	.0459548	-1.08	0.290	-.1448069 .0453228
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	-.5166747	.3450866	-1.50	0.148	-1.230541 .1971912
L3D.	-.3944955	.3129194	-1.26	0.220	-1.041818 .2528276
_cons	3.671072	2.753085	1.33	0.195	-2.024119 9.366263

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	10.38708	5.701733	1.82	0.082	-1.407858 22.18201

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 23) = 1.14
Prob > F = 0.3358
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L4.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	26
Model	153.564064	3	51.1880213	F(3, 22) =	0.21
Residual	5255.08978	22	238.867717	Prob > F =	0.8854
Total	5408.65385	25	216.346154	R-squared =	0.0284
				Adj R-squared =	-0.1041
				Root MSE =	15.455

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0366735	.1056426	-0.35	0.732	-.2557628 .1824158
Fiscal_Rel~e					
L1.	.1927912	.3925442	0.49	0.628	-.6212957 1.006878
L4D.	.0095969	.3528632	0.03	0.979	-.7221965 .7413903
_cons	2.542088	5.475298	0.46	0.647	-8.812985 13.89716

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 4 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.772	1	0.3797

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 3.270583 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .9526

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-5.256967	22.07598	-0.24	0.814	-51.03975 40.52581

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1)  L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2)  L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 22) = 0.32
Prob > F = 0.7304
```

```
. regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance L5.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	25
Model	269.600166	3	89.8667221	F(3, 21) =	0.37
Residual	5130.39983	21	244.304754	Prob > F =	0.7769
				R-squared =	0.0499
				Adj R-squared =	-0.0858
Total	5400	24	225	Root MSE =	15.63

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0475718	.1087075	-0.44	0.666	-.2736415 .1784979
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	.1810536	.3993	0.45	0.655	-.6493362 1.011443
L5D.	.2179913	.3593429	0.61	0.551	-.5293031 .9652857
_cons	3.35876	5.619782	0.60	0.556	-8.328217 15.04574

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

Number of gaps in sample: 4 (gap count includes panel changes)

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.038	1	0.8457

H0: no serial correlation

```
. whitetst
```

White's general test statistic : 3.119507 Chi-sq(9) P-value = .9594

```
. nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1: _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-3.805899	14.29278	-0.27	0.793	-33.52937 25.91757

```
. test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
```

```
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
```

```
F( 2, 21) = 0.33
Prob > F = 0.7225
```

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -133.693   Log-Lik Full Model:      -133.055
D(28):                       266.110   LR(3):                   1.276
                               Prob > LR:                0.735
R2:                           0.039   Adjusted R2:            -0.064
AIC:                          8.566   AIC*n:                  274.110
BIC:                          169.070  BIC':                   9.122
BIC used by Stata:           279.973  AIC used by Stata:      274.110
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance
fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -122.572   Log-Lik Full Model:      -120.661
D(24):                       241.322   LR(4):                   3.822
                               Prob > LR:                0.431
R2:                           0.123   Adjusted R2:            -0.023
AIC:                          8.666   AIC*n:                  251.322
BIC:                          160.507  BIC':                   9.648
BIC used by Stata:           258.159  AIC used by Stata:      251.322
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance
fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -95.724   Log-Lik Full Model:      -90.424
D(20):                       180.847   LR(5):                   10.601
                               Prob > LR:                0.060
R2:                           0.335   Adjusted R2:            0.169
AIC:                          7.417   AIC*n:                  192.847
BIC:                          115.685  BIC':                   5.689
BIC used by Stata:           200.396  AIC used by Stata:      192.847
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -86.074   Log-Lik Full Model:      -78.538
D(16):                       157.077   LR(6):                   15.071
                               Prob > LR:                0.020
R2:                           0.481   Adjusted R2:            0.286
AIC:                          7.438   AIC*n:                  171.077
BIC:                          106.909  BIC':                   3.741
BIC used by Stata:           179.025  AIC used by Stata:      171.077
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)


```
quietly regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance  
l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.4.d.Fiscal_Reliance  
.  
fitstat, saving(mod1)  
likelihood information not found in last estimation results  
r(321);
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance l.d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	23
Model	1152.64614	6	192.10769	F(6, 16) =	2.47
Residual	1245.17995	16	77.8237466	Prob > F =	0.0696
				R-squared =	0.4807
				Adj R-squared =	0.2860
Total	2397.82609	22	108.992095	Root MSE =	8.8218

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
polity_s					
L1.	-.0507879	.0610136	-0.83	0.417	-.1801309 .0785551
Fiscal_Reliance					
L1.	-.5505057	.2318239	-2.37	0.030	-1.04195 -.0590609
D1.	-.0925606	.3328215	-0.28	0.784	-.7981106 .6129894
LD.	.4397537	.2493308	1.76	0.097	-.0888039 .9683114
L2D.	.0109223	.2380373	0.05	0.964	-.4936942 .5155389
L3D.	-.2158501	.237957	-0.91	0.378	-.7202964 .2885961
_cons	4.681446	3.251211	1.44	0.169	-2.210814 11.57371

```
bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 2 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	0.038	1	0.8449

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 23 Chi-sq(22) P-value = .4017
```

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	10.83931	12.45518	0.87	0.397	-15.5645 37.24312

```
test l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) L.polity_s = 0
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F( 2, 16) = 2.83
Prob > F = 0.0888
```

```
. test d.Fiscal_Reliance l.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance
```

```
( 1) D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
( 2) LD.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
( 3) L2D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
( 4) L3D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

F( 4, 16) = 1.82
Prob > F = 0.1743
```

```
regress D.polity_s l.polity_s l.Fiscal_Reliance d.Fiscal_Reliance l.d.Fiscal_Reliance
l.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance l.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2
l.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE l.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE L.Civil_War_Gledistsch
d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs =	23
Model	1975.18909	12	164.599091	F(12, 10) =	3.89
Residual	422.636992	10	42.2636992	Prob > F =	0.0195
				R-squared =	0.8237
				Adj R-squared =	0.6122
Total	2397.82609	22	108.992095	Root MSE =	6.5011

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
polity_s						
L1.	-.6832459	.1876169	-3.64	0.005	-1.101282	-.2652094
Fiscal_Rel~e						
L1.	-.072027	.214089	-0.34	0.743	-.5490469	.404993
D1.	-.4791547	.2712812	-1.77	0.108	-1.083607	.1252976
LD.	-.3712227	.2748475	-1.35	0.207	-.983621	.2411756
L2D.	-.3297855	.1977393	-1.67	0.126	-.7703762	.1108051
L3D.	-.4483428	.1859363	-2.41	0.037	-.8626348	-.0340509
log_gdp_pe~2						
L1.	-37.75028	39.02104	-0.97	0.356	-124.6946	49.19401
REGION_DEM~E						
L1.	.8993209	1.636301	0.55	0.595	-2.746586	4.545227
WORLD_DEM~E						
L1.	2.009445	1.175628	1.71	0.118	-.6100166	4.628907
Civil_War~h						
L1.	(dropped)					
log_gdp_pe~2						
D1.	-56.21907	36.36913	-1.55	0.153	-137.2545	24.81641
REGION_DEM~E						
D1.	.9659711	1.620543	0.60	0.564	-2.644825	4.576767
WORLD_DEM~E						
D1.	.5280471	2.127588	0.25	0.809	-4.212514	5.268608
_cons	210.4778	298.9129	0.70	0.497	-455.5416	876.4973

```
. bgodfrey, lags (1)
```

```
Number of gaps in sample: 2 (gap count includes panel changes)
```

```
Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation
```

lags (p)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	1.962	1	0.1613

```
H0: no serial correlation
```

```
whitetst
```

```
White's general test statistic : 23 Chi-sq(22) P-value = .4017
```

```
nlcom _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

```
  _nl_1:  _b[L.Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L.polity_s]
```

D.polity_s	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.1054188	.3260118	0.32	0.753	-.6209807	.8318183

```

test 1.polity_s 1.Fiscal_Reliance

( 1) L.polity_s = 0
( 2) L.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

      F( 2, 10) = 8.55
      Prob > F = 0.0068

. test d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.2.d.Fiscal_Reliance 1.3.d.Fiscal_Reliance

( 1) D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
( 2) LD.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
( 3) L2D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0
( 4) L3D.Fiscal_Reliance = 0

      F( 4, 10) = 1.98
      Prob > F = 0.1741

. test 1.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 1.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE 1.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
L.Civil_War_Gledistsch

( 1) L.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
( 2) L.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
( 3) L.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
( 4) L.Civil_War_Gledistsch = 0
      Constraint 4 dropped

      F( 3, 10) = 6.36
      Prob > F = 0.0110

. test d.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 d.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE d.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE

( 1) D.log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 = 0
( 2) D.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0
( 3) D.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE = 0

      F( 3, 10) = 0.94
      Prob > F = 0.4594

.
fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D.polity_s

Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -86.074   Log-Lik Full Model:      -66.112
D(9):                      132.225   LR(12):                  39.923
                              Prob > LR:                  0.000
R2:                         0.824   Adjusted R2:             0.612
AIC:                         6.966   AIC*n:                   160.225
BIC:                         104.005  BIC':                     -2.298
BIC used by Stata:          172.986  AIC used by Stata:       158.225

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

```

THIS IS THE BIC STATISTIC HORSE RACE ON THE CORRECT DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL TO RUN. EACH MODEL IS RUN ON THE FULL DATASET (SAME NUMBER OF COUNTRIES IN THE PANEL)

NO LAGS OF D.FISCAL RELIANCE

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp
FD_Fiscal_Rel_interp i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_52-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_52 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1790
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   18
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(227, 17)      =   3.22
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F         =   0.0035
                                                R-squared        =   0.1288
                                                Root MSE        =   6.4729
```

	Drisc/Kraay				
D_polity_i~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
L_Polity_s~p	-.0545837	.0098986	-5.51	0.000	-.0754679 -.0336996
L_Fiscal_R~p	.0001962	.0095178	0.02	0.984	-.0198845 .0202769
FD_Fiscal_~p	.0248337	.0171105	1.45	0.165	-.0112663 .0609337

```
nlcom _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_i~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.0035945	.1743038	-0.02	0.984	-.3713434 .3641544

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp FD_Fiscal_Rel_interp year i.hmccode i.year,
cluster(hmccode)
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -5885.582   Log-Lik Full Model:   -5762.137
D(1562):                  11524.275   LR(17):               246.889
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.129               Adjusted R2:          0.004
AIC:                      6.693                AIC*n:               11980.275
BIC:                      -175.060             BIC':                -119.559
BIC used by Stata:        11659.094             AIC used by Stata:   11560.275
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

1 LAG OF D.FISCAL RELIANCE

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp
FD_Fiscal_Rel_interp L_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_52-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_52 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1772
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(228, 17)      =    7.88
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F         =   0.0000
                                                R-squared        =   0.1308
                                                Root MSE        =   6.3512
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_i~p |              Drisc/Kraay
              Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_Polity_s~p |   -.0528568   .0099787    -5.30  0.000   -.0739099   -.0318037
L_Fiscal_R~p |    .0014303   .0104895     0.14  0.893   -.0207005   .0235612
FD_Fiscal_~p |    .0297093   .0192955     1.54  0.142   -.0110007   .0704193
L_D_Fiscal~p |   -.017779   .0322545    -0.55  0.589   -.0858301   .0502721
```

```
nlcom _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_i~p |              Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 |   -.0270604   .1979686    -0.14  0.893   -.4447376   .3906168
-----+-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp
FD_Fiscal_Rel_interp L_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -5793.462   Log-Lik Full Model:      -5669.265
D(1543):                  11338.529   LR(16):                  248.394
                          Prob > LR:          0.000
R2:                        0.131   Adjusted R2:             0.004
AIC:                       6.657   AIC*n:                   11796.529
BIC:                       -202.901  BIC':                     -128.716
BIC used by Stata:         11465.687  AIC used by Stata:       11372.529
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

2 LAGS OF D.Fiscal Reliance

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp FD_Fiscal_Rel_interp
L_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp L_2_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_52-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_52 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1754
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(229, 17)      =    3.99
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0009
                                                R-squared       =   0.1334
                                                Root MSE       =   6.3730
```

	Drisc/Kraay					
D_polity_i~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_Polity_s~p	-.0523602	.0101084	-5.18	0.000	-.0736871	-.0310333
L_Fiscal_R~p	6.17e-06	.0106446	0.00	1.000	-.0224521	.0224644
FD_Fiscal~p	.0310424	.021192	1.46	0.161	-.0136689	.0757536
L_D_Fiscal~p	-.0175163	.0347829	-0.50	0.621	-.0909018	.0558692
L_2_D_Fisc~p	.047483	.0277673	1.71	0.105	-.0111008	.1060668

```
nlcom _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_i~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.0001178	.203294	-0.00	1.000	-.4290306	.428795

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp
FD_Fiscal_Rel_interp L_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp L_2_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp i.hmccode i.year,
cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -5741.925   Log-Lik Full Model:      -5616.384
D(1524):                    11232.768   LR(16):                  251.082
                                                Prob > LR:                0.000
R2:                          0.133   Adjusted R2:             0.006
AIC:                          6.666   AIC*n:                   11692.768
BIC:                         -150.985   BIC':                     -131.567
BIC used by Stata:           11359.752   AIC used by Stata:       11266.768
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

3 LAGS OF D.Fiscal Reliance

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp FD_Fiscal_Rel_interp
L_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp L_2_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp L_3_D_Fiscal_Rel i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_52-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_52 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1736
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(230, 17)     =   375.23
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.1337
                                                Root MSE      =   6.3971
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_i~p						
L_Polity_s~p	-.052764	.0103348	-5.11	0.000	-.0745685	-.0309596
L_Fiscal_R~p	.0004932	.0104064	0.05	0.963	-.0214624	.0224488
FD_Fiscal~p	.0317105	.0208481	1.52	0.147	-.0122751	.075696
L_D_Fiscal~p	-.0119772	.0351468	-0.34	0.737	-.0861305	.0621761
L_2_D_Fisc~p	.043683	.0283196	1.54	0.141	-.0160661	.1034321
L_3_D_Fisc~l	-.0143786	.0284842	-0.50	0.620	-.0744475	.0457177

```
nlcom _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.0093476	.197101	-0.05	0.963	-.4251943	.4064991

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp
FD_Fiscal_Rel_interp L_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp L_2_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp L_3_D_Fiscal_Rel
i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -5688.497   Log-Lik Full Model:   -5563.963
D(1505):                  11127.927   LR(17):              249.068
                          Prob > LR:              0.000
R2:                       0.134   Adjusted R2:         0.005
AIC:                      6.676   AIC*n:              11589.927
BIC:                      -98.378   BIC':               -122.259
BIC used by Stata:       11269.654   AIC used by Stata:  11165.927
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```


4 LAGS OF D.Fiscal Reliance

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_interpl L_Polity_s_interpl L_Fiscal_Rel_interpl FD_Fiscal_Rel_interpl
L_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interpl L_2_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interpl L_3_D_Fiscal_Rel L_4_D_Fiscal_Rel i.hmccode
i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode 52-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode 52 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1718
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(231, 17)      =   45.74
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1360
                                                Root MSE      =   6.4255
```

D_polity_i~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_Polity_s~p	-.0529705	.0104765	-5.06	0.000	-.075074	-.030867
L_Fiscal_R~p	-.00168	.010527	-0.16	0.875	-.02389	.02053
FD_Fiscal_~p	.0306013	.0212297	1.44	0.168	-.0141895	.0753921
L_D_Fiscal~p	-.0114575	.0351129	-0.33	0.748	-.0855392	.0626243
L_2_D_Fisc~p	.0488938	.0286446	1.71	0.106	-.011541	.1093287
L_3_D_Fisc~l	-.0108526	.0279451	-0.39	0.703	-.0698115	.0481063
L_4_D_Fisc~l	.015959	.0278904	0.57	0.575	-.0428846	.0748027

```
nlcom _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interpl]/_b[L_Polity_s_interpl]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interpl]/_b[L_Polity_s_interpl]
```

D_polity_i~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.0317155	.1992306	0.16	0.875	-.3886243	.4520553

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_interpl L_Polity_s_interpl L_Fiscal_Rel_interpl
FD_Fiscal_Rel_interpl L_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interpl L_2_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interpl L_3_D_Fiscal_Rel
L_4_D_Fiscal_Rel i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_interpl
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -5638.116   Log-Lik Full Model:   -5512.543
D(1486):                  11025.087   LR(17):               251.145
                           Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.136   Adjusted R2:         0.006
AIC:                      6.687   AIC*n:              11489.087
BIC:                      -44.002   BIC':               -124.514
BIC used by Stata:       11166.616   AIC used by Stata:  11063.087
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
.
```

5 LAGS OF D.Fiscal Reliance

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp FD_Fiscal_Rel_interp
L_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp L_2_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp L_3_D_Fiscal_Rel L_4_D_Fiscal_Rel
L_5_D_Fiscal_Rel i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode 52-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode 52 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1700
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(232, 17)      =   30.36
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1363
                                                Root MSE      =   6.4529
```

D_polity_i~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_Polity_s~p	-.052875	.0107195	-4.93	0.000	-.0754912	-.0302588
L_Fiscal_R~p	-.0022521	.0114599	-0.20	0.847	-.0264305	.0219262
FD_Fiscal_~p	.0321444	.0221041	1.45	0.164	-.0144911	.0787799
L_D_Fiscal~p	-.0101228	.0356519	-0.28	0.780	-.0853417	.0650961
L_2_D_Fisc~p	.0497038	.028657	1.73	0.101	-.0107572	.1101648
L_3_D_Fisc~1	-.0108726	.0261857	-0.42	0.683	-.0661196	.0443744
L_4_D_Fisc~1	.0180462	.0287553	0.63	0.539	-.0426221	.0787145
L_5_D_Fisc~1	.0111881	.0351983	0.32	0.754	-.0630738	.0854499

```
nlcom _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_i~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.0425935	.2176676	0.20	0.847	-.416645	.501832

```
. quietly xi: regress D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp
FD_Fiscal_Rel_interp L_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp L_2_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp
> L_3_D_Fiscal_Rel L_4_D_Fiscal_Rel L_5_D_Fiscal_Rel i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -5585.187   Log-Lik Full Model:      -5460.654
D(1467):                  10921.309   LR(17):                  249.066
                           Prob > LR:          0.000
R2:                       0.136   Adjusted R2:            0.004
AIC:                      6.698   AIC*n:                  11387.309
BIC:                      9.200   BIC':                   -122.613
BIC used by Stata:        11055.199   AIC used by Stata:      10957.309
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

**THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS
RUN FOR TABLE 4, COLUMNS 1-5 OF DO NATURAL RESOURCES FUEL
AUTHORITARIANISM?**

EACH OF THE COINTEGRATION TESTS, AND EACH OF THE ROBUSTNESS TESTS, IS DOCUMENTED HERE, AS IS THE ACTUAL REGRESSION ESTIMATED.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: nlcom
_b[L_Fiscal_Reliance]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]

NOTA BENE:

THE BIC STATISTIC CHOSE THE MODEL WITH 1 lag of Fiscal Reliance in differences. Therefore, those are the type of ECM Models we run below.

NOTA BENE:

We always start with the Westerlund Cointegration Tests and then estimate cross-section time-series regressions after that to see what direction the cointegration relationship may have.

NOTE BENE:

Sometimes we have to truncate the dataset in order to run the Westerlund Cointegration Tests. However, we always estimate regressions on both the truncated sample and the entire dataset for comparisons sake.

NOTA BENE:

The models in the paper, and the regressions run for robustness and in the appendix, are calculated using Driscoll Kraay Standard Errors (although we also check for robustness by estimating robust standard errors). This is the Stata command xtsce. For the Newey West adjustment we use 1 lag length.

NOTA BENE:

In this file we document several experiments using different lags of Fiscal Reliance in differences in order to ensure robustness. These experiments are conducted without control variables.

The following series contain holes:

hmccode	Freq.
70	3
155	1
385	2
411	1
540	1
551	3
615	3
630	5
679	1
690	1
698	3

We therefore performed Linear Interpolation

We also performed linear interpolation for some of the country Polity series.

by hmccode: ipolate Fiscal_Reliance year, gen(Fiscal_Reliance_interpolate)

by hmccode: ipolate polity_s year, gen(polity_s_interpolate)

keep if Fiscal_Reliance_interpolate != . & cnamehabmen != "Saudi Arabia"

keep if polity_s_interpolate != .

Linear interpolation used for:

Mexico, Chile, Norway, Equatorial Guinea, Angola, Zambia, Algeria, Iran, Yemen, Kuwait, Oman.

The reason for this is that the Westerlund Cointegration Tests demands no gaps in the time-series.

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate Fiscal_Rel_Interp, constant trend lags(0) leads(1)
lrwindow(8) bootstrap(50)
```

```
Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....
```

```
Results for H0: no cointegration
With 18 series and 1 covariate
```

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-3.210	-4.404	0.000	0.000
Ga	-11.014	0.623	0.733	0.160
Pt	-11.425	-2.816	0.002	0.420
Pa	-14.177	-3.629	0.000	0.260

THIS IS A BASELINE REGRESSION WITH NO CONTROLS AND NO LAGS OF FISCAL RELIANCE

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp
FD_Fiscal_Rel_interp i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_52-850    (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_52 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006    (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1790
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(227, 17)      =    3.22
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =    0.0035
                                                R-squared       =    0.1288
                                                Root MSE       =    6.4729
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_i~p						
L_Polity_s~p	-.0545837	.0098986	-5.51	0.000	-.0754679	-.0336996
L_Fiscal_R~p	.0001962	.0095178	0.02	0.984	-.0198845	.0202769
FD_Fiscal_~p	.0248337	.0171105	1.45	0.165	-.0112663	.0609337

```
nlcom _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.0035945	.1743038	-0.02	0.984	-.3713434	.3641544

ONE LAG OF DIFFERENCED FISCAL RELIANCE

xtwest polity_s_interpolate Fiscal_Rel_Interp, constant trend lags(1) leads(1)
lrwindow(8) bootstrap(50)

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 18 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.422	-0.290	0.386	0.140
Ga	-12.230	-0.136	0.446	0.080
Pt	-11.219	-2.580	0.005	0.280
Pa	-14.901	-4.130	0.000	0.200

INCLUDING BOTH FISCAL RELIANCE IN DIFFERENCES AND ONE LAG OF FISCAL RELIANCE IN DIFFERENCES

```

xi: xtscd D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp
FD_Fiscal_Rel_interp L_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_52-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_52 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)

```

```

Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1772
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(228,   17)    =    7.88
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                    R-squared       =    0.1308
                                                    Root MSE       =    6.3512

```

```

-----
D_polity_i~p |           Drisc/Kraay
              |   Coef.   Std. Err.   t    P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_Polity_s~p |  -.0528568   .0099787   -5.30  0.000   -.0739099   -.0318037
L_Fiscal_R~p |   .0014303   .0104895    0.14  0.893   -.0207005   .0235612
FD_Fiscal_~p |   .0297093   .0192955    1.54  0.142   -.0110007   .0704193
L_D_Fiscal~p |  -.017779   .0322545   -0.55  0.589   -.0858301   .0502721

```

```
nlcom _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

```

      _nl_1:  _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]

```

```

-----
D_polity_i~p |           Coef.   Std. Err.   t    P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 |  -.0270604   .1979686   -0.14  0.893   -.4447376   .3906168
-----

```


RERUNNING WITHOUT THE OTHER FISCAL RELIANCE DIFFERENCED TERMS

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp
L_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp year i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_52-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_52 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1772
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F(227, 17)      =   8.09
maximum lag: 1                                  Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1301
                                                Root MSE       =   6.3516
```

```
-----+-----
```

		Drisc/Kraay				
D_polity_i~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_Polity_s~p	-.0531893	.0099855	-5.33	0.000	-.0742568	-.0321218
L_Fiscal_R~p	-.0004094	.0104087	-0.04	0.969	-.0223699	.0215511
L_D_Fiscal~p	-.0178964	.0320469	-0.56	0.584	-.0855095	.0497167

```
. nlcom _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_i~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.0076965	.1958384	0.04	0.969	-.4054864	.4208795

```
-----+-----
```

TWO LAGS OF DIFFERENCED FISCAL RELIANCE

xtwest polity_s_interpolate Fiscal_Rel_Interp, constant trend lags(2) leads(1)
lrwindow(8) bootstrap(50)

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 18 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.600	-1.218	0.112	0.040
Ga	-12.823	-0.506	0.306	0.060
Pt	-11.542	-2.950	0.002	0.060
Pa	-14.923	-4.145	0.000	0.100

TWO LAGS OF DIFFERENCED FISCAL RELIANCE

```

xi: xtsc D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp FD_Fiscal_Rel_interp
L_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp L_2_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_52-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_52 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)

```

```

Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1754
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(229, 17)      =    3.99
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0009
                                                R-squared       =   0.1334
                                                Root MSE       =   6.3730

```

```

-----+-----
          |               Drisc/Kraay
D_polity_i~p |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_Polity_s~p |  -.0523602   .0101084    -5.18  0.000   -0.0736871   -0.0310333
L_Fiscal_R~p |   6.17e-06   .0106446     0.00  1.000   -0.0224521   0.0224644
FD_Fiscal~p  |   .0310424   .021192     1.46  0.161   -0.0136689   0.0757536
L_D_Fiscal~p |  -.0175163   .0347829    -0.50  0.621   -0.0909018   0.0558692
L_2_D_Fisc~p |   .047483    .0277673     1.71  0.105   -0.0111008   0.1060668

```

```

nlcom _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]

```

```

-----+-----
D_polity_i~p |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 |  -.0001178   .203294    -0.00  1.000   -0.4290306   0.428795

```

RERUNNING AFTER DROPPING THE OTHER DIFFERENCED TERMS

```

xi: xtsc D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp L_2_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp
year i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_52-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_52 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)

```

```

Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1754
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(227, 17)      =    3.59
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =    0.0018
                                                R-squared       =    0.1324
                                                Root MSE       =    6.3723

```

```

-----+-----
          |                Drisc/Kraay
D_polity_i~p |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|    [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_Polity_s~p |  -.0527054   .0101138    -5.21  0.000   -.0740437   -.0313671
L_Fiscal_R~p |  -.0028899   .0096014    -0.30  0.767   -.023147    .0173672
L_2_D_Fisc~p |   .0460539   .025826     1.78  0.092   -.0084342   .100542

```

```
nlcom _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

```

-----+-----
          |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|    [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 |   .0548314   .1831778     0.30  0.768   -.3316399   .4413027

```

THREE LAGS

xwest polity_s_interpolate Fiscal_Rel_Interp, constant trend lags(3) leads(1)
lrwindow(8) bootstrap(50)

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 18 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.449	-0.434	0.332	0.080
Ga	-13.654	-1.025	0.153	0.020
Pt	-11.817	-3.265	0.001	0.040
Pa	-15.037	-4.224	0.000	0.060

3 LAGS OF FISCAL RELIANCE DIFFERENCED

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_interpl L_Polity_s_interpl L_Fiscal_Rel_interpl FD_Fiscal_Rel_interpl
L_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interpl L_2_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interpl L_3_D_Fiscal_Rel i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_52-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_52 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1736
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(230, 17)     =  375.23
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.1337
                                                Root MSE     =   6.3971
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_i~p						
L_Polity_s~p	-.052764	.0103348	-5.11	0.000	-.0745685	-.0309596
L_Fiscal_R~p	.0004932	.0104064	0.05	0.963	-.0214624	.0224488
FD_Fiscal~p	.0317105	.0208481	1.52	0.147	-.0122751	.075696
L_D_Fiscal~p	-.0119772	.0351468	-0.34	0.737	-.0861305	.0621761
L_2_D_Fisc~p	.043683	.0283196	1.54	0.141	-.0160661	.1034321
L_3_D_Fisc~l	-.0143786	.0284842	-0.50	0.620	-.074475	.0457177

```
nlcom _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interpl]/_b[L_Polity_s_interpl]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interpl]/_b[L_Polity_s_interpl]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.0093476	.197101	-0.05	0.963	-.4251943	.4064991

RERUNNING WITH THE OTHER DIFFERENCED TERMS OMITTED

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp L_3_D_Fiscal_Rel
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode 52-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_52 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1736
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(227, 17)      =    4.08
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =    0.0008
                                                R-squared       =    0.1315
                                                Root MSE       =    6.3988
```

```
-----+-----
```

		Drisc/Kraay				[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_i~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t			
L_Polity_s~p	-.0532783	.0103776	-5.13	0.000	-.0751732	-.0313834	
L_Fiscal_R~p	.0005568	.0093605	0.06	0.953	-.0191922	.0203058	
L_3_D_Fisc~l	-.0149123	.0296969	-0.50	0.622	-.0775674	.0477427	

```
. nlcom _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_i~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.01045	.1755951	-0.06	0.953	-.3809232	.3600232

```
-----+-----
```

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate Fiscal_Rel_Interp, constant trend lags(4) leads(1)
lrwindow(8) bootstrap(50)
```

```
Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....
```

```
Results for H0: no cointegration
With 18 series and 1 covariate
```

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.571	-1.068	0.143	0.020
Ga	-13.261	-0.779	0.218	0.020
Pt	-11.345	-2.724	0.003	0.120
Pa	-14.114	-3.585	0.000	0.120

FOUR LAGS OF FISCAL RELIANCE

```

xi: xtscd D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp FD_Fiscal_Rel_interp
L_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp L_2_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp L_3_D_Fiscal_Rel L_4_D_Fiscal_Rel year
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_52-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_52 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)

```

```

Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1718
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(231, 17)      =   45.74
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1360
                                                Root MSE       =   6.4255

```

		Drisc/Kraay				[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_i~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t			
L_Polity_s~p	-.0529705	.0104765	-5.06	0.000	-.075074	-.030867	
L_Fiscal_R~p	-.00168	.010527	-0.16	0.875	-.02389	.02053	
FD_Fiscal~p	.0306013	.0212297	1.44	0.168	-.0141895	.0753921	
L_D_Fiscal~p	-.0114575	.0351129	-0.33	0.748	-.0855392	.0626243	
L_2_D_Fisc~p	.0488938	.0286446	1.71	0.106	-.011541	.1093287	
L_3_D_Fisc~l	-.0108526	.0279451	-0.39	0.703	-.0698115	.0481063	
L_4_D_Fisc~l	.015959	.0278904	0.57	0.575	-.0428846	.0748027	

```
nlcom _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_i~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.0317155	.1992306	0.16	0.875	-.3886243	.4520553

DROPPING THE OTHER DIFFERENCED FISCAL RELIANCE TERMS

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp L_4_D_Fiscal_Rel
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_52-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_52 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1718
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(227, 17)      =   13.42
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1335
                                                Root MSE       =   6.4262
```

```
-----+-----
```

		Drisc/Kraay				[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_i~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t			
L_Polity_s~p	-.0535258	.0105171	-5.09	0.000	-.0757149	-.0313368	
L_Fiscal_R~p	-.0014273	.0097795	-0.15	0.886	-.0220603	.0192057	
L_4_D_Fisc~l	.0107522	.0278165	0.39	0.704	-.0479355	.0694399	

```
nlcom _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_i~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.0266656	.1831405	0.15	0.886	-.3597271	.4130584

```
-----+-----
```

5 LAGS OF FISCAL RELIANCE

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate Fiscal_Rel_Interp, constant trend lags(5) leads(1)  
lrwindow(8) bootstrap(50)
```

```
Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....  
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....
```

```
Results for H0: no cointegration  
With 18 series and 1 covariate
```

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.265	0.528	0.701	0.280
Ga	-13.774	-1.099	0.136	1.000
Pt	-10.644	-1.923	0.027	0.000
Pa	-13.662	-3.273	0.001	1.000

5 LAGS OF FISCAL RELIANCE

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp FD_Fiscal_Rel_interp
L_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp L_2_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp L_3_D_Fiscal_Rel L_4_D_Fiscal_Rel
L_5_D_Fiscal_Rel i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_52-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_52 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1700
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(232, 17)      =   30.36
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1363
                                                Root MSE      =   6.4529
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_i~p						
L_Polity_s~p	-.052875	.0107195	-4.93	0.000	-.0754912	-.0302588
L_Fiscal_R~p	-.0022521	.0114599	-0.20	0.847	-.0264305	.0219262
FD_Fiscal~p	.0321444	.0221041	1.45	0.164	-.0144911	.0787799
L_D_Fiscal~p	-.0101228	.0356519	-0.28	0.780	-.0853417	.0650961
L_2_D_Fisc~p	.0497038	.028657	1.73	0.101	-.0107572	.1101648
L_3_D_Fisc~l	-.0108726	.0261857	-0.42	0.683	-.0661196	.0443744
L_4_D_Fisc~l	.0180462	.0287553	0.63	0.539	-.0426221	.0787145
L_5_D_Fisc~l	.0111881	.0351983	0.32	0.754	-.0630738	.0854499

```
nlcom _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.0425935	.2176676	0.20	0.847	-.416645	.501832

RERUNNING WITHOUT THE DIFFERENCED TERMS

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp L_5_D_Fiscal_Rel
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode 52-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode 52 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1700
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(227, 17)      =   42.67
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1336
                                                Root MSE       =   6.4521
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_i~p						
L_Polity_s~p	-.0534588	.0107593	-4.97	0.000	-.0761589	-.0307587
L_Fiscal_R~p	-.0012899	.010409	-0.12	0.903	-.023251	.0206713
L_5_D_Fisc~l	.0111887	.0364762	0.31	0.763	-.0657693	.0881468

```
nlcom _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.0241285	.19531	0.12	0.903	-.3879396	.4361966

ADDING CONTROLS TO THE DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL THAT WAS CHOSEN

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate Fiscal_Rel_Interp Log_GDP_PC Civil_War_Gledistsch
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(7)
bootstrap(50)
```

```
Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....
```

```
Results for H0: no cointegration
With 18 series and 5 covariates
```

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-3.071	-0.258	0.398	0.160
Ga	-11.299	3.665	1.000	0.620
Pt	-12.245	-0.428	0.334	0.240
Pa	-12.933	1.292	0.902	0.420

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate Fiscal_Rel_Interp Log_GDP_PC Civil_War_Gledistsch
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(7)
bootstrap(50)
```

```
Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....
```

```
Results for H0: no cointegration
With 18 series and 5 covariates
```

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.598	1.918	0.972	0.500
Ga	-10.881	3.857	1.000	0.480
Pt	-9.813	2.015	0.978	0.540
Pa	-10.117	2.617	0.996	0.500

RUNNING THE DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL CHOSEN WITH THE CONTROL VARIABLES, TABLE 4, COLUMN 2

```

xi: xtscd D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp FD_Fiscal_Rel_interp
L_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp L_logGDPPERCAP L_CivilWar L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_GDPPERCAP D_RegionalDiffusion D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year          _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_52-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_52 omitted)
    
```

```

Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1121
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(235, 17)      =   11.04
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1704
                                                Root MSE       =   7.4279
    
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_i~p						
L_Polity_s~p	-.107119	.0213856	-5.01	0.000	-.1522386	-.0619993
L_Fiscal_R~p	.0279433	.018025	1.55	0.139	-.010086	.0659727
FD_Fiscal~p	.0485289	.0194224	2.50	0.023	.0075512	.0895067
L_D_Fiscal~p	-.029833	.0347918	-0.86	0.403	-.1032374	.0435713
L_logGDPE~P	.5929553	.7340087	0.81	0.430	-.9556676	2.141578
L_CivilWar	1.477247	1.187621	1.24	0.230	-1.028415	3.982909
L_REGION_D~E	.0102447	.0203622	0.50	0.621	-.0327158	.0532052
L_WORLD_DE~E	-.0599207	.0393488	-1.52	0.146	-.1429394	.0230981
D_GDPPERCAP	-3.500399	3.275009	-1.07	0.300	-10.41006	3.409267
D_Regional~n	.1704365	.0707116	2.41	0.028	.0212482	.3196249
D_WORLD_DE~E	.0947188	.0997054	0.95	0.355	-.1156413	.3050788

```

. nlcom _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
    
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.2608626	.1430812	-1.82	0.086	-.5627374	.0410123

RERUNNING WITHOUT THE LAG DIFFERENCED FISCAL RELIANCE MAKES NO DIFFERENCE TO THE RESULTS

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp FD_Fiscal_Rel_interp
L_logGDPPERCAP L_CivilWar L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE D_GDPPERCAP
D_RegionalDiffusion D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year          _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_52-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_52 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1132
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(234, 17)      =    7.78
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1670
                                                Root MSE       =   7.6012
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_i~p						
L_Polity_s~p	-.1088401	.0209022	-5.21	0.000	-.15294	-.0647403
L_Fiscal_R~p	.0217554	.0161931	1.34	0.197	-.012409	.0559197
FD_Fiscal_~p	.041732	.0188326	2.22	0.041	.0019987	.0814653
L_logGDPPER~P	.8945857	.7432113	1.20	0.245	-.673453	2.462624
L_CivilWar	1.429344	1.181224	1.21	0.243	-1.06282	3.921508
L_REGION_D~E	.0114966	.020805	0.55	0.588	-.0323982	.0553914
L_WORLD_DE~E	-.048717	.0363594	-1.34	0.198	-.1254287	.0279947
D_GDPPERCAP	-3.580927	3.355568	-1.07	0.301	-10.66056	3.498702
D_Regional~n	.167386	.0759235	2.20	0.042	.0072014	.3275706
D_WORLD_DE~E	.1249294	.10215	1.22	0.238	-.0905882	.3404469

```
nlcom _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.1998838	.1296194	-1.54	0.141	-.4733569	.0735893

RUNNING THE DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL CHOSEN (1 lag of Differenced Fiscal Reliance) with a lag of the Dependent Variable (Differenced Polity) instead of Newey West technique

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_interp L_D_polity_s_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp
FD_Fiscal_Rel_interp L_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp L_logGDPPERCAP L_CivilWar L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE D_GDPPERCAP D_RegionalDiffusion D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year
i.hmccode, lag(0)
i.year          _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_52-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_52 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1121
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(236, 17)      =   181.04
maximum lag: 0                                   Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                R-squared       =    0.1801
                                                Root MSE       =    7.3886
```

D_polity_i~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_D~s_interp	.1052913	.03656	2.88	0.010	.0281565	.1824261
L_Polity_s~p	-.1188418	.0248194	-4.79	0.000	-.171206	-.0664775
L_Fiscal_R~p	.0307095	.0182817	1.68	0.111	-.0078615	.0692806
FD_Fiscal~p	.0456028	.0211086	2.16	0.045	.0010675	.0901381
L_D_Fiscal~p	-.035695	.035623	-1.00	0.330	-.110853	.0394629
L_logGDPPER~P	.5011595	.6854817	0.73	0.475	-.9450804	1.947399
L_CivilWar	1.853623	1.303501	1.42	0.173	-.8965239	4.603771
L_REGION_D~E	.0192629	.0208914	0.92	0.369	-.024814	.0633398
L_WORLD_DE~E	-.0774524	.03816	-2.03	0.058	-.1579629	.0030581
D_GDPPERCAP	-3.468472	3.417565	-1.01	0.324	-10.6789	3.74196
D_Regional~n	.1560266	.0697888	2.24	0.039	.008785	.3032682
D_WORLD_DE~E	.0966649	.0902263	1.07	0.299	-.093696	.2870258

```
nlcom _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_i~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.258407	.1286941	-2.01	0.061	-.5299279	.0131139

RUNNING THE DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL CHOSEN (1 lag of Differenced Fiscal Reliance) with a lag of the Dependent Variable (Differenced Polity) and RSE clustered by year

```
xi: regress D_polity_interp L_D_polity_s_interp L_Polity_s_interp
L_Fiscal_Rel_interp FD_Fiscal_Rel_interp L_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp L_logGDPPECAP
L_CivilWar L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE D_GDPPECAP
D_RegionalDiffusion D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode, cluster(year)
i.year          _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_52-850 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_52 omitted)
```

```
Linear regression                               Number of obs =    1121
                                                F( 26,   144) =      .
                                                Prob > F       =      .
                                                R-squared      =    0.1801
                                                Root MSE      =    7.3886
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 145 clusters in year)

	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_i~p						
L_D~s_interp	.1052913	.0398552	2.64	0.009	.0265146	.184068
L_Polity_s~p	-.1188418	.0270563	-4.39	0.000	-.1723207	-.0653629
L_Fiscal_R~p	.0307095	.0199295	1.54	0.126	-.0086825	.0701016
FD_Fiscal~p	.0456028	.0230112	1.98	0.049	.0001195	.0910861
L_D_Fiscal~p	-.035695	.0388337	-0.92	0.360	-.1124528	.0410628
L_logGDPPE~P	.5011595	.7472648	0.67	0.504	-.9758654	1.978184
L_CivilWar	1.853623	1.420987	1.30	0.194	-.9550642	4.662311
L_REGION_D~E	.0192629	.0227743	0.85	0.399	-.0257522	.064278
L_WORLD_DE~E	-.0069312	.0324766	-0.21	0.831	-.0711236	.0572613
D_GDPPECAP	-3.468472	3.725593	-0.93	0.353	-10.83239	3.895443
D_Regional~n	.1560266	.076079	2.05	0.042	.0056508	.3064024
D_WORLD_DE~E	-.075912	.0562087	-1.35	0.179	-.1870127	.0351887

```
. nlcom _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.258407	.1402935	-1.84	0.068	-.5357076	.0188935

RUNNING AGAIN WITHOUT THE CONTROL VARIABLES

DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL WITH THE DATASET TRUNCATED TO OBSERVATIONS ON PER CAPITA INCOME

CONSTRUCTING THE CONTROL VARIABLES DATASET USED TO RUN THE MODEL, IN ORDER TO BE ABLE TO COMPARE TO THE CONTROL VARIABLE SPECIFICATIONS

```
keep if log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 != . & Civil_War_Gledistsch != . & REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE  
!= . & WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE != .  
(658 observations deleted)
```

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate Fiscal_Rel_Interp, constant trend lags(1) leads(1)  
lrwindow(7) bootstrap(50)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 18 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.372	-0.031	0.488	0.280
Ga	-10.561	0.905	0.817	0.380
Pt	-9.202	-0.274	0.392	0.520
Pa	-10.499	-1.084	0.139	0.400

RUNNING WITHOUT THE CONTROL VARIABLES INCLUDED, BUT ON THE CONTROL VARIABLE (TRUNCATED) DATASET

```

xi: xtscd D_polity_interp L_Polity_s_interp L_Fiscal_Rel_interp FD_Fiscal_Rel_interp
L_D_Fiscal_Rel_Interp i.year i.hmccode if D_polity_interp !=. & L_Polity_s_interp !=. &
L_Fiscal_Rel_interp !=. & FD_Fiscal_Rel_interp !=. & L_logGDPPERCAP !=. & L_CivilWar !=.
. & L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE !=. & L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE !=. & D_GDPPERCAP !=. &
D_RegionalDiffusion !=. & D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE !=. , lag(1)
i.year          _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_52-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_52 omitted)

```

```

Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1121
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F(228, 17)      =   174.86
maximum lag: 1                                  Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                R-squared       =    0.1632
                                                Root MSE       =    7.4406

```

	Drisc/Kraay					
D_polity_i~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_Polity_s~p	-.0985604	.018787	-5.25	0.000	-.1381974	-.0589233
L_Fiscal_R~p	.0304757	.0142399	2.14	0.047	.0004322	.0605192
FD_Fiscal~p	.0431079	.0191307	2.25	0.038	.0027455	.0834702
L_D_Fiscal~p	-.0358339	.0337439	-1.06	0.303	-.1070272	.0353595

```

. nlcom _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_Fiscal_Rel_interp]/_b[L_Polity_s_interp]

```

D_polity_i~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.3092085	.1234074	-2.51	0.023	-.5695753	-.0488417

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania only observed since 1991, after the dissolution of the USSR, and not before the emergence of the USSR in 1917, when they were independent states. This is because the ECM co-integration tests cannot be performed on data series with gaps. Therefore, these 3 countries are only observed since 1991.

THIS IS THE BIC STATISTIC HORSE RACE ON THE CORRECT DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL TO RUN. EACH MODEL IS RUN ON THE FULL DATASET (SAME NUMBER OF COUNTRIES IN THE PANEL)

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   14260
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    164
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(399, 163)     =  5.50e+07
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.0603
                                                Root MSE       =   7.3183
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Drisc/Kraay				
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
L_polity_s~p	-.0644265	.005355	-12.03	0.000	-.0750007 -.0538523
L_tot_oil_~p	.0197312	.0114292	1.73	0.086	-.0028371 .0422995
D_tot_oil_~p	-.028888	.0226237	-1.28	0.203	-.0735613 .0157853

```
-----+-----
```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.3062592	.1686604	-1.82	0.071	-.6393001 .0267817

```
-----+-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -48871.894   Log-Lik Full Model:      -48428.459
D(13860):                  96856.918   LR(130):                 886.871
                                                Prob > LR:                0.000
R2:                        0.060   Adjusted R2:            0.035
AIC:                       6.848   AIC*n:                  97656.918
BIC:                      -35716.944   BIC':                   356.607
BIC used by Stata:         98109.961   AIC used by Stata:      97118.918
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

1 LAG OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   14122
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    164
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(400, 163)     =  2.10e+07
maximum lag: 1                                    Prob > F         =   0.0000
                                                    R-squared        =   0.0606
                                                    Root MSE        =   7.3292
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |                Drisc/Kraay
              |      Coef.   Std. Err.   t    P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_polity_s~p |  -.0647613   .0053626   -12.08  0.000   - .0753504   - .0541722
L_tot_oil~p  |   .0184222   .0138266    1.33   0.185   - .0088801   .0457245
D_tot_oil~p  |  -.031096    .0231404   -1.34   0.181   - .0767896   .0145977
L_D_TOI_INT |  -.005282    .0201946   -0.26   0.794   - .0451588   .0345947
```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |      Coef.   Std. Err.   t    P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 |  -.2844636   .2059319   -1.38   0.169   - .6911019   .1221747
-----+-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT year i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -48419.984   Log-Lik Full Model:   -47978.362
D(13721):                 95956.724   LR(129):              883.244
                          Prob > LR:              0.000
R2:                       0.061   Adjusted R2:          0.035
AIC:                      6.852   AIC*n:                96758.724
BIC:                      -35154.142   BIC':                 349.414
BIC used by Stata:        97208.493   AIC used by Stata:    96218.724
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

2 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

This regression excludes Bosnia and Herzegovina, which is observed between 1992 and 1994, because these three observations are not sufficient when estimating 2 lags.

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   13983
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    163
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(401, 162)     =  6.92e+09
maximum lag: 1                                    Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                    R-squared       =   0.0615
                                                    Root MSE       =   7.3459
```

	Drisc/Kraay				
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
L_polity_s~p	-.0654404	.0054171	-12.08	0.000	-.0761377 -.0547431
L_tot_oil_~p	.0160446	.0124405	1.29	0.199	-.0085219 .0406111
D_tot_oil_~p	-.0355401	.0196446	-1.81	0.072	-.0743325 .0032523
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0034639	.0171265	-0.20	0.840	-.037284 .0303561
L2_D_TOI_INT	.0128904	.0248721	0.52	0.605	-.0362249 .0620057

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.2451794	.1826767	-1.34	0.181	-.605914 .1155552

```
xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT
i.hmccode i.year, r cluster(ccode)
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -47853.969   Log-Lik Full Model:   -47410.910
D(13538):                 94821.819   LR(136):              886.119
                           Prob > LR:              0.000
R2:                       0.062   Adjusted R2:          0.036
AIC:                      6.860   AIC*n:                95625.819
BIC:                      -34364.785   BIC':                 411.663
BIC used by Stata:        96186.399   AIC used by Stata:    95107.819
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

3 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   13842
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    163
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(402, 162)    =  9.64e+08
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.0614
                                                Root MSE      =   7.3432
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0654532	.005409	-12.10	0.000	-.0761344	-.0547719
L_tot_oil~p	.0135596	.0144371	0.94	0.349	-.0149496	.0420688
D_tot_oil~p	-.035037	.0191459	-1.83	0.069	-.0728447	.0027707
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0028662	.0186263	-0.15	0.878	-.0396479	.0339155
L2_D_TOI_INT	.0152688	.0269685	0.57	0.572	-.0379862	.0685239
L3_D_TOI_INT	.0144922	.018592	0.78	0.437	-.0222217	.051206

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.2071652	.2143476	-0.97	0.335	-.6304407	.2161103

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year,
cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -47487.788   Log-Lik Full Model:   -47049.180
D(13439):                 94098.359   LR(130):              877.217
                          Prob > LR:          0.000
R2:                       0.061   Adjusted R2:         0.035
AIC:                      6.856   AIC*n:              94904.359
BIC:                      -34048.724   BIC':               362.393
BIC used by Stata:        95357.040   AIC used by Stata:  94362.359
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```


4 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   13696
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    162
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(403, 161)    =  2.96e+08
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.0613
                                                Root MSE     =   7.3195
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0648644	.0053346	-12.16	0.000	-.0753992	-.0543295
L_tot_oil~p	.0162963	.0141166	1.15	0.250	-.0115812	.0441739
D_tot_oil~p	-.036077	.02179	-1.66	0.100	-.0791081	.0069541
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0042342	.0187509	-0.23	0.822	-.0412637	.0327952
L2_D_TOI_INT	.0126265	.0271566	0.46	0.643	-.0410027	.0662557
L3_D_TOI_INT	.012625	.0177678	0.71	0.478	-.022463	.0477131
L4_D_TOI_INT	-.0118321	.0204431	-0.58	0.564	-.0522034	.0285391

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
_nl_1	-.2512372	.2110107	-1.19	0.236	-.6679428	.1654683

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year,
cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -46939.576   Log-Lik Full Model:   -46506.557
D(13292):                 93013.114   LR(132):              866.038
                           Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.061   Adjusted R2:         0.035
AIC:                      6.850   AIC*n:              93821.114
BIC:                      -33591.313   BIC':               391.243
BIC used by Stata:       94279.921   AIC used by Stata:  93279.114
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

5 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if
hmccode, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   13551
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    162
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(404, 161)    =  8.40e+09
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.0617
                                                Root MSE      =   7.2927
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.0645883	.0053958	-11.97	0.000	-.075244	-.0539325
L_tot_oil~p	.0094525	.0156264	0.60	0.546	-.0214066	.0403116
D_tot_oil~p	-.0523758	.031997	-1.64	0.104	-.1155636	.0108121
L_D_TOI_INT	.0058173	.017778	0.33	0.744	-.0292909	.0409256
L2_D_TOI_INT	.0167673	.0238506	0.70	0.483	-.0303331	.0638676
L3_D_TOI_INT	.0195086	.0145622	1.34	0.182	-.009249	.0482661
L4_D_TOI_INT	-.0077644	.0192693	-0.40	0.688	-.0458176	.0302888
L5_D_TOI_INT	.0348973	.0213788	1.63	0.105	-.0073217	.0771163

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.1463503	.2386276	-0.61	0.541	-.6175941	.3248935

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT
i.hmccode i.year if hmccode, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -46393.732   Log-Lik Full Model:      -45961.936
D(13146):                 91923.872   LR(131):                863.591
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.062               Adjusted R2:            0.035
AIC:                      6.843               AIC*n:                 92733.872
BIC:                      -33150.006          BIC':                 382.771
BIC used by Stata:        93179.749          AIC used by Stata:     92187.872
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR TABLE 5, COLUMN 1 OF DO NATURAL RESOURCES FUEL AUTHORITARIANISM?

EACH OF THE COINTEGRATION TESTS, AND EACH OF THE ROBUSTNESS TESTS, IS DOCUMENTED HERE, AS IS THE ACTUAL REGRESSION ESTIMATED.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: nlcom
`_b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]`

NOTE BENE:

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania only observed since 1991, after the dissolution of the USSR, and not before the emergence of the USSR in 1917, when they were independent states. This is because the ECM co-integration tests cannot be performed on data series with gaps. Therefore, these 3 countries are only observed since 1991.

NOTA BENE:

THE BIC STATISTIC CHOSE THE MODEL WITH ZERO LAGS OF DIFFERENCED TOTAL OIL INCOME. Therefore, those are the type of ECM Models we run below: Total Oil Income is only differenced one time.

NOTA BENE:

We always start with the Westerlund Cointegration Tests and then estimate cross-section time-series regressions after that to see what direction the cointegration relationship may have.

NOTE BENE:

Sometimes we have to truncate the dataset in order to run the Westerlund Cointegration Tests. This is because often they can only be calculated with a minimum lag length. However, we always estimate regressions on both the truncated sample and the entire dataset for comparisons sake.

NOTA BENE:

The models in the paper, and the regressions run for robustness and in the appendix, are calculated using Driscoll Kraay Standard Errors (although we also check for robustness by estimating robust standard errors). This is the Stata command xtsce. For the Newey West adjustment we use 1 lag length.

TO RUN THE WESTERLUND ECM COINTEGRATION TEST, WE MUST TRUNCATE THE DATASET TO ESTIMATE CO-INTEGRATION FOR THIS MODEL.

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOIPCINT, constant trend lags(0) leads(1)
lrwindow(12) bootstrap(25)
With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 9 observations are
required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.
```

```
-----
hmccode |      Freq.
-----+-----
      346 |          3
      860 |          5
-----
```

Must delete Bosnia and Herzegovnia (1992 to 1994) and East Timor (1999 to 2006)

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INT, constant trend lags(0) leads(1)
lrwindow(12) bootstrap(25)

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(171 missing
values generated)
```

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 162 series and 1 covariate

```
-----+-----
Statistic | Value | Z-value | P-value | Robust P-value |
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
      Gt  | -2.258 |  1.691  |  0.955  |  0.000          |
      Ga  | -9.959 |  3.843  |  1.000  |  0.000          |
      Pt  | -30.855 | -4.538  |  0.000  |  0.000          |
      Pa  | -12.232 | -6.850  |  0.000  |  0.000          |
-----+-----
```

HERE WE RERUN THE WESTERLUND ECM COINTEGRATION TEST BUT NOW INCLUDE 1 lag of Differenced Polity and Differenced Total Oil Income

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INT, constant trend lags(1) leads(1)
lrwindow(12) bootstrap(25)

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(171 missing values generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 162 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.421	-0.850	0.198	0.080
Ga	-11.537	0.888	0.813	0.000
Pt	-32.920	-6.898	0.000	0.000
Pa	-13.728	-9.955	0.000	0.000

THESE MODELS ARE NOT SHOWN IN REGRESSION TABLE 5. THESE MODELS OMIT THE CONTROL VARIABLES. THEY ARE RUN TO SHOW THAT THE RESULTS ARE NOT SENSITIVE TO INCLUSION OF THE CONTROLS.

NOW WE RUN THE ECM MODEL THAT ACTUALLY ESTIMATES A COEFFICIENT FOR OIL INCOME.

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   14098
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    162
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(397, 161)     =  5.79e+07
maximum lag: 1                                  Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.0608
                                                Root MSE       =   7.3587
```

```
-----+-----
          |               Drisc/Kraay
D_polity_s~p |           Coef.   Std. Err.   t    P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_polity_s~p |  -0.0651282   .0054042  -12.05  0.000   -0.0758005   -0.0544558
L_tot_oil~p  |   0.0188949   .0115981   1.63   0.105   -0.0040091   0.0417988
D_tot_oil~p  |  -0.029272    .0227442  -1.29   0.200   -0.0741874   0.0156434
```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |           Coef.   Std. Err.   t    P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 |  -0.290118    .1698579   -1.71   0.090   -0.6255548   0.0453188
-----+-----
```

We had to drop two countries to estimate the Westerlund Tests. What if we rerun the model without dropping these countries?

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   14260
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    164
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(399, 163)    =  5.50e+07
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.0603
                                                Root MSE      =   7.3183
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Drisc/Kraay				
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
L_polity_s~p	-.0644265	.005355	-12.03	0.000	-.0750007 - .0538523
L_tot_oil~p	.0197312	.0114292	1.73	0.086	-.0028371 .0422995
D_tot_oil~p	-.028888	.0226237	-1.28	0.203	-.0735613 .0157853

```
-----+-----
```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.3062592	.1686604	-1.82	0.071	-.6393001 .0267817

```
-----+-----
```

CONTROL VARIABLES ESTIMATIONS

This is the Westerlund ECM Cointegration test with zero lags and 1 lead

Continuous time-series are required

Following series contain holes:

```
-----+-----
```

hmccode	Freq.
235	3
255	1
290	1
310	1
315	1
345	1
350	1
355	1
360	2
365	1
710	1

```
-----+-----
```

Linear interpolation performed on Log Per Capita Income and Civil War for these countries to fill in missing values.

```
by hmcocode: ipolate log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 year, gen(LogPerCapGDP_interp)
```

```
by hmcocode: ipolate Civil_War_Gleditsch year, gen(CivilWar_Interp)
```

Then I create variables with lags and differences, because xtsc command cannot support time-series commands:

```
generate D_polity_s_interp = D.polity_s_interpolate  
generate L_polity_s_interp = L.polity_s_interpolate  
generate TOI_INC = Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp  
generate L_tot_oil_inc_interp = L.TOI_INC  
generate D_tot_oil_inc_interp = D.TOI_INC  
generate L_LogPerCapGDP_interp = L.LogPerCapGDP_interp  
generate L_CivilWar_interp = L.CivilWar_Interp  
generate D_LogperCapGDP_int = D.LogPerCapGDP_interp  
generate D_Region_Dem_Diffuse = D.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE  
generate D_World_Dem_Diffuse = D.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```


Next I run the Error Correction Model, which is Table 5 Column 1 of the paper.

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year          _Iyear_1777-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-950       (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   10195
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    163
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F(406, 162)     =  3.89e+08
maximum lag: 1                                  Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.0976
                                                Root MSE       =   8.0174
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0866505	.0075012	-11.55	0.000	-.1014632	-.0718378
L_tot_oil~p	.0549448	.0189722	2.90	0.004	.0174801	.0924095
D_tot_oil~p	-.020123	.020695	-0.97	0.332	-.0609899	.0207438
L_LogPerCa~p	-.2792974	.3190151	-0.88	0.383	-.9092616	.3506668
L_CivilWar~p	.0653507	.4478818	0.15	0.884	-.8190885	.94979
L_REGION_D~E	.0253094	.0072466	3.49	0.001	.0109994	.0396194
L_WORLD_DE~E	.0381942	.0248167	1.54	0.126	-.0108117	.0872001
D_LogperCa~t	1.289146	1.733698	0.74	0.458	-2.134414	4.712706
D_Region_D~e	.375257	.0699338	5.37	0.000	.2371576	.5133564
D_World_De~e	-.2443375	.104442	-2.34	0.021	-.4505808	-.0380943

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.6340973	.2069834	-3.06	0.003	-1.042831	-.2253639

Lagging the D.V. instead of using the Newey West Technique

```

xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_Civil War_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.year
i.hmccode, lag(0)

```

```

i.year      _Iyear_1777-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
i.hmccode    _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)

```

```

Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   10104
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    163
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(407, 162)     =  4.42e+08
maximum lag: 0                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1029
                                                Root MSE       =   7.9921

```

```

-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |           Coef.      Drisc/Kraay
              |           Std. Err.      t    P>|t|    [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_D_polity~p |   .0724939   .0188138     3.85  0.000   .0353419   .1096459
L_polity_s~p |  -.0935088   .0065787    -14.21  0.000  -.1064999  -.0805177
L_tot_oil~p  |   .0533883   .017972     2.97  0.003   .0178988   .0888779
D_tot_oil~p  |  -.0209289   .0215159    -0.97  0.332  -.0634166   .0215588
L_LogPerCa~p |  -.2277742   .3129183    -0.73  0.468  -.845699   .3901505
L_CivilWar~p |   .2106091   .4850723     0.43  0.665  -.7472708   1.168489
L_REGION_D~E |   .0278066   .0072216     3.85  0.000   .0135459   .0420673
L_WORLD_DE~E |   .0420258   .0252494     1.66  0.098  -.0078346   .0918862
D_LogperCa~t |   1.083303   1.721932     0.63  0.530  -2.317022   4.483629
D_Region_De~e |   .371734   .0632179     5.88  0.000   .2468965   .4965714
D_World_De~e |  -.2557369   .0970711    -2.63  0.009  -.4474249  -.064049

```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```

      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]

```

```

-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |           Coef.      Std. Err.      t    P>|t|    [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 |  -.5709443   .1844726     -3.10  0.002  -.9352253  -.2066633

```

Lagging the D.V. and estimating with robust standard errors clustered by year to address contemporaneous correlation:

```

xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.year
i.hmccode, r cluster(year)
i.year          _Iyear_1777-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-950       (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)

```

```

Linear regression                               Number of obs =   10104
                                                F(114,   189) =     .
                                                Prob > F       =     .
                                                R-squared     =   0.1029
                                                Root MSE     =   7.9921

```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 190 clusters in year)

	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_D_polity~p	.0724939	.0192089	3.77	0.000	.0346025	.1103852
L_polity_s~p	-.0935088	.0067168	-13.92	0.000	-.1067584	-.0802592
L_tot_oil~p	.0533883	.0183493	2.91	0.004	.0171925	.0895841
D_tot_oil~p	-.0209289	.0219676	-0.95	0.342	-.0642622	.0224043
L_LogPerCa~p	-.2277742	.3194889	-0.71	0.477	-.8579964	.4024479
L_CivilWar~p	.2106091	.4952576	0.43	0.671	-.7663337	1.187552
L_REGION_D~E	.0278066	.0073733	3.77	0.000	.0132621	.0423511
L_WORLD_DE~E	.0197922	.0122004	1.62	0.106	-.0042742	.0438586
D_LogperCa~t	1.083303	1.758088	0.62	0.539	-2.384693	4.551299
D_Region_D~e	.371734	.0645454	5.76	0.000	.2444121	.4990558
D_World_De~e	.0738119	.0982587	0.75	0.453	-.1200126	.2676365

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.5709443	.1883461	-3.03	0.003	-.9424749	-.1994137

Running this model on all of the countries, including those excluded because of the Westerlund ECM Cointegration test restrictions, shows that there is no material difference regarding the estimated parameters whether it is the full sample or the restricted sample. Next I run the Westerlund ECM Cointegration Test

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(11)
bootstrap(25)
With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 21 observations are
required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.
```

hmccode	Freq.
316	14
317	14
343	16
344	15
346	3
347	15
349	15
359	16
366	16
367	16
368	16
369	15
370	15
371	16
372	15
373	15
531	14
565	17
701	15
702	15
703	15
704	15
705	15
860	5

Czech Republic, Slovakia, Macedonia, Croatia, Serbia RB, Slovenia, Moldova, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Ukraine, Belarus, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Eritrea, Namibia, Turkmenistan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan, Kazakhstan, East Timor and Bosnia & Herzegovina are excluded because their panels do not have sufficient observations.

Then I drop the countries that do not conform to the requirements.

```
drop if hmccode == 316 | hmccode == 317 | hmccode == 343 | hmccode == 344 | hmccode == 346 | hmccode ==
347 | hmccode == 349 | hmccode == 359 | hmccode == 366 | hmccode == 367 | hmccode
== 368 | hmccode == 369 | hmccode == 370 | hmccode == 371 | hmccode == 372 |
hmccode == 373 | hmccode == 531 | hmccode == 565 | hmccode == 701 | hmccode ==
702 | hmccode == 703 | hmccode == 704 | hmccode == 705 | hmccode == 860
```

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(11)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
 Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(171 missing values generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
 With 139 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-3.075	-0.767	0.221	0.000
Ga	-12.173	9.065	1.000	0.200
Pt	-32.009	0.838	0.799	0.040
Pa	-12.421	4.261	1.000	0.160

WHAT ABOUT RERUNNING THE WESTERLUND ECM TEST WITH A LAG OF THE DEPENDENT VARIABLE AND OF TOTAL OIL INCOME?

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INT LogPerCapGDP_interpolate CivilWar_interpolate
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(11)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
 Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(171 missing values generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
 With 138 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.904	1.408	0.920	0.000
Ga	-11.829	9.471	1.000	0.640
Pt	-28.576	4.167	1.000	0.000
Pa	-10.671	6.524	1.000	0.400

TO RUN THE WESTERLUND ECM COINTEGRATION TEST WITH 1 lag and 1 lead, we need 28 observations. We must drop Guyana because it only has 27 observations.

Therefore, we rerun the model excluding Guyana. It makes no difference to the results:

THIS RUNS THE MODEL ON THE TRUNCATED DATASET, WITH COUNTRIES EXCLUDED FROM THE SAMPLE DUE TO THE WESTERLUND COINTEGRATION REQUIREMENTS.

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year          _Iyear_1777-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   9876
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   139
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(382, 138)    =  4.08e+08
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.0960
                                                Root MSE      =   8.0442
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0850779	.0076515	-11.12	0.000	-.1002072	-.0699486
L_tot_oil_~p	.0541576	.0188095	2.88	0.005	.0169655	.0913498
D_tot_oil_~p	-.0181906	.0199673	-0.91	0.364	-.0576719	.0212907
L_LogPerCa~p	-.2863056	.3185608	-0.90	0.370	-.916197	.3435859
L_CivilWar~p	.0766794	.4360949	0.18	0.861	-.7856126	.9389714
L_REGION_D~E	.0238398	.0074266	3.21	0.002	.0091552	.0385244
L_WORLD_DE~E	.0404861	.0248923	1.63	0.106	-.0087335	.0897057
D_LogperCa~t	.8092135	1.753912	0.46	0.645	-2.658802	4.277229
D_Region_D~e	.3781975	.0713362	5.30	0.000	.2371441	.5192509
D_World_De~e	-.2475587	.1059571	-2.34	0.021	-.4570681	-.0380494

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.636565	.2098694	-3.03	0.003	-1.051541	-.2215895

RUNNING THE MODEL AGAIN WITH A LAG OF THE DEPENDENT VARIABLE

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.year
i.hmccode, lag(0)
i.year      _Iyeara1777-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyeara1777 omitted)
i.hmccode    _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   9809
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =   139
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(383, 138)     =  3.03e+08
maximum lag: 0                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1004
                                                Root MSE       =   8.0163
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_D_polity~p	.0688691	.0190491	3.62	0.000	.0312032	.1065349
L_polity_s~p	-.0914584	.0066989	-13.65	0.000	-.1047041	-.0782126
L_tot_oil~p	.0538809	.0178393	3.02	0.003	.0186072	.0891546
D_tot_oil~p	-.0198491	.021193	-0.94	0.351	-.0617541	.022056
L_LogPerCa~p	-.2594646	.3100855	-0.84	0.404	-.8725978	.3536687
L_CivilWar~p	.1512873	.4859789	0.31	0.756	-.8096405	1.112215
L_REGION_D~E	.0263966	.0073257	3.60	0.000	.0119115	.0408817
L_WORLD_DE~E	.0435114	.0254547	1.71	0.090	-.0068203	.0938431
D_LogperCa~t	.9534196	1.782847	0.53	0.594	-2.571811	4.47865
D_Region_D~e	.3734076	.0648073	5.76	0.000	.2452638	.5015514
D_World_De~e	-.2597787	.0990518	-2.62	0.010	-.4556342	-.0639233

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.58913	.1864902	-3.16	0.002	-.9578778	-.2203822

RUNNING THE MODEL AGAIN WITH A LAG OF THE DEPENDENT VARIABLE AND ERRORS CLUSTERED BY YEAR

```
xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.year
i.hmccode, r cluster(year)
i.year          _Iyeara1777-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyeara1777 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Linear regression                               Number of obs =    9809
                                                F(114,   189) =      .
                                                Prob > F       =      .
                                                R-squared      =  0.1004
                                                Root MSE      =  8.0163
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 190 clusters in year)

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_D_polity~p	.0688691	.0194352	3.54	0.000	.0305312	.1072069
L_polity_s~p	-.0914584	.0068347	-13.38	0.000	-.1049404	-.0779763
L_tot_oil~p	.0538809	.0182009	2.96	0.003	.0179778	.0897839
D_tot_oil~p	-.0198491	.0216226	-0.92	0.360	-.0625018	.0228036
L_LogPerCa~p	-.2594646	.3163711	-0.82	0.413	-.8835367	.3646075
L_CivilWar~p	.1512873	.4958299	0.31	0.761	-.8267843	1.129359
L_REGION_D~E	.0263966	.0074742	3.53	0.001	.0116531	.0411402
L_WORLD_DE~E	.0203245	.0125874	1.61	0.108	-.0045053	.0451544
D_LogperCa~t	.9534196	1.818986	0.52	0.601	-2.634704	4.541543
D_Region_D~e	.3734076	.066121	5.65	0.000	.2429776	.5038376
D_World_De~e	.0712186	.1006847	0.71	0.480	-.1273916	.2698289

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.58913	.1902705	-3.10	0.002	-.9644566	-.2138034

GENERATING A COMPARATIVE BASELINE: THE BIVARIATE MODEL

RERUNNING WITHOUT THE CONTROL VARIABLES INCLUDED ON THE CONTROL VARIABLES OBSERVATIONS DATASET (COLUMN 6)

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(11) bootstrap(25)
```

```
Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....  
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(26 missing values generated)
```

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 139 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.268	1.423	0.923	0.400
Ga	-8.916	5.367	1.000	0.320
Pt	-27.293	-2.731	0.003	0.120
Pa	-10.580	-3.167	0.001	0.040

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(11) bootstrap(25)
```

```
Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....  
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(26 missing values generated)
```

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 139 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.417	-0.730	0.233	0.040
Ga	-10.506	2.609	0.996	0.080
Pt	-28.770	-4.420	0.000	0.040
Pa	-11.866	-5.640	0.000	0.040

These commands were used to generate the special dataset:

```
keep if LogPerCapGDP_interp != . & CivilWar_Interp != . & REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE != . & WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE != .
```

This ECM Co-integration test is run on a special dataset that has the following characteristics:

- It is truncated to observations on those for which there is data for all covariates, including control variables, measured contemporaneously.
- It is truncated to the countries that have more than 21 observations, so that this test is comparable to the one run with all of the control variables included as conditioning variables in the ECM test.

ESTIMATING THE BIVARIATE MODEL ON THE CONTROL VARIABLE DATASET, TRUNCATED TO THE COVERAGE ON THE CONTROL VARIABLES.

```

xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.year i.hmccode if D_polity_s_interp != . & L_polity_s_interp !=. & L_tot_oil_inc_interp
!= . & D_tot_oil_inc_interp != . & L_LogPerCapGDP_interp != . & L_CivilWar_interp != . &
L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE != . & L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE != . & D_LogperCapGDP_int != . &
D_Region_Dem_Diffuse != . & D_World_Dem_Diffuse != ., lag(1)
i.year          _Iyear_1777-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-950       (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)

```

```

Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   9876
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   139
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(375, 138)    =  1.01e+08
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.0714
                                                Root MSE       =   8.1508

```

	Drisc/Kraay				
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
L_polity_s~p	-.0808247	.0072957	-11.08	0.000	-.0952505 - .066399
L_tot_oil~p	.0363543	.0115261	3.15	0.002	.0135637 .0591449
D_tot_oil~p	-.0198396	.024032	-0.83	0.410	-.0673582 .0276789

nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]

_nl_1: _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.449792	.1249855	-3.60	0.000	-.6969262 -.2026578

THIS IS THE BIC STATISTIC HORSE RACE ON THE CORRECT DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL TO RUN. EACH MODEL IS RUN ON THE FULL DATASET (SAME NUMBER OF COUNTRIES IN THE PANEL)

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1973-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1973 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4979
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =   163
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(202, 162)    =  287.89
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.1007
                                                Root MSE     =   8.4478
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Drisc/Kraay					[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t			
L_polity_s~p	-.1266905	.0168362	-7.52	0.000	-.1599372	-.0934438	
L_tot_oil~p	.0824551	.0160331	5.14	0.000	.0507942	.1141159	
D_tot_oil~p	.0010149	.0292733	0.03	0.972	-.0567917	.0588214	

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.6508385	.0712044	-9.14	0.000	-.791447	-.51023

```
-----+-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp year i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -17852.180   Log-Lik Full Model:   -17588.066
D(4776):                  35176.133   LR(35):               528.227
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.101   Adjusted R2:         0.063
AIC:                       7.146   AIC*n:               35582.133
BIC:                       -5481.881   BIC':                -230.273
BIC used by Stata:        35482.600   AIC used by Stata:   35248.133
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

1 LAG OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1973-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1973 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =       4948
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =        163
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(203, 162)    =  1.43e+08
maximum lag: 1                                    Prob > F       =    0.0000
                                                    R-squared      =    0.1006
                                                    Root MSE      =    8.4461
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |              Drisc/Kraay
              |      Coef.   Std. Err.   t    P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----+-----
L_polity_s~p |  -.1263648   .0171215   -7.38  0.000   - .160175   - .0925547
L_tot_oil~p  |   .0894008   .0186113    4.80  0.000    .0526489   .1261527
D_tot_oil~p  |   .0005941   .0303186    0.02  0.984   - .0592765   .0604647
L_D_TOI_INT |  -.0414697   .0245945   -1.69  0.094   - .0900369   .0070974
```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |      Coef.   Std. Err.   t    P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----+-----
      _nl_1 |  -.7074817   .0879574   -8.04  0.000   - .8811725   -.5337908
-----+-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -17738.744   Log-Lik Full Model:   -17476.421
D(4744):                  34952.841   LR(36):               524.646
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.101   Adjusted R2:         0.063
AIC:                      7.146   AIC*n:               35360.841
BIC:                      -5403.127  BIC':                 -218.404
BIC used by Stata:        35267.591  AIC used by Stata:   35026.841
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

2 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

The regressions that follow below exclude Bosnia and Herzegovina, which is observed between 1992 and 1994, because these three observations are not sufficient when estimating 2 lags.

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1973-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1973 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =       4917
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =        162
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(204, 161)    =  8.58e+07
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =    0.0000
                                                R-squared      =    0.1006
                                                Root MSE      =    8.4427
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1258041	.0173142	-7.27	0.000	-.1599963	-.0916118
L_tot_oil~p	.0917219	.0195348	4.70	0.000	.0531444	.1302994
D_tot_oil~p	-.0046628	.0282619	-0.16	0.869	-.0604747	.0511491
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0447506	.0237985	-1.88	0.062	-.0917481	.0022469
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.0167362	.0261736	-0.64	0.523	-.068424	.0349516

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.729085	.0879463	-8.29	0.000	-.9027622	-.5554079

```
xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT
i.hmccode i.year, r cluster(ccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -17624.870   Log-Lik Full Model:      -17364.297
D(4712):                  34728.594   LR(43):                  521.146
                          Prob > LR:              0.000
R2:                       0.101   Adjusted R2:             0.063
AIC:                      7.146   AIC*n:                   35138.594
BIC:                      -5325.545  BIC':                    -155.626
BIC used by Stata:        35094.113  AIC used by Stata:       34814.594
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

3 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interpl L_polity_s_interpl L_tot_oil_inc_interpl D_tot_oil_inc_interpl
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1973-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1973 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4885
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =   162
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(205, 161)    =   86.28
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                  R-squared      =   0.0999
                                                  Root MSE     =   8.4479
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1256446	.0173883	-7.23	0.000	-.1599831	-.0913061
L_tot_oil~p	.0937272	.0226162	4.14	0.000	.0490645	.1383899
D_tot_oil~p	-.0035552	.0288906	-0.12	0.902	-.0606085	.0534982
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0495485	.0268976	-1.84	0.067	-.1026661	.0035692
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.0191329	.0261765	-0.73	0.466	-.0708265	.0325607
L3_D_TOI_INT	-.0134604	.0262328	-0.51	0.609	-.0652652	.0383443

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.7459712	.1124844	-6.63	0.000	-.9681063	-.5238361

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interpl L_polity_s_interpl L_tot_oil_inc_interpl
D_tot_oil_inc_interpl L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year,
cluster(hmccode)
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interpl
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -17510.148   Log-Lik Full Model:   -17253.096
D(4679):                  34506.192   LR(38):              514.103
                          Prob > LR:              0.000
R2:                       0.100   Adjusted R2:         0.061
AIC:                      7.148   AIC*n:               34918.192
BIC:                      -5236.881 BIC':                 -191.334
BIC used by Stata:        34837.455 AIC used by Stata:   34584.192
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```


4 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1973-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1973 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4853
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    161
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(206, 160)    =   93.21
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.0997
                                                Root MSE      =   8.4579
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1258397	.0173748	-7.24	0.000	-.1601533	-.0915262
L_tot_oil~p	.0993786	.0221673	4.48	0.000	.0556003	.1431568
D_tot_oil~p	-.0078337	.0349304	-0.22	0.823	-.0768177	.0611503
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0544617	.0266337	-2.04	0.043	-.1070605	-.0018628
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.0264263	.0258657	-1.02	0.308	-.0775084	.0246559
L3_D_TOI_INT	-.0192203	.0227579	-0.84	0.400	-.0641649	.0257244
L4_D_TOI_INT	-.0337972	.0146026	-2.31	0.022	-.0626359	-.0049585

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.7897234	.1054999	-7.49	0.000	-.9980754	-.5813713

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year,
cluster(hmccode)
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -17399.815   Log-Lik Full Model:   -17145.089
D(4646):                  34290.179   LR(39):               509.452
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.100   Adjusted R2:         0.061
AIC:                      7.151   AIC*n:              34704.179
BIC:                      -5142.060  BIC':               -178.445
BIC used by Stata:        34629.673  AIC used by Stata:   34370.179
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

5 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if
hmccode, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1973-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1973 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4819
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   161
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(207, 160)     = 878609.25
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.0997
                                                Root MSE       =   8.4339
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.1250646	.0174368	-7.17	0.000	-.1595006	-.0906287
L_tot_oil~p	.1009174	.0224302	4.50	0.000	.05662	.1452148
D_tot_oil~p	-.0062024	.0388325	-0.16	0.873	-.0828927	.0704879
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0550999	.0268558	-2.05	0.042	-.1081374	-.0020625
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.0270229	.0258539	-1.05	0.298	-.0780819	.0240361
L3_D_TOI_INT	-.0207486	.0220855	-0.94	0.349	-.0643653	.0228681
L4_D_TOI_INT	-.0343589	.0145987	-2.35	0.020	-.0631899	-.005528
L5_D_TOI_INT	-.0063	.0256367	-0.25	0.806	-.0569299	.0443299

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.8069221	.1025643	-7.87	0.000	-1.009476	-.6043677

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT
i.hmccode i.year if hmccode, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -17263.067   Log-Lik Full Model:   -17010.019
D(4611):                  34020.037   LR(40):               506.097
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.100               Adjusted R2:          0.060
AIC:                      7.146                AIC*n:               34436.037
BIC:                      -5082.726            BIC':                -166.884
BIC used by Stata:        34367.730            AIC used by Stata:   34102.037
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

**THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS
RUN FOR TABLE 5, COLUMN 2 OF DO NATURAL RESOURCES FUEL
AUTHORITARIANISM?**

EACH OF THE COINTEGRATION TESTS, AND EACH OF THE ROBUSTNESS TESTS, IS DOCUMENTED HERE, AS IS THE ACTUAL REGRESSION ESTIMATED. WE ALSO RERUN THE MODEL EXCLUDING CONTROLS TO SHOW THAT THE RESULTS ARE NOT SENSITIVE TO THEIR OMISSION.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: nlcom
`_b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]`

NOTE BENE:

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania only observed since 1991, after the dissolution of the USSR, and not before the emergence of the USSR in 1917, when they were independent states. This is because the ECM co-integration tests cannot be performed on data series with gaps. Therefore, these 3 countries are only observed since 1991.

NOTA BENE:

THE BIC STATISTIC CHOSE THE MODEL WITH ZERO LAGS OF DIFFERENCED TOTAL OIL INCOME. Therefore, those are the type of ECM Models we run below: Total Oil Income is only differenced one time.

NOTA BENE:

We always start with the Westerlund Cointegration Tests and then estimate cross-section time-series regressions after that to see what direction the cointegration relationship may have.

NOTE BENE:

Sometimes we have to truncate the dataset in order to run the Westerlund Cointegration Tests. This is because often they can only be calculated with a minimum lag length. However, we always estimate regressions on both the truncated sample and the entire dataset for comparisons sake.

NOTA BENE:

The models in the paper, and the regressions run for robustness and in the appendix, are calculated using Driscoll Kraay Standard Errors (although we also check for robustness by estimating robust standard errors). This is the Stata command xtsce. For the Newey West adjustment we use 1 lag length.
THE BIC STATISTIC CHOSE THE MODEL WITH ZERO LAGS OF DIFFERENCED TOTAL OIL INCOME.

HERE IS THE ESTIMATION OF THAT MODEL:

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1973-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1973 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4979
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =   163
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(202, 162)    =  287.89
maximum lag: 1                                    Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                    R-squared      =   0.1007
                                                    Root MSE      =   8.4478
```

```
-----+-----
          |               Drisc/Kraay
D_polity_s~p |           Coef.   Std. Err.   t    P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_polity_s~p |   -0.1266905   0.0168362   -7.52  0.000   -0.1599372   -0.0934438
L_tot_oil_~p |    0.0824551   0.0160331    5.14  0.000    0.0507942    0.1141159
D_tot_oil_~p |    0.0010149   0.0292733    0.03  0.972   -0.0567917    0.0588214
```

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |           Coef.   Std. Err.   t    P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 |   -0.6508385   0.0712044   -9.14  0.000   -0.791447    -0.51023
-----+-----
```

TO RUN THE WESTERLUND ECM COINTEGRATION TEST, WE MUST TRUNCATE THE DATASET TO ESTIMATE CO-INTEGRATION FOR THIS MODEL, HOWEVER.

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INT, constant trend lags(0) leads(1)
lrwindow(12) bootstrap(25)
With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 9 observations are
required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.
```

```
-----
hmccode |      Freq.
-----+-----
      346 |          3
      860 |          5
-----
```

Must delete Bosnia and Herzegovnia (1992 to 1994) and East Timor (1999 to 2006)

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1)
lrwindow(9) bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(102 missing values generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 161 series and 1 covariate

```
-----+-----
Statistic | Value | Z-value | P-value | Robust P-value |
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
      Gt  | -2.474 | -1.678 | 0.047 | 0.200 |
      Ga  | -7.1e+10 | -1.3e+11 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
      Pt  | -7.6e+09 | -8.7e+09 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
      Pa  | -2.5e+09 | -5.1e+09 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
-----+-----
```

HERE WE RERUN THE WESTERLUND ECM COINTEGRATION TEST BUT NOW INCLUDE 1 lag of Differenced Polity and Differenced Total Oil Income

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(1) leads(1)
lrwindow(9) bootstrap(25)

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(102 missing values generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 161 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.844	-7.465	0.000	0.080
Ga	-8.4e+11	-1.6e+12	0.000	0.000
Pt	-1.7e+10	-1.9e+10	0.000	0.000
Pa	-5.8e+09	-1.2e+10	0.000	0.000

NOW WE RUN THE ECM MODEL ON THE TRUNCATED SAMPLE

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1973-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1973 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4973
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =   161
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(200, 160)     =  287.86
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1007
                                                Root MSE       =   8.4511
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Drisc/Kraay				
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
L_polity_s~p	-.1266866	.0168371	-7.52	0.000	-.1599382 -.0934351
L_tot_oil~p	.0823091	.016052	5.13	0.000	.0506079 .1140103
D_tot_oil~p	.0010956	.0292478	0.04	0.970	-.056666 .0588572

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.6497061	.071348	-9.11	0.000	-.7906114 -.5088007

```
-----+-----
```

CONTROL VARIABLES REGRESSIONS

This is the Westerlund ECM Cointegration test with zero lags and 1 lead

Continuous time-series are required

Following series contain holes:

hmccode	Freq.
235	3
255	1
290	1
310	1
315	1
345	1
350	1
355	1
360	2
365	1
710	1

Linear interpolation performed on Log Per Capita Income and Civil War for these countries to fill in missing values.

```
by hmccode: ipolate log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 year, gen(LogPerCapGDP_interp)
```

```
by hmccode: ipolate Civil_War_Gledistsch year, gen(CivilWar_Interp)
```

Then I create variables with lags and differences, because xtsc command cannot support time-series commands:

```
generate D_polity_s_interp = D.polity_s_interpolate
generate L_polity_s_interp = L.polity_s_interpolate
generate TOI_INC = Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp
generate L_tot_oil_inc_interp = L.TOI_INC
generate D_tot_oil_inc_interp = D.TOI_INC
generate L_LogPerCapGDP_interp = L.LogPerCapGDP_interp
generate L_CivilWar_interp = L.CivilWar_Interp
generate D_LogperCapGDP_int = D.LogPerCapGDP_interp
generate D_Region_Dem_Diffuse = D.REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
generate D_World_Dem_Diffuse = D.WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
```


Next I run the Error Correction Model shown in Table 5, Column 2 of the table.

```

xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse year i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year          _Iyear_1973-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1973 omitted)
i.hmccode        _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)

```

```

Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4970
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   163
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(209, 162)     =   74.70
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1472
                                                Root MSE       =   8.2240

```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.141252	.0166848	-8.47	0.000	-.1741997	-.1083044
L_tot_oil~p	.1441083	.0210993	6.83	0.000	.1024432	.1857733
D_tot_oil~p	.0340113	.0295595	1.15	0.252	-.0243602	.0923829
L_LogPerCa~p	-1.9789	.3329297	-5.94	0.000	-2.636342	-1.321459
L_CivilWar~p	-.2959232	.6191404	-0.48	0.633	-1.51855	.9267032
L_REGION_D~E	.0532444	.0123636	4.31	0.000	.0288298	.077659
L_WORLD_DE~E	.2641058	.0207474	12.73	0.000	.2231356	.305076
D_LogperCa~t	-.5952838	2.70925	-0.22	0.826	-5.945283	4.754715
D_Region_D~e	.4793313	.0910466	5.26	0.000	.2995401	.6591225
D_World_De~e	.7099696	.0924503	7.68	0.000	.5274066	.8925327
year	.0095121	.001682	5.66	0.000	.0061906	.0128337

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```

      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]

```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-1.020221	.1344155	-7.59	0.000	-1.285653	-.7547884

Lagging the D.V. instead of using the Newey West Technique.

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.year
i.hmccode, lag(0)
```

```
i.year      _Iyear_1973-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1973 omitted)
i.hmccode    _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4937
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =   163
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(210, 162)     =   27.38
maximum lag: 0                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1547
                                                Root MSE       =   8.1835
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_D_polity~p	.0910363	.020164	4.51	0.000	.0512182	.1308544
L_polity_s~p	-.1532302	.0145864	-10.50	0.000	-.1820343	-.1244262
L_tot_oil~p	.1427274	.0206964	6.90	0.000	.1018578	.183597
D_tot_oil~p	.035168	.0288907	1.22	0.225	-.0218828	.0922189
L_LogPerCa~p	-1.883431	.3926223	-4.80	0.000	-2.658749	-1.108114
L_CivilWar~p	-.0416852	.6481025	-0.06	0.949	-1.321503	1.238133
L_REGION_D~E	.0586967	.0116823	5.02	0.000	.0356274	.0817659
L_WORLD_DE~E	.2583795	.0210183	12.29	0.000	.2168744	.2998847
D_LogperCa~t	-.8597004	2.688326	-0.32	0.750	-6.168381	4.44898
D_Region_D~e	.4740597	.0800361	5.92	0.000	.3160112	.6321083
D_World_De~e	.6395102	.0891661	7.17	0.000	.4634324	.8155879

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.9314573	.1301775	-7.16	0.000	-1.188521	-.6743938

Lagging the D.V. and estimating with robust standard errors clustered by year

```

xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.year
i.hmccode, r cluster(year)
i.year          _Iyear_1973-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1973 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)

```

```

Linear regression                               Number of obs =    4937
                                                F( 32,    33) =      .
                                                Prob > F          =      .
                                                R-squared         =  0.1547
                                                Root MSE         =  8.1835

```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 34 clusters in year)

	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_D_polity~p	.0910363	.0209037	4.36	0.000	.0485074	.1335653
L_polity_s~p	-.1532302	.0151216	-10.13	0.000	-.1839953	-.1224652
L_tot_oil~p	.1427274	.0214557	6.65	0.000	.0990754	.1863794
D_tot_oil~p	.035168	.0299506	1.17	0.249	-.0257669	.096103
L_LogPerCa~p	-1.883431	.4070265	-4.63	0.000	-2.711533	-1.05533
L_CivilWar~p	-.0416852	.6718795	-0.06	0.951	-1.408634	1.325264
L_REGION_D~E	.0586967	.0121109	4.85	0.000	.0340568	.0833365
L_WORLD_DE~E	-.0570568	.0404084	-1.41	0.167	-.1392684	.0251548
D_LogperCa~t	-.8597004	2.786953	-0.31	0.760	-6.5298	4.810399
D_Region_D~e	.4740597	.0829724	5.71	0.000	.3052511	.6428684
D_World_De~e	-.3645279	.0808407	-4.51	0.000	-.5289995	-.2000564

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.9314573	.1349533	-6.90	0.000	-1.206022	-.6568927

Running this model on all of the countries, including those excluded because of the Westerlund ECM Cointegration test restrictions, shows that there is no material difference regarding the estimated parameters whether it is the full sample or the restricted sample. Next I run the Westerlund ECM Cointegration Test

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(9)
bootstrap(25)
With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 21 observations are
required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.
```

```
-----
hmccode |      Freq.
-----+-----
    315 |         20
    316 |         14
    317 |         14
    343 |         16
    344 |         15
    345 |         18
    346 |          3
    347 |         15
    349 |         15
    359 |         16
    366 |         16
    367 |         16
    368 |         16
    369 |         15
    370 |         15
    371 |         16
    372 |         15
    373 |         15
    531 |         14
    565 |         17
    701 |         15
    702 |         15
    703 |         15
    704 |         15
    705 |         15
    860 |          5
-----
```

Czechoslovakia, Czech Republic, Slovakia, Macedonia, Croatia, Serbia RB, Slovenia, Moldova, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Ukraine, Belarus, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Eritrea, Namibia, Turkmenistan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan, Kazakhstan, East Timor and Bosnia & Herzegovina are excluded because their panels do not have sufficient observations.

Then I drop the countries that do not conform to the requirements.

```
drop if hmccode == 316 | hmccode == 317 | hmccode == 343 | hmccode == 344 | hmccode == 345 | hmccode == 346 |
hmccode == 347 | hmccode == 349 | hmccode == 359 | hmccode == 366 | hmccode ==
367 | hmccode == 368 | hmccode == 369 | hmccode == 370 | hmccode == 371 | hmccode
== 372 | hmccode == 373 | hmccode == 531 | hmccode == 565 | hmccode == 701 |
hmccode == 702 | hmccode == 703 | hmccode == 704 | hmccode == 705 | hmccode ==
860
```

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
 REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(9)
 bootstrap(25)

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
 Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(102 missing values
 generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
 With 137 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.896	1.505	0.934	0.080
Ga	-2.7e+09	-3.4e+09	0.000	0.000
Pt	-9.0e+07	-9.0e+07	0.000	0.000
Pa	-1.9e+07	-2.5e+07	0.000	0.000

WHAT ABOUT RERUNNING THE WESTERLUND ECM TEST WITH A LAG OF THE DEPENDENT VARIABLE AND OF TOTAL OIL INCOME?

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
 REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(9)
 bootstrap(25)

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
 Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(102 missing values
 generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
 With 136 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.737	3.518	1.000	0.000
Ga	-2.867	20.752	1.000	0.840
Pt	-12.984	19.584	1.000	0.040
Pa	-2.114	17.538	1.000	0.160

TO RUN THE WESTERLUND ECM COINTEGRATION TEST WITH 1 lag and 1 lead, we need 28 observations. We must drop Guyana because it only has 27 observations.

Therefore, we rerun the model excluding Guyana. It makes no difference to the results:

Estimating the model again without Guyana, which is the dataset that the Westerlund ECM test depicted above was run on, is:

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.year i.hmccode if hmccode
!=110, lag(1)
```

```
i.year          _Iyear_1973-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1973 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4587
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   136
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F(182, 135)     =  110.40
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1427
                                                Root MSE       =   8.2624
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1389868	.0174621	-7.96	0.000	-.1735215	-.1044521
L_tot_oil~p	.1432667	.0210513	6.81	0.000	.1016338	.1848996
D_tot_oil~p	.0393907	.0273929	1.44	0.153	-.014784	.0935653
L_LogPerCa~p	-2.027256	.3270049	-6.20	0.000	-2.673971	-1.380541
L_CivilWar~p	-.2885526	.5909339	-0.49	0.626	-1.457238	.8801329
L_REGION_D~E	.0504562	.0132476	3.81	0.000	.0242566	.0766558
L_WORLD_DE~E	.2403688	.0197394	12.18	0.000	.2013304	.2794072
D_LogperCa~t	-1.911057	2.894175	-0.66	0.510	-7.634844	3.812731
D_Region_D~e	.4905463	.0950375	5.16	0.000	.3025913	.6785013
D_World_De~e	.6224617	.0827503	7.52	0.000	.4588071	.7861163

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
_nl_1	-1.030794	.1384049	-7.45	0.000	-1.304516	-.7570713

THIS RUNS THE MODEL ON THE TRUNCATED DATASET, WITH COUNTRIES EXCLUDED FROM THE SAMPLE.

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year      _Iyear_1973-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1973 omitted)
i.hmccode   _Ihmccode_2-950 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4631
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   138
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(184, 137)    =  111.09
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.1396
                                                Root MSE     =   8.2878
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.13832	.0173093	-7.99	0.000	-.172548	-.104092
L_tot_oil~p	.1424297	.0204634	6.96	0.000	.1019647	.1828947
D_tot_oil~p	.0375953	.0281931	1.33	0.185	-.0181547	.0933453
L_LogPerCa~p	-1.998362	.3216886	-6.21	0.000	-2.634479	-1.362245
L_CivilWar~p	-.271011	.5903936	-0.46	0.647	-1.438474	.8964517
L_REGION_D~E	.0481414	.0128352	3.75	0.000	.0227607	.073522
L_WORLD_DE~E	.2565404	.0199284	12.87	0.000	.2171334	.2959474
D_LogperCa~t	-1.446858	2.890023	-0.50	0.617	-7.161681	4.267964
D_Region_D~e	.4623846	.0784828	5.89	0.000	.3071902	.617579
D_World_De~e	.7694589	.0689911	11.15	0.000	.6330338	.905884

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-1.029712	.1387591	-7.42	0.000	-1.304098	-.7553252

RUNNING THE MODEL AGAIN WITH A LAG OF THE DEPENDENT VARIABLE

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.year
i.hmccode, lag(0)
i.year          _Iyear_1973-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1973 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-950       (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4622
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   138
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(185, 137)     =   22.75
maximum lag: 0                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1449
                                                Root MSE       =   8.2424
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_D_polity~p	.0834275	.0207659	4.02	0.000	.0423643	.1244906
L_polity_s~p	-.1486734	.0151069	-9.84	0.000	-.1785463	-.1188005
L_tot_oil~p	.1422037	.0207062	6.87	0.000	.1012586	.1831487
D_tot_oil~p	.0367712	.0289032	1.27	0.205	-.0203828	.0939252
L_LogPerCa~p	-1.932584	.386362	-5.00	0.000	-2.696588	-1.168579
L_CivilWar~p	-.1411211	.6521098	-0.22	0.829	-1.430623	1.148381
L_REGION_D~E	.0535507	.0119348	4.49	0.000	.0299503	.077151
L_WORLD_DE~E	.2521313	.0211184	11.94	0.000	.2103712	.2938915
D_LogperCa~t	-1.067429	2.934042	-0.36	0.717	-6.869296	4.734437
D_Region_D~e	.4541046	.0678336	6.69	0.000	.3199683	.5882409
D_World_De~e	.6979717	.0692874	10.07	0.000	.5609606	.8349827

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.9564834	.1326608	-7.21	0.000	-1.218811	-.6941557

RUNNING THE MODEL AGAIN WITH A LAG OF THE DEPENDENT VARIABLE AND ERRORS CLUSTERED BY YEAR

```

xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.year
i.hmccode, r cluster(year)
i.year          _Iyear_1973-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1973 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)

```

```

Linear regression                               Number of obs =    4622
                                                F( 32,    33) =      .
                                                Prob > F       =      .
                                                R-squared      =  0.1449
                                                Root MSE      =  8.2424

```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 34 clusters in year)

	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_D_polity~p	.0834275	.0214987	3.88	0.000	.039688	.1271669
L_polity_s~p	-.1486734	.01564	-9.51	0.000	-.1804933	-.1168536
L_tot_oil~p	.1422037	.0214368	6.63	0.000	.0985901	.1858173
D_tot_oil~p	.0367712	.0299231	1.23	0.228	-.0241077	.0976502
L_LogPerCa~p	-1.932584	.3999959	-4.83	0.000	-2.746381	-1.118786
L_CivilWar~p	-.1411211	.6751215	-0.21	0.836	-1.514666	1.232424
L_REGION_D~E	.0535507	.012356	4.33	0.000	.0284122	.0786892
L_WORLD_DE~E	-.0998199	.0353274	-2.83	0.008	-.1716941	-.0279457
D_LogperCa~t	-1.067429	3.037579	-0.35	0.728	-7.247429	5.112571
D_Region_D~e	.4541046	.0702273	6.47	0.000	.311226	.5969831
D_World_De~e	-.2914908	.0561859	-5.19	0.000	-.405802	-.1771797

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```

      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]

```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.9564834	.1373422	-6.96	0.000	-1.235908	-.6770586

GENERATING A COMPARATIVE BASELINE: THE BIVARIATE MODEL

RERUNNING WITHOUT THE CONTROL VARIABLES INCLUDED ON THE CONTROL VARIABLES OBSERVATIONS DATASET (COLUMN 6)

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(9)
bootstrap(25)
```

```
Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(26 missing values
generated)
```

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 138 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.595	-3.300	0.001	0.160
Ga	-7.6e+10	-1.3e+11	0.000	0.000
Pt	-7.8e+09	-9.0e+09	0.000	0.000
Pa	-2.7e+09	-5.3e+09	0.000	0.000

```
. xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(9)
bootstrap(25)
```

```
Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(26 missing values
generated)
```

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 138 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.603	-3.417	0.000	0.080
Ga	-9.0e+11	-1.5e+12	0.000	0.000
Pt	-1.7e+10	-1.9e+10	0.000	0.000
Pa	-6.4e+09	-1.2e+10	0.000	0.000

These commands were used to generate the special dataset:

```
keep if LogPerCapGDP_interp != . & CivilWar_Interp != . & REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE != . & WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
!= .
```

This ECM Co-integration test is run on a special dataset that has the following characteristics:

- It is truncated to observations on those for which there is data for all covariates, including control variables, measured contemporaneously.
- It is truncated to the countries that have more than 21 observations, so that this test is comparable to the one run with all of the control variables included as conditioning variables in the ECM test.

ESTIMATING THE BIVARIATE MODEL ON THE CONTROL VARIABLE DATASET.

```

xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.year i.hmccode if D_polity_s_interp != . & L_polity_s_interp !=. & L_tot_oil_inc_interp
!= . & D_tot_oil_inc_interp !=. & L_LogPerCapGDP_interp !=. & L_CivilWar_interp !=. &
L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE !=. & L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE !=. & D_LogperCapGDP_int !=. &
D_Region_Dem_Diffuse !=. & D_World_Dem_Diffuse !=., lag(1)
i.year      _Iyear_1973-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1973 omitted)
i.hmccode   _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)

```

```

Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4631
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    138
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(177, 137)    =  5.69e+07
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.0962
                                                Root MSE      =   8.4896

```

```

-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |          Coef.   Drisc/Kraay          t   P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_polity_s~p |  -.1240208   .0171715   -7.22  0.000   -.1579764   -.0900653
L_tot_oil~p  |   .0788464   .0157344    5.01  0.000   .0477327   .1099602
D_tot_oil~p  |   .0010887   .0288257    0.04  0.970   -.0559121   .0580896

```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```

-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |          Coef.   Std. Err.          t   P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1  |  -.6357516   .0731533   -8.69  0.000   -.7804071   -.491096

```

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania only observed since 1991, after the dissolution of the USSR, and not before the emergence of the USSR in 1917, when they were independent states. This is because the ECM co-integration tests cannot be performed on data series with gaps. Therefore, these 3 countries are only observed since 1991.

THIS IS THE BIC STATISTIC HORSE RACE ON THE CORRECT DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL TO RUN. EACH MODEL IS RUN ON THE FULL DATASET (SAME NUMBER OF COUNTRIES IN THE PANEL)

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp i.hmccode i.year if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.338228,
lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =      951
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =      42
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(399, 41)      = 5.24e+07
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        = 0.0000
                                                R-squared       = 0.1753
                                                Root MSE       = 6.2697
```

```
-----+-----
|                |                |                |                |                |                | | |
| D_polity_s~p |                | Drisc/Kraay   |                |                |                |
|                | Coef.         | Std. Err.     | t              | P>|t|          | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|-----+-----|-----+-----|-----+-----|-----+-----|-----+-----|
| L_polity_s~p | -0.1274635   | 0.0377572     | -3.38          | 0.002          | -0.2037158  -0.0512112 |
| L_tot_oil~p  | 0.0238112    | 0.0138751     | 1.72           | 0.094          | -0.0042101  0.0518325 |
| D_tot_oil~p  | -0.0530952   | 0.0512196     | -1.04          | 0.306          | -0.1565352  0.0503448 |
|-----+-----|-----+-----|-----+-----|-----+-----|
```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
| D_polity_s~p |                | Coef.         | Std. Err.     | t              | P>|t|          | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|-----+-----|-----+-----|-----+-----|-----+-----|-----+-----|
| _nl_1        | -0.1868079   | 0.1226393     | -1.52          | 0.135          | -0.434483   0.0608671 |
|-----+-----|-----+-----|-----+-----|-----+-----|
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp i.hmccode i.year if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.338228,
cluster(hmccode)
```

fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -3121.562   Log-Lik Full Model:      -3029.912
D(551):                   6059.824   LR(40):                  183.300
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.175               Adjusted R2:             0.055
AIC:                      7.213                AIC*n:                   6859.824
BIC:                      2281.334              BIC':                     91.001
BIC used by Stata:        6340.982              AIC used by Stata:       6141.824
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

1 LAG OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
. xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interpl L_polity_s_interpl L_tot_oil_inc_interpl  
D_tot_oil_inc_interpl L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interpl  
>=.338228, lag(1)  
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)  
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =      951  
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =      42  
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(400, 41)     = 7.67e+07  
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       = 0.0000  
                                                R-squared      = 0.1753  
                                                Root MSE      = 6.2735
```

```
-----  
D_polity_s~p |           Drisc/Kraay  
              |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]  
-----+-----  
L_polity_s~p |  -.1274787   .0377661    -3.38  0.002   -.2037489   -.0512084  
L_tot_oil~p  |   .0242038   .0149078     1.62  0.112   -.0059032   .0543107  
D_tot_oil~p  |  -.0531023   .0513563    -1.03  0.307   -.1568185   .050614  
L_D_TOI_INT |  -.0020937   .0286299    -0.07  0.942   -.0599129   .0557256
```

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
```

```
-----  
D_polity_s~p |           Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]  
-----+-----  
      _nl_1 |  -.1898654   .1298143    -1.46  0.151   -.4520307   .0722999  
-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interpl L_polity_s_interpl L_tot_oil_inc_interpl  
D_tot_oil_inc_interpl L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interpl  
>=.338228, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
.  
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interpl

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -3121.562   Log-Lik Full Model:   -3029.911  
D(550):                   6059.823   LR(40):               183.301  
                           Prob > LR:         0.000  
R2:                       0.175   Adjusted R2:         0.054  
AIC:                      7.215   AIC*n:               6861.823  
BIC:                      2288.190  BIC':                91.000  
BIC used by Stata:        6340.981  AIC used by Stata:   6141.823
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

2 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if
Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.338228, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =       950
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =        42
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(401,   41)    =  2.51e+07
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                    R-squared       =    0.1754
                                                    Root MSE       =    6.2809
```

```
-----+-----
          |                Drisc/Kraay
D_polity_s~p |          Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_polity_s~p |  -.1274788     .0377947    -3.37  0.002    -.2038068   -.0511508
L_tot_oil~p  |   .0243775     .0142378     1.71  0.094    -.0043763    .0531314
D_tot_oil~p  |  -.0531539     .0513791    -1.03  0.307    -.156916    .0506083
  L_D_TOI_INT |  -.0023568     .0278141    -0.08  0.933    -.0585286    .0538149
L2_D_TOI_INT |  -.0008598     .0300608    -0.03  0.977    -.0615689    .0598493
```

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |          Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 |  -.1912282     .121071    -1.58  0.122    -.435736    .0532796
```

.

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if
Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.338228, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -3118.776   Log-Lik Full Model:      -3027.185
D(548):                      6054.371   LR(40):                  183.182
                               Prob > LR:                0.000
R2:                          0.175     Adjusted R2:             0.053
AIC:                          7.219     AIC*n:                   6858.371
BIC:                          2297.030   BIC':                     91.077
BIC used by Stata:           6335.486   AIC used by Stata:       6136.371
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

3 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp
>=.338228, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   949
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   42
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(402, 41)      = 2.31e+07
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        = 0.0000
                                                R-squared       = 0.1757
                                                Root MSE       = 6.2873
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1274742	.0378303	-3.37	0.002	-.2038741	-.0510743
L_tot_oil~p	.0242034	.0163151	1.48	0.146	-.0087457	.0571526
D_tot_oil~p	-.0530281	.0513345	-1.03	0.308	-.1567002	.0506439
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0022287	.0318264	-0.07	0.945	-.0665035	.062046
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.0007739	.0350968	-0.02	0.983	-.0716533	.0701055
L3_D_TOI_INT	.0010723	.0366667	0.03	0.977	-.0729776	.0751222

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.1898694	.1298237	-1.46	0.151	-.4520538	.072315

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if
Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.338228, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -3115.990   Log-Lik Full Model:      -3024.328
D(546):                      6048.655   LR(39):                  183.325
                              Prob > LR:          0.000
R2:                           0.176       Adjusted R2:            0.052
AIC:                           7.223       AIC*n:                  6854.655
BIC:                          2305.602     BIC':                    84.035
BIC used by Stata:            6322.871     AIC used by Stata:      6128.655
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

4 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if
Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.338228, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   948
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   41
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(403, 40)      = 1.56e+07
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        = 0.0000
                                                R-squared       = 0.1762
                                                Root MSE       = 6.2877
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1275123	.0377964	-3.37	0.002	-.2039016	-.051123
L_tot_oil~p	.0339827	.0206344	1.65	0.107	-.0077209	.0756864
D_tot_oil~p	-.0622797	.0587862	-1.06	0.296	-.1810911	.0565316
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0123949	.0319318	-0.39	0.700	-.0769314	.0521417
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.0146418	.0342269	-0.43	0.671	-.0838169	.0545333
L3_D_TOI_INT	-.0109162	.0307944	-0.35	0.725	-.073154	.0513215
L4_D_TOI_INT	-.0629999	.0364019	-1.73	0.091	-.136571	.0105711

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
_nl_1	-.2665055	.1641674	-1.62	0.112	-.5983003	.0652892

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year
if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.338228, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -3112.962   Log-Lik Full Model:   -3021.116
D(544):                   6042.231   LR(39):               183.692
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.176   Adjusted R2:         0.052
AIC:                      7.226   AIC*n:               6850.231
BIC:                      2313.462  BIC':                 83.628
BIC used by Stata:       6316.405  AIC used by Stata:   6122.231
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

5 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT
L5_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.338228, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =       948
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =        41
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(404,   40)    =   3.24e+07
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                R-squared       =    0.1763
                                                Root MSE       =    6.2909
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.1272986	.0377301	-3.37	0.002	-.2035539	-.0510433
L_tot_oil~p	.0272259	.0195669	1.39	0.172	-.0123203	.0667721
D_tot_oil~p	-.0754655	.0721354	-1.05	0.302	-.2212566	.0703256
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0038042	.0241399	-0.16	0.876	-.0525929	.0449844
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.0105389	.0284636	-0.37	0.713	-.0680659	.0469882
L3_D_TOI_INT	-.0044922	.0223597	-0.20	0.842	-.0496829	.0406984
L4_D_TOI_INT	-.0587904	.0287581	-2.04	0.048	-.1169127	-.000668
L5_D_TOI_INT	.0293082	.0396454	0.74	0.464	-.0508181	.1094345

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.2138745	.164749	-1.30	0.202	-.5468446	.1190956

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT
i.hmccode i.year if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.338228, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -3112.962   Log-Lik Full Model:   -3021.023
D(543):                   6042.046   LR(39):               183.877
                           Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.176   Adjusted R2:         0.051
AIC:                      7.228   AIC*n:               6852.046
BIC:                      2320.132  BIC':                83.443
BIC used by Stata:       6316.221  AIC used by Stata:   6122.046
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR TABLE 5, COLUMN 3 OF DO NATURAL RESOURCES FUEL AUTHORITARIANISM?

EACH OF THE COINTEGRATION TESTS, AND EACH OF THE ROBUSTNESS TESTS, IS DOCUMENTED HERE, AS IS THE ACTUAL REGRESSION ESTIMATED.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]`

NOTE BENE:

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania only observed since 1991, after the dissolution of the USSR, and not before the emergence of the USSR in 1917, when they were independent states. This is because the ECM co-integration tests cannot be performed on data series with gaps. Therefore, these 3 countries are only observed since 1991.

NOTA BENE:

THE BIC STATISTIC CHOSE THE MODEL WITH ZERO LAGS OF DIFFERENCED TOTAL OIL INCOME. Therefore, those are the type of ECM Models we run below: Total Oil Income is only differenced one time.

NOTA BENE:

We always start with the Westerlund Cointegration Tests and then estimate cross-section time-series regressions after that to see what direction the cointegration relationship may have.

NOTE BENE:

Sometimes we have to truncate the dataset in order to run the Westerlund Cointegration Tests. This is because often they can only be calculated with a minimum lag length. However, we always estimate regressions on both the truncated sample and the entire dataset for comparisons sake.

NOTA BENE:

The models in the paper, and the regressions run for robustness and in the appendix, are calculated using Driscoll Kraay Standard Errors (although we also check for robustness by estimating robust standard errors). This is the Stata command `xtsce`. For the Newey West adjustment we use 1 lag length.

THRESHOLD MODELS, FINDING THE CUTOFF POINT TO TRUNCATE THE DATASET

```
sum Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Total_Oil_~p	15096	.338228	2.616071	0	78.5888

```
drop if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp <.338228  
(14487 observations deleted)
```

However, we must further drop some countries:

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(12)  
bootstrap(25)
```

Continuous time-series are required

Following series contain holes:

hmccode	Freq.
2	2
70	4
101	1
130	3
160	1
200	2
339	2
365	1
390	1
475	1
484	1
540	2
615	3
630	1
645	2
651	1
652	4
679	1
820	5
900	4

United States, Mexico, Venezuela, Ecuador, Argentina, UK, Albania, Russia, Denmark, Nigeria, Congo, Angola, Algeria, Iran, Iraq, Egypt, Syria, Yemen, Malaysia, Australia,

```
drop if hmccode == 2 | hmccode == 70 | hmccode == 101 | hmccode == 130 | hmccode == 160 | hmccode == 200 | hmccode == 339 | hmccode == 365 | hmccode == 390 | hmccode == 475 | hmccode == 484 | hmccode == 540 | hmccode == 615 | hmccode == 630 | hmccode == 645 | hmccode == 651 | hmccode == 652 | hmccode == 679 | hmccode == 820 | hmccode == 900
```

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(12)
bootstrap(25)
With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 9 observations are required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.
```

```
-----+-----
hmccode |      Freq.
-----+-----
  135 |          2
  360 |          2
  373 |          7
  483 |          2
  616 |          6
  666 |          2
  701 |          7
  705 |          7
  850 |          2
  860 |          3
-----+-----
```

Peru, Romania, Azerbaijan, Chad, Tunisia, Israel, Tasmekistan, Kazakhstan, East Timor, and Indonesia.

drop if hmccode == 2 | hmccode == 70 | hmccode == 373 | hmccode == 390 | hmccode == 475 | hmccode == 540 | hmccode == 705 | hmccode == 860 | hmccode == 900

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(6)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(3 missing values generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 12 series and 1 covariate

```
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
Statistic | Value | Z-value | P-value | Robust P-value |
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
  Gt      | -2.533 | -0.710 | 0.239 | 0.400 |
  Ga      | -8.790 | 1.641 | 0.950 | 0.520 |
  Pt      | -5.653 | 1.903 | 0.972 | 0.240 |
  Pa      | -8.010 | 0.521 | 0.699 | 0.560 |
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
```

TRYING AGAIN WITH ONE LAG OF TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(6)
bootstrap(25)
With 1 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 12 observations are
required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.
```

hmccode	Freq.
411	11

We have to drop Equatorial Guinea

```
. xwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(6)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(3 missing values
generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 11 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.533	-0.679	0.248	0.120
Ga	-9.742	1.107	0.866	0.160
Pt	-5.783	1.398	0.919	0.200
Pa	-9.796	-0.467	0.320	0.200

RUNNING THE MODEL WITHOUT ANY COUNTRY PANELS DELETED FROM THE DATABASE

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year if L.Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.338228, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year        _Iyear_1777-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =       922
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =        42
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(399, 41)      =    7407.94
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =     0.0000
                                                R-squared       =     0.1904
                                                Root MSE       =     6.3014
```

```
-----+-----
```

		Drisc/Kraay				[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t			
L_polity_s~p	-.1366838	.0405362	-3.37	0.002	-.2185483	-.0548194	
L_tot_oil~p	.010881	.0161438	0.67	0.504	-.021722	.043484	
D_tot_oil~p	-.1330478	.0761787	-1.75	0.088	-.2868938	.0207981	

```
-----+-----
```

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.0796069	.1219435	-0.65	0.518	-.3258768	.166663

```
-----+-----
```

RERUNNING THE MODEL ON THE TRUNCATED DATASET

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year if L.Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.338228, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_20-698      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_20 omitted)
i.year        _Iyear_1936-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1936 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   453
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   12
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F( 86, 11)      =   1.63
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.1880
                                                R-squared       =   0.1555
                                                Root MSE       =   1.8318
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0863903	.0323893	-2.67	0.022	-.1576787	-.015102
L_tot_oil~p	.0139716	.009626	1.45	0.175	-.007215	.0351583
D_tot_oil~p	-.0070873	.0135663	-0.52	0.612	-.0369465	.0227719

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.1617267	.0925906	-1.75	0.109	-.3655173	.0420638

NOW WE ADD CONTROL VARIABLES

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(6)
bootstrap(25)
With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 21 observations are
required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.
```

hmccode	Freq.
411	11

We drop Equatorial Guinea

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(6)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
 Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(3 missing values generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
 With 11 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.901	0.409	0.659	0.200
Ga	-6.764	4.498	1.000	0.720
Pt	-5.684	3.570	1.000	0.400
Pa	-6.506	3.373	1.000	0.760

NOW WE TRY AGAIN WITH 1 LAG

```
xwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp  
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(6)  
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(3 missing values
generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 11 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.913	0.368	0.643	0.240
Ga	-5.692	4.884	1.000	0.720
Pt	-5.347	3.909	1.000	0.200
Pa	-5.018	3.920	1.000	0.640

RUNNING THE MODEL WITHOUT ANY COUNTRY PANELS DELETED FROM THE DATABASE AND CONTROL VARIABLES

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year if
L.Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.338228, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode 2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006    (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs       =       919
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups    =        42
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(406, 41)         =      3815.43
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F            =        0.0000
                                                R-squared           =        0.2087
                                                Root MSE           =        6.2613
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1485028	.0447855	-3.32	0.002	-.238949	-.0580567
L_tot_oil~p	-.0002724	.021602	-0.01	0.990	-.0438985	.0433537
D_tot_oil~p	-.1312738	.0668087	-1.96	0.056	-.2661968	.0036492
L_LogPerCa~p	.6213032	.5120041	1.21	0.232	-.4127101	1.655317
L_CivilWar~p	2.168692	1.354852	1.60	0.117	-.5674868	4.904871
L_REGION_D~E	.0096063	.0251742	0.38	0.705	-.0412341	.0604467
L_WORLD_DE~E	-.27327	.0879563	-3.11	0.003	-.4509014	-.0956386
D_LogperCa~t	-2.101453	3.272493	-0.64	0.524	-8.710386	4.50748
D_Region_D~e	.2766713	.0825605	3.35	0.002	.1099369	.4434057
D_World_De~e	.0746753	.081287	0.92	0.364	-.0894872	.2388378

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
_nl_1	.0018342	.1453984	0.01	0.990	-.2918037	.2954722

RERUNNING THE MODEL ON THE TRUNCATED DATASET

```

xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_20-698      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_20 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1936-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1936 omitted)

```

```

Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   438
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   11
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F( 92, 10)      =   1.68
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.1873
                                                R-squared       =   0.1649
                                                Root MSE      =   1.8560

```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0841475	.0299669	-2.81	0.019	-.150918	-.0173771
L_tot_oil~p	.0217818	.0139989	1.56	0.151	-.0094097	.0529734
D_tot_oil~p	-.0101851	.0133881	-0.76	0.464	-.0400156	.0196453
L_LogPerCa~p	-.0737292	.2761421	-0.27	0.795	-.6890122	.5415539
L_CivilWar~p	(dropped)					
L_REGION_D~E	-.0273015	.043931	-0.62	0.548	-.1251858	.0705829
L_WORLD_DE~E	-.0022092	.0170919	-0.13	0.900	-.0402923	.0358739
D_LogperCa~t	2.024533	1.529785	1.32	0.215	-1.38404	5.433106
D_Region_D~e	.0053441	.0382865	0.14	0.892	-.0799636	.0906519
D_World_De~e	-.0070424	.0619779	-0.11	0.912	-.1451376	.1310529

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```

      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]

```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
_nl_1	-.258853	.1198997	-2.16	0.056	-.5260061	.0083001

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania only observed since 1991, after the dissolution of the USSR, and not before the emergence of the USSR in 1917, when they were independent states. This is because the ECM co-integration tests cannot be performed on data series with gaps. Therefore, these 3 countries are only observed since 1991.

THIS IS THE BIC STATISTIC HORSE RACE ON THE CORRECT DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL TO RUN. EACH MODEL IS RUN ON THE FULL DATASET (SAME NUMBER OF COUNTRIES IN THE PANEL)

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interpl L_polity_s_interpl L_tot_oil_inc_interpl
D_tot_oil_inc_interpl i.hmccode i.year if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interpl >=.9708862,
lag(1)
i.hmccode          _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year              _Iyear_1777-2006    (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =       529
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =        28
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(399, 27)      = 250145.45
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                R-squared       =    0.3785
                                                Root MSE       =    3.3081
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1069607	.0463188	-2.31	0.029	-.2019991	-.0119223
L_tot_oil~p	.01042	.0116917	0.89	0.381	-.0135693	.0344093
D_tot_oil~p	-.0663168	.0364455	-1.82	0.080	-.1410968	.0084633

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.0974191	.106817	-0.91	0.370	-.3165895	.1217513

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interpl L_polity_s_interpl L_tot_oil_inc_interpl
D_tot_oil_inc_interpl i.hmccode i.year if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interpl >=.9708862,
cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interpl

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -1459.368   Log-Lik Full Model:   -1333.573
D(129):                   2667.146   LR(22):               251.590
                                                Prob > LR:            0.000
R2:                       0.378   Adjusted R2:         0.251
AIC:                      6.554   AIC*n:              3467.146
BIC:                      1858.188  BIC':               -113.628
BIC used by Stata:       2811.379   AIC used by Stata:  2713.146
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

1 LAG OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp
>=.9708862, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =      529
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =      28
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(400, 27)      = 3.98e+07
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        = 0.0000
                                                R-squared       = 0.3786
                                                Root MSE       = 3.3116
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |           Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_polity_s~p |  -.1071148   .0464776    -2.30  0.029   - .202479   - .0117507
L_tot_oil~p  |   .0122072   .0132399     0.92  0.365   - .0149588   .0393732
D_tot_oil~p  |  -.0662388   .0365815    -1.81  0.081   - .1412977   .0088202
L_D_TOI_INT |  -.0095458   .0322896    -0.30  0.770   - .0757986   .0567069
```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |           Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 |  -.1139634   .1139594    -1.00  0.326   - .3477889   .119862
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp
>=.9708862, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -1459.368   Log-Lik Full Model:      -1333.534
D(128):                       2667.067   LR(22):                  251.669
                               Prob > LR:                0.000
R2:                            0.379   Adjusted R2:             0.249
AIC:                           6.558   AIC*n:                   3469.067
BIC:                          1864.381   BIC':                    -113.707
BIC used by Stata:            2811.300   AIC used by Stata:       2713.067
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

2 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interpl L_polity_s_interpl L_tot_oil_inc_interpl
D_tot_oil_inc_interpl L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if
Total_Oil_Income_PC_interpl >=.9708862, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   529
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =   28
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(401, 27)      = 902860.19
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.3786
                                                Root MSE       =   3.3153
```

```
-----+-----
          |               Drisc/Kraay
D_polity_s~p |           Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_polity_s~p |  -.1070029   .0464301    -2.30  0.029   -.2022696   -.0117363
L_tot_oil~p  |   .0107878   .0117224     0.92  0.366   -.0132646   .0348402
D_tot_oil~p  |  -.0659609   .0359618    -1.83  0.078   -.1397485   .0078266
  L_D_TOI_INT | -.0080357   .028452     -0.28  0.780   -.0664144   .0503429
L2_D_TOI_INT |   .0078327   .026284     0.30  0.768   -.0460976   .061763
      year    |   .0024618   .0010981     2.24  0.033   .0002088   .0047149
```

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |           Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1  |  -.1008175   .1013758    -0.99  0.329   -.3088234   .1071884
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interpl L_polity_s_interpl L_tot_oil_inc_interpl
D_tot_oil_inc_interpl L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if
Total_Oil_Income_PC_interpl >=.9708862, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interpl

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -1459.368   Log-Lik Full Model:   -1333.507
D(127):                   2667.014   LR(23):               251.722
                           Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.379   Adjusted R2:         0.248
AIC:                      6.561   AIC*n:               3471.014
BIC:                      1870.598  BIC':                 -107.489
BIC used by Stata:        2817.518  AIC used by Stata:   2715.014
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

3 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp
>=.9708862, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   528
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   28
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F(402, 27)      = 13095.99
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.3804
                                                Root MSE       =   3.3183
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1069854	.0464302	-2.30	0.029	-.2022524	-.0117184
L_tot_oil~p	.0099845	.0125255	0.80	0.432	-.0157157	.0356846
D_tot_oil~p	-.0658318	.0357308	-1.84	0.076	-.1391454	.0074818
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0070576	.0281149	-0.25	0.804	-.0647446	.0506295
L2_D_TOI_INT	.0087445	.0273687	0.32	0.752	-.0474114	.0649003
L3_D_TOI_INT	.0048629	.0112615	0.43	0.669	-.0182438	.0279695

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
_nl_1	-.0933257	.1095553	-0.85	0.402	-.3181145	.1314632

```
. quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if
Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.9708862, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -1457.106   Log-Lik Full Model:      -1330.752
D(125):                      2661.504   LR(22):                  252.708
                              Prob > LR:          0.000
R2:                           0.380   Adjusted R2:            0.248
AIC:                           6.567   AIC*n:                  3467.504
BIC:                          1877.867   BIC':                   -114.787
BIC used by Stata:           2805.693   AIC used by Stata:      2707.504
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

4 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if
Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.9708862, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   527
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   27
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(403, 26)      = 1.60e+08
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.3786
                                                Root MSE       =   3.3221
```

```
-----+-----
```

		Drisc/Kraay				[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t			
L_polity_s~p	-.1069779	.0464343	-2.30	0.029	-.202425	-.0115309	
L_tot_oil~p	.0092108	.0126959	0.73	0.475	-.0168861	.0353076	
D_tot_oil~p	-.0650684	.0357387	-1.82	0.080	-.1385303	.0083935	
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0061814	.0290726	-0.21	0.833	-.0659409	.0535781	
L2_D_TOI_INT	.0099392	.0271279	0.37	0.717	-.045823	.0657015	
L3_D_TOI_INT	.00595	.0127037	0.47	0.643	-.0201629	.0320629	
L4_D_TOI_INT	.0050454	.011476	0.44	0.664	-.0185438	.0286347	

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.0860995	.11004	-0.78	0.441	-.31229	.1400909

```
-----+-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year
if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.9708862, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -1454.085   Log-Lik Full Model:   -1328.721
D(123):                   2657.442   LR(22):               250.728
                           Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.379   Adjusted R2:         0.245
AIC:                      6.576   AIC*n:               3465.442
BIC:                      1886.576   BIC':                -112.849
BIC used by Stata:       2801.588   AIC used by Stata:   2703.442
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```


5 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if
Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.9708862, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   527
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   27
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(404, 26)      = 1.65e+08
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        = 0.0000
                                                R-squared       = 0.3786
                                                Root MSE       = 3.3259
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1069805	.0464285	-2.30	0.029	-.2024158	-.0115453
L_tot_oil~p	.0093177	.0142827	0.65	0.520	-.0200409	.0386763
D_tot_oil~p	-.0648561	.0418689	-1.55	0.133	-.1509188	.0212067
L_D_TOI_INT	-.006325	.0288903	-0.22	0.828	-.0657098	.0530598
L2_D_TOI_INT	.0098742	.0270875	0.36	0.718	-.0458049	.0655533
L3_D_TOI_INT	.0058487	.0123693	0.47	0.640	-.0195767	.0312741
L4_D_TOI_INT	.0049732	.0114595	0.43	0.668	-.0185821	.0285286
L5_D_TOI_INT	-.0004864	.0188381	-0.03	0.980	-.0392088	.0382359

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.0870971	.1264471	-0.69	0.497	-.3470129	.1728187

```
-----+-----
```

```
. quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_I
> NT L5_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.9708862,
cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -1454.085   Log-Lik Full Model:      -1328.721
D(122):                      2657.442   LR(22):                  250.728
                              Prob > LR:          0.000
R2:                          0.379     Adjusted R2:            0.243
AIC:                          6.580     AIC*n:                  3467.442
BIC:                          1892.843   BIC':                   -112.849
BIC used by Stata:           2801.587   AIC used by Stata:      2703.442
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR TABLE 5, COLUMN 4 OF DO NATURAL RESOURCES FUEL AUTHORITARIANISM?

EACH OF THE COINTEGRATION TESTS, AND EACH OF THE ROBUSTNESS TESTS, IS DOCUMENTED HERE,

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]`

NOTE BENE:

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania only observed since 1991, after the dissolution of the USSR, and not before the emergence of the USSR in 1917, when they were independent states. This is because the ECM co-integration tests cannot be performed on data series with gaps. Therefore, these 3 countries are only observed since 1991.

NOTA BENE:

THE BIC STATISTIC CHOSE THE MODEL WITH ZERO LAGS OF DIFFERENCED TOTAL OIL INCOME. Therefore, those are the type of ECM Models we run below: Total Oil Income is only differenced one time.

NOTA BENE:

We always start with the Westerlund Cointegration Tests and then estimate cross-section time-series regressions after that to see what direction the cointegration relationship may have.

NOTE BENE:

Sometimes we have to truncate the dataset in order to run the Westerlund Cointegration Tests. This is because often they can only be calculated with a minimum lag length. However, we always estimate regressions on both the truncated sample and the entire dataset for comparisons sake.

NOTA BENE:

The models in the paper, and the regressions run for robustness and in the appendix, are calculated using Driscoll Kraay Standard Errors (although we also check for robustness by estimating robust standard errors). This is the Stata command `xtsce`. For the Newey West adjustment we use 1 lag length.

THRESHOLD MODELS, FINDING THE CUTOFF POINT TO TRUNCATE THE DATASET

```
sum Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp != 0
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Total_Oil_~p	5259	.9708862	4.362722	1.19e-07	78.5888

```
drop if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp <.9708862  
(14487 observations deleted)
```

However, we must further drop some countries:

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(12)  
bootstrap(25)
```

Continuous time-series are required

Following series contain holes:

hmccode	Freq.
20	3
52	3
101	5
200	1
365	1
484	2
615	1
630	1
645	1
690	1
692	1

Canada, Trinidad and Tobago, Venezuela, United Kingdom, Russia, Congo, Algeria, Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, and Bahrain.

```
drop if hmccode == 20 | hmccode == 52 | hmccode == 101 | hmccode == 200 | hmccode == 365 | hmccode == 484 |  
hmccode == 615 | hmccode == 630 | hmccode == 645 | hmccode == 690 | hmccode ==  
692
```

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(12)
bootstrap(25)
With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 9 observations are required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.
```

hmccode	Freq.
2	3
70	2
373	2
390	3
475	1
540	1
705	3
860	2
900	1

United States, Mexico, Azerbaijan, Denmark, Nigeria, Angola, Kazakhstan, East Timor, and Australia.

**drop if hmccode == 2 | hmccode == 70 | hmccode == 373 | hmccode == 390 | hmccode == 475 | hmccode == 540 |
hmccode == 705 | hmccode == 860 | hmccode == 900**

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(5)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 8 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-1.590	2.704	0.997	0.840
Ga	-5.405	2.748	0.997	0.880
Pt	-2.305	4.196	1.000	0.760
Pa	-4.871	1.873	0.970	0.840

TRYING AGAIN WITH ONE LAG OF TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(5)
bootstrap(25)
With 1 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 12 observations are
required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.
```

hmccode	Freq.
411	10

We have to drop Equatorial Guinea

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(5)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 7 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-1.995	1.208	0.887	0.800
Ga	-7.109	1.908	0.972	0.760
Pt	-3.730	2.126	0.983	0.480
Pa	-9.741	-0.349	0.364	0.520

RUNNING THE MODEL WITHOUT ANY COUNTRY PANELS DELETED FROM THE DATABASE

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year if L.Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.9708862, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year        _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =       511
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =        27
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(399, 26)      =    191.98
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =     0.0000
                                                R-squared       =     0.2900
                                                Root MSE       =     3.2967
```

	Drisc/Kraay				
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
L_polity_s~p	-.1249679	.0553045	-2.26	0.032	-.2386479 -.0112879
L_tot_oil~p	.0166374	.0116825	1.42	0.166	-.0073763 .0406512
D_tot_oil~p	-.0882065	.0443361	-1.99	0.057	-.1793406 .0029276

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.1331335	.0980312	-1.36	0.186	-.3346395 .0683725

RERRUNNING THE MODEL ON THE TRUNCATED DATASET

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode 385-698   (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_385 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1949-2006   (naturally coded; _Iyear_1949 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   284
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    8
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F( 68,    7)    =   0.30
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.9958
                                                R-squared       =   0.2291
                                                Root MSE       =   1.1449
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0333316	.0335927	-0.99	0.354	-.1127657	.0461025
L_tot_oil~p	.0139381	.010253	1.36	0.216	-.0103063	.0381825
D_tot_oil~p	.0067084	.0059545	1.13	0.297	-.0073718	.0207885

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.4181657	.1941554	-2.15	0.068	-.8772702	.0409388

```
-----+-----
```

NOW WE ADD CONTROL VARIABLES

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(5)
bootstrap(25)
With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 21 observations are
required.
```

Following series do not contain sufficient observations.

```
-----
hmccode |      Freq.
-----+-----
      411 |          10
-----
```

We must drop Equatorial Guinea if we are going to include the control variables in the ECM cointegration test.

We use the dataset where we drop EG.

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(5)
bootstrap(25)
```

```
Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....
```

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 7 series and 5 covariates

```
-----+-----
Statistic | Value | Z-value | P-value | Robust P-value |
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
      Gt | -3.527 | -1.472 | 0.071 | 0.080 |
      Ga | -6.289 | 3.725 | 1.000 | 0.400 |
      Pt | -5.597 | 1.781 | 0.963 | 0.040 |
      Pa | -7.847 | 2.298 | 0.989 | 0.360 |
-----+-----
```

NOW WE TRY AGAIN WITH 1 LAG

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(5)
bootstrap(25)
```

```
Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....
```

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 7 series and 5 covariates

```
-----+-----
Statistic | Value | Z-value | P-value | Robust P-value |
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
      Gt | -3.071 | -0.162 | 0.436 | 0.080 |
      Ga | -5.554 | 3.936 | 1.000 | 0.440 |
      Pt | -4.579 | 2.803 | 0.998 | 0.120 |
      Pa | -10.482 | 1.525 | 0.936 | 0.400 |
-----+-----
```


RUNNING THE MODEL WITHOUT ANY COUNTRY PANELS DELETED FROM THE DATABASE AND CONTROL VARIABLES

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.year i.hmccode if
L.Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.9708862, lag(1)
i.year          _Iyear_1777-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-950       (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =       511
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =        27
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(406, 26)      =   25729.73
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                R-squared       =    0.3171
                                                Root MSE       =    3.2524
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1290681	.0606074	-2.13	0.043	-.2536483	-.0044879
L_tot_oil~p	.0162403	.0144683	1.12	0.272	-.0134996	.0459803
D_tot_oil~p	-.0867735	.0394001	-2.20	0.037	-.1677616	-.0057855
L_LogPerCa~p	.0153114	.3544458	0.04	0.966	-.7132624	.7438851
L_CivilWar~p	4.4443	4.291367	1.04	0.310	-4.376732	13.26533
L_REGION_D~E	-.0179685	.0214597	-0.84	0.410	-.0620795	.0261426
L_WORLD_DE~E	.2332341	.1117578	2.09	0.047	.0035127	.4629555
D_LogperCa~t	-.5551752	2.023637	-0.27	0.786	-4.71482	3.60447
D_Region_D~e	.1035562	.0815509	1.27	0.215	-.0640739	.2711864
D_World_De~e	-1.255946	.5515026	-2.28	0.031	-2.389576	-.1223165

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.1258277	.1298559	-0.97	0.341	-.3927503	.141095

RERUNNING THE MODEL ON THE TRUNCATED DATASET

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interpl L_polity_s_interpl L_tot_oil_inc_interpl D_tot_oil_inc_interpl
L_LogPerCapGDP_interpl L_CivilWar_interpl L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year          _Iyear_1949-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1949 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_385-698     (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_385 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =       274
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =         7
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F( 74,         6) =       0.23
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F         =     0.9992
                                                R-squared        =     0.2666
                                                Root MSE        =     1.1514
```

	Drisc/Kraay					[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t			
L_polity_s~p	.0013874	.0238763	0.06	0.956	-.0570358	.0598106	
L_tot_oil~p	.0117154	.0130339	0.90	0.403	-.0201773	.0436081	
D_tot_oil~p	.0103088	.0100787	1.02	0.346	-.0143528	.0349704	
L_LogPerCa~p	-.0282084	.1677566	-0.17	0.872	-.438694	.3822771	
L_CivilWar~p	(dropped)						
L_REGION_D~E	-.1996097	.1526198	-1.31	0.239	-.5730569	.1738375	
L_WORLD_DE~E	-.012979	.0088824	-1.46	0.194	-.0347134	.0087554	
D_LogperCa~t	.8697654	.8731462	1.00	0.358	-1.266746	3.006277	
D_Region_D~e	-.107302	.0871533	-1.23	0.264	-.3205585	.1059545	
D_World_De~e	.1860617	.1487847	1.25	0.258	-.1780014	.5501249	

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	8.444114	149.6243	0.06	0.957	-357.6733	374.5616

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania only observed since 1991, after the dissolution of the USSR, and not before the emergence of the USSR in 1917, when they were independent states. This is because the ECM co-integration tests cannot be performed on data series with gaps. Therefore, these 3 countries are only observed since 1991.

THIS IS THE BIC STATISTIC HORSE RACE ON THE CORRECT DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL TO RUN. EACH MODEL IS RUN ON THE FULL DATASET (SAME NUMBER OF COUNTRIES IN THE PANEL)

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >= 2.954299, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006    (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   297
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   14
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(399, 13)      =   2.43
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0345
                                                R-squared       =   0.2408
                                                Root MSE       =   1.9403
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1215274	.0425416	-2.86	0.013	-.2134329	-.0296219
L_tot_oil~p	.0271188	.0154858	1.75	0.103	-.0063362	.0605739
D_tot_oil~p	.0094976	.0176349	0.54	0.599	-.0286002	.0475955

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.22315	.1065224	-2.09	0.056	-.4532776	.0069776

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp i.hmccode i.year if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=2.954299,
cluster(hmccode)
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -626.314   Log-Lik Full Model:   -585.397
D(-103):                 1170.794   LR(11):              81.834
                                                Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                      0.241     Adjusted R2:         0.056
AIC:                     6.636     AIC*n:              1970.794
BIC:                     1757.248   BIC':               -19.203
BIC used by Stata:       1239.118   AIC used by Stata:  1194.794
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

1 LAG OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp
>=2.954299, lag(1)
```

```
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =      297
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =      14
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(400, 13)      =     12.14
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =     0.0000
                                                    R-squared       =     0.2425
                                                    Root MSE       =     1.9423
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |           Coef.   Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.   t   P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_polity_s~p |   -.1229264     .0416741   -2.95   0.011   -.2129577   -.032895
L_tot_oil~p  |    .030932     .0152302    2.03   0.063   -.0019708   .0638348
D_tot_oil~p  |    .0094545     .016026    0.59   0.565   -.0251675   .0440765
L_D_TOI_INT |   -.0174817     .0427035   -0.41   0.689   -.109737    .0747736
```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |           Coef.   Std. Err.   t   P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 |   -.2516303     .1145656   -2.20   0.047   -.4991342   -.0041263
-----+-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp
>=2.954299, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -626.314   Log-Lik Full Model:      -585.075
D(-104):                     1170.150   LR(11):                  82.477
                               Prob > LR:                0.000
R2:                           0.242     Adjusted R2:             0.054
AIC:                           6.640     AIC*n:                  1972.150
BIC:                          1762.298   BIC':                   -19.846
BIC used by Stata:            1238.475   AIC used by Stata:      1194.150
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

2 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if
Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=2.954299, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =      297
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =      14
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(401, 13)      =     50.78
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =     0.0000
                                                    R-squared       =     0.2427
                                                    Root MSE       =     1.9460
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1235841	.0420688	-2.94	0.012	-.2144683	-.0326999
L_tot_oil~p	.0323853	.0153568	2.11	0.055	-.000791	.0655617
D_tot_oil~p	.0091844	.0154048	0.60	0.561	-.0240957	.0424645
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0190576	.0375591	-0.51	0.620	-.1001992	.062084
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.0071505	.0296099	-0.24	0.813	-.0711187	.0568177

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.2620508	.1062159	-2.47	0.028	-.4915163	-.0325854

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if
Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=2.954299, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -626.314   Log-Lik Full Model:      -585.023
D(-105):                    1170.046   LR(11):                  82.581
                               Prob > LR:                0.000
R2:                          0.243         Adjusted R2:             0.050
AIC:                          6.647         AIC*n:                  1974.046
BIC:                          1767.888       BIC':                   -19.950
BIC used by Stata:           1238.371       AIC used by Stata:      1194.046
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

3 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp
>=2.954299, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   297
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   14
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F(402, 13)      =  101.43
maximum lag: 1                                  Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.2434
                                                Root MSE       =   1.9494
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1240785	.0420131	-2.95	0.011	-.2148423	-.0333147
L_tot_oil~p	.034501	.0164679	2.10	0.056	-.0010757	.0700778
D_tot_oil~p	.0091018	.0155538	0.59	0.568	-.0245	.0427037
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0218793	.0381413	-0.57	0.576	-.1042786	.0605199
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.0097112	.0297785	-0.33	0.750	-.0740437	.0546213
L3_D_TOI_INT	-.0111811	.0140826	-0.79	0.441	-.0416047	.0192426

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
_nl_1	-.2780582	.1125281	-2.47	0.028	-.5211604	-.034956

```
. quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if
Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=2.954299, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -626.314   Log-Lik Full Model:      -584.901
D(-106):                    1169.802   LR(11):                  82.826
                                                Prob > LR:                0.000
R2:                          0.243         Adjusted R2:             0.047
AIC:                          6.653         AIC*n:                   1975.802
BIC:                          1773.337       BIC':                    -20.195
BIC used by Stata:           1238.126       AIC used by Stata:       1193.802
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

4 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if
Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >= 2.954299, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006    (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   297
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   14
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(403, 13)      =  230.98
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.2443
                                                Root MSE       =   1.9524
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1244697	.0419599	-2.97	0.011	-.2151185	-.0338209
L_tot_oil~p	.0362493	.0166118	2.18	0.048	.0003617	.0721369
D_tot_oil~p	.0066201	.0158294	0.42	0.683	-.0275772	.0408175
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0242172	.038961	-0.62	0.545	-.1083873	.0599529
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.0128127	.0287785	-0.45	0.663	-.074985	.0493595
L3_D_TOI_INT	-.0141799	.015846	-0.89	0.387	-.0484131	.0200534
L4_D_TOI_INT	-.0141836	.0170278	-0.83	0.420	-.0509699	.0226026

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.2912299	.1142494	-2.55	0.024	-.5380509	-.044409

```
. quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year
if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >= 2.954299, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -626.314   Log-Lik Full Model:      -584.724
D(-107):                    1169.448   LR(11):                  83.179
                              Prob > LR:                 0.000
R2:                          0.244     Adjusted R2:             0.044
AIC:                          6.658     AIC*n:                  1977.448
BIC:                          1778.677   BIC':                   -20.548
BIC used by Stata:           1237.773   AIC used by Stata:      1193.448
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

5 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT
L5_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=2.954299, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =      297
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =      14
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(404, 13)      =    366.71
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                R-squared       =    0.2445
                                                Root MSE       =    1.9562
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.1239133	.0414499	-2.99	0.010	-.2134603	-.0343663
L_tot_oil~p	.0343623	.0158781	2.16	0.050	.0000598	.0686647
D_tot_oil~p	.0033806	.0177788	0.19	0.852	-.0350281	.0417894
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0216024	.0399305	-0.54	0.598	-.107867	.0646622
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.0114003	.028078	-0.41	0.691	-.0720591	.0492585
L3_D_TOI_INT	-.0121685	.0157162	-0.77	0.453	-.0461212	.0217842
L4_D_TOI_INT	-.0124946	.0172323	-0.73	0.481	-.0497226	.0247335
L5_D_TOI_INT	.0078937	.0157515	0.50	0.625	-.0261353	.0419227

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.277309	.1180621	-2.35	0.035	-.5323667	-.0222513

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT
i.hmccode i.year if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=2.954299, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -626.314   Log-Lik Full Model:      -584.680
D(-108):                    1169.360   LR(11):                  83.267
                                                Prob > LR:                0.000
R2:                          0.244         Adjusted R2:             0.040
AIC:                          6.665         AIC*n:                  1979.360
BIC:                          1784.283       BIC':                   -20.636
BIC used by Stata:           1237.685       AIC used by Stata:      1193.360
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR TABLE 5, COLUMN 5 OF DO NATURAL RESOURCES FUEL AUTHORITARIANISM?

EACH OF THE COINTEGRATION TESTS, AND EACH OF THE ROBUSTNESS TESTS, IS DOCUMENTED HERE, AS IS THE ACTUAL REGRESSION ESTIMATED.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: nlcom
_b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]

NOTE BENE:

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania only observed since 1991, after the dissolution of the USSR, and not before the emergence of the USSR in 1917, when they were independent states. This is because the ECM co-integration tests cannot be performed on data series with gaps. Therefore, these 3 countries are only observed since 1991.

NOTA BENE:

THE BIC STATISTIC CHOSE THE MODEL WITH ZERO LAGS OF DIFFERENCED TOTAL OIL INCOME. Therefore, those are the type of ECM Models we run below: Total Oil Income is only differenced one time.

NOTA BENE:

We always start with the Westerlund Cointegration Tests and then estimate cross-section time-series regressions after that to see what direction the cointegration relationship may have.

NOTE BENE:

Sometimes we have to truncate the dataset in order to run the Westerlund Cointegration Tests. This is because often they can only be calculated with a minimum lag length. However, we always estimate regressions on both the truncated sample and the entire dataset for comparisons sake.

NOTA BENE:

The models in the paper, and the regressions run for robustness and in the appendix, are calculated using Driscoll Kraay Standard Errors (although we also check for robustness by estimating robust standard errors). This is the Stata command xtsce. For the Newey West adjustment we use 1 lag length.

THRESHOLD MODELS, FINDING THE CUTOFF POINT TO TRUNCATE THE DATASET

```
sum Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Total_Oil_~p	15096	.338228	2.616071	0	78.5888

One standard deviation from the mean is: 2.954299

```
drop if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp < 2.954299
```

(14487 observations deleted)

However, we must further drop some countries:

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(12)  
bootstrap(25)
```

Continuous time-series are required

Following series contain holes:

hmccode	Freq.
101	1
385	1
481	4
620	4
670	1
690	1
692	4
698	1

Venezuela, Norway, Gabon, Lybia, Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, Oman

```
drop if hmccode == 101 | hmccode == 385 | hmccode == 481 | hmccode == 620 | hmccode == 670 | hmccode == 690 |  
hmccode == 692 | hmccode == 698
```

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(12)
bootstrap(25)
With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 9 observations are required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.
```

```
-----
```

hmccode	Freq.
411	7
630	1
645	6

```
-----
```

Equatorial Guinea, Iraq, and Iran

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(4)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0(90 missing values generated)

```
.(92 missing values generated)
(92 missing values generated)
.(92 missing values generated)
(92 missing values generated)
(92 missing values generated)
.(88 missing values generated)
(92 missing values generated)
.(92 missing values generated)
(92 missing values generated)
(90 missing values generated)
.(92 missing values generated)
(92 missing values generated)
.(92 missing values generated)
(90 missing values generated)
(88 missing values generated)
.(90 missing values generated)
(92 missing values generated)
.(92 missing values generated)
(92 missing values generated)
(92 missing values generated)
(92 missing values generated)
.(92 missing values generated)
(92 missing values generated)
```

Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests...(112 missing values generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 3 series and 1 covariate

```
-----
```

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	.	.	.	0.000
Ga	0.000	3.059	0.999	0.000
Pt	.	.	.	0.000
Pa	.	.	.	0.000

```
-----
```

TRYING AGAIN WITH ONE LAG OF TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(4)
bootstrap(25)
With 1 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 12 observations are
required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.
```

```
-----+-----
hmccode |      Freq.
-----+-----
      52 |          11
-----+-----
```

We have to drop Trinidad and Tobago

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(4)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0(80 missing values generated)

```
.(80 missing values generated)
(80 missing values generated)
.(80 missing values generated)
(80 missing values generated)
(80 missing values generated)
.(80 missing values generated)
(80 missing values generated)
.(80 missing values generated)
(80 missing values generated)
(80 missing values generated)
.(80 missing values generated)
(80 missing values generated)
.(80 missing values generated)
(80 missing values generated)
(80 missing values generated)
.(80 missing values generated)
(80 missing values generated)
(80 missing values generated)
.(80 missing values generated)
(80 missing values generated)
(80 missing values generated)
.(80 missing values generated)
(80 missing values generated)
(80 missing values generated)
.(80 missing values generated)
(80 missing values generated)
```

Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests..(101 missing values generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 2 series and 1 covariate

```
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
Statistic | Value | Z-value | P-value | Robust P-value |
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
      Gt  |      . |      .  |      .  |      0.000  |
      Ga  |      . |      .  |      .  |      0.000  |
      Pt  |      . |      .  |      .  |      0.000  |
      Pa  |      . |      .  |      .  |      0.000  |
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
```

RUNNING THE MODEL WITHOUT ANY COUNTRY PANELS DELETED FROM THE DATABASE

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year if L.Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=2.954299, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year        _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =       290
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =        14
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(399, 13)      =   6983.68
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                R-squared       =    0.2547
                                                Root MSE       =    1.6921
```

```
-----+-----
```

		Drisc/Kraay				
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.1017298	.0485573	-2.10	0.056	-.2066316	.0031719
L_tot_oil~p	.0323832	.0124978	2.59	0.022	.0053832	.0593831
D_tot_oil~p	.009297	.0275148	0.34	0.741	-.050145	.0687391

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.318325	.16087	-1.98	0.069	-.6658635	.0292135

```
-----+-----
```

NOW WE ADD CONTROL VARIABLES

RUNNING THE MODEL WITHOUT ANY COUNTRY PANELS DELETED FROM THE DATABASE AND CONTROL VARIABLES

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year if
L.Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=2.954299, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year        _Iyear_1777-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =       290
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =        14
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(406, 13)      =   40752.56
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                R-squared       =    0.2718
                                                Root MSE       =    1.6910
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.0999114	.0532892	-1.87	0.083	-.2150357	.015213
L_tot_oil~p	.0342069	.0122752	2.79	0.015	.007688	.0607258
D_tot_oil~p	.0169018	.0288412	0.59	0.568	-.0454057	.0792093
L_LogPerCa~p	-.0821468	.3763098	-0.22	0.831	-.8951146	.7308211
L_CivilWar~p	3.508513	1.391409	2.52	0.026	.5025576	6.514468
L_REGION_DE~E	-.0919849	.0507264	-1.81	0.093	-.2015727	.0176028
L_WORLD_DE~E	-.0847476	.0241897	-3.50	0.004	-.1370063	-.0324889
D_LogperCa~t	-.4326268	2.269675	-0.19	0.852	-5.335962	4.470708
D_Region_De~e	.0214281	.0531497	0.40	0.693	-.0933948	.1362509
D_World_De~e	-.2978535	.0655632	-4.54	0.001	-.4394942	-.1562127

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.3423724	.1857582	-1.84	0.088	-.7436787	.0589339

THIS IS THE BIC STATISTIC HORSE RACE ON THE CORRECT DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL TO RUN. EACH MODEL IS RUN ON THE FULL DATASET (SAME NUMBER OF COUNTRIES IN THE PANEL)

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-165      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1811-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1811 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   3388
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    20
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(220, 19)      =    4.81
maximum lag: 4                                 Prob > F        =    0.0001
                                                R-squared       =    0.1050
                                                Root MSE       =    7.7175
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0887175	.0120473	-7.36	0.000	-.1139329	-.0635021
L_tot_oil~p	1.97593	.5267342	3.75	0.001	.8734623	3.078397
D_tot_oil~p	.9263935	.7352942	1.26	0.223	-.6125949	2.465382

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-22.27216	5.186401	-4.29	0.000	-33.12742	-11.4169

```
-----+-----
```

```
.
.
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -11806.510   Log-Lik Full Model:      -11618.583
D(3167):                  23237.166   LR(18):                  375.854
                                                Prob > LR:                0.000
R2:                        0.105   Adjusted R2:             0.044
AIC:                       6.989   AIC*n:                   23679.166
BIC:                       -2504.195   BIC':                     -229.550
BIC used by Stata:         23391.597   AIC used by Stata:       23275.166
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```


1 LAG OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-165      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1811-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1811 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   3372
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    20
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(221, 19)      =   3.58
maximum lag: 1                                    Prob > F        =   0.0010
                                                    R-squared       =   0.1053
                                                    Root MSE       =   7.7339
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |           Coef.   Std. Err.   t   P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_polity_s~p |  -.0894013   .0123775   -7.22  0.000   -0.1153077   -0.0634949
L_tot_oil_~p |  2.051594   .5231534    3.92  0.001   .9566217    3.146567
D_tot_oil_~p |  .8872401   .6466571    1.37  0.186  -0.4662287    2.240709
  L_D_TOI_INT |  -.860516   .6272184   -1.37  0.186  -2.173299    .4522672
      year |  .0001457   .0004879    0.30  0.768  -0.0008754    .0011668
```

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |           Coef.   Std. Err.   t   P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 |  -22.94815   5.149838   -4.46  0.000  -33.72688   -12.16941
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -11757.875   Log-Lik Full Model:   -11570.310
D(3150):                  23140.620   LR(19):               375.131
                           Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.105   Adjusted R2:         0.044
AIC:                      6.994   AIC*n:               23584.620
BIC:                      -2447.653   BIC':                -220.789
BIC used by Stata:        23311.208   AIC used by Stata:   23182.620
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

2 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year if Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-165      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1811-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1811 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   876
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   11
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(222, 10)      =   2.51
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0535
                                                R-squared       =   0.1616
                                                Root MSE       =   10.6932
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.1274143	.0218963	-5.82	0.000	-.1762023	-.0786262
L_tot_oil~p	3.020107	1.011987	2.98	0.014	.7652597	5.274953
D_tot_oil~p	1.351862	.6608348	2.05	0.068	-.1205697	2.824294
L_D_TOI_INT	-1.375515	1.026126	-1.34	0.210	-3.661866	.9108355
L2_D_TOI_INT	.3939761	.8086786	0.49	0.637	-1.407872	2.195824

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-23.70305	6.471428	-3.66	0.004	-38.12228	-9.283806

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -11710.054   Log-Lik Full Model:   -11522.839
D(3133):                  23045.679   LR(20):               374.429
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.106               Adjusted R2:          0.044
AIC:                      7.000                AIC*n:               23491.679
BIC:                      -2389.598             BIC':                -212.059
BIC used by Stata:        23216.167             AIC used by Stata:   23087.679
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

3 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-165      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1811-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1811 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   3338
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =   20
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(223, 19)      =   3.35
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0017
                                                    R-squared       =   0.1052
                                                    Root MSE      =   7.7672
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0892536	.0124406	-7.17	0.000	-.115292	-.0632152
L_tot_oil~p	1.996693	.5235948	3.81	0.001	.9007967	3.09259
D_tot_oil~p	.8941437	.6751222	1.32	0.201	-.5189033	2.307191
L_D_TOI_INT	-.7572774	.6825953	-1.11	0.281	-2.185966	.671411
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.113216	.6576882	-0.17	0.865	-1.489773	1.263341
L3_D_TOI_INT	1.11739	1.298709	0.86	0.400	-1.600838	3.835618
year	.0000815	.0004954	0.16	0.871	-.0009554	.0011184

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-22.37101	5.236703	-4.27	0.000	-33.33155	-11.41046

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year,
cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -11652.392   Log-Lik Full Model:      -11466.824
D(3114):                  22933.648   LR(19):                  371.135
                           Prob > LR:              0.000
R2:                       0.105   Adjusted R2:             0.043
AIC:                      7.005   AIC*n:                   23381.648
BIC:                      -2330.629 BIC':                     -216.985
BIC used by Stata:        23104.024 AIC used by Stata:       22975.648
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

4 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interpl L_polity_s_interpl L_tot_oil_inc_interpl D_tot_oil_inc_interpl
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode _Ihmccode_40-165 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year _Iyear_1811-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1811 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   3320
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    20
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(224, 19)      =    3.26
maximum lag: 1                                    Prob > F        =   0.0020
                                                    R-squared       =   0.1054
                                                    Root MSE       =   7.7870
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.0894552	.0124323	-7.20	0.000	-.1154764	-.0634341
L_tot_oil~p	1.965935	.526205	3.74	0.001	.8645751	3.067295
D_tot_oil~p	1.104119	.7543565	1.46	0.160	-.4747676	2.683005
L_D_TOI_INT	-.6765013	.7090432	-0.95	0.352	-2.160546	.8075433
L2_D_TOI_INT	.0058945	.6832875	0.01	0.993	-1.424243	1.436032
L3_D_TOI_INT	1.250522	1.264503	0.99	0.335	-1.396114	3.897158
L4_D_TOI_INT	.9090451	.8170656	1.11	0.280	-.8010929	2.619183

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-21.97675	5.323027	-4.13	0.001	-33.11797	-10.83553

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interpl L_polity_s_interpl L_tot_oil_inc_interpl
D_tot_oil_inc_interpl L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode
i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interpl
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -11597.778   Log-Lik Full Model:   -11412.802
D(3095):                  22825.604   LR(18):               369.951
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.105   Adjusted R2:         0.043
AIC:                      7.011   AIC*n:              23275.604
BIC:                      -2267.790   BIC':               -224.012
BIC used by Stata:       22979.651   AIC used by Stata:   22863.604
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

5 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT
L5_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-165      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1811-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1811 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   3302
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    20
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(225, 19)      =   3.55
maximum lag: 1                                    Prob > F        =   0.0011
                                                    R-squared       =   0.1060
                                                    Root MSE       =   7.8055
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |               Drisc/Kraay
              |      Coef.   Std. Err.   t    P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_polity_s~p |  -.0900256   .0124587   -7.23  0.000   - .116102   - .0639492
L_tot_oil~p  |  2.067033   .5306513    3.90  0.001   .9563675   3.177699
D_tot_oil~p  |  1.473431   1.000155    1.47  0.157  - .6199177   3.566779
  L_D_TOI_INT |  -.9827372   .7520223   -1.31  0.207  -2.556738   .5912636
L2_D_TOI_INT |  -.0865642   .6551094   -0.13  0.896  -1.457724   1.284595
L3_D_TOI_INT |  1.100159   1.296965    0.85  0.407  -1.61442    3.814738
L4_D_TOI_INT |  .809946    .8440107    0.96  0.349  -.9565888   2.576481
L5_D_TOI_INT | -1.171581   .9165976   -1.28  0.217  -3.090042   .7468798
```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |      Coef.   Std. Err.   t    P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 | -22.96051   5.401503   -4.25  0.000   -34.26599   -11.65504
-----+-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT
i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -11543.123   Log-Lik Full Model:   -11358.155
D(3076):                  22716.310   LR(19):               369.935
                          Prob > LR:               0.000
R2:                       0.106   Adjusted R2:         0.043
AIC:                      7.016   AIC*n:               23168.310
BIC:                      -2206.314  BIC':                 -215.992
BIC used by Stata:        22870.253  AIC used by Stata:   22754.310
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
.
```

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR TABLE 6, COLUMN 1 OF DO NATURAL RESOURCES FUEL AUTHORITARIANISM?

EACH OF THE COINTEGRATION TESTS, AND EACH OF THE ROBUSTNESS TESTS, IS DOCUMENTED HERE, AS IS THE ACTUAL REGRESSION ESTIMATED.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: nlcom
_b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]

NOTE BENE:

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania only observed since 1991, after the dissolution of the USSR, and not before the emergence of the USSR in 1917, when they were independent states. This is because the ECM co-integration tests cannot be performed on data series with gaps. Therefore, these 3 countries are only observed since 1991.

NOTA BENE:

THE BIC STATISTIC CHOSE THE MODEL WITH ZERO LAGS OF DIFFERENCED TOTAL OIL INCOME. Therefore, those are the type of ECM Models we run below: Total Oil Income is only differenced one time.

NOTA BENE:

We always start with the Westerlund Cointegration Tests and then estimate cross-section time-series regressions after that to see what direction the cointegration relationship may have.

NOTE BENE:

Sometimes we have to truncate the dataset in order to run the Westerlund Cointegration Tests. This is because often they can only be calculated with a minimum lag length. However, we always estimate regressions on both the truncated sample and the entire dataset for comparisons sake.

NOTA BENE:

The models in the paper, and the regressions run for robustness and in the appendix, are calculated using Driscoll Kraay Standard Errors (although we also check for robustness by estimating robust standard errors). This is the Stata command xtsce. For the Newey West adjustment we use 1 lag length.

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(9)
bootstrap(25)

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(84 missing values
generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 20 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.445	-0.431	0.333	0.160
Ga	-11.877	0.088	0.535	0.040
Pt	-11.245	-2.056	0.020	0.080
Pa	-11.729	-2.040	0.021	0.040

TRYING AGAIN WITH ONE LAG OF TOTAL OIL INCOME

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(9)
bootstrap(25)

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(84 missing values
generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 20 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.621	-1.403	0.080	0.040
Ga	-13.267	-0.826	0.205	0.040
Pt	-11.894	-2.798	0.003	0.000
Pa	-12.842	-2.851	0.002	0.000

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-165      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1811-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1811 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   3388
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    20
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(219, 19)      =    3.56
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =    0.0011
                                                R-squared       =    0.1050
                                                Root MSE       =    7.7175
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0887175	.0123306	-7.19	0.000	-.1145258	-.0629091
L_tot_oil~p	1.97593	.5130884	3.85	0.001	.9020235	3.049836
D_tot_oil~p	.9263935	.6300518	1.47	0.158	-.3923199	2.245107

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-22.27216	4.996601	-4.46	0.000	-32.73017	-11.81416

```
-----+-----
```

NOW WE ADD CONTROL VARIABLES

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
```

```
Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(84 missing values
generated)
```

```
Results for H0: no cointegration
With 20 series and 5 covariates
```

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-3.326	-1.511	0.065	0.040
Ga	-15.767	1.693	0.955	0.320
Pt	-14.385	-1.935	0.027	0.080
Pa	-16.593	-0.452	0.326	0.200

NOW WE TRY AGAIN WITH 1 LAG

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
```

```
Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(84 missing values
generated)
```

```
Results for H0: no cointegration
With 20 series and 5 covariates
```

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-3.138	-0.601	0.274	0.160
Ga	-16.967	1.110	0.867	0.200
Pt	-13.335	-0.880	0.190	0.080
Pa	-16.119	-0.217	0.414	0.120

RUNNING THE MODEL WITHOUT ANY COUNTRY PANELS DELETED FROM THE DATABASE AND CONTROL VARIABLES

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-165      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1811-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1811 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1939
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    20
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(227, 19)      =   14.56
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1446
                                                Root MSE       =   9.4486
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.1090212	.0159698	-6.83	0.000	-.1424465	-.0755959
L_tot_oil~p	2.532402	.6950472	3.64	0.002	1.077652	3.987153
D_tot_oil~p	1.096662	.6189059	1.77	0.092	-.1987228	2.392047
L_LogPerCa~p	-.20184	.7568767	-0.27	0.793	-1.786001	1.382321
L_CivilWar~p	.9746479	1.026387	0.95	0.354	-1.173605	3.122901
L_REGION_D~E	-.0443656	.0563494	-0.79	0.441	-.1623063	.0735751
L_WORLD_DE~E	.4895089	.1760354	2.78	0.012	.1210625	.8579554
D_LogperCa~t	.8446485	4.006234	0.21	0.835	-7.540496	9.229793
D_Region_D~e	.8087995	.4824147	1.68	0.110	-.200906	1.818505
D_World_De~e	.8544345	.2835275	3.01	0.007	.2610046	1.447864

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-23.22853	5.34913	-4.34	0.000	-34.42439	-12.03267

THIS IS THE BIC STATISTIC HORSE RACE ON THE CORRECT DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL TO RUN. EACH MODEL IS RUN ON THE FULL DATASET (SAME NUMBER OF COUNTRIES IN THE PANEL)

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_404-625   (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_404 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1847-2006   (naturally coded; _Iyear_1847 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2132
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    45
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(207, 44)      =  2897.97
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1510
                                                Root MSE       =   9.0782
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.135289	.0206158	-6.56	0.000	-.1768374	-.0937407
L_tot_oil~p	-.1631085	.1351279	-1.21	0.234	-.435441	.1092239
D_tot_oil~p	-.3599561	.2852698	-1.26	0.214	-.9348795	.2149674

```
-----+-----
```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	1.20563	1.017184	1.19	0.242	-.8443691	3.255629

```
-----+-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -7794.266   Log-Lik Full Model:      -7619.793
D(1924):                  15239.585   LR(44):                  348.947
                                                Prob > LR:                0.000
R2:                       0.151   Adjusted R2:            0.061
AIC:                      7.343   AIC*n:                  15655.585
BIC:                      492.480   BIC':                   -11.695
BIC used by Stata:        15584.502   AIC used by Stata:      15329.585
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

1 LAG OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_404-625 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_404 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1847-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1847 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =      2091
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =        45
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(208, 44)      = 778949.12
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                R-squared       =    0.1510
                                                Root MSE       =    9.0686
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |              Drisc/Kraay
              |      Coef.   Std. Err.   t    P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_polity_s~p |  -.1362152   .0206686   -6.59  0.000   - .1778699   -.0945604
L_tot_oil_~p |  -.2395077   .1239303   -1.93  0.060   - .4892728   .0102574
D_tot_oil_~p |  -.4655449   .3017284   -1.54  0.130   -1.073638   .1425488
L_D_TOI_INT |  -.086605    .2526352   -0.34  0.733   - .5957578   .4225478
```

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |      Coef.   Std. Err.   t    P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 |   1.758304   .8572088    2.05  0.046   .0307132   3.485895
-----+-----
```

```
. quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -7640.022   Log-Lik Full Model:   -7468.851
D(1882):                  14937.703   LR(44):               342.342
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.151   Adjusted R2:         0.059
AIC:                      7.344   AIC*n:               15355.703
BIC:                      549.064   BIC':                -5.945
BIC used by Stata:       15281.746   AIC used by Stata:   15027.703
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

2 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_404-625 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_404 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1847-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1847 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2050
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    45
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(209, 44)      =  50113.81
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1560
                                                Root MSE       =   9.1299
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1427254	.0216583	-6.59	0.000	-.1863749	-.099076
L_tot_oil~p	-.2856394	.1325117	-2.16	0.037	-.5526993	-.0185796
D_tot_oil~p	-.4801553	.2992837	-1.60	0.116	-1.083322	.1230115
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0574781	.2606071	-0.22	0.826	-.5826973	.467741
L2_D_TOI_INT	.1287907	.213866	0.60	0.550	-.3022279	.5598093

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	2.001321	.8431589	2.37	0.022	.3020461	3.700596

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -7507.812   Log-Lik Full Model:   -7333.952
D(1840):                  14667.904   LR(44):               347.719
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.156   Adjusted R2:         0.062
AIC:                      7.360   AIC*n:              15087.904
BIC:                      636.809   BIC':               -12.193
BIC used by Stata:       15018.681   AIC used by Stata:   14759.904
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

3 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_404-625 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_404 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1847-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1847 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2009
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    45
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(210, 44)      =  28332.63
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1562
                                                Root MSE       =   9.1286
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1448424	.0234666	-6.17	0.000	-.1921363	-.0975486
L_tot_oil~p	-.3646682	.1481389	-2.46	0.018	-.6632226	-.0661138
D_tot_oil~p	-.4946375	.3143206	-1.57	0.123	-1.128109	.138834
L_D_TOI_INT	.0130084	.2638312	0.05	0.961	-.5187084	.5447252
L2_D_TOI_INT	.1892741	.2285568	0.83	0.412	-.2713518	.6499
L3_D_TOI_INT	.1901455	.2573817	0.74	0.464	-.3285733	.7088643

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	2.517689	.9104782	2.77	0.008	.6827412	4.352638

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year,
cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -7355.265   Log-Lik Full Model:   -7184.704
D(1798):                  14369.409   LR(44):               341.121
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.156   Adjusted R2:         0.060
AIC:                      7.363   AIC*n:              14791.409
BIC:                      694.914   BIC':               -6.484
BIC used by Stata:       14719.257   AIC used by Stata:  14461.409
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

4 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_404-625 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_404 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1847-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1847 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1965
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    45
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(211, 44)      =  49119.20
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                    R-squared       =   0.1560
                                                    Root MSE       =   8.9431
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1384487	.0217835	-6.36	0.000	-.1823505	-.0945469
L_tot_oil~p	-.3065306	.1670703	-1.83	0.073	-.6432386	.0301775
D_tot_oil~p	-.4551563	.3146835	-1.45	0.155	-1.089359	.1790466
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0006374	.2551201	-0.00	0.998	-.5147982	.5135233
L2_D_TOI_INT	.1572102	.2360049	0.67	0.509	-.3184264	.6328467
L3_D_TOI_INT	.1629027	.2889043	0.56	0.576	-.4193457	.7451511
L4_D_TOI_INT	-.1147457	.4222265	-0.27	0.787	-.9656873	.7361959

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
_nl_1	2.214037	1.139564	1.94	0.058	-.0826036	4.510678

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode
i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -7151.160   Log-Lik Full Model:   -6984.498
D(1753):                  13968.996   LR(44):               333.324
                           Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.156   Adjusted R2:         0.058
AIC:                      7.325   AIC*n:              14392.996
BIC:                      675.563   BIC':               0.339
BIC used by Stata:       14317.826   AIC used by Stata:  14060.996
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```


5 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT
L5_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_404-625 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_404 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1847-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1847 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1921
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    45
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(212, 44)      =  1523.33
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1590
                                                Root MSE       =   8.8986
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.1408382	.0218953	-6.43	0.000	-.1849654	-.0967111
L_tot_oil~p	-.2033081	.1858644	-1.09	0.280	-.5778933	.171277
D_tot_oil~p	-.3268357	.368015	-0.89	0.379	-1.068521	.4148497
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0368563	.2393288	-0.15	0.878	-.5191917	.4454792
L2_D_TOI_INT	.0914962	.253646	0.36	0.720	-.4196937	.6026861
L3_D_TOI_INT	.0545958	.2425749	0.23	0.823	-.4342818	.5434734
L4_D_TOI_INT	-.1903866	.4027137	-0.47	0.639	-1.002003	.6212296
L5_D_TOI_INT	-.5502692	.3652642	-1.51	0.139	-1.286411	.1858725
year	.006284	.0017362	3.62	0.001	.002785	.0097831

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	1.443558	1.295093	1.11	0.271	-1.16653	4.053645

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT
i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -6982.280   Log-Lik Full Model:      -6815.920
D(1708):                    13631.841   LR(44):                  332.720
                                                Prob > LR:                0.000
R2:                          0.159         Adjusted R2:             0.059
AIC:                          7.318         AIC*n:                   14057.841
BIC:                          718.334        BIC':                     -0.053
BIC used by Stata:           13979.629   AIC used by Stata:       13723.841
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR TABLE 6, COLUMN 2 OF DO NATURAL RESOURCES FUEL AUTHORITARIANISM?

EACH OF THE COINTEGRATION TESTS, AND EACH OF THE ROBUSTNESS TESTS, IS DOCUMENTED HERE, AS IS THE ACTUAL REGRESSION ESTIMATED.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: nlcom
_b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]

NOTE BENE:

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania only observed since 1991, after the dissolution of the USSR, and not before the emergence of the USSR in 1917, when they were independent states. This is because the ECM co-integration tests cannot be performed on data series with gaps. Therefore, these 3 countries are only observed since 1991.

NOTA BENE:

THE BIC STATISTIC CHOSE THE MODEL WITH ZERO LAGS OF DIFFERENCED TOTAL OIL INCOME. Therefore, those are the type of ECM Models we run below: Total Oil Income is only differenced one time.

NOTA BENE:

We always start with the Westerlund Cointegration Tests and then estimate cross-section time-series regressions after that to see what direction the cointegration relationship may have.

NOTE BENE:

Sometimes we have to truncate the dataset in order to run the Westerlund Cointegration Tests. This is because often they can only be calculated with a minimum lag length. However, we always estimate regressions on both the truncated sample and the entire dataset for comparisons sake.

NOTA BENE:

The models in the paper, and the regressions run for robustness and in the appendix, are calculated using Driscoll Kraay Standard Errors (although we also check for robustness by estimating robust standard errors). This is the Stata command xtsce. For the Newey West adjustment we use 1 lag length.

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
```

```
Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....
```

```
Results for H0: no cointegration
With 45 series and 1 covariate
```

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.261	0.872	0.808	0.320
Ga	-6.689	5.251	1.000	0.760
Pt	-15.373	-1.375	0.085	0.360
Pa	-9.739	-0.882	0.189	0.280

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 45 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.346	0.172	0.568	0.200
Ga	-7.613	4.339	1.000	0.600
Pt	-16.439	-2.594	0.005	0.240
Pa	-11.165	-2.442	0.007	0.040

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_404-625   (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_404 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1847-2006   (naturally coded; _Iyear_1847 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2132
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    45
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(207, 44)     =  2897.97
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.1510
                                                Root MSE      =   9.0782
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Drisc/Kraay					
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.135289	.0206158	-6.56	0.000	-.1768374	-.0937407
L_tot_oil~p	-.1631085	.1351279	-1.21	0.234	-.435441	.1092239
D_tot_oil~p	-.3599561	.2852698	-1.26	0.214	-.9348795	.2149674

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	1.20563	1.017184	1.19	0.242	-.8443691	3.255629

```
-----+-----
```

NOW WE ADD CONTROL VARIABLES

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
```

With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 21 observations are required.

Following series do not contain sufficient observations.

```
-----
hmccode |      Freq.
-----+-----
      531 |          14
      565 |          17
-----
```

Must omit Eritrea and Namibia

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 43 series and 5 covariates

```
-----+-----
Statistic | Value | Z-value | P-value | Robust P-value |
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
      Gt  | -3.342 | -2.335 | 0.010 | 0.000 |
      Ga  | -10.224 | 6.430 | 1.000 | 0.000 |
      Pt  | -17.107 | 1.165 | 0.878 | 0.000 |
      Pa  | -8.893 | 4.934 | 1.000 | 0.120 |
-----+-----
```

NOW WE TRY AGAIN WITH 1 LAG

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp  
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags (1) leads(1) lrwindow(8)  
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 43 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.876	0.987	0.838	0.000
Ga	-7.563	8.325	1.000	0.320
Pt	-13.556	4.731	1.000	0.280
Pa	-5.556	7.360	1.000	0.800

RUNNING THE MODEL WITHOUT ANY COUNTRY PANELS DELETED FROM THE DATABASE AND CONTROL VARIABLES

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_404-625 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_404 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1847-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1847 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1893
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    45
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(214, 44)      =  5.96e+09
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1514
                                                Root MSE       =   9.3237
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.1439549	.0217344	-6.62	0.000	-.1877578	-.100152
L_tot_oil~p	.0221355	.1624974	0.14	0.892	-.3053564	.3496274
D_tot_oil~p	-.374047	.3251851	-1.15	0.256	-1.029415	.2813206
L_LogPerCa~p	-1.210181	.6442474	-1.88	0.067	-2.508576	.0882146
L_CivilWar~p	-.2814054	.6752347	-0.42	0.679	-1.642251	1.079441
L_REGION_D~E	-.0220181	.003924	-5.61	0.000	-.0299265	-.0141097
L_WORLD_DE~E	.4588801	.06535	7.02	0.000	.3271758	.5905844
D_LogperCa~t	5.161245	3.901407	1.32	0.193	-2.701525	13.02402
D_Region_D~e	-.0067727	.0027473	-2.47	0.018	-.0123095	-.0012358
D_World_De~e	.2195771	.033288	6.60	0.000	.1524896	.2866646

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.1537669	1.130315	-0.14	0.892	-2.431768	2.124234

RERUNNING ON THE TRUNCATED DATASET

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_404-625   (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_404 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1847-2006   (naturally coded; _Iyear_1847 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1864
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    43
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(212,   42)    =  1.07e+09
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1520
                                                Root MSE       =   9.3904
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1438212	.0217796	-6.60	0.000	-.1877742	-.0998682
L_tot_oil~p	.0233664	.1632056	0.14	0.887	-.3059959	.3527288
D_tot_oil~p	-.3755092	.3283721	-1.14	0.259	-1.038191	.2871725
L_LogPerCa~p	-1.23569	.6436925	-1.92	0.062	-2.534714	.0633339
L_CivilWar~p	-.2801953	.676095	-0.41	0.681	-1.64461	1.08422
L_REGION_D~E	-.021996	.0039834	-5.52	0.000	-.0300348	-.0139573
L_WORLD_DE~E	.4599115	.066605	6.91	0.000	.3254972	.5943257
D_LogperCa~t	5.281439	3.939375	1.34	0.187	-2.66854	13.23142
D_Region_D~e	-.0068062	.0027624	-2.46	0.018	-.012381	-.0012313
D_World_De~e	.2200061	.0338264	6.50	0.000	.1517417	.2882706

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
_nl_1	-.1624687	1.136616	-0.14	0.887	-2.456254	2.131316

```
-----+-----
```

THIS IS THE BIC STATISTIC HORSE RACE ON THE CORRECT DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL TO RUN. EACH MODEL IS RUN ON THE FULL DATASET (SAME NUMBER OF COUNTRIES IN THE PANEL)

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_600-698 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_600 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1633
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(227, 17)      =  1956.28
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1233
                                                Root MSE       =   6.3264
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0702132	.015999	-4.39	0.000	-.1039681	-.0364582
L_tot_oil~p	.0503327	.0265589	1.90	0.075	-.0057017	.106367
D_tot_oil~p	-.0545698	.0402796	-1.35	0.193	-.1395523	.0304128

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.7168552	.3173388	-2.26	0.037	-1.386381	-.0473289

```
-----+-----
```

```
. quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -5315.384   Log-Lik Full Model:      -5207.935
D(1405):                  10415.871   LR(17):                 214.897
                           Prob > LR:          0.000
R2:                       0.123   Adjusted R2:            -0.017
AIC:                      6.658   AIC*n:                  10871.871
BIC:                      21.436   BIC':                   -89.128
BIC used by Stata:        10549.038   AIC used by Stata:      10451.871
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
.
```

1 LAG OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_600-698 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_600 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1622
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(228, 17)      = 2613.94
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F         = 0.0000
                                                R-squared        = 0.1248
                                                Root MSE        = 6.3458
```

```
-----+-----
                |                Drisc/Kraay
D_polity_s~p |                Coef.   Std. Err.   t    P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----+-----
L_polity_s~p |   -.0709661   .0161541   -4.39  0.000   -.1050482   -.0368839
L_tot_oil~p  |    .038288    .0221109    1.73  0.101   -.008362    .084938
D_tot_oil~p  |   -.0542676   .0378457   -1.43  0.170   -.1341149   .0255798
L_D_TOI_INT |    .0708325   .0323234    2.19  0.043    .002636    .139029
```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
                |                Coef.   Std. Err.   t    P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----+-----
      _nl_1 |   -.5395254   .2702619   -2.00  0.062   -1.109728   .0306772
-----+-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -5285.059   Log-Lik Full Model:   -5176.947
D(1393):                  10353.894   LR(17):                216.223
                           Prob > LR:          0.000
R2:                       0.125   Adjusted R2:          -0.016
AIC:                      6.666   AIC*n:                10811.894
BIC:                      57.653   BIC':                 -90.569
BIC used by Stata:        10486.940   AIC used by Stata:    10389.894
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

.

2 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_600-698 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_600 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1611
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(229, 17)      =  2472.08
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1255
                                                Root MSE       =   6.3685
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0710835	.0162682	-4.37	0.000	-.1054064	-.0367606
L_tot_oil~p	.0376509	.0216131	1.74	0.100	-.0079487	.0832505
D_tot_oil~p	-.0534926	.0372543	-1.44	0.169	-.1320924	.0251072
L_D_TOI_INT	.0720884	.0310173	2.32	0.033	.0066476	.1375293
L2_D_TOI_INT	.0093766	.0280392	0.33	0.742	-.049781	.0685342

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
_nl_1	-.5296717	.2625402	-2.02	0.060	-1.083583	.0242396

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -5254.697   Log-Lik Full Model:   -5146.708
D(1381):                  10293.416   LR(18):               215.977
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.125               Adjusted R2:          -0.017
AIC:                      6.675               AIC*n:               10753.416
BIC:                      95.269               BIC':                -83.054
BIC used by Stata:       10426.339               AIC used by Stata:   10329.416
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

3 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_600-698 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_600 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1600
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(230, 17)      =  2264.66
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1277
                                                Root MSE       =   6.3858
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0714831	.0164253	-4.35	0.000	-.1061374	-.0368289
L_tot_oil~p	.0326431	.0239805	1.36	0.191	-.0179514	.0832376
D_tot_oil~p	-.0539905	.0357471	-1.51	0.149	-.1294103	.0214292
L_D_TOI_INT	.0780713	.0289887	2.69	0.015	.0169104	.1392322
L2_D_TOI_INT	.0147309	.0311243	0.47	0.642	-.0509356	.0803974
L3_D_TOI_INT	.0307955	.0282751	1.09	0.291	-.0288599	.0904508

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.4566549	.2937803	-1.55	0.139	-1.076477	.1631674

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year,
cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -5224.297   Log-Lik Full Model:   -5114.991
D(1369):                  10229.981   LR(16):               218.612
                          Prob > LR:               0.000
R2:                       0.128   Adjusted R2:         -0.015
AIC:                      6.682   AIC*n:              10691.981
BIC:                      129.830   BIC':               -100.568
BIC used by Stata:       10355.403   AIC used by Stata:   10263.981
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

4 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interpl_polity_s_interpl_tot_oil_inc_interpl_tot_oil_inc_interpl
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_600-698 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_600 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1588
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(231, 17)      =  3025.64
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1293
                                                Root MSE      =   6.4078
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.072698	.0168122	-4.32	0.000	-.1081687	-.0372274
L_tot_oil~p	.0393692	.0216335	1.82	0.086	-.0062734	.0850118
D_tot_oil~p	-.0582591	.0397828	-1.46	0.161	-.1421934	.0256753
L_D_TOI_INT	.0717463	.0249553	2.87	0.011	.0190953	.1243974
L2_D_TOI_INT	.0068156	.0253369	0.27	0.791	-.0466405	.0602717
L3_D_TOI_INT	.0240025	.0224551	1.07	0.300	-.0233737	.0713787
L4_D_TOI_INT	-.0350451	.0240002	-1.46	0.162	-.085681	.0155908

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.5415439	.2494617	-2.17	0.044	-1.067862	-.0152257

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interpl_polity_s_interpl_tot_oil_inc_interpl_tot_oil_inc_interpl
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode
i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interpl
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -5191.090   Log-Lik Full Model:      -5081.111
D(1356):                  10162.222   LR(16):                  219.958
                                                Prob > LR:                0.000
R2:                       0.129   Adjusted R2:            -0.014
AIC:                      6.692   AIC*n:                  10626.222
BIC:                      168.190   BIC':                   -102.034
BIC used by Stata:        10287.516   AIC used by Stata:      10196.222
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

5 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_600-698      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_600 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1576
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F(232, 17)     =  4039.58
maximum lag: 1                                  Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1278
                                                Root MSE       =   6.2119
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.0648972	.0154763	-4.19	0.001	-.0975494	-.0322451
L_tot_oil~p	.0395624	.0249385	1.59	0.131	-.0130531	.092178
D_tot_oil~p	-.0572097	.0463085	-1.24	0.233	-.1549121	.0404927
L_D_TOI_INT	.0728125	.0268956	2.71	0.015	.0160678	.1295572
L2_D_TOI_INT	.0070276	.0266447	0.26	0.795	-.0491877	.0632429
L3_D_TOI_INT	.0241557	.0234044	1.03	0.316	-.0252234	.0735348
L4_D_TOI_INT	-.03505	.0234799	-1.49	0.154	-.0845882	.0144882
L5_D_TOI_INT	-.0002741	.0275561	-0.01	0.992	-.0584125	.0578643

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.6096169	.3227291	-1.89	0.076	-1.290516	.071282

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT
i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -5100.507   Log-Lik Full Model:   -4992.781
D(1343):                  9985.562   LR(17):               215.452
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.128   Adjusted R2:         -0.018
AIC:                      6.632   AIC*n:              10451.562
BIC:                      97.529   BIC':               -90.287
BIC used by Stata:       10118.090   AIC used by Stata:   10021.562
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR TABLE 6, COLUMN 3 OF DO NATURAL RESOURCES FUEL AUTHORITARIANISM?

EACH OF THE COINTEGRATION TESTS, AND EACH OF THE ROBUSTNESS TESTS, IS DOCUMENTED HERE, AS IS THE ACTUAL REGRESSION ESTIMATED.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: nlcom
_b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]

NOTE BENE:

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania only observed since 1991, after the dissolution of the USSR, and not before the emergence of the USSR in 1917, when they were independent states. This is because the ECM co-integration tests cannot be performed on data series with gaps. Therefore, these 3 countries are only observed since 1991.

NOTA BENE:

THE BIC STATISTIC CHOSE THE MODEL WITH ZERO LAGS OF DIFFERENCED TOTAL OIL INCOME. Therefore, those are the type of ECM Models we run below: Total Oil Income is only differenced one time.

NOTA BENE:

We always start with the Westerlund Cointegration Tests and then estimate cross-section time-series regressions after that to see what direction the cointegration relationship may have.

NOTE BENE:

Sometimes we have to truncate the dataset in order to run the Westerlund Cointegration Tests. This is because often they can only be calculated with a minimum lag length. However, we always estimate regressions on both the truncated sample and the entire dataset for comparisons sake.

NOTA BENE:

The models in the paper, and the regressions run for robustness and in the appendix, are calculated using Driscoll Kraay Standard Errors (although we also check for robustness by estimating robust standard errors). This is the Stata command xtsce. For the Newey West adjustment we use 1 lag length.

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(7)
bootstrap(25)

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 18 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.457	-0.475	0.318	0.160
Ga	-12.893	-0.550	0.291	0.080
Pt	-11.625	-3.045	0.001	0.040
Pa	-15.131	-4.289	0.000	0.040

NOW WE TRY COINTEGRATION TESTS WITH 1 LAG

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(7)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 18 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.560	-1.010	0.156	0.160
Ga	-13.809	-1.122	0.131	0.080
Pt	-11.300	-2.673	0.004	0.040
Pa	-15.542	-4.573	0.000	0.080

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_600-698   (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_600 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006   (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1633
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F(227, 17)      =  1956.28
maximum lag: 1                                  Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1233
                                                Root MSE      =   6.3264
```

```
-----+-----
```

		Drisc/Kraay				
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.0702132	.015999	-4.39	0.000	-.1039681	-.0364582
L_tot_oil~p	.0503327	.0265589	1.90	0.075	-.0057017	.106367
D_tot_oil~p	-.0545698	.0402796	-1.35	0.193	-.1395523	.0304128

```
-----+-----
```

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.7168552	.3173388	-2.26	0.037	-1.386381	-.0473289

```
-----+-----
```

NOW WE ADD CONTROL VARIABLES

```
xwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp  
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(7)  
bootstrap(25)
```

```
Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....  
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....
```

```
Results for H0: no cointegration  
With 18 series and 5 covariates
```

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.825	0.872	0.808	0.400
Ga	-10.393	4.082	1.000	0.760
Pt	-9.341	2.488	0.994	0.400
Pa	-9.362	2.972	0.999	0.560

NOW WE TRY AGAIN WITH 1 LAG

```
xwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp  
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(7)  
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 18 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.813	0.929	0.823	0.360
Ga	-9.603	4.446	1.000	0.680
Pt	-8.623	3.209	0.999	0.240
Pa	-8.733	3.267	1.000	0.400

RUNNING THE MODEL WITHOUT ANY COUNTRY PANELS DELETED FROM THE DATABASE AND CONTROL VARIABLES

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_600-698 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_600 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   961
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    18
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(234, 17)      =  2622.01
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1860
                                                Root MSE       =   6.9419
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.1358667	.029411	-4.62	0.000	-.1979185	-.073815
L_tot_oil~p	.0376686	.0287458	1.31	0.207	-.0229797	.0983168
D_tot_oil~p	-.0805642	.0396145	-2.03	0.058	-.1641435	.003015
L_LogPerCa~p	1.545835	.4741313	3.26	0.005	.5455052	2.546164
L_CivilWar~p	.7201443	1.273801	0.57	0.579	-1.967341	3.407629
L_REGION_D~E	.0312919	.0806945	0.39	0.703	-.1389587	.2015424
L_WORLD_DE~E	.4058042	.1185979	3.42	0.003	.1555845	.656024
D_LogperCa~t	2.436412	3.540659	0.69	0.501	-5.033726	9.90655
D_Region_De~e	3.377255	.1314212	25.70	0.000	3.09998	3.654529
D_World_De~e	.2402669	.0691181	3.48	0.003	.0944404	.3860935
year	-.0088034	.001956	-4.50	0.000	-.0129303	-.0046766

nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]

_nl_1: _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.2772465	.2013138	-1.38	0.186	-.7019814	.1474885

THIS IS THE BIC STATISTIC HORSE RACE ON THE CORRECT DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL TO RUN. EACH MODEL IS RUN ON THE FULL DATASET (SAME NUMBER OF COUNTRIES IN THE PANEL)

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_290-712 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_290 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1391
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    31
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(240, 30)      =   38.39
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.3422
                                                Root MSE       =   7.2187
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1702327	.0282236	-6.03	0.000	-.2278729	-.1125924
L_tot_oil~p	.6213849	1.161222	0.54	0.597	-1.750146	2.992916
D_tot_oil~p	-.3242564	2.044165	-0.16	0.875	-4.498998	3.850485

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-3.65021	6.81804	-0.54	0.596	-17.5745	10.27409

```
-----+-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -4883.475   Log-Lik Full Model:      -4592.179
D(1150):                  9184.358   LR(26):                  582.591
                          Prob > LR:          0.000
R2:                       0.342   Adjusted R2:            0.206
AIC:                      6.949   AIC*n:                  9666.358
BIC:                      860.913   BIC':                   -394.409
BIC used by Stata:        9379.778   AIC used by Stata:      9238.358
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

1 LAG OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_290-712 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_290 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1362
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    31
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F(241, 30)      =  177.75
maximum lag: 1                                  Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.3505
                                                Root MSE       =   7.2430
```

```
-----+-----
          |                Drisc/Kraay
D_polity_s~p |          Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_polity_s~p |  -.1760045     .0290006    -6.07   0.000   - .2352315   - .1167775
L_tot_oil~p  |   .1376752     1.23686     0.11   0.912   -2.38833    2.66368
D_tot_oil~p  |  -.9792273     2.260256   -0.43   0.668   -5.595286    3.636832
L_D_TOI_INT |   3.230573     3.104854     1.04   0.306   -3.110385    9.571531
```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |          Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 |  -.7822253     7.029553   -0.11   0.912   -15.13849    13.57404
-----+-----
```

```
. quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -4791.835   Log-Lik Full Model:   -4497.999
D(1120):                  8995.999   LR(27):               587.672
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.350   Adjusted R2:         0.213
AIC:                      6.960   AIC*n:              9479.999
BIC:                      913.284   BIC':               -392.821
BIC used by Stata:       9190.850   AIC used by Stata:   9049.999
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```


2 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interpl L_polity_s_interpl L_tot_oil_inc_interpl D_tot_oil_inc_interpl
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode 290-712 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode 290 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1332
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    30
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(242, 29)      =   20.75
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.3590
                                                Root MSE       =   7.2823
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1872841	.0291909	-6.42	0.000	-.2469861	-.1275821
L_tot_oil~p	.5900837	1.201368	0.49	0.627	-1.866989	3.047157
D_tot_oil~p	-.6908513	2.328208	-0.30	0.769	-5.452571	4.070869
L_D_TOI_INT	3.338603	3.044431	1.10	0.282	-2.887958	9.565164
L2_D_TOI_INT	-3.307259	3.172999	-1.04	0.306	-9.79677	3.182252

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-3.150742	6.469402	-0.49	0.630	-16.38215	10.08067

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interpl L_polity_s_interpl L_tot_oil_inc_interpl
D_tot_oil_inc_interpl L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interpl

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -4699.736   Log-Lik Full Model:   -4403.544
D(1089):                  8807.089   LR(26):               592.383
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.359   Adjusted R2:         0.220
AIC:                      6.977   AIC*n:              9293.089
BIC:                      972.347   BIC':               -405.327
BIC used by Stata:       9001.339   AIC used by Stata:  8861.089
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

3 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_290-712 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_290 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1302
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    30
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F(243, 29)      =   13.79
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.3577
                                                Root MSE       =   7.3109
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Drisc/Kraay					
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.1894316	.0306023	-6.19	0.000	-.2520204	-.1268429
L_tot_oil~p	.6633111	1.223446	0.54	0.592	-1.838918	3.16554
D_tot_oil~p	-1.057682	2.434806	-0.43	0.667	-6.03742	3.922057
L_D_TOI_INT	3.127231	3.084079	1.01	0.319	-3.180418	9.43488
L2_D_TOI_INT	-3.499461	3.222502	-1.09	0.286	-10.09022	3.091296
L3_D_TOI_INT	.3628613	1.907471	0.19	0.850	-3.538356	4.264078

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-3.501586	6.523699	-0.54	0.596	-16.84405	9.840876

```
-----+-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year,
cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -4594.404   Log-Lik Full Model:   -4306.198
D(1058):                  8612.396   LR(25):               576.411
                          Prob > LR:         0.000
R2:                       0.358   Adjusted R2:         0.215
AIC:                      6.990   AIC*n:              9100.396
BIC:                      1024.783   BIC':               -397.119
BIC used by Stata:       8798.859   AIC used by Stata:  8664.396
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

4 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_290-712 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_290 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1272
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    30
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(244, 29)      =    6.82
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.3613
                                                Root MSE       =   7.3247
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1930213	.0319989	-6.03	0.000	-.2584664	-.1275762
L_tot_oil~p	.4136658	1.420361	0.29	0.773	-2.491298	3.318629
D_tot_oil~p	-.6181	2.299596	-0.27	0.790	-5.321303	4.085103
L_D_TOI_INT	3.895156	3.160981	1.23	0.228	-2.569775	10.36009
L2_D_TOI_INT	-2.379657	3.175945	-0.75	0.460	-8.875195	4.11588
L3_D_TOI_INT	1.460361	1.87777	0.78	0.443	-2.380111	5.300832
L4_D_TOI_INT	3.976553	4.26221	0.93	0.359	-4.740645	12.69375

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
_nl_1	-2.14311	7.39709	-0.29	0.774	-17.27186	12.98564

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode
i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -4491.126   Log-Lik Full Model:   -4206.007
D(1027):                  8412.014   LR(26):               570.238
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.361   Adjusted R2:         0.215
AIC:                      6.998   AIC*n:              8902.014
BIC:                      1070.663  BIC':               -384.381
BIC used by Stata:       8605.019   AIC used by Stata:   8466.014
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

5 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_290-712 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_290 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1242
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    30
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(245, 29)      =   10.69
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.3783
                                                Root MSE       =   7.1859
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.2018947	.0339798	-5.94	0.000	-.2713912	-.1323982
L_tot_oil~p	-.332634	1.592321	-0.21	0.836	-3.589295	2.924027
D_tot_oil~p	-1.757791	2.491168	-0.71	0.486	-6.852801	3.337218
L_D_TOI_INT	5.103863	3.474311	1.47	0.153	-2.001902	12.20963
L2_D_TOI_INT	-1.290319	3.437488	-0.38	0.710	-8.320772	5.740134
L3_D_TOI_INT	3.117282	2.177794	1.43	0.163	-1.336806	7.57137
L4_D_TOI_INT	4.932589	4.348403	1.13	0.266	-3.960893	13.82607
L5_D_TOI_INT	8.528236	4.918657	1.73	0.094	-1.531546	18.58802

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	1.647562	7.865946	0.21	0.836	-14.4401	17.73523

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT
i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -4374.770   Log-Lik Full Model:   -4079.582
D(996):                   8159.164   LR(25):               590.376
                           Prob > LR:         0.000
R2:                       0.378   Adjusted R2:         0.232
AIC:                      6.966   AIC*n:              8651.164
BIC:                      1063.184   BIC':               -412.264
BIC used by Stata:       8344.401   AIC used by Stata:  8211.164
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

**THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS
RUN FOR TABLE 6, COLUMN 4 OF DO NATURAL RESOURCES FUEL
AUTHORITARIANISM?**

EACH OF THE COINTEGRATION TESTS, AND EACH OF THE ROBUSTNESS TESTS, IS DOCUMENTED HERE, AS IS THE ACTUAL REGRESSION ESTIMATED.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]`

NOTE BENE:

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania only observed since 1991, after the dissolution of the USSR, and not before the emergence of the USSR in 1917, when they were independent states. This is because the ECM co-integration tests cannot be performed on data series with gaps. Therefore, these 3 countries are only observed since 1991.

NOTA BENE:

THE BIC STATISTIC CHOSE THE MODEL WITH ZERO LAGS OF DIFFERENCED TOTAL OIL INCOME. Therefore, those are the type of ECM Models we run below: Total Oil Income is only differenced one time.

NOTA BENE:

We always start with the Westerlund Cointegration Tests and then estimate cross-section time-series regressions after that to see what direction the cointegration relationship may have.

NOTE BENE:

Sometimes we have to truncate the dataset in order to run the Westerlund Cointegration Tests. This is because often they can only be calculated with a minimum lag length. However, we always estimate regressions on both the truncated sample and the entire dataset for comparisons sake.

NOTA BENE:

The models in the paper, and the regressions run for robustness and in the appendix, are calculated using Driscoll Kraay Standard Errors (although we also check for robustness by estimating robust standard errors). This is the Stata command `xtsce`. For the Newey West adjustment we use 1 lag length.

```

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(7)
bootstrap(25)
With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 9 observations are required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.

```

```

-----
hmccode |      Freq.
-----+-----
      346 |          3
-----

```

Bosnia and Herzegovenia is deleted

```

. xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(7)
bootstrap(25)

```

```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

```

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 30 series and 1 covariate

```

-----+-----
Statistic | Value | Z-value | P-value | Robust P-value |
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
      Gt  | -1.582 | 5.286 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
      Ga  | -5.251 | 5.446 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
      Pt  | -8.294 | 3.746 | 1.000 | 0.880 |
      Pa  | -6.885 | 1.830 | 0.966 | 0.840 |
-----+-----

```

NOW WE TRY COINTEGRATION TESTS WITH 1 LAG

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(7)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 30 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-1.836	3.577	1.000	0.960
Ga	-6.452	4.478	1.000	0.960
Pt	-9.700	2.138	0.984	0.840
Pa	-8.098	0.746	0.772	0.800

FULL DATASET, NO CONTROLS

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_290-712   (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_290 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006   (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1391
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    31
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F(240,   30)    =   38.39
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.3422
                                                Root MSE       =   7.2187
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1702327	.0282236	-6.03	0.000	-.2278729	-.1125924
L_tot_oil~p	.6213849	1.161222	0.54	0.597	-1.750146	2.992916
D_tot_oil~p	-.3242564	2.044165	-0.16	0.875	-4.498998	3.850485

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-3.65021	6.81804	-0.54	0.596	-17.5745	10.27409

```
-----+-----
```


Truncated dataset rerun the model without controls

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_290-712   (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_290 omitted)
i.year        _Iyear_1800-2006   (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1389
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    30
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(239, 29)      =   39.17
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.3422
                                                Root MSE      =   7.2218
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.170228	.0282248	-6.03	0.000	-.2279542	-.1125018
L_tot_oil~p	.6222172	1.160979	0.54	0.596	-1.752252	2.996687
D_tot_oil~p	-.3278444	2.043805	-0.16	0.874	-4.507895	3.852206

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-3.6552	6.816935	-0.54	0.596	-17.5974	10.287

```
-----+-----
```

NOW WE ADD CONTROL VARIABLES

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(7)
bootstrap(25)
```

With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 21 observations are required.

Following series do not contain sufficient observations.

hmccode	Freq.
316	14
317	14
343	16
344	15
347	15
349	15
359	16
366	16
367	16
368	16
369	15
370	15
371	16
372	15
373	15
701	15
702	15
703	15
704	15
705	15

Czech Republic, Slovakia, Macedonia, Croatia, Serbia RB, Slovenia, Moldova, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Ukraine, Belarus, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Turkmenistan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan, Kazakhstan, Georgia, Kyrgyzstan, Bosnia & Herzegovina are excluded because their panels do not have sufficient observations.

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
 Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
 With 9 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-3.301	-0.934	0.175	0.120
Ga	-18.066	0.387	0.651	0.000
Pt	-7.590	0.770	0.779	0.560
Pa	-13.674	0.668	0.748	0.240

NOW WE TRY AGAIN WITH 1 LAG

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp  
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(7)  
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 9 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-3.230	-0.703	0.241	0.080
Ga	-16.944	0.752	0.774	0.080
Pt	-5.974	2.393	0.992	0.880
Pa	-12.067	1.202	0.885	0.320

RUNNING THE MODEL WITHOUT ANY COUNTRY PANELS DELETED FROM THE DATABASE AND CONTROL VARIABLES

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_290-712 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_290 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =       938
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =        30
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(247, 29)      = 39509.64
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                R-squared       =    0.3793
                                                Root MSE       =    7.0680
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.1868838	.0373882	-5.00	0.000	-.2633513	-.1104164
L_tot_oil~p	.1523067	1.142456	0.13	0.895	-2.184278	2.488892
D_tot_oil~p	-1.637071	2.239872	-0.73	0.471	-6.218124	2.943983
L_LogPerCa~p	1.631178	2.177287	0.75	0.460	-2.821873	6.084229
L_CivilWar~p	-.0333248	1.283486	-0.03	0.979	-2.658348	2.591698
L_REGION_DE~E	1.564309	.0755234	20.71	0.000	1.409846	1.718772
L_WORLD_DE~E	-2.809116	.1314606	-21.37	0.000	-3.077983	-2.540249
D_LogperCa~t	7.94094	4.034064	1.97	0.059	-.3096472	16.19153
D_Region_De~e	1.56719	.0493776	31.74	0.000	1.466201	1.668178
D_World_De~e	-1.785025	.0765639	-23.31	0.000	-1.941615	-1.628434

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.8149807	6.096138	-0.13	0.895	-13.28298	11.65302

RERRUNNING THE TRUNCATED MODEL ON THE REDUCED DATASET NEEDED TO RUN WESTERLUND COINT TEST WITH CONTROLS

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_290-712 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_290 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   652
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   9
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(226, 8)       =  680.81
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.4389
                                                Root MSE      =   6.9942
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1941265	.0479737	-4.05	0.004	-.304754	-.0834989
L_tot_oil~p	1.794288	1.25605	1.43	0.191	-1.102169	4.690745
D_tot_oil~p	.8685986	2.779968	0.31	0.763	-5.542018	7.279216
L_LogPerCa~p	3.583769	2.843688	1.26	0.243	-2.973787	10.14132
L_CivilWar~p	-.484075	1.594374	-0.30	0.769	-4.160708	3.192558
L_REGION_D~E	1.60598	.0776062	20.69	0.000	1.42702	1.784941
L_WORLD_DE~E	-2.770086	.1641748	-16.87	0.000	-3.148673	-2.391498
D_LogperCa~t	11.09178	6.679293	1.66	0.135	-4.310692	26.49426
D_Region_D~e	1.551524	.0754706	20.56	0.000	1.377489	1.72556
D_World_De~e	-1.741211	.0872102	-19.97	0.000	-1.942318	-1.540104

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-9.242881	6.107411	-1.51	0.169	-23.3266	4.840833

THIS IS THE BIC STATISTIC HORSE RACE ON THE CORRECT DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL TO RUN. EACH MODEL IS RUN ON THE FULL DATASET (SAME NUMBER OF COUNTRIES IN THE PANEL)

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_775-860    (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_775 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006    (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs       =       653
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups    =        10
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(219, 9)           =       26.54
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F             =       0.0000
                                                R-squared           =       0.1573
                                                Root MSE           =       9.3755
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0812575	.0240712	-3.38	0.008	-.1357103	-.0268048
L_tot_oil~p	.6952395	3.486652	0.20	0.846	-7.192116	8.582595
D_tot_oil~p	.0530522	3.347712	0.02	0.988	-7.519998	7.626102

```
-----+-----
```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-8.556	42.92816	-0.20	0.846	-105.6663	88.55425

```
-----+-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -2311.298   Log-Lik Full Model:   -2255.412
D(433):                   4510.824   LR(9):                111.773
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.157   Adjusted R2:          -0.263
AIC:                      7.582   AIC*n:                4950.824
BIC:                      1704.301  BIC':                 -53.438
BIC used by Stata:        4569.158  AIC used by Stata:    4528.824
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

1 LAG OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_775-860 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_775 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =      645
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =      10
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(220, 9)       =     97.66
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =     0.0000
                                                R-squared       =     0.1639
                                                Root MSE       =     9.3921
```

```
-----+-----
          |                Drisc/Kraay
D_polity_s~p |          Coef.   Std. Err.    t    P>|t|    [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_polity_s~p |   -0.0859295    .0244904   -3.51  0.007   -0.1413307   -0.0305283
L_tot_oil_~p |   -5.206211    5.520312   -0.94  0.370   -17.69402    7.281603
D_tot_oil_~p |   -4.849386    6.477301   -0.75  0.473   -19.50206    9.803285
L_D_TOI_INT |   14.84752     6.807889    2.18  0.057   -0.5529948   30.24804
```

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |          Coef.   Std. Err.    t    P>|t|    [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 |   60.58698    63.90751    0.95  0.368   -83.98184   205.1558
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -2284.644   Log-Lik Full Model:   -2226.911
D(424):                   4453.823   LR(9):                115.466
                           Prob > LR:         0.000
R2:                       0.164   Adjusted R2:         -0.261
AIC:                      7.590   AIC*n:              4895.823
BIC:                      1710.861  BIC':               -57.243
BIC used by Stata:       4518.515  AIC used by Stata:  4473.823
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1).
```

2 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_775-860 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_775 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =       637
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =        10
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(221, 9)      =      89.44
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =      0.0000
                                                R-squared      =      0.1728
                                                Root MSE     =      9.3966
```

```
-----+-----
```

		Drisc/Kraay				
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.0907329	.0246489	-3.68	0.005	-.1464925	-.0349733
L_tot_oil~p	-6.894291	6.644806	-1.04	0.327	-21.92589	8.137305
D_tot_oil~p	2.21516	5.868198	0.38	0.715	-11.05963	15.48995
L_D_TOI_INT	14.31354	6.525919	2.19	0.056	-.4491118	29.07619
L2_D_TOI_INT	15.87276	7.484958	2.12	0.063	-1.059387	32.80491

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	75.98445	71.41147	1.06	0.315	-85.55951	237.5284

```
-----+-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
.
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -2257.981   Log-Lik Full Model:      -2197.545
D(415):                      4395.090   LR(10):                  120.873
                              Prob > LR:                0.000
R2:                           0.173   Adjusted R2:             -0.256
AIC:                          7.597   AIC*n:                   4839.090
BIC:                          1715.530  BIC':                     -56.305
BIC used by Stata:            4466.114  AIC used by Stata:       4417.090
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```


3 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_775-860 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_775 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   629
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   10
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(222, 9)      =   39.60
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.1811
                                                Root MSE     =   9.4297
```

	Drisc/Kraay					
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.0961184	.0254062	-3.78	0.004	-.1535911	-.0386457
L_tot_oil~p	-7.225335	7.207685	-1.00	0.342	-23.53025	9.079581
D_tot_oil~p	6.175881	6.50594	0.95	0.367	-8.541579	20.89334
L_D_TOI_INT	13.16116	6.584253	2.00	0.077	-1.733457	28.05578
L2_D_TOI_INT	11.92002	7.228974	1.65	0.134	-4.433053	28.2731
L3_D_TOI_INT	2.603461	4.866844	0.53	0.606	-8.406105	13.61303

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	75.1712	72.72086	1.03	0.328	-89.33481	239.6772

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year,
cluster(hmccode)
```

```
.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -2232.884   Log-Lik Full Model:   -2170.068
D(406):                   4340.136   LR(9):                125.632
                           Prob > LR:          0.000
R2:                       0.181   Adjusted R2:         -0.251
AIC:                      7.609   AIC*n:              4786.136
BIC:                      1723.819  BIC':               -67.635
BIC used by Stata:       4398.133  AIC used by Stata:  4358.136
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

4 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_775-860 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_775 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   621
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    9
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(223,      8)  =   53.13
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                    R-squared       =   0.1844
                                                    Root MSE       =   9.4540
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.0992621	.0241944	-4.10	0.003	-.1550545	-.0434698
L_tot_oil~p	-7.848441	7.582036	-1.04	0.331	-25.33265	9.635765
D_tot_oil~p	6.362857	6.543461	0.97	0.359	-8.726392	21.45211
L_D_TOI_INT	13.04372	6.47615	2.01	0.079	-1.890313	27.97775
L2_D_TOI_INT	13.94014	7.526921	1.85	0.101	-3.416974	31.29725
L3_D_TOI_INT	2.622079	5.01308	0.52	0.615	-8.938103	14.18226
L4_D_TOI_INT	9.03867	4.874902	1.85	0.101	-2.202874	20.28021

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	79.06782	74.92691	1.06	0.322	-93.71395	251.8496

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode
i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -2206.014   Log-Lik Full Model:      -2142.712
D(397):                      4285.425   LR(9):                  126.603
                               Prob > LR:                0.000
R2:                          0.184       Adjusted R2:            -0.252
AIC:                         7.622       AIC*n:                  4733.425
BIC:                         1732.186   BIC':                   -68.721
BIC used by Stata:          4343.307   AIC used by Stata:     4303.425
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

```
.
```

5 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_775-860 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_775 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   614
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    9
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(224, 8)      =   58.66
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared     =   0.1881
                                                Root MSE     =   9.4916
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.101087	.0249389	-4.05	0.004	-.1585963	-.0435777
L_tot_oil~p	-6.147372	7.831779	-0.78	0.455	-24.20749	11.91274
D_tot_oil~p	7.503505	7.486503	1.00	0.346	-9.760402	24.76741
L_D_TOI_INT	12.47777	6.629972	1.88	0.097	-2.810978	27.76651
L2_D_TOI_INT	13.5437	7.530335	1.80	0.110	-3.821288	30.90868
L3_D_TOI_INT	2.325152	5.49703	0.42	0.683	-10.35102	15.00133
L4_D_TOI_INT	8.754811	4.7997	1.82	0.106	-2.313318	19.82294
L5_D_TOI_INT	.1386427	6.097685	0.02	0.982	-13.92264	14.19993

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	60.81266	77.30349	0.79	0.454	-117.4495	239.0748

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT
i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -2183.078   Log-Lik Full Model:   -2119.109
D(389):                   4238.219   LR(9):                127.937
                           Prob > LR:                0.000
R2:                        0.188   Adjusted R2:         -0.254
AIC:                       7.636   AIC*n:              4688.219
BIC:                       1740.841   BIC':               -70.157
BIC used by Stata:         4302.419   AIC used by Stata:  4258.219
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

**THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS
RUN FOR TABLE 7, COLUMN 1 OF DO NATURAL RESOURCES FUEL
AUTHORITARIANISM?**

EACH OF THE COINTEGRATION TESTS, AND EACH OF THE ROBUSTNESS TESTS, IS DOCUMENTED HERE, AS IS THE ACTUAL REGRESSION ESTIMATED.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: nlcom
`_b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]`

NOTE BENE:

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania only observed since 1991, after the dissolution of the USSR, and not before the emergence of the USSR in 1917, when they were independent states. This is because the ECM co-integration tests cannot be performed on data series with gaps. Therefore, these 3 countries are only observed since 1991.

NOTA BENE:

THE BIC STATISTIC CHOSE THE MODEL WITH ZERO LAGS OF DIFFERENCED TOTAL OIL INCOME. Therefore, those are the type of ECM Models we run below: Total Oil Income is only differenced one time.

NOTA BENE:

We always start with the Westerlund Cointegration Tests and then estimate cross-section time-series regressions after that to see what direction the cointegration relationship may have.

NOTE BENE:

Sometimes we have to truncate the dataset in order to run the Westerlund Cointegration Tests. This is because often they can only be calculated with a minimum lag length. However, we always estimate regressions on both the truncated sample and the entire dataset for comparisons sake.

NOTA BENE:

The models in the paper, and the regressions run for robustness and in the appendix, are calculated using Driscoll Kraay Standard Errors (although we also check for robustness by estimating robust standard errors). This is the Stata command xtsce. For the Newey West adjustment we use 1 lag length.

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 9 observations are required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.
```

```
-----+-----
hmccode |      Freq.
-----+-----
      439 |          1
      510 |          1
-----+-----
```

```
.
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
```

```
Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(47 missing values
generated)
```

```
Results for H0: no cointegration
With 66 series and 1 covariate
```

```
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
Statistic | Value | Z-value | P-value | Robust P-value |
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
      Gt | -2.512 | -1.460 | 0.072 | 0.120 |
      Ga | -9.432 | 3.082 | 0.999 | 0.080 |
      Pt | -13.660 | 4.003 | 1.000 | 0.440 |
      Pa | -7.592 | 1.776 | 0.962 | 0.440 |
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
```

NOW WE TRY COINTEGRATION TESTS WITH 1 LAG

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(47 missing values generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 66 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.688	-3.220	0.001	0.040
Ga	-12.780	-0.917	0.180	0.000
Pt	-16.605	0.636	0.738	0.000
Pa	-10.686	-2.323	0.010	0.000

FULL DATASET, NO LAGS BUT TRUNCATED, 1 LAG AND TRUNCATED

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year        _Iyear_1960-2006    (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2709
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    66
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(116, 65)     =   920.19
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.0826
                                                Root MSE     =   6.4371
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0702925	.0140451	-5.00	0.000	-.0983426	-.0422425
L_tot_oil~p	-.0060442	.0146108	-0.41	0.680	-.035224	.0231356
D_tot_oil~p	-.026599	.020773	-1.28	0.205	-.0680855	.0148875

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.0859869	.2136073	0.40	0.689	-.3406163	.5125901

NOW WE ADD CONTROL VARIABLES

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0)leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 21 observations are
required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.
```

```
-----+-----
```

hmccode	Freq.
316	14
317	14
343	16
349	15
366	16
367	16
368	16

```
-----+-----
```

drop if hmccode == 316 | hmccode == 317 | hmccode == 343 | hmccode == 349 | hmccode == 366 | hmccode == 367 | hmccode == 368

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0)leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(47 missing values generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 59 series and 5 covariates

```
-----+-----
```

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-3.309	-2.459	0.007	0.000
Ga	-9.990	7.727	1.000	0.040
Pt	-14.646	6.780	1.000	0.280
Pa	-7.822	6.692	1.000	0.480

```
-----+-----
```


NOW WE TRY AGAIN WITH 1 LAG

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(1)leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
With 1 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 28 observations are
required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.
```

hmccode	Freq.
205	24

drop if hmccode == 316 | hmccode == 317 | hmccode == 343 | hmccode == 349 | hmccode == 366 | hmccode == 367 | hmccode == 368

So we drop 205 along with those above, which were already deleted from dataset to run with controls and no lags

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(1)leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(47 missing values generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 58 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.797	1.801	0.964	0.080
Ga	-8.022	9.289	1.000	0.840
Pt	-11.914	9.340	1.000	0.280
Pa	-6.113	8.077	1.000	0.520

RUNNING THE MODEL WITHOUT ANY COUNTRY PANELS DELETED FROM THE DATABASE AND CONTROL VARIABLES

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2689
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    66
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(123, 65)      =  239.48
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1289
                                                Root MSE       =   6.2072
```

```
-----+-----
```

		Drisc/Kraay				
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.0777002	.0156746	-4.96	0.000	-.1090045	-.046396
L_tot_oil~p	.00511	.0222533	0.23	0.819	-.0393329	.0495528
D_tot_oil~p	-.0328715	.0236033	-1.39	0.168	-.0800106	.0142675
L_LogPerCa~p	.0637506	.3509791	0.18	0.856	-.637203	.7647042
L_CivilWar~p	.2503798	.9021477	0.28	0.782	-1.551334	2.052093
L_REGION_DE~E	.0379353	.0168166	2.26	0.027	.0043502	.0715204
L_WORLD_DE~E	.009458	.0139278	0.68	0.500	-.0183577	.0372738
D_LogperCa~t	-.0109962	2.59602	-0.00	0.997	-5.195605	5.173613
D_Region_De	.4061662	.1642174	2.47	0.016	.0782014	.734131
D_World_De~e	.1097154	.0566659	1.94	0.057	-.0034542	.222885

```
-----+-----
```

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.0657654	.28523	-0.23	0.818	-.6354091	.5038782

```
-----+-----
```

RUNNING THE MODEL ON THE TRUNCATED DATASET

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2589
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    59
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(116,   58)   =   96.54
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.1309
                                                Root MSE     =   6.3029
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0775751	.0157478	-4.93	0.000	-.1090977	-.0460525
L_tot_oil~p	.0045012	.0225938	0.20	0.843	-.0407253	.0497277
D_tot_oil~p	-.034233	.0243362	-1.41	0.165	-.0829471	.0144811
L_LogPerCa~p	.0841305	.3552123	0.24	0.814	-.6269043	.7951652
L_CivilWar~p	.2181084	.9081316	0.24	0.811	-1.599715	2.035931
L_REGION_DE~E	.0375703	.0175179	2.14	0.036	.0025044	.0726362
L_WORLD_DE~E	.0988271	.0320952	3.08	0.003	.0345815	.1630726
D_LogperCa~t	-.1574484	2.658987	-0.06	0.953	-5.479989	5.165092
D_Region_De~e	.4190364	.1660853	2.52	0.014	.0865805	.7514923
D_World_De~e	.5480507	.0543433	10.08	0.000	.4392708	.6568305

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
_nl_1	-.0580239	.2902855	-0.20	0.842	-.6390936	.5230457

RUNNING THE MODEL ON THE TRUNCATED DATASET (THE 1 LAG OF WESTERLUND TEST VERSION WITH CONTROLS)

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2565
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    58
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(115, 57)      =   157.27
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                R-squared       =    0.1313
                                                Root MSE       =    6.3310
```

	Drisc/Kraay				
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
L_polity_s~p	-.077737	.0157458	-4.94	0.000	-.1092675 -.0462066
L_tot_oil~p	.0033803	.0227212	0.15	0.882	-.0421181 .0488787
D_tot_oil~p	-.0347733	.0244599	-1.42	0.161	-.0837533 .0142068
L_LogPerCa~p	.0910787	.3552122	0.26	0.799	-.6202215 .8023789
L_CivilWar~p	.2232213	.908229	0.25	0.807	-1.595476 2.041918
L_REGION_D~E	.0382637	.0176124	2.17	0.034	.0029954 .073532
L_WORLD_DE~E	.1000087	.0325697	3.07	0.003	.0347889 .1652285
D_LogperCa~t	-.1507355	2.659864	-0.06	0.955	-5.477021 5.17555
D_Region_D~e	.4202088	.1663161	2.53	0.014	.0871665 .7532511
D_World_De~e	.5523414	.0531564	10.39	0.000	.4458976 .6587852

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.0434838	.2915524	-0.15	0.882	-.6273074 .5403398

THIS IS THE BIC STATISTIC HORSE RACE ON THE CORRECT DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL TO RUN. EACH MODEL IS RUN ON THE FULL DATASET (SAME NUMBER OF COUNTRIES IN THE PANEL)

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2837
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    67
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(116, 66)      =  4843.93
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F         =   0.0000
                                                R-squared        =   0.1161
                                                Root MSE        =  10.1523
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1443271	.0143872	-10.03	0.000	-.173052	-.1156022
L_tot_oil~p	.12017	.0245675	4.89	0.000	.0711195	.1692206
D_tot_oil~p	.0209109	.0476189	0.44	0.662	-.0741633	.1159852

```
-----+-----
```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.8326228	.1620925	-5.14	0.000	-1.156251	-.5089947

```
-----+-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -10716.709   Log-Lik Full Model:   -10541.612
D(2720):                  21083.224   LR(48):               350.194
                                                Prob > LR:            0.000
R2:                       0.116   Adjusted R2:          0.079
AIC:                      7.514   AIC*n:                21317.224
BIC:                      -542.143  BIC':                 31.430
BIC used by Stata:        21472.798  AIC used by Stata:    21181.224
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

1 LAG OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-850    (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006   (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2818
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    67
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(117, 66)     =  1147.12
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.1182
                                                Root MSE     =  10.1723
```

```
-----+-----
```

		Drisc/Kraay				
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.1465844	.0148542	-9.87	0.000	-.1762417	-.116927
L_tot_oil~p	.1234762	.0281015	4.39	0.000	.0673697	.1795827
D_tot_oil~p	.0230136	.0491696	0.47	0.641	-.0751568	.1211839
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0131797	.0300636	-0.44	0.663	-.0732036	.0468442

```
-----+-----
```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.8423557	.1849484	-4.55	0.000	-1.211617	-.4730943

```
-----+-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode) required
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -10652.822   Log-Lik Full Model:      -10475.647
D(2700):                  20951.295   LR(49):                 354.349
                                                Prob > LR:                0.000
R2:                       0.118   Adjusted R2:            0.080
AIC:                      7.519   AIC*n:                  21187.295
BIC:                      -496.919 BIC':                   34.897
BIC used by Stata:        21348.484 AIC used by Stata:      21051.295
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

2 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2799
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    67
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(118,   66)   =   799.39
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.1180
                                                Root MSE      =  10.1684
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1465012	.0154037	-9.51	0.000	-.1772557	-.1157467
L_tot_oil~p	.1227744	.0275829	4.45	0.000	.0677033	.1778455
D_tot_oil~p	.0139989	.0434846	0.32	0.749	-.0728209	.1008186
L_D_TOI_INT	-.014051	.0311635	-0.45	0.654	-.076271	.0481689
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.0055883	.0371881	-0.15	0.881	-.0798368	.0686602

outreg using TODAY, nolabel 3aster bracket bdec(3) append

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.8380437	.1795409	-4.67	0.000	-1.196509	-.4795787

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -10578.720   Log-Lik Full Model:   -10403.000
D(2680):                  20806.001   LR(50):               351.439
                           Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.118   Adjusted R2:         0.080
AIC:                      7.518   AIC*n:              21044.001
BIC:                      -465.206   BIC':               45.412
BIC used by Stata:       21210.789   AIC used by Stata:  20908.001
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

3 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2779
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    67
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(119, 66)      =   466.97
maximum lag: 1                                  Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                R-squared       =    0.1200
                                                Root MSE       =   10.1782
```

	Drisc/Kraay					
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.1486686	.0157021	-9.47	0.000	-.1800189	-.1173183
L_tot_oil~p	.1204805	.0285489	4.22	0.000	.0634808	.1774802
D_tot_oil~p	.0160175	.0442498	0.36	0.719	-.0723302	.1043651
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0153009	.0334458	-0.46	0.649	-.0820776	.0514758
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.0044566	.0400469	-0.11	0.912	-.0844128	.0754995
L3_D_TOI_INT	.0192905	.0345012	0.56	0.578	-.0495934	.0881744

outreg using TODAY, nolabel 3aster bracket bdec(3) append

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.8103964	.1807336	-4.48	0.000	-1.171243	-.4495501

```
. quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year,
cluster(hmccode)
```

fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -10508.033   Log-Lik Full Model:   -10330.385
D(2659):                  20660.770   LR(51):               355.296
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.120               Adjusted R2:          0.081
AIC:                      7.521                AIC*n:               20900.770
BIC:                      -424.691             BIC':                49.127
BIC used by Stata:       21073.122             AIC used by Stata:   20764.770
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

4 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interpl_polity_s_interpl_tot_oil_inc_interpl_tot_oil_inc_interpl
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2757
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    67
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(120, 66)     =   483.84
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =    0.0000
                                                R-squared      =    0.1189
                                                Root MSE      =   10.0862
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.146008	.0149544	-9.76	0.000	-.1758654	-.1161506
L_tot_oil~p	.1252352	.0299439	4.18	0.000	.0654503	.1850201
D_tot_oil~p	.0113083	.0489287	0.23	0.818	-.0863811	.1089976
L_D_TOI_INT	-.020088	.0349783	-0.57	0.568	-.0899244	.0497484
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.010607	.0421148	-0.25	0.802	-.094692	.0734779
L3_D_TOI_INT	.0162511	.032662	0.50	0.620	-.0489606	.0814629
L4_D_TOI_INT	-.0335579	.0216415	-1.55	0.126	-.0767665	.0096508

outreg using TODAY, nolabel 3aster bracket bdec(3) append

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.8577284	.1935563	-4.43	0.000	-1.244176	-.4712808

```
. quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interpl_polity_s_interpl_tot_oil_inc_interpl_tot_oil_inc_interpl
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode
i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interpl

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -10397.044   Log-Lik Full Model:   -10222.548
D(2636):                  20445.097   LR(52):               348.991
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.119   Adjusted R2:         0.079
AIC:                      7.503   AIC*n:              20687.097
BIC:                      -437.027  BIC':               62.947
BIC used by Stata:       20864.958  AIC used by Stata:  20551.097
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

5 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interpl_polity_s_interpl_tot_oil_inc_interpl_tot_oil_inc_interpl
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors      Number of obs      =      2735
Method: Pooled OLS                                Number of groups   =       67
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(121, 66)        =    1161.83
maximum lag: 1                                    Prob > F           =     0.0000
                                                    R-squared          =     0.1214
                                                    Root MSE          =    10.1109
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1493432	.0152882	-9.77	0.000	-.1798672	-.1188193
L_tot_oil~p	.1242191	.0290241	4.28	0.000	.0662706	.1821676
D_tot_oil~p	.0048785	.0574032	0.08	0.933	-.1097307	.1194878
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0168951	.03503	-0.48	0.631	-.0868348	.0530446
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.0093904	.0399701	-0.23	0.815	-.0891933	.0704125
L3_D_TOI_INT	.0182329	.0293225	0.62	0.536	-.0403114	.0767771
L4_D_TOI_INT	-.0332671	.0226473	-1.47	0.147	-.0784838	.0119496
L5_D_TOI_INT	.0155069	.041625	0.37	0.711	-.0676	.0986139

outreg using TODAY, nolabel 3aster bracket bdec(3) append

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.831769	.1951659	-4.26	0.000	-1.22143	-.4421076

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interpl_polity_s_interpl_tot_oil_inc_interpl_tot_oil_inc_interpl
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT
i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

. fitstat, saving(mod1)

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interpl

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -10323.676      Log-Lik Full Model:      -10146.640
D(2613):                     20293.280      LR(53):                 354.072
                              Prob > LR:                 0.000
R2:                           0.121      Adjusted R2:            0.081
AIC:                           7.509      AIC*n:                  20537.280
BIC:                          -385.706      BIC':                   65.364
BIC used by Stata:            20720.629      AIC used by Stata:      20401.280
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR TABLE 7, COLUMN 2 OF DO NATURAL RESOURCES FUEL AUTHORITARIANISM?

EACH OF THE COINTEGRATION TESTS, AND EACH OF THE ROBUSTNESS TESTS, IS DOCUMENTED HERE, AS IS THE ACTUAL REGRESSION ESTIMATED.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]`

NOTE BENE:

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania only observed since 1991, after the dissolution of the USSR, and not before the emergence of the USSR in 1917, when they were independent states. This is because the ECM co-integration tests cannot be performed on data series with gaps. Therefore, these 3 countries are only observed since 1991.

NOTA BENE:

THE BIC STATISTIC CHOSE THE MODEL WITH ZERO LAGS OF DIFFERENCED TOTAL OIL INCOME. Therefore, those are the type of ECM Models we run below: Total Oil Income is only differenced one time.

NOTA BENE:

We always start with the Westerlund Cointegration Tests and then estimate cross-section time-series regressions after that to see what direction the cointegration relationship may have.

NOTE BENE:

Sometimes we have to truncate the dataset in order to run the Westerlund Cointegration Tests. This is because often they can only be calculated with a minimum lag length. However, we always estimate regressions on both the truncated sample and the entire dataset for comparisons sake.

NOTA BENE:

The models in the paper, and the regressions run for robustness and in the appendix, are calculated using Driscoll Kraay Standard Errors (although we also check for robustness by estimating robust standard errors). This is the Stata command `xtsce`. For the Newey West adjustment we use 1 lag length.

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
```

Continuous time-series are required

Following series contain holes:

hmccode	Freq.
451	1
551	1
800	1

.

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 67 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.206	1.618	0.947	0.600
Ga	-5.0e+12	-6.0e+12	0.000	0.000
Pt	-1.4e+11	-1.6e+11	0.000	0.000
Pa	-6.6e+10	-8.9e+10	0.000	0.000

NOW WE TRY COINTEGRATION TESTS WITH 1 LAG

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 67 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.739	-3.755	0.000	0.040
Ga	-10.140	2.253	0.988	0.120
Pt	-17.585	-0.336	0.368	0.160
Pa	-8.496	0.582	0.720	0.200

FULL DATASET, NO LAGS BUT TRUNCATED, 1 LAG AND TRUNCATED

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2837
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    67
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(116, 66)     =  4843.93
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.1161
                                                Root MSE      =  10.1523
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Drisc/Kraay					
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.1443271	.0143872	-10.03	0.000	-.173052	-.1156022
L_tot_oil~p	.12017	.0245675	4.89	0.000	.0711195	.1692206
D_tot_oil~p	.0209109	.0476189	0.44	0.662	-.0741633	.1159852

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.8326228	.1620925	-5.14	0.000	-1.156251	-.5089947

```
-----+-----
```

NOW WE ADD CONTROL VARIABLES

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0)leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
```

With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 21 observations are required.

Following series do not contain sufficient observations.

```
-----
hmccode |      Freq.
-----+-----
    344 |         15
    347 |         15
    359 |         16
    531 |         14
    565 |         17
    698 |         14
-----
```

```
drop if hmccode == 344 | hmccode == 347 | hmccode == 359 | hmccode == 531 | hmccode == 565 | hmccode == 698
```

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0)leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 61 series and 5 covariates

```
-----+-----
Statistic | Value | Z-value | P-value | Robust P-value |
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
    Gt | -3.043 | -0.237 | 0.406 | 0.000 |
    Ga | -1.0e+12 | -8.6e+11 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
    Pt | -6.4e+10 | -6.4e+10 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
    Pa | -3.1e+10 | -2.7e+10 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
-----+-----
```

.

NOW WE TRY AGAIN WITH 1 LAG

So, these must be deleted in addition to:

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(1)leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
```

With 1 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 28 observations are required.

Following series do not contain sufficient observations.

hmccode	Freq.
205	23

drop if hmccode == 316 | hmccode == 317 | hmccode == 343 | hmccode == 349 | hmccode == 366 | hmccode == 367 | hmccode == 368

So we drop 205 along with those above, which were already deleted from dataset to run with controls and no lags

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(1)leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 60 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-3.185	-1.431	0.076	0.000
Ga	-7.987	9.476	1.000	0.440
Pt	-16.924	4.674	1.000	0.000
Pa	-6.510	7.874	1.000	0.520

RUNNING THE MODEL WITHOUT ANY COUNTRY PANELS DELETED FROM THE DATABASE AND CONTROL VARIABLES


```

xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interpl L_polity_s_interpl L_tot_oil_inc_interpl D_tot_oil_inc_interpl
L_LogPerCapGDP_interpl L_CivilWar_interpl L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)

```

```

Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors      Number of obs      =      2825
Method: Pooled OLS                                Number of groups   =        67
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(123, 66)        =     1378.20
maximum lag: 1                                    Prob > F           =      0.0000
                                                    R-squared          =      0.1456
                                                    Root MSE          =     10.0130

```

```

-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |          Coef.      Drisc/Kraay
              |          Std. Err.      t      P>|t|      [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_polity_s~p |  -.1537302      .0157299      -9.77  0.000      -.185136      -.1223243
L_tot_oil~p  |   .1841181      .0378863       4.86  0.000       .1084756      .2597605
D_tot_oil~p  |   .0583413      .0524067       1.11  0.270      -.046292      .1629746
L_LogPerCa~p |  -2.141388      .5783226      -3.70  0.000     -3.296046     -.9867296
L_CivilWar~p |  -1.1817758      .6952747     -0.26  0.795     -1.569937      1.206385
L_REGION_D~E |   .0403536      .0151153       2.67  0.010       .0101749      .0705323
L_WORLD_DE~E |   .2657981      .0382143       6.96  0.000       .1895009      .3420953
D_LogperCa~t |   .0630339      4.551111       0.01  0.989     -9.023547      9.149625
D_Region_De~e |   .497644      .0637224       7.81  0.000       .3704181      .6248698
D_World_De~e |   .6601879      .0824052       8.01  0.000       .4956607      .8247151

```

```

. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]

```

```

-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |          Coef.      Std. Err.      t      P>|t|      [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 |  -1.19767      .2421091      -4.95  0.000     -1.681057     -.7142837

```

RUNNING THE MODEL ON THE TRUNCATED DATASET

```

xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)

```

```

Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2739
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    61
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(117,   60)    =   750.62
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                R-squared       =    0.1446
                                                Root MSE       =   10.0622

```

```

-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |           Coef.      Drisc/Kraay
              |           Std. Err.      t    P>|t|    [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_polity_s~p |  -.1547127    .0158737    -9.75  0.000    - .1864649    - .1229605
L_tot_oil~p  |   .183718    .0381848     4.81  0.000     .107337     .2600989
D_tot_oil~p  |   .0654056    .0530957     1.23  0.223    - .0408016     .1716127
L_LogPerCa~p | -2.156047    .5869126    -3.67  0.001    -3.330047    - .9820473
L_CivilWar~p | -.1363225    .6831439    -0.20  0.843    -1.502814     1.230169
L_REGION_D~E |   .0400894    .015258     2.63  0.011     .0095689     .0706099
L_WORLD_DE~E |   .2269835    .0259091     8.76  0.000     .1751576     .2788094
D_LogperCa~t | -1.081189    4.670681    -0.23  0.818    -10.42394     8.261564
D_Region_D~e |   .4920128    .0650787     7.56  0.000     .361836     .6221896
D_World_De~e |   .1642696    .0899809     1.83  0.073    - .015719     .3442582

```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```

-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |           Coef.      Std. Err.      t    P>|t|    [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 |  -1.187478    .2429771    -4.89  0.000    -1.673505    - .7014518

```

RUNNING THE MODEL ON THE TRUNCATED DATASET (THE 1 LAG OF WESTERLUND TEST VERSION WITH CONTROLS)

```

xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interpl L_polity_s_interpl L_tot_oil_inc_interpl D_tot_oil_inc_interpl
L_LogPerCapGDP_interpl L_CivilWar_interpl L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)

```

```

Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors      Number of obs      =      2716
Method: Pooled OLS                                Number of groups   =        60
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(116, 59)        =     1265.74
maximum lag: 1                                    Prob > F           =        0.0000
                                                    R-squared          =        0.1448
                                                    Root MSE          =     10.1038

```

```

-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |          Coef.      Drisc/Kraay
              |          Std. Err.      t      P>|t|      [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_polity_s~p |  -.1547754      .0158867      -9.74      0.000      -.1865646      -.1229862
L_tot_oil~p  |   .1838591      .0383394       4.80      0.000       .1071421       .260576
D_tot_oil~p  |   .0653273      .0532077       1.23      0.224      -.0411411       .1717957
L_LogPerCa~p |  -2.151402      .5930552      -3.63      0.001      -3.338103      -.9647014
L_CivilWar~p |  -.1358456      .6841459      -0.20      0.843      -1.504818       1.233127
L_REGION_D~E |   .0399981      .0152516       2.62      0.011       .0094797       .0705165
L_WORLD_DE~E |   .2258017      .0258826       8.72      0.000       .1740106       .2775927
D_LogperCa~t |  -1.049194      4.677806      -0.22      0.823      -10.40946       8.311075
D_Region_De~e |   .4920321      .0650255       7.57      0.000       .3619164       .6221477
D_World_De~e |   .1616171      .0899694       1.80      0.078      -.0184113       .3416455

```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpl]/_b[L_polity_s_interpl]
```

```

-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |          Coef.      Std. Err.      t      P>|t|      [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 |  -1.187909      .2441978      -4.86      0.000      -1.676547      -.6992701

```

THIS IS THE BIC STATISTIC HORSE RACE ON THE CORRECT DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL TO RUN. EACH MODEL IS RUN ON THE FULL DATASET (SAME NUMBER OF COUNTRIES IN THE PANEL)

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_90-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_90 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1252
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    30
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F( 79, 29)      =   316.02
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                R-squared       =    0.1287
                                                Root MSE       =   10.9786
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1408564	.0198474	-7.10	0.000	-.1814489	-.1002639
L_tot_oil~p	7.772417	8.683978	0.90	0.378	-9.988312	25.53315
D_tot_oil~p	8.514462	16.93464	0.50	0.619	-26.12076	43.14969

```
outreg using TODAY, nolabel 3aster bracket bdec(3) replace
```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-55.17972	62.5316	-0.88	0.385	-183.0712	72.71176

```
-----+-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -4821.677   Log-Lik Full Model:   -4735.441
D(1172):                  9470.881   LR(28):              172.473
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.129   Adjusted R2:         0.071
AIC:                      7.692   AIC*n:              9630.881
BIC:                      1111.594  BIC':               27.237
BIC used by Stata:        9677.724  AIC used by Stata:   9528.881
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

1 LAG OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_90-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_90 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1242
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    30
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F( 80, 29)      =   398.02
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                    R-squared       =    0.1310
                                                    Root MSE       =   11.0034
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1424037	.0202058	-7.05	0.000	-.1837293	-.1010782
L_tot_oil~p	8.522907	9.402439	0.91	0.372	-10.70724	27.75305
D_tot_oil~p	8.62482	16.55871	0.52	0.606	-25.24154	42.49118
L_D_TOI_INT	-4.141658	10.24161	-0.40	0.689	-25.0881	16.80478

outreg using TODAY, nolabel 3aster bracket bdec(3) append

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
       _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-59.8503	66.95085	-0.89	0.379	-196.7802	77.07956

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -4786.763   Log-Lik Full Model:   -4699.540
D(1161):                  9399.079   LR(28):              174.447
                           Prob > LR:          0.000
R2:                       0.131   Adjusted R2:         0.072
AIC:                      7.698   AIC*n:              9561.079
BIC:                      1127.560  BIC':               25.039
BIC used by Stata:        9605.689  AIC used by Stata:  9457.079
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

2 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_90-850    (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_90 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006    (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1232
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    30
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F( 81, 29)      =   417.20
maximum lag: 1                                    Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                    R-squared       =    0.1331
                                                    Root MSE       =   11.0393
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1436899	.0204519	-7.03	0.000	-.1855188	-.1018611
L_tot_oil~p	7.011639	10.19101	0.69	0.497	-13.83131	27.85459
D_tot_oil~p	8.865312	16.71518	0.53	0.600	-25.32108	43.0517
L_D_TOI_INT	-3.227559	11.92871	-0.27	0.789	-27.62451	21.16939
L2_D_TOI_INT	8.083076	9.608148	0.84	0.407	-11.56779	27.73395

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-48.79701	71.75822	-0.68	0.502	-195.559	97.96503

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -4752.855   Log-Lik Full Model:   -4664.842
D(1150):                  9329.684   LR(28):              176.026
                           Prob > LR:              0.000
R2:                       0.133   Adjusted R2:         0.073
AIC:                      7.706   AIC*n:               9493.684
BIC:                      1145.831  BIC':                23.233
BIC used by Stata:        9536.059  AIC used by Stata:   9387.684
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

3 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_90-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_90 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1222
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    30
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F( 82, 29)      =   495.28
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                R-squared       =    0.1384
                                                Root MSE       =   11.0427
```

	Drisc/Kraay					
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.1447375	.0198937	-7.28	0.000	-.1854247	-.1040503
L_tot_oil~p	3.753811	11.23728	0.33	0.741	-19.22901	26.73663
D_tot_oil~p	7.248847	17.06183	0.42	0.674	-27.6465	42.1442
L_D_TOI_INT	1.225793	11.67504	0.10	0.917	-22.65234	25.10393
L2_D_TOI_INT	10.56543	9.454162	1.12	0.273	-8.770506	29.90136
L3_D_TOI_INT	22.51643	18.70448	1.20	0.238	-15.73852	60.77139

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-25.9353	78.0916	-0.33	0.742	-185.6506	133.78

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year,
cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -4717.457   Log-Lik Full Model:   -4626.462
D(1139):                  9252.924   LR(28):              181.990
                          Prob > LR:              0.000
R2:                       0.138   Adjusted R2:         0.077
AIC:                      7.708   AIC*n:              9418.924
BIC:                      1156.634  BIC':               17.041
BIC used by Stata:       9459.063  AIC used by Stata:  9310.924
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

4 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_90-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_90 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1210
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    30
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F( 83, 29)      =   683.26
maximum lag: 1                                  Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1462
                                                Root MSE       =  11.0429
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1488111	.0199102	-7.47	0.000	-.189532	-.1080901
L_tot_oil~p	1.211432	11.24727	0.11	0.915	-21.79181	24.21468
D_tot_oil~p	12.2445	19.50249	0.63	0.535	-27.64257	52.13158
L_D_TOI_INT	2.529044	11.20721	0.23	0.823	-20.39227	25.45036
L2_D_TOI_INT	16.07477	9.427151	1.71	0.099	-3.205921	35.35546
L3_D_TOI_INT	25.23851	17.00124	1.48	0.148	-9.532931	60.00996
L4_D_TOI_INT	27.45681	13.34659	2.06	0.049	.159965	54.75365

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
_nl_1	-8.14074	75.70912	-0.11	0.915	-162.9833	146.7018

```
. quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode
i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -4675.730   Log-Lik Full Model:   -4580.084
D(1126):                  9160.168   LR(28):               191.292
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.146   Adjusted R2:         0.084
AIC:                      7.709   AIC*n:              9328.168
BIC:                      1167.397  BIC':                7.462
BIC used by Stata:       9366.021  AIC used by Stata:   9218.168
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```


5 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_90-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_90 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1198
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    30
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F( 84, 29)      =   741.93
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1522
                                                Root MSE       =  11.0578
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1513909	.0210337	-7.20	0.000	-.1944097	-.108372
L_tot_oil~p	6.281615	10.02155	0.63	0.536	-14.21476	26.77799
D_tot_oil~p	20.49332	21.76164	0.94	0.354	-24.01422	65.00087
L_D_TOI_INT	-5.338478	8.81198	-0.61	0.549	-23.361	12.68405
L2_D_TOI_INT	14.32706	7.584023	1.89	0.069	-1.184013	29.83813
L3_D_TOI_INT	19.56803	11.16753	1.75	0.090	-3.272138	42.4082
L4_D_TOI_INT	25.86832	10.37266	2.49	0.019	4.653848	47.0828
L5_D_TOI_INT	-22.17997	17.16721	-1.29	0.207	-57.29085	12.93091

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-41.49269	66.46823	-0.62	0.537	-177.4355	94.45011

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT
i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -4634.202   Log-Lik Full Model:   -4535.304
D(1113):                  9070.608   LR(28):              197.797
                           Prob > LR:          0.000
R2:                       0.152   Adjusted R2:         0.089
AIC:                      7.713   AIC*n:               9240.608
BIC:                      1181.209 BIC':                 0.679
BIC used by Stata:        9276.172 AIC used by Stata:   9128.608
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS SUPPORTING WORK FOR THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR TABLE 7 OF DO NATURAL RESOURCES FUEL AUTHORITARIANISM? THESE ANALYSES ARE NOT REPORTED IN THAT TABLE, HOWEVER. THESE ANALYSES ARE ESTIMATED FOR VERY UNEQUAL COUNTRIES.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: nlcom
_b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]

NOTE BENE:

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania only observed since 1991, after the dissolution of the USSR, and not before the emergence of the USSR in 1917, when they were independent states. This is because the ECM co-integration tests cannot be performed on data series with gaps. Therefore, these 3 countries are only observed since 1991.

NOTA BENE:

THE BIC STATISTIC CHOSE THE MODEL WITH ZERO LAGS OF DIFFERENCED TOTAL OIL INCOME. Therefore, those are the type of ECM Models we run below: Total Oil Income is only differenced one time.

NOTA BENE:

We always start with the Westerlund Cointegration Tests and then estimate cross-section time-series regressions after that to see what direction the cointegration relationship may have.

NOTE BENE:

Sometimes we have to truncate the dataset in order to run the Westerlund Cointegration Tests. This is because often they can only be calculated with a minimum lag length. However, we always estimate regressions on both the truncated sample and the entire dataset for comparisons sake.

NOTA BENE:

The models in the paper, and the regressions run for robustness and in the appendix, are calculated using Driscoll Kraay Standard Errors (although we also check for robustness by estimating robust standard errors). This is the Stata command xtsce. For the Newey West adjustment we use 1 lag length.

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)

Following series contain holes:

hmccode	Freq.
451	1
800	1

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(7)
bootstrap(25)

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 30 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.184	1.231	0.891	0.640
Ga	-9.191	2.272	0.989	0.120
Pt	-11.890	-0.366	0.357	0.360
Pa	-8.268	0.593	0.724	0.360

NOW WE TRY COINTEGRATION TESTS WITH 1 LAG

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(7)
bootstrap(25)

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 30 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-3.180	-5.481	0.000	0.000
Ga	-11.009	0.808	0.790	0.000
Pt	-13.003	-1.638	0.051	0.040
Pa	-8.851	0.072	0.529	0.120

FULL DATASET, NO LAGS BUT TRUNCATED, 1 LAG AND TRUNCATED

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_90-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_90 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1252
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    30
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F( 79, 29)     =   316.02
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =    0.0000
                                                R-squared      =    0.1287
                                                Root MSE      =   10.9786
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Drisc/Kraay					
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.1408564	.0198474	-7.10	0.000	-.1814489	-.1002639
L_tot_oil~p	7.772417	8.683978	0.90	0.378	-9.988312	25.53315
D_tot_oil~p	8.514462	16.93464	0.50	0.619	-26.12076	43.14969

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-55.17972	62.5316	-0.88	0.385	-183.0712	72.71176

```
-----+-----
```

NOW WE ADD CONTROL VARIABLES

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0)leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 21 observations are
required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.
```

hmccode	Freq.
344	15
347	15
359	16
531	14

drop if hmccode == 344 | hmccode == 347 | hmccode == 359 | hmccode == 531 | hmccode == 565 | hmccode == 698

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0)leads(1) lrwindow(7)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
 Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
 With 26 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.929	0.476	0.683	0.320
Ga	-11.275	4.418	1.000	0.000
Pt	-12.315	1.898	0.971	0.400
Pa	-8.591	4.007	1.000	0.360

NOW WE TRY AGAIN WITH 1 LAG

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp  
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(1)leads(1) lrwindow(7)  
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 26 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-3.479	-2.571	0.005	0.000
Ga	-8.050	6.203	1.000	0.560
Pt	-12.846	1.364	0.914	0.040
Pa	-5.260	5.890	1.000	0.880

RUNNING THE MODEL WITHOUT ANY COUNTRY PANELS DELETED FROM THE DATABASE AND CONTROL VARIABLES

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_90-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_90 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1251
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    30
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F( 86, 29)      =  8610.52
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1611
                                                Root MSE       =  10.8004
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1559604	.0211813	-7.36	0.000	-.199281	-.1126399
L_tot_oil~p	7.826115	8.616423	0.91	0.371	-9.796449	25.44868
D_tot_oil~p	7.808916	16.80774	0.46	0.646	-26.56678	42.18461
L_LogPerCa~p	-3.467331	1.175111	-2.95	0.006	-5.870703	-1.06396
L_CivilWar~p	-.7964273	.7836254	-1.02	0.318	-2.399121	.8062665
L_REGION_D~E	.0582927	.0247708	2.35	0.026	.0076306	.1089547
L_WORLD_DE~E	.2376045	.0518821	4.58	0.000	.1314937	.3437152
D_LogperCa~t	-4.626526	5.788843	-0.80	0.431	-16.46604	7.212988
D_Region_D~e	.5526052	.1230274	4.49	0.000	.3009859	.8042244
D_World_De~e	.8768627	.2277437	3.85	0.001	.4110746	1.342651

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
_nl_1	-50.18013	55.8698	-0.90	0.376	-164.4467	64.08644

RUNNING THE MODEL ON THE TRUNCATED DATASET

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_90-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_90 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1195
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    26
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F( 82, 25)      =  3166.51
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1600
                                                Root MSE       =  10.8278
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1578466	.0210412	-7.50	0.000	-.2011817	-.1145115
L_tot_oil~p	8.095284	8.599119	0.94	0.356	-9.614934	25.8055
D_tot_oil~p	7.348004	16.84765	0.44	0.666	-27.35038	42.04638
L_LogPerCa~p	-3.540547	1.225928	-2.89	0.008	-6.065393	-1.015702
L_CivilWar~p	-.7927069	.7683775	-1.03	0.312	-2.37521	.7897962
L_REGION_D~E	.0568882	.024789	2.29	0.030	.0058343	.107942
L_WORLD_DE~E	.2413003	.0530965	4.54	0.000	.1319461	.3506546
D_LogperCa~t	-7.211339	6.389938	-1.13	0.270	-20.37166	5.948986
D_Region_D~e	.5362036	.1260763	4.25	0.000	.2765446	.7958626
D_World_De~e	.9008714	.2338767	3.85	0.001	.4191933	1.38255

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-51.28576	54.93441	-0.93	0.359	-164.4253	61.85376

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR A SERIES OF ROBUSTNESS CHECKS FOR TABLE 7, COLUMNS 1 and 2 OF DO NATURAL RESOURCES FUEL AUTHORITARIANISM? THESE REGRESSIONS DO NOT APPEAR IN THE PAPER, BUT ARE IN THE APPENDIX

EACH OF THE COINTEGRATION TESTS, AND EACH OF THE ROBUSTNESS TESTS, IS DOCUMENTED HERE, AS IS THE ACTUAL REGRESSION ESTIMATED.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: nlcom
_b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]

NOTE BENE:

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania only observed since 1991, after the dissolution of the USSR, and not before the emergence of the USSR in 1917, when they were independent states. This is because the ECM co-integration tests cannot be performed on data series with gaps. Therefore, these 3 countries are only observed since 1991.

NOTA BENE:

THE BIC STATISTIC CHOSE THE MODEL WITH ZERO LAGS OF DIFFERENCED TOTAL OIL INCOME. Therefore, those are the type of ECM Models we run below: Total Oil Income is only differenced one time.

NOTA BENE:

We always start with the Westerlund Cointegration Tests and then estimate cross-section time-series regressions after that to see what direction the cointegration relationship may have.

NOTE BENE:

Sometimes we have to truncate the dataset in order to run the Westerlund Cointegration Tests. This is because often they can only be calculated with a minimum lag length. However, we always estimate regressions on both the truncated sample and the entire dataset for comparisons sake.

NOTA BENE:

The models in the paper, and the regressions run for robustness and in the appendix, are calculated using Driscoll Kraay Standard Errors (although we also check for robustness by estimating robust standard errors). This is the Stata command xtsce. For the Newey West adjustment we use 1 lag length.

These are the robustness checks using UTIP Gini

EQUAL COUNTRIES

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interpol CivilWar_Interpol
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0)leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 21 observations are
required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.
```

hmccode	Freq.
317	14
343	16
344	15
346	3
349	15
359	16
367	16
368	16
369	15
373	15

**drop if hmccode == 317 | hmccode == 343 | hmccode == 344 | hmccode == 346 | hmccode == 349 | hmccode == 359 |
hmccode == 367 | hmccode == 368 | hmccode == 369 | hmccode == 373**

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interpol CivilWar_Interpol
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0)leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 48 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-3.196	-1.363	0.086	0.080
Ga	-1.9e+12	-1.4e+12	0.000	0.000
Pt	-1.7e+11	-1.7e+11	0.000	0.000
Pa	-1.0e+11	-7.8e+10	0.000	0.000

NOW WE TRY AGAIN WITH 1 LAG

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp  
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(1)leads(1) lrwindow(8)  
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 48 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.763	1.893	0.971	0.240
Ga	-8.358	8.197	1.000	0.680
Pt	-11.448	7.885	1.000	0.200
Pa	-7.236	6.485	1.000	0.280

RUNNING THE MODEL WITHOUT ANY COUNTRY PANELS DELETED FROM THE DATABASE AND CONTROL VARIABLES

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-920      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2303
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    58
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(114, 57)      =  4589.88
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1795
                                                Root MSE       =   6.1837
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.0787307	.0147436	-5.34	0.000	-.1082542	-.0492072
L_tot_oil~p	-.1211691	.1325259	-0.91	0.364	-.3865476	.1442094
D_tot_oil~p	-.1811698	.2085029	-0.87	0.389	-.5986897	.2363502
L_LogPerCa~p	-.4948017	.5868437	-0.84	0.403	-1.669936	.6803325
L_CivilWar~p	.3344093	1.460532	0.23	0.820	-2.590255	3.259074
L_REGION_DE~E	.0532319	.0173001	3.08	0.003	.0185891	.0878747
L_WORLD_DE~E	.0548969	.0355006	1.55	0.128	-.0161918	.1259857
D_LogperCa~t	-9.46666	4.690138	-2.02	0.048	-18.8585	-.0748205
D_Region_De~e	.5154215	.1219229	4.23	0.000	.271275	.759568
D_World_De~e	.0025394	.0950506	0.03	0.979	-.1877961	.192875

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	1.539033	1.710597	0.90	0.372	-1.886378	4.964443

RUNNING THE MODEL ON THE TRUNCATED DATASET

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-920      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2172
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    48
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(104, 47)     =   230.76
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.1824
                                                Root MSE      =   6.2404
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.0767623	.0148663	-5.16	0.000	-.1066694	-.0468552
L_tot_oil~p	-.1289369	.1298344	-0.99	0.326	-.39013	.1322563
D_tot_oil~p	-.0960803	.1917927	-0.50	0.619	-.4819176	.2897569
L_LogPerCa~p	-.4035054	.6024528	-0.67	0.506	-1.615484	.8084732
L_CivilWar~p	.748086	1.525503	0.49	0.626	-2.320829	3.817001
L_REGION_D~E	.0514903	.0173212	2.97	0.005	.0166445	.0863361
L_WORLD_DE~E	.0447374	.0355511	1.26	0.214	-.0267822	.1162571
D_LogperCa~t	-12.86425	5.444749	-2.36	0.022	-23.81768	-1.91083
D_Region_D~e	.5228808	.12243	4.27	0.000	.2765835	.7691782
D_World_De~e	-.0173013	.0939922	-0.18	0.855	-.2063892	.1717866

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	1.679691	1.708048	0.98	0.330	-1.756458	5.115839

UNEQUAL COUNTRIES

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0)leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 21 observations are
required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.
```

hmccode	Freq.
371	16
531	14
565	17
703	15

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0)leads(1) lrwindow(9)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
 Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(116 missing values
 generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
 With 75 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-3.102	-0.818	0.207	0.040
Ga	-10.233	8.483	1.000	0.080
Pt	-20.047	4.095	1.000	0.200
Pa	-8.755	6.649	1.000	0.200

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(1)leads(1) lrwindow(9)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(116 missing values
generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 75 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.985	0.276	0.609	0.000
Ga	-7.432	11.117	1.000	0.600
Pt	-17.594	6.559	1.000	0.080
Pa	-5.948	9.343	1.000	0.560

ESTIMATING ON THE FULL DATASET

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode 41-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode 41 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   3363
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    79
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(138, 78)     =   376.46
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.1284
                                                Root MSE      =   9.6518
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.1497557	.0165712	-9.04	0.000	-.1827465	-.116765
L_tot_oil~p	.1089347	.0278288	3.91	0.000	.0535318	.1643376
D_tot_oil~p	.0006874	.0254628	0.03	0.979	-.0500052	.05138
L_LogPerCa~p	-.7627321	.6248858	-1.22	0.226	-2.006784	.48132
L_CivilWar~p	-.5720915	.5761439	-0.99	0.324	-1.719106	.5749229
L_REGION_D~E	.0512913	.0169506	3.03	0.003	.0175453	.0850374
L_WORLD_DE~E	.131818	.0169288	7.79	0.000	.0981153	.1655206
D_LogperCa~t	3.266198	2.381886	1.37	0.174	-1.475773	8.00817
D_Region_D~e	.4196401	.0990917	4.23	0.000	.2223636	.6169166
D_World_De~e	.5232542	.1050051	4.98	0.000	.3142051	.7323033

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.7274158	.1785371	-4.07	0.000	-1.082856	-.3719759

ESTIMATING ON THE TRUNCATED DATASET

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode 41-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode 41 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   3305
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    75
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(134, 74)     =   367.09
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.1286
                                                Root MSE      =   9.6420
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.1478397	.0166413	-8.88	0.000	-.1809983	-.1146811
L_tot_oil~p	.1107018	.0277551	3.99	0.000	.0553985	.1660051
D_tot_oil~p	.0003735	.025552	0.01	0.988	-.0505401	.0512871
L_LogPerCa~p	-.8152039	.634536	-1.28	0.203	-2.079544	.4491366
L_CivilWar~p	-.5941377	.5732259	-1.04	0.303	-1.736315	.5480397
L_REGION_D~E	.0494152	.017275	2.86	0.005	.014994	.0838364
L_WORLD_DE~E	.126504	.0167135	7.57	0.000	.0932017	.1598063
D_LogperCa~t	3.418604	2.445291	1.40	0.166	-1.453745	8.290953
D_Region_D~e	.4223367	.09934	4.25	0.000	.2243974	.6202759
D_World_De~e	.5221469	.1071466	4.87	0.000	.3086526	.7356413

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.7487966	.183808	-4.07	0.000	-1.115042	-.3825511

HIGHLY UNEQUAL

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp  
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0)leads(1) lrwindow(6)  
bootstrap(25)  
With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 21 observations are  
required.  
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.
```

```
-----  
hmccode |      Freq.  
-----+-----  
      371 |         16  
-----
```

```
. drop if hmccode == 371
```

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp  
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0)leads(1) lrwindow(6)  
> bootstrap(25)
```

```
Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....  
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....
```

```
Results for H0: no cointegration  
With 15 series and 5 covariates
```

```
-----+-----  
Statistic | Value | Z-value | P-value | Robust P-value |  
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+  
Gt | -4.853 | -7.733 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  
Ga | -14.067 | 2.181 | 0.985 | 0.000 |  
Pt | -10.494 | 0.297 | 0.617 | 0.040 |  
Pa | -10.399 | 2.268 | 0.988 | 0.080 |  
-----+-----
```

```
.
```

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(1)leads(1) lrwindow(6)
bootstrap(25)
```

```
Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....
```

```
Results for H0: no cointegration
With 15 series and 5 covariates
```

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-3.223	-0.875	0.191	0.000
Ga	-9.315	4.180	1.000	0.040
Pt	-6.242	4.566	1.000	0.560
Pa	-5.515	4.364	1.000	0.600

NO TRUNCATION

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_371-712 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_371 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   642
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   16
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F( 72, 15)      =  59.93
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =  0.0000
                                                R-squared       =  0.2416
                                                Root MSE       =  8.3763
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.2227791	.0506557	-4.40	0.001	-.3307492	-.114809
L_tot_oil~p	.0655255	.0512816	1.28	0.221	-.0437787	.1748298
D_tot_oil~p	-.0447114	.0482147	-0.93	0.368	-.1474785	.0580558
L_LogPerCa~p	-.1327135	.9428963	-0.14	0.890	-2.142449	1.877022
L_CivilWar~p	-3.68311	2.185684	-1.69	0.113	-8.341786	.9755652
L_REGION_DE~E	.3226603	.0880294	3.67	0.002	.13503	.5102906
L_WORLD_DE~E	-.1057254	.048116	-2.20	0.044	-.2082822	-.0031685
D_LogperCa~t	-.3034844	3.371278	-0.09	0.929	-7.489194	6.882225
D_Region_De~e	.7367475	.2668881	2.76	0.015	.1678891	1.305606
D_World_De~e	1.081409	.4275211	2.53	0.023	.1701689	1.992648

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.2941278	.2130593	-1.38	0.188	-.7482529	.1599972

TRUNCATED DATASET

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_411-712 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_411 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1960-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   627
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   15
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F( 71, 14)     =   37.36
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.2486
                                                Root MSE      =   7.9940
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.2055642	.0498002	-4.13	0.001	-.3123752	-.0987533
L_tot_oil~p	.0661233	.0485788	1.36	0.195	-.0380679	.1703146
D_tot_oil~p	-.0515571	.0464296	-1.11	0.286	-.1511386	.0480244
L_LogPerCa~p	-.1781197	.9293696	-0.19	0.851	-2.171419	1.81518
L_CivilWar~p	-3.32445	2.234908	-1.49	0.159	-8.117852	1.468951
L_REGION_D~E	.2866038	.0821853	3.49	0.004	.1103339	.4628738
L_WORLD_DE~E	-.1196145	.0450579	-2.65	0.019	-.216254	-.0229749
D_LogperCa~t	.1798649	3.341392	0.05	0.958	-6.986709	7.346439
D_Region_D~e	.7705303	.2606142	2.96	0.010	.2115684	1.329492
D_World_De~e	1.197154	.4186706	2.86	0.013	.2991945	2.095113

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.3216674	.2197662	-1.46	0.165	-.7930191	.1496843

LOW ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

THIS IS THE BIC STATISTIC HORSE RACE ON THE CORRECT DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL TO RUN. EACH MODEL IS RUN ON THE FULL DATASET (SAME NUMBER OF COUNTRIES IN THE PANEL)

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-910      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4609
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    49
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(259, 48)      =    9.96
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.0841
                                                Root MSE       =   7.9610
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0697956	.007981	-8.75	0.000	-.0858424	-.0537488
L_tot_oil~p	.6284883	.3290483	1.91	0.062	-.0331076	1.290084
D_tot_oil~p	-.0373045	.7894683	-0.05	0.963	-1.624637	1.550028

```
-----+-----
```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-9.004698	4.572791	-1.97	0.055	-18.19891	.1895141

```
-----+-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -16171.721   Log-Lik Full Model:   -15969.303
D(4349):                  31938.605   LR(48):               404.838
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.084   Adjusted R2:         0.030
AIC:                      7.042   AIC*n:               32458.605
BIC:                      -4748.542   BIC':                0.079
BIC used by Stata:        32351.958   AIC used by Stata:   32036.605
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

1 LAG OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-910      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4571
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    49
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(260, 48)      =   10.17
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.0841
                                                Root MSE       =   7.9661
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0701253	.0078915	-8.89	0.000	-.0859923	-.0542583
L_tot_oil~p	.694459	.3760957	1.85	0.071	-.061732	1.45065
D_tot_oil~p	-.2487178	.7901579	-0.31	0.754	-1.837437	1.340001
L_D_TOI_INT	-.986653	.7346007	-1.34	0.186	-2.463667	.4903607

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-9.903111	5.277356	-1.88	0.067	-20.51395	.7077237

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -16040.121   Log-Lik Full Model:   -15839.441
D(4310):                  31678.882   LR(48):               401.361
                                                Prob > LR:            0.000
R2:                       0.084   Adjusted R2:         0.030
AIC:                      7.045   AIC*n:               32200.882
BIC:                      -4643.588 BIC':                 3.159
BIC used by Stata:        32091.829 AIC used by Stata:   31776.882
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

2 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode 40-910      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4533
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    49
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(261, 48)      =   23.09
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.0841
                                                Root MSE       =   7.9596
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0697989	.0081419	-8.57	0.000	-.0861694	-.0534285
L_tot_oil~p	.7655041	.5150069	1.49	0.144	-.2699866	1.800995
D_tot_oil~p	-.3651716	.7943908	-0.46	0.648	-1.962401	1.232058
L_D_TOI_INT	-1.092375	.9076324	-1.20	0.235	-2.917293	.7325419
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.2285993	.973227	-0.23	0.815	-2.185403	1.728205

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-10.96728	7.249218	-1.51	0.137	-25.54281	3.608253

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -15902.170   Log-Lik Full Model:   -15702.942
D(4271):                  31405.883   LR(47):               398.457
                           Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.084   Adjusted R2:         0.029
AIC:                      7.044   AIC*n:              31929.883
BIC:                      -4552.261   BIC':               -2.757
BIC used by Stata:       31810.002   AIC used by Stata:  31501.883
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

3 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode 40-910      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4493
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    49
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(262, 48)      =  105.98
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.0839
                                                Root MSE       =   7.9361
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0688522	.0077065	-8.93	0.000	-.0843473	-.0533572
L_tot_oil~p	.6118271	.5342723	1.15	0.258	-.4623994	1.686053
D_tot_oil~p	-.313253	.7705511	-0.41	0.686	-1.86255	1.236044
L_D_TOI_INT	-.9670035	.9098577	-1.06	0.293	-2.796395	.8623879
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.0925281	1.015441	-0.09	0.928	-2.134209	1.949153
L3_D_TOI_INT	.9349141	.6760044	1.38	0.173	-.4242837	2.294112

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
_nl_1	-8.886088	7.662382	-1.16	0.252	-24.29234	6.520163

```
-----+-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year,
cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

Log-Lik Intercept Only:	-15746.675	Log-Lik Full Model:	-15549.891
D(4230):	31099.783	LR(47):	393.567
		Prob > LR:	0.000
R2:	0.084	Adjusted R2:	0.029
AIC:	7.039	AIC*n:	31625.783
BIC:	-4475.684	BIC':	1.716
BIC used by Stata:	31503.476	AIC used by Stata:	31195.783

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

4 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode 40-910      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4451
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   48
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(263, 47)      =   7.73
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.0858
                                                Root MSE      =   7.9420
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.069896	.0077721	-8.99	0.000	-.0855314	-.0542606
L_tot_oil~p	.7592364	.652759	1.16	0.251	-.5539454	2.072418
D_tot_oil~p	-.1851995	.742558	-0.25	0.804	-1.679034	1.308635
L_D_TOI_INT	-1.04353	.9937106	-1.05	0.299	-3.042618	.955558
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.2611543	1.140199	-0.23	0.820	-2.55494	2.032631
L3_D_TOI_INT	.7306932	.8139478	0.90	0.374	-.9067586	2.368145
L4_D_TOI_INT	-1.309808	1.295172	-1.01	0.317	-3.915358	1.295743

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-10.86237	9.196679	-1.18	0.243	-29.3637	7.638963

```
-----+-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode
i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -15606.641   Log-Lik Full Model:   -15407.087
D(4187):                  30814.174   LR(47):               399.108
                           Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.086   Adjusted R2:         0.030
AIC:                      7.042   AIC*n:              31342.174
BIC:                      -4360.328   BIC':               -4.266
BIC used by Stata:       31217.416   AIC used by Stata:  30910.174
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

5 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4410
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    48
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(264, 47)      =    9.09
maximum lag: 1                                    Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                    R-squared       =   0.0872
                                                    Root MSE       =   7.8993
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0698337	.0079655	-8.77	0.000	-.0858583	-.0538091
L_tot_oil~p	.6073329	.4927147	1.23	0.224	-.3838813	1.598547
D_tot_oil~p	-.3697777	.4308858	-0.86	0.395	-1.236608	.4970528
L_D_TOI_INT	-1.028996	1.006304	-1.02	0.312	-3.053418	.9954255
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.1847856	1.0197	-0.18	0.857	-2.236158	1.866587
L3_D_TOI_INT	.9233212	.7787459	1.19	0.242	-.6433134	2.489956
L4_D_TOI_INT	-1.055793	.8881502	-1.19	0.241	-2.842521	.7309349
L5_D_TOI_INT	1.411451	1.988291	0.71	0.481	-2.588475	5.411378

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-8.696841	6.952997	-1.25	0.217	-22.68447	5.290786

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT
i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -15441.341   Log-Lik Full Model:   -15240.105
D(4145):                  30480.210   LR(46):              402.471
                           Prob > LR:              0.000
R2:                       0.087   Adjusted R2:         0.031
AIC:                      7.032   AIC*n:              31010.210
BIC:                      -4303.096   BIC':               -16.456
BIC used by Stata:        30874.617   AIC used by Stata:  30574.210
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR TABLE 7, COLUMN 3 OF DO NATURAL RESOURCES FUEL AUTHORITARIANISM?

EACH OF THE COINTEGRATION TESTS, AND EACH OF THE ROBUSTNESS TESTS, IS DOCUMENTED HERE, AS IS THE ACTUAL REGRESSION ESTIMATED.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: nlcom
`_b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]`

NOTE BENE:

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania only observed since 1991, after the dissolution of the USSR, and not before the emergence of the USSR in 1917, when they were independent states. This is because the ECM co-integration tests cannot be performed on data series with gaps. Therefore, these 3 countries are only observed since 1991.

NOTA BENE:

THE BIC STATISTIC CHOSE THE MODEL WITH ZERO LAGS OF DIFFERENCED TOTAL OIL INCOME. Therefore, those are the type of ECM Models we run below: Total Oil Income is only differenced one time.

NOTA BENE:

We always start with the Westerlund Cointegration Tests and then estimate cross-section time-series regressions after that to see what direction the cointegration relationship may have.

NOTE BENE:

Sometimes we have to truncate the dataset in order to run the Westerlund Cointegration Tests. This is because often they can only be calculated with a minimum lag length. However, we always estimate regressions on both the truncated sample and the entire dataset for comparisons sake.

NOTA BENE:

The models in the paper, and the regressions run for robustness and in the appendix, are calculated using Driscoll Kraay Standard Errors (although we also check for robustness by estimating robust standard errors). This is the Stata command xtsce. For the Newey West adjustment we use 1 lag length.

```

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(9)
bootstrap(25)
With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 9 observations are required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.

```

```

-----
hmccode |      Freq.
-----+-----
      702 |          1
      860 |          5
-----

```

```

drop if hmccode == 702 | hmccode == 860
(6 observations deleted)

```

```

. xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(9)
bootstrap(25)

```

```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

```

```

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 48 series and 1 covariate

```

```

-----+-----
Statistic | Value | Z-value | P-value | Robust P-value |
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
Gt | -2.598 | -1.971 | 0.024 | 0.000 |
Ga | -11.854 | 0.161 | 0.564 | 0.000 |
Pt | -18.463 | -4.377 | 0.000 | 0.120 |
Pa | -14.182 | -5.931 | 0.000 | 0.120 |
-----+-----

```

NOW WE TRY COINTEGRATION TESTS WITH 1 LAG

```
xtest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(9)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 48 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.823	-3.897	0.000	0.000
Ga	-13.583	-1.601	0.055	0.000
Pt	-19.787	-5.891	0.000	0.000
Pa	-15.719	-7.668	0.000	0.000

FULL DATASET, NO LAGS

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-910      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4609
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    49
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(259, 48)      =    9.96
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.0841
                                                Root MSE      =   7.9610
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Drisc/Kraay					
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.0697956	.007981	-8.75	0.000	-.0858424	-.0537488
L_tot_oil~p	.6284883	.3290483	1.91	0.062	-.0331076	1.290084
D_tot_oil~p	-.0373045	.7894683	-0.05	0.963	-1.624637	1.550028

```
-----+-----
```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-9.004698	4.572791	-1.97	0.055	-18.19891	.1895141

```
-----+-----
```

Truncated, no lags

```

xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode 40-910      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)

```

```

Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4605
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    48
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(257, 47)      =    9.84
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.0841
                                                Root MSE       =   7.9635

```

```

-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |          Coef.   Drisc/Kraay   t   P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_polity_s~p |  -.0697848   .0079804   -8.74  0.000   -.0858393   -.0537304
L_tot_oil_~p |   .6161684   .3323285    1.85  0.070   -.0523903    1.284727
D_tot_oil_~p |  -.003644    .7963014   -0.00  0.996   -1.605596    1.598308

```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```

-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |          Coef.   Std. Err.   t   P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 |  -.4551592   .3688682   -1.23  0.221   -1.188694    .2783757
-----+-----

```

.

NOW WE ADD CONTROL VARIABLES

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(9)
bootstrap(25)
```

With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 21 observations are required.

Following series do not contain sufficient observations.

```
-----+-----
hmccode |      Freq.
-----+-----
    347 |         15
    359 |         16
    704 |         15
-----+-----
```

```
drop if hmccode == 347 | hmccode == 359 | hmccode == 704
```

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(9)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 45 series and 5 covariates

```
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
Statistic | Value | Z-value | P-value | Robust P-value |
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
    Gt    | -3.626 | -4.457 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
    Ga    | -14.079 | 3.770 | 1.000 | 0.120 |
    Pt    | -19.652 | -0.968 | 0.166 | 0.120 |
    Pa    | -13.105 | 1.916 | 0.972 | 0.400 |
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
```

NOW WE TRY AGAIN WITH 1 LAG

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp  
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(9)  
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 45 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-3.471	-3.327	0.000	0.000
Ga	-12.639	4.819	1.000	0.480
Pt	-18.383	0.306	0.620	0.200
Pa	-10.613	3.769	1.000	0.640

RUNNING THE MODEL WITHOUT ANY COUNTRY PANELS DELETED FROM THE DATABASE AND CONTROL VARIABLES

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-910      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   3043
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    49
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(266, 48)      =  2227.88
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1214
                                                Root MSE       =   8.9718
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0932395	.0123255	-7.56	0.000	-.1180215	-.0684575
L_tot_oil~p	.7741522	.340162	2.28	0.027	.0902108	1.458094
D_tot_oil~p	-.2598173	.7935538	-0.33	0.745	-1.855364	1.335729
L_LogPerCa~p	-.1775031	.606535	-0.29	0.771	-1.397024	1.042017
L_CivilWar~p	-.1049528	.7556668	-0.14	0.890	-1.624323	1.414417
L_REGION_D~E	.0214733	.0133805	1.60	0.115	-.00543	.0483767
L_WORLD_DE~E	-.1898962	.0339738	-5.59	0.000	-.2582052	-.1215872
D_LogperCa~t	.4358824	3.0541	0.14	0.887	-5.704797	6.576562
D_Region_D~e	.3453716	.091419	3.78	0.000	.1615615	.5291818
D_World_De~e	-.7248427	.0963606	-7.52	0.000	-.9185886	-.5310967

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-8.302834	3.378079	-2.46	0.018	-15.09492	-1.510751

RUNNING THE MODEL ON THE TRUNCATED DATASET

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-910      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   3039
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    48
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(264, 47)      =  3159.87
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1214
                                                Root MSE       =   8.9759
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0932169	.0123245	-7.56	0.000	-.1180106	-.0684231
L_tot_oil~p	.7527827	.3455347	2.18	0.034	.0576565	1.447909
D_tot_oil~p	-.2175814	.7978782	-0.27	0.786	-1.822705	1.387543
L_LogPerCa~p	-.1681026	.6037907	-0.28	0.782	-1.382773	1.046568
L_CivilWar~p	-.1044896	.755761	-0.14	0.891	-1.624885	1.415905
L_REGION_D~E	.021452	.0133862	1.60	0.116	-.0054776	.0483815
L_WORLD_DE~E	-.190168	.0339518	-5.60	0.000	-.2584701	-.1218659
D_LogperCa~t	.4642616	3.053604	0.15	0.880	-5.678798	6.607321
D_Region_D~e	.3460799	.091447	3.78	0.000	.1621124	.5300474
D_World_De~e	-.7247595	.0963378	-7.52	0.000	-.9185661	-.5309529

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-8.075607	3.436352	-2.35	0.023	-14.98866	-1.162558

Very low economic development

THIS IS THE BIC STATISTIC HORSE RACE ON THE CORRECT DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL TO RUN. EACH MODEL IS RUN ON THE FULL DATASET (SAME NUMBER OF COUNTRIES IN THE PANEL)

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_70-860      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_70 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2543
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    24
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(233, 23)      =   12.33
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1017
                                                Root MSE       =   8.3854
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0730364	.0105681	-6.91	0.000	-.0948981	-.0511747
L_tot_oil~p	1.370092	.4996976	2.74	0.012	.3363885	2.403795
D_tot_oil~p	1.117581	.998449	1.12	0.275	-.9478685	3.18303

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-18.75902	6.328645	-2.96	0.007	-31.85082	-5.667219

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp yi.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -9030.812   Log-Lik Full Model:   -8894.401
D(2309):                  17788.803   LR(22):               272.822
                                                Prob > LR:            0.000
R2:                       0.102   Adjusted R2:         0.012
AIC:                      7.179   AIC*n:              18256.803
BIC:                      -316.296  BIC':               -100.317
BIC used by Stata:       17969.148  AIC used by Stata:  17834.803
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

1 LAG OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_70-860      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_70 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2529
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    24
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F(234, 23)      =   11.77
maximum lag: 1                                  Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1020
                                                Root MSE       =   8.4073
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |           Drisc/Kraay
              |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_polity_s~p | -0.0736759   .0107077    -6.88  0.000   -0.0958265   -0.0515254
L_tot_oil~p  |  1.396682    .5116125     2.73  0.012    .3383306    2.455033
D_tot_oil~p  |  1.139028    1.024811     1.11  0.278   -0.980954    3.259011
L_D_TOI_INT | -0.1664607   .6855285    -0.24  0.810   -1.584584    1.251663
```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |           Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 | -18.95709     6.44461     -2.94  0.007   -32.28878    -5.625401
```

```
. quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -8987.342   Log-Lik Full Model:      -8851.314
D(2294):                      17702.629   LR(22):                   272.055
                              Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                            0.102   Adjusted R2:             0.012
AIC:                            7.186   AIC*n:                   18172.629
BIC:                          -272.190   BIC':                     -99.673
BIC used by Stata:             17882.847   AIC used by Stata:       17748.629
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

2 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_70-860      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_70 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2515
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    24
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(235, 23)      =   11.18
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1026
                                                Root MSE       =   8.4300
```

	Drisc/Kraay					
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.0738677	.0108019	-6.84	0.000	-.096213	-.0515223
L_tot_oil~p	1.416471	.5331137	2.66	0.014	.3136412	2.5193
D_tot_oil~p	1.103619	1.030063	1.07	0.295	-1.027228	3.234466
L_D_TOI_INT	-.2369733	.7574368	-0.31	0.757	-1.803851	1.329904
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.4638879	.6527173	-0.71	0.484	-1.814136	.8863607

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-19.17579	6.742645	-2.84	0.009	-33.12401	-5.227566

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -8944.566   Log-Lik Full Model:      -8808.402
D(2279):                     17616.804   LR(22):                  272.329
                              Prob > LR:          0.000
R2:                           0.103         Adjusted R2:             0.012
AIC:                           7.192         AIC*n:                  18088.804
BIC:                          -227.830        BIC':                   -100.068
BIC used by Stata:            17796.894   AIC used by Stata:      17662.804
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

3 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_70-860      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_70 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2499
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    24
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(236, 23)      =    5.95
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1035
                                                Root MSE       =   8.4455
```

	Drisc/Kraay					
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.0741881	.0107743	-6.89	0.000	-.0964764	-.0518998
L_tot_oil~p	1.325995	.5452353	2.43	0.023	.1980895	2.4539
D_tot_oil~p	1.238686	1.02317	1.21	0.238	-.8779036	3.355275
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0913738	.7875146	-0.12	0.909	-1.720472	1.537724
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.3366663	.6305673	-0.53	0.599	-1.641094	.9677615
L3_D_TOI_INT	1.518702	1.140663	1.33	0.196	-.8409382	3.878343

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-17.87342	6.844207	-2.61	0.016	-32.03174	-3.715095

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year,
cluster(hmccode)
```

```
.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -8892.706   Log-Lik Full Model:   -8756.139
D(2262):                  17512.277   LR(23):               273.135
                           Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.104   Adjusted R2:         0.012
AIC:                      7.197   AIC*n:               17986.277
BIC:                      -184.810   BIC':                 -93.191
BIC used by Stata:        17692.221   AIC used by Stata:   17558.277
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

4 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_70-860      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_70 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2482
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    23
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(237, 22)      =   11.56
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1056
                                                Root MSE       =   8.4290
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Drisc/Kraay					
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.0748262	.0108333	-6.91	0.000	-.097293	-.0523593
L_tot_oil~p	1.2983	.5490702	2.36	0.027	.159598	2.437002
D_tot_oil~p	1.403303	1.174974	1.19	0.245	-1.033445	3.840051
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0092347	.8129821	-0.01	0.991	-1.695256	1.676787
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.2338525	.6597096	-0.35	0.726	-1.602006	1.134301
L3_D_TOI_INT	1.619638	1.146916	1.41	0.172	-.7589209	3.998196
L4_D_TOI_INT	.7061663	.7876204	0.90	0.380	-.9272585	2.339591

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-17.35088	6.894052	-2.52	0.020	-31.64827	-3.05349

```
-----+-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode
i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -8829.895   Log-Lik Full Model:      -8691.394
D(2244):                    17382.788   LR(22):                  277.003
                                                Prob > LR:                0.000
R2:                          0.106     Adjusted R2:             0.014
AIC:                          7.195     AIC*n:                   17858.788
BIC:                         -158.156    BIC':                     -105.033
BIC used by Stata:           17562.575    AIC used by Stata:       17428.788
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

5 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_70-860      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_70 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2466
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    23
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(238, 22)      =   11.04
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1068
                                                Root MSE       =   8.3306
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.0740905	.011129	-6.66	0.000	-.0971707	-.0510103
L_tot_oil~p	1.318307	.556919	2.37	0.027	.1633279	2.473287
D_tot_oil~p	1.632466	1.384529	1.18	0.251	-1.238871	4.503803
L_D_TOI_INT	-.2174195	.7838905	-0.28	0.784	-1.843109	1.40827
L2_D_TOI_INT	-.3957571	.6465487	-0.61	0.547	-1.736617	.9451028
L3_D_TOI_INT	1.504343	1.076329	1.40	0.176	-.7278263	3.736512
L4_D_TOI_INT	.6265336	.8075278	0.78	0.446	-1.048177	2.301244
L5_D_TOI_INT	-.7506601	.6209906	-1.21	0.240	-2.038516	.5371956

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-17.7932	6.845284	-2.60	0.016	-31.98945	-3.596948

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT
i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -8744.820   Log-Lik Full Model:      -8605.584
D(2227):                    17211.168   LR(23):                  278.473
                                                Prob > LR:                0.000
R2:                          0.107         Adjusted R2:             0.015
AIC:                          7.173         AIC*n:                  17689.168
BIC:                         -182.488        BIC':                   -98.835
BIC used by Stata:           17390.806   AIC used by Stata:      17257.168
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR TABLE 7, COLUMN 4 OF DO NATURAL RESOURCES FUEL AUTHORITARIANISM?

EACH OF THE COINTEGRATION TESTS, AND EACH OF THE ROBUSTNESS TESTS, IS DOCUMENTED HERE, AS IS THE ACTUAL REGRESSION ESTIMATED.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: `nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]`

NOTE BENE:

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania only observed since 1991, after the dissolution of the USSR, and not before the emergence of the USSR in 1917, when they were independent states. This is because the ECM co-integration tests cannot be performed on data series with gaps. Therefore, these 3 countries are only observed since 1991.

NOTA BENE:

THE BIC STATISTIC CHOSE THE MODEL WITH ZERO LAGS OF DIFFERENCED TOTAL OIL INCOME. Therefore, those are the type of ECM Models we run below: Total Oil Income is only differenced one time.

NOTA BENE:

We always start with the Westerlund Cointegration Tests and then estimate cross-section time-series regressions after that to see what direction the cointegration relationship may have.

NOTE BENE:

Sometimes we have to truncate the dataset in order to run the Westerlund Cointegration Tests. This is because often they can only be calculated with a minimum lag length. However, we always estimate regressions on both the truncated sample and the entire dataset for comparisons sake.

NOTA BENE:

The models in the paper, and the regressions run for robustness and in the appendix, are calculated using Driscoll Kraay Standard Errors (although we also check for robustness by estimating robust standard errors). This is the Stata command `xtsce`. For the Newey West adjustment we use 1 lag length.

VERY LOW ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 9 observations are required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.

hmccode	Freq.
860	5

drop if hmccode == 702 | hmccode == 860
(6 observations deleted)

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 23 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.297	0.413	0.660	0.240
Ga	-12.079	-0.047	0.481	0.120
Pt	-13.343	-3.673	0.000	0.120
Pa	-14.734	-4.538	0.000	0.080

NOW WE TRY COINTEGRATION TESTS WITH 1 LAG

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 23 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.654	-1.699	0.045	0.040
Ga	-14.726	-1.914	0.028	0.000
Pt	-14.535	-5.036	0.000	0.000
Pa	-17.409	-6.630	0.000	0.000

FULL DATASET, NO LAGS

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_70-860      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_70 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2543
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    24
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F(233, 23)      =   12.33
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1017
                                                Root MSE       =   8.3854
```

```
-----+-----
```

		Drisc/Kraay				
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.0730364	.0105681	-6.91	0.000	-.0948981	-.0511747
L_tot_oil~p	1.370092	.4996976	2.74	0.012	.3363885	2.403795
D_tot_oil~p	1.117581	.998449	1.12	0.275	-.9478685	3.18303

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-18.75902	6.328645	-2.96	0.007	-31.85082	-5.667219

```
-----+-----
```

Truncated, no lags

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_70-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_70 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2539
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    23
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F(232, 22)      =   13.55
maximum lag: 1                                  Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1017
                                                Root MSE       =   8.3904
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |          Coef.   Drisc/Kraay   t   P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
L_polity_s~p |  -.0730146   .0105733   -6.91  0.000   -.0949424   -.0510868
L_tot_oil~p  |   1.362161   .5082945    2.68  0.014    .3080229    2.4163
D_tot_oil~p  |   1.232261   .9937408    1.24  0.228   -.8286317    3.293153
```

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
D_polity_s~p |          Coef.   Std. Err.   t   P>|t|   [95% Conf. Interval]
-----+-----
      _nl_1 |  -18.65601    6.43436    -2.90  0.008   -32.00006   -5.31197
-----+-----
```

NOW WE ADD CONTROL VARIABLES

```
xwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp  
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(8)  
bootstrap(25)
```

```
Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....  
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....
```

```
Results for H0: no cointegration  
With 23 series and 5 covariates
```

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-3.046	-0.163	0.435	0.080
Ga	-13.336	3.082	0.999	0.600
Pt	-13.922	-0.564	0.287	0.280
Pa	-13.857	0.970	0.834	0.520

NOW WE TRY AGAIN WITH 1 LAG

```
xwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp  
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(8)  
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 23 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-3.106	-0.477	0.317	0.080
Ga	-12.645	3.442	1.000	0.560
Pt	-13.484	-0.124	0.451	0.160
Pa	-12.092	1.908	0.972	0.440

RUNNING THE MODEL WITHOUT ANY COUNTRY PANELS DELETED FROM THE DATABASE AND CONTROL VARIABLES

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode          _Ihmccode_70-860      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_70 omitted)
i.year             _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1740
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    24
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(240, 23)      =   80.19
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                    R-squared       =   0.1440
                                                    Root MSE       =   9.1737
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.1015248	.016224	-6.26	0.000	-.1350867	-.0679629
L_tot_oil~p	1.540034	.5506696	2.80	0.010	.4008874	2.679181
D_tot_oil~p	.8969756	1.005592	0.89	0.382	-1.183249	2.9772
L_LogPerCa~p	1.191851	.6913161	1.72	0.098	-.2382455	2.621947
L_CivilWar~p	-.0321611	.7575028	-0.04	0.967	-1.599175	1.534853
L_REGION_D~E	.0351755	.0177272	1.98	0.059	-.0014961	.0718471
L_WORLD_DE~E	-.015926	.0302239	-0.53	0.603	-.078449	.046597
D_LogperCa~t	1.230203	4.278232	0.29	0.776	-7.619994	10.0804
D_Region_D~e	.454466	.0910175	4.99	0.000	.2661819	.64275
D_World_De~e	-.1884375	.1091803	-1.73	0.098	-.4142942	.0374192

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-15.16905	4.951745	-3.06	0.006	-25.41251	-4.925585

RUNNING THE MODEL ON THE TRUNCATED DATASET

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_70-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_70 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1736
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    23
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(239, 22)      =   78.46
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1441
                                                Root MSE       =   9.1814
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.1014341	.016244	-6.24	0.000	-.135122	-.0677461
L_tot_oil~p	1.501078	.5678917	2.64	0.015	.3233424	2.678813
D_tot_oil~p	1.02644	.9949749	1.03	0.313	-1.037012	3.089892
L_LogPerCa~p	1.20132	.6922024	1.74	0.097	-.2342194	2.63686
L_CivilWar~p	-.0329335	.7574084	-0.04	0.966	-1.603702	1.537835
L_REGION_D~E	.0351715	.0177473	1.98	0.060	-.0016342	.0719771
L_WORLD_DE~E	-.0160409	.0302128	-0.53	0.601	-.0786984	.0466165
D_LogperCa~t	1.288132	4.273312	0.30	0.766	-7.574174	10.15044
D_Region_D~e	.4562825	.0914032	4.99	0.000	.2667238	.6458412
D_World_De~e	-.1881715	.1092195	-1.72	0.099	-.414679	.0383359

nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]

_nl_1: _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-14.79856	5.094889	-2.90	0.008	-25.36471	-4.232403

HIGH ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

THIS IS THE BIC STATISTIC HORSE RACE ON THE CORRECT DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL TO RUN. EACH MODEL IS RUN ON THE FULL DATASET (SAME NUMBER OF COUNTRIES IN THE PANEL)

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode 2-920      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1797-2006    (naturally coded; _Iyear_1797 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs       =       4558
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups    =         45
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(260, 44)          = 1.69e+09
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F             = 0.0000
                                                R-squared            = 0.0780
                                                Root MSE             = 6.5504
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0516415	.0076171	-6.78	0.000	-.0669928	-.0362903
L_tot_oil~p	-.0108466	.0152488	-0.71	0.481	-.0415785	.0198854
D_tot_oil~p	-.0116885	.0247658	-0.47	0.639	-.0616006	.0382236

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.2100361	.2959243	0.71	0.482	-.3863601	.8064323

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:      -15089.282   Log-Lik Full Model:      -14904.253
D(4297):                     29808.507   LR(44):                  370.058
                                                Prob > LR:                0.000
R2:                           0.078         Adjusted R2:             0.024
AIC:                           6.654         AIC*n:                   30330.507
BIC:                          -6392.168       BIC':                    0.626
BIC used by Stata:            30187.616       AIC used by Stata:       29898.507
```

(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)

1 LAG OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-920      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1797-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1797 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4521
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    45
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(261, 44)      = 1.39e+07
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        = 0.0000
                                                R-squared       = 0.0781
                                                Root MSE       = 6.5717
```

		Drisc/Kraay				
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.0519599	.007683	-6.76	0.000	-.0674439	-.0364759
L_tot_oil~p	-.0098654	.0175579	-0.56	0.577	-.0452511	.0255202
D_tot_oil~p	-.0115427	.024359	-0.47	0.638	-.0606351	.0375497
L_D_TOI_INT	-.0064569	.0277239	-0.23	0.817	-.0623309	.049417

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.1898666	.3385016	0.56	0.578	-.4923386	.8720718

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -14980.185   Log-Lik Full Model:   -14796.346
D(4259):                  29592.691   LR(44):              367.679
                          Prob > LR:              0.000
R2:                       0.078   Adjusted R2:         0.023
AIC:                      6.662   AIC*n:               30116.691
BIC:                      -6253.133   BIC':                2.647
BIC used by Stata:       29971.433   AIC used by Stata:   29682.691
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

2 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-920      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1797-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1797 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4483
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    45
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(262, 44)      =   17.56
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.0791
                                                Root MSE       =   6.5969
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.052544	.007729	-6.80	0.000	-.0681207	-.0369672
L_tot_oil~p	-.0186466	.0177159	-1.05	0.298	-.0543506	.0170574
D_tot_oil~p	-.0116461	.0247312	-0.47	0.640	-.0614886	.0381964
L_D_TOI_INT	.0047081	.0264119	0.18	0.859	-.0485216	.0579377
L2_D_TOI_INT	.0605564	.0248151	2.44	0.019	.0105449	.1105678

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
_nl_1	.3548761	.3338164	1.06	0.294	-.3178867	1.027639

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -14872.099   Log-Lik Full Model:      -14687.441
D(4220):                  29374.881   LR(44):                  369.316
                                                Prob > LR:                0.000
R2:                       0.079   Adjusted R2:             0.024
AIC:                      6.670   AIC*n:                   29900.881
BIC:                      -6107.080  BIC':                     0.638
BIC used by Stata:        29744.836  AIC used by Stata:       29462.881
```

3 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-920      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1797-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1797 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4445
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    45
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(263, 44)      =  222.25
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.0772
                                                Root MSE       =   6.6029
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.051551	.007832	-6.58	0.000	-.0673354	-.0357666
L_tot_oil~p	-.0282643	.0210161	-1.34	0.186	-.0706194	.0140908
D_tot_oil~p	-.0177675	.0255944	-0.69	0.491	-.0693496	.0338146
L_D_TOI_INT	.0149186	.0278134	0.54	0.594	-.0411356	.0709729
L2_D_TOI_INT	.074968	.0265188	2.83	0.007	.021523	.128413
L3_D_TOI_INT	.0663574	.0326645	2.03	0.048	.0005264	.1321883

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
_nl_1	.5482788	.3976276	1.38	0.175	-.253087	1.349645

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year,
cluster(hmccode)
```

```
.
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -14743.928   Log-Lik Full Model:   -14565.350
D(4181):                  29130.701   LR(45):               357.155
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.077   Adjusted R2:         0.021
AIC:                      6.672   AIC*n:              29658.701
BIC:                      -5987.756   BIC':               20.824
BIC used by Stata:       29508.680   AIC used by Stata:  29220.701
```

4 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-920      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1797-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1797 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4404
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    45
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(264, 44)      =  110.94
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.0773
                                                Root MSE       =   6.6141
```

```
-----+-----
```

		Drisc/Kraay				[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t			
L_polity_s~p	-.0514198	.0079301	-6.48	0.000	-.0674019	-.0354377	
L_tot_oil~p	-.0318911	.0240312	-1.33	0.191	-.0803227	.0165406	
D_tot_oil~p	-.014451	.0251652	-0.57	0.569	-.065168	.0362661	
L_D_TOI_INT	.0171037	.0277169	0.62	0.540	-.0387561	.0729635	
L2_D_TOI_INT	.0797068	.0310743	2.57	0.014	.0170806	.142333	
L3_D_TOI_INT	.0727197	.0378714	1.92	0.061	-.0036051	.1490444	
L4_D_TOI_INT	.0249337	.0378418	0.66	0.513	-.0513313	.1011988	

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.62021	.4550703	1.36	0.180	-.296924	1.537344

```
-----+-----
```

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode
i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -14614.323   Log-Lik Full Model:   -14437.208
D(4139):                  28874.416   LR(43):                354.230
                           Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.077   Adjusted R2:          0.021
AIC:                      6.677   AIC*n:                29404.416
BIC:                      -5852.905   BIC':                 6.551
BIC used by Stata:        29243.588   AIC used by Stata:    28962.416
```


5 LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-920      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1797-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1797 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4363
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    45
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(265, 44)      =  320.74
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.0777
                                                Root MSE       =   6.6074
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0513399	.0079949	-6.42	0.000	-.0674525	-.0352273
L_tot_oil~p	-.0506041	.0254598	-1.99	0.053	-.101915	.0007068
D_tot_oil~p	-.0527202	.0416657	-1.27	0.212	-.1366918	.0312514
L_D_TOI_INT	.0422006	.0335379	1.26	0.215	-.0253905	.1097918
L2_D_TOI_INT	.0858914	.0290381	2.96	0.005	.027369	.1444139
L3_D_TOI_INT	.0890529	.0371063	2.40	0.021	.0142701	.1638356
L4_D_TOI_INT	.0357258	.0332748	1.07	0.289	-.0313351	.1027868
L5_D_TOI_INT	.0889963	.0321891	2.76	0.008	.0241234	.1538693

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.9856679	.4865817	2.03	0.049	.005027	1.966309

```
quietly xi: regress D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp
D_tot_oil_inc_interp L_D_TOI_INT L2_D_TOI_INT L3_D_TOI_INT L4_D_TOI_INT L5_D_TOI_INT
i.hmccode i.year, cluster(hmccode)
```

```
. fitstat, saving(mod1)
```

```
Measures of Fit for regress of D_polity_s_interp
```

```
Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -14473.595   Log-Lik Full Model:   -14297.067
D(4097):                  28594.133   LR(43):               353.057
                          Prob > LR:           0.000
R2:                       0.078               Adjusted R2:          0.020
AIC:                      6.676               AIC*n:               29126.133
BIC:                      -5742.476            BIC':                7.322
BIC used by Stata:        28962.893            AIC used by Stata:   28682.133
```

```
(Indices saved in matrix fs_mod1)
```

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS COINTEGRATION TESTS AND REGRESSIONS RUN TO COMPLEMENT THOSE IN TABLE 7, COLUMN 3&4 OF DO NATURAL RESOURCES FUEL AUTHORITARIANISM?

EACH OF THE COINTEGRATION TESTS, AND EACH OF THE ROBUSTNESS TESTS, IS DOCUMENTED HERE, AS IS THE ACTUAL REGRESSION ESTIMATED.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: nlcom
 $_{b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]} / _{b[L_polity_s_interp]}$

NOTE BENE:

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania only observed since 1991, after the dissolution of the USSR, and not before the emergence of the USSR in 1917, when they were independent states. This is because the ECM co-integration tests cannot be performed on data series with gaps. Therefore, these 3 countries are only observed since 1991.

NOTA BENE:

THE BIC STATISTIC CHOSE THE MODEL WITH ZERO LAGS OF DIFFERENCED TOTAL OIL INCOME. Therefore, those are the type of ECM Models we run below: Total Oil Income is only differenced one time.

NOTA BENE:

We always start with the Westerlund Cointegration Tests and then estimate cross-section time-series regressions after that to see what direction the cointegration relationship may have.

NOTE BENE:

Sometimes we have to truncate the dataset in order to run the Westerlund Cointegration Tests. This is because often they can only be calculated with a minimum lag length. However, we always estimate regressions on both the truncated sample and the entire dataset for comparisons sake.

NOTA BENE:

The models in the paper, and the regressions run for robustness and in the appendix, are calculated using Driscoll Kraay Standard Errors (although we also check for robustness by estimating robust standard errors). This is the Stata command xtsce. For the Newey West adjustment we use 1 lag length.

High Economic Development

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(9)
bootstrap(20)

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....

Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(171 missing values
generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 45 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.130	1.950	0.974	0.800
Ga	-11.137	0.863	0.806	0.050
Pt	-15.156	-1.127	0.130	0.000
Pa	-10.896	-2.148	0.016	0.000

NOW WE TRY COINTEGRATION TESTS WITH 1 LAG

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(9)
bootstrap(20)

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....

Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(171 missing values
generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 45 series and 1 covariate

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.326	0.332	0.630	0.450
Ga	-13.912	-1.875	0.030	0.000
Pt	-17.733	-4.074	0.000	0.000
Pa	-13.602	-5.108	0.000	0.000

FULL DATASET, NO LAGS

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-920      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1797-2006    (naturally coded; _Iyear_1797 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4558
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =    45
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F(260, 44)      = 1.69e+09
maximum lag: 1                                  Prob > F        = 0.0000
                                                R-squared       = 0.0780
                                                Root MSE       = 6.5504
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.0516415	.0076171	-6.78	0.000	-.0669928	-.0362903
L_tot_oil~p	-.0108466	.0152488	-0.71	0.481	-.0415785	.0198854
D_tot_oil~p	-.0116885	.0247658	-0.47	0.639	-.0616006	.0382236

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.2100361	.2959243	0.71	0.482	-.3863601	.8064323

```
-----+-----
```

NOW WE ADD CONTROL VARIABLES

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(9)
bootstrap(25)
With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 21 observations are
required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.
```

hmccode	Freq.
316	14
317	14
344	15
349	15
368	16
369	15
370	15
372	15
373	15
701	15
702	14
703	15
705	15

drop if hmccode == 347 | hmccode == 359 | hmccode == 704

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(9)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
 Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(171 missing values
 generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
 With 32 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.641	2.294	0.989	0.800
Ga	-12.629	4.069	1.000	0.760
Pt	-13.677	2.090	0.982	0.400
Pa	-12.379	2.071	0.981	0.640

NOW WE TRY AGAIN WITH 1 LAG

```
xwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp  
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(9)  
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(171 missing values
generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 32 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.591	2.602	0.995	0.640
Ga	-14.317	3.032	0.999	0.640
Pt	-13.803	1.964	0.975	0.400
Pa	-12.829	1.789	0.963	0.480

RUNNING THE MODEL WITHOUT ANY COUNTRY PANELS DELETED FROM THE DATABASE AND CONTROL VARIABLES

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-920      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1797-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1797 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   3604
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    45
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(267, 44)      =  72142.81
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1169
                                                Root MSE       =   6.8527
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0693235	.010999	-6.30	0.000	-.0914906	-.0471564
L_tot_oil_~p	.0270767	.0259814	1.04	0.303	-.0252854	.0794387
D_tot_oil_~p	-.0058541	.0248688	-0.24	0.815	-.0559739	.0442657
L_LogPerCa~p	.2193918	.5979354	0.37	0.715	-.9856679	1.424451
L_CivilWar~p	-.4752038	1.001412	-0.47	0.637	-2.493417	1.54301
L_REGION_D~E	.0539364	.0196231	2.75	0.009	.0143887	.0934841
L_WORLD_DE~E	.0468661	.0706458	0.66	0.511	-.0955111	.1892433
D_LogperCa~t	.2345974	2.279472	0.10	0.918	-4.359376	4.828571
D_Region_D~e	.4680474	.1601852	2.92	0.005	.1452153	.7908795
D_World_De~e	-.0234125	.3242699	-0.07	0.943	-.6769356	.6301105

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.3905842	.368573	-1.06	0.295	-1.133394	.352226

RUNNING THE MODEL ON THE TRUNCATED DATASET

```

xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-920      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1797-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1797 omitted)

```

```

Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   3423
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    32
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(254, 31)      =  1104.96
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1137
                                                Root MSE       =   6.8948

```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0668989	.0113962	-5.87	0.000	-.0901416	-.0436561
L_tot_oil~p	.0289945	.0259595	1.12	0.273	-.0239502	.0819392
D_tot_oil~p	-.0012881	.0247723	-0.05	0.959	-.0518116	.0492354
L_LogPerCa~p	.1903786	.6046384	0.31	0.755	-1.04279	1.423547
L_CivilWar~p	-.5786045	1.062	-0.54	0.590	-2.744568	1.587359
L_REGION_D~E	.0513256	.0198904	2.58	0.015	.010759	.0918923
L_WORLD_DE~E	.0549913	.071205	0.77	0.446	-.0902323	.2002148
D_LogperCa~t	-.1608185	2.378034	-0.07	0.947	-5.01085	4.689213
D_Region_D~e	.4901046	.1681736	2.91	0.007	.1471122	.8330969
D_World_De~e	-.0725041	.3400953	-0.21	0.833	-.7661329	.6211248

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.4334081	.3816086	-1.14	0.265	-1.211704	.3448878

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE COINTEGRATION TESTS AND THE REGRESSIONS RUN FOR A SERIES OF ROBUSTNESS CHECKS FOR TABLE 7, COLUMNS 3 and 4 OF DO NATURAL RESOURCES FUEL AUTHORITARIANISM? THESE REGRESSIONS DO NOT APPEAR IN THE PAPER, BUT ARE IN THE APPENDIX. These regressions are run using an alternative way of coding poor, very poor and rich countries (see appendix on sources and methods)

EACH OF THE COINTEGRATION TESTS, AND EACH OF THE ROBUSTNESS TESTS, IS DOCUMENTED HERE, AS IS THE ACTUAL REGRESSION ESTIMATED.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, one must multiply by -1. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the LRM just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. So, simply multiply the following formula by -1 to get the LRM: nlcom
_b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]

NOTE BENE:

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania only observed since 1991, after the dissolution of the USSR, and not before the emergence of the USSR in 1917, when they were independent states. This is because the ECM co-integration tests cannot be performed on data series with gaps. Therefore, these 3 countries are only observed since 1991.

NOTA BENE:

THE BIC STATISTIC CHOSE THE MODEL WITH ZERO LAGS OF DIFFERENCED TOTAL OIL INCOME. Therefore, those are the type of ECM Models we run below: Total Oil Income is only differenced one time.

NOTA BENE:

We always start with the Westerlund Cointegration Tests and then estimate cross-section time-series regressions after that to see what direction the cointegration relationship may have.

NOTE BENE:

Sometimes we have to truncate the dataset in order to run the Westerlund Cointegration Tests. This is because often they can only be calculated with a minimum lag length. However, we always estimate regressions on both the truncated sample and the entire dataset for comparisons sake.

NOTA BENE:

The models in the paper, and the regressions run for robustness and in the appendix, are calculated using Driscoll Kraay Standard Errors (although we also check for robustness by estimating robust standard errors). This is the Stata command xtsce. For the Newey West adjustment we use 1 lag length.

THESE TESTS USE CONTROL VARIABLES

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(9)
bootstrap(25)

With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 21 observations are
required.

Following series do not contain sufficient observations.

hmccode	Freq.
347	15
359	16
860	5

drop if hmccode == 347 | hmccode == 359 | hmccode == 704

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(9)
bootstrap(25)

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 51 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-3.530	-4.001	0.000	0.000
Ga	-14.086	4.007	1.000	0.120
Pt	-21.750	-1.863	0.031	0.160
Pa	-14.722	0.760	0.776	0.320

NOW WE TRY AGAIN WITH 1 LAG

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interpol CivilWar_Interpol
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(9)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
 Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
 With 51 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-3.422	-3.164	0.001	0.000
Ga	-13.724	4.288	1.000	0.280
Pt	-20.835	-0.944	0.173	0.040
Pa	-12.663	2.389	0.992	0.480

RUNNING THE MODEL WITHOUT ANY COUNTRY PANELS DELETED FROM THE DATABASE AND CONTROL VARIABLES

NO LAGS OF D.TOTAL OIL INCOME

```
xi: xtsc D_polity_s_interpol L_polity_s_interpol L_tot_oil_inc_interpol D_tot_oil_inc_interpol
L_LogPerCapGDP_interpol L_CivilWar_interpol L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode _Ihmccode_2-860 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors      Number of obs      =      3956
Method: Pooled OLS                                Number of groups   =        54
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(270, 53)        =      791.83
maximum lag: 1                                    Prob > F           =      0.0000
                                                    R-squared          =      0.1333
                                                    Root MSE          =      8.3920
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
L_polity_s~p	-.0887309	.0111106	-7.99	0.000	-.1110159 -.0664459
L_tot_oil~p	.5264971	.2436014	2.16	0.035	.0378945 1.0151
D_tot_oil~p	-.7552495	.2443282	-3.09	0.003	-1.24531 -.2651891
L_LogPerCa~p	-.2382098	.5872069	-0.41	0.687	-1.415998 .9395782
L_CivilWar~p	-.3082803	.7163204	-0.43	0.669	-1.745037 1.128477
L_REGION_D~E	.0289045	.0117848	2.45	0.018	.0052672 .0525417
L_WORLD_DE~E	-.2507267	.0373641	-6.71	0.000	-.3256697 -.1757837
D_LogperCa~t	2.824194	2.984089	0.95	0.348	-3.161131 8.809519
D_Region_D~e	.5388151	.1102265	4.89	0.000	.3177287 .7599014
D_World_De~e	-.0204172	.1063561	-0.19	0.848	-.2337405 .1929062

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpol]/_b[L_polity_s_interpol]
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interpol]/_b[L_polity_s_interpol]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-5.933639	2.633471	-2.25	0.028	-11.21571 -.6515649

RUNNING THE MODEL ON THE TRUNCATED DATASET

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_2-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006     (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   3923
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    51
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(267,   50)    =   590.49
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1320
                                                Root MSE       =   8.3694
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.0883703	.0111892	-7.90	0.000	-.1108446	-.0658961
L_tot_oil~p	.4946049	.2453606	2.02	0.049	.0017836	.9874262
D_tot_oil~p	-.6638738	.223556	-2.97	0.005	-1.112899	-.2148484
L_LogPerCa~p	-.1826992	.5858064	-0.31	0.756	-1.359326	.9939275
L_CivilWar~p	-.2337391	.7052948	-0.33	0.742	-1.650365	1.182887
L_REGION_D~E	.0285146	.0118589	2.40	0.020	.0046952	.052334
L_WORLD_DE~E	-.2519964	.0371295	-6.79	0.000	-.3265732	-.1774196
D_LogperCa~t	2.206088	3.107969	0.71	0.481	-4.036452	8.448628
D_Region_D~e	.5357374	.1112416	4.82	0.000	.3123019	.7591728
D_World_De~e	-.0121203	.1060736	-0.11	0.909	-.2251753	.2009347

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-5.596956	2.658218	-2.11	0.040	-10.93614	-.2577667

THESE ANALYSES USE CONTROL VARIABLES

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interpol CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(7)
bootstrap(25)
With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 21 observations are
required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.

```
-----  
hmccode |      Freq.  
-----+-----  
      860 |          5  
-----
```

xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interpol CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(7)
bootstrap(25)

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 15 series and 5 covariates

```
-----+-----  
Statistic | Value | Z-value | P-value | Robust P-value |  
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+  
Gt | -2.820 | 0.820 | 0.794 | 0.400 |  
Ga | -11.134 | 3.415 | 1.000 | 0.840 |  
Pt | -11.576 | -0.790 | 0.215 | 0.400 |  
Pa | -14.132 | 0.665 | 0.747 | 0.400 |  
-----+-----
```

NOW WE TRY AGAIN WITH 1 LAG

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp  
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(7)  
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 15 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.993	0.090	0.536	0.120
Ga	-9.371	4.156	1.000	0.840
Pt	-10.008	0.784	0.784	0.440
Pa	-9.959	2.457	0.993	0.600

RUNNING THE MODEL WITHOUT ANY COUNTRY PANELS DELETED FROM THE DATABASE AND CONTROL VARIABLES

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_101-860 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_101 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1008
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    16
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(232, 15)      =    6.26
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =    0.0001
                                                R-squared       =    0.1643
                                                Root MSE       =    9.3661
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.1052295	.0233789	-4.50	0.000	-.1550605	-.0553985
L_tot_oil~p	1.371149	.6120463	2.24	0.041	.0666032	2.675695
D_tot_oil~p	-.5738526	.8620223	-0.67	0.516	-2.41121	1.263504
L_LogPerCa~p	1.035002	.8106146	1.28	0.221	-.6927821	2.762786
L_CivilWar~p	-1.321463	1.004642	-1.32	0.208	-3.462807	.8198806
L_REGION_DE~E	.0097632	.0264533	0.37	0.717	-.0466207	.0661471
L_WORLD_DE~E	-.0973281	.0307814	-3.16	0.006	-.1629372	-.031719
D_LogperCa~t	-3.739863	5.146389	-0.73	0.479	-14.70913	7.229406
D_Region_De~e	.3731792	.1250526	2.98	0.009	.1066359	.6397224
D_World_De~e	-.1441082	.1202426	-1.20	0.249	-.4003992	.1121829

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
      _nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-13.03008	4.733135	-2.75	0.015	-23.11852	-2.941646

RUNNING THE MODEL ON THE TRUNCATED DATASET

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_101-850 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_101 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1004
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    15
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(231, 14)      =    6.38
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0002
                                                R-squared       =   0.1643
                                                Root MSE       =   9.3815
```

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_polity_s~p	-.1050995	.023418	-4.49	0.001	-.1553261	-.054873
L_tot_oil~p	1.315638	.6279908	2.09	0.055	-.031268	2.662545
D_tot_oil~p	-.5449975	.8741352	-0.62	0.543	-2.419831	1.329836
L_LogPerCa~p	1.049157	.8111709	1.29	0.217	-.6906312	2.788946
L_CivilWar~p	-1.321511	1.004434	-1.32	0.209	-3.475808	.8327862
L_REGION_D~E	.0093455	.0265696	0.35	0.730	-.0476407	.0663317
L_WORLD_DE~E	-.0983351	.0307529	-3.20	0.006	-.1642935	-.0323767
D_LogperCa~t	-3.691897	5.147303	-0.72	0.485	-14.73176	7.34797
D_Region_D~e	.3757767	.1257046	2.99	0.010	.1061672	.6453862
D_World_De~e	-.1433537	.1204066	-1.19	0.254	-.4016002	.1148928

nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]

_nl_1: _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]

D_polity_s~p	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-12.51802	4.869977	-2.57	0.022	-22.96309	-2.072963

THESE ANALYSES USE CONTROL VARIABLES

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(0) leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
With 0 lag(s), 1 lead(s) and a constant and a trend at least 21 observations are
required.
Following series do not contain sufficient observations.
```

```
-----+-----
hmccode |      Freq.
-----+-----
    316 |         14
    317 |         14
    344 |         15
    349 |         15
    368 |         16
    369 |         15
    370 |         15
    372 |         15
    373 |         15
    701 |         15
    702 |         15
    703 |         15
    704 |         15
    705 |         15
-----+-----
```

**drop if hmccode == 316 | hmccode == 317 | hmccode == 344 | hmccode == 349 | hmccode == 368 | hmccode == 369 |
hmccode == 370 | hmccode == 372 | hmccode == 373 | hmccode == 701 | hmccode == 702 | hmccode == 703 | hmccode ==
704 | hmccode == 705**

```
xtwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags (0) leads(1) lrwindow(8)
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(171 missing values
generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 26 series and 5 covariates

```
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
Statistic | Value | Z-value | P-value | Robust P-value |
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
    Gt    | -2.541 | 2.623   | 0.996   | 0.840           |
    Ga    | -12.003 | 4.015  | 1.000   | 0.920           |
    Pt    | -11.979 | 2.235  | 0.987   | 0.520           |
    Pa    | -12.156 | 1.993  | 0.977   | 0.640           |
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
```

NOW WE TRY AGAIN WITH 1 LAG

```
xwest polity_s_interpolate TOI_INC LogPerCapGDP_interp CivilWar_Interp  
REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE, constant trend lags(1) leads(1) lrwindow(8)  
bootstrap(25)
```

Bootstrapping critical values under H0.....
Calculating Westerlund ECM panel cointegration tests.....(171 missing values
generated)

Results for H0: no cointegration
With 26 series and 5 covariates

Statistic	Value	Z-value	P-value	Robust P-value
Gt	-2.407	3.362	1.000	0.840
Ga	-13.077	3.420	1.000	0.680
Pt	-11.619	2.596	0.995	0.400
Pa	-12.111	2.018	0.978	0.480

RUNNING THE MODEL WITHOUT ANY COUNTRY PANELS DELETED FROM THE DATABASE AND CONTROL VARIABLES

```
xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1)
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-920      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1797-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1797 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2691
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    40
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(262, 39)      = 6.78e+07
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        = 0.0000
                                                R-squared       = 0.1096
                                                Root MSE       = 7.0522
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p	-.0687286	.0117753	-5.84	0.000	-.0925464	-.0449108
L_polity_s~p	-.0066248	.0246424	-0.27	0.789	-.0564686	.0432191
D_tot_oil~p	-.0038078	.027757	-0.14	0.892	-.0599516	.052336
L_LogPerCa~p	.8916748	.6477004	1.38	0.176	-.4184229	2.201773
L_CivilWar~p	.3661218	1.42484	0.26	0.799	-2.515889	3.248133
L_REGION_D~E	.0397023	.0245433	1.62	0.114	-.0099412	.0893458
L_WORLD_DE~E	.1441508	.077922	1.85	0.072	-.0134613	.3017628
D_LogperCa~t	-.7058638	3.03649	-0.23	0.817	-6.847744	5.436016
D_Region_D~e	.1794097	.0767521	2.34	0.025	.024164	.3346554
D_World_De~e	-.2322774	.1798233	-1.29	0.204	-.5960043	.1314496

```
nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.0963906	.3598269	0.27	0.790	-.6314281	.8242093

RUNNING THE MODEL ON THE TRUNCATED DATASET

```

xi: xtscd D_polity_s_interp L_polity_s_interp L_tot_oil_inc_interp D_tot_oil_inc_interp
L_LogPerCapGDP_interp L_CivilWar_interp L_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE L_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_LogperCapGDP_int D_Region_Dem_Diffuse D_World_Dem_Diffuse i.hmccode i.year, lag(1
> )
i.hmccode      _Ihmccode_40-920      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
i.year         _Iyear_1797-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1797 omitted)

```

```

Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2496
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    26
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(248, 25)      =  1285.72
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1040
                                                Root MSE       =   7.1475

```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_polity_s~p						
L_polity_s~p	-.0655164	.0123192	-5.32	0.000	-.0908882	-.0401445
L_tot_oil~p	-.0038053	.0245895	-0.15	0.878	-.0544484	.0468378
D_tot_oil~p	.0036082	.0275839	0.13	0.897	-.0532019	.0604183
L_LogPerCa~p	.7930394	.6568206	1.21	0.239	-.559708	2.145787
L_CivilWar~p	.40156	1.571011	0.26	0.800	-2.833998	3.637118
L_REGION_D~E	.0370474	.0248984	1.49	0.149	-.0142318	.0883266
L_WORLD_DE~E	.1475206	.0787833	1.87	0.073	-.0147366	.3097778
D_LogperCa~t	-1.541601	3.267099	-0.47	0.641	-8.270316	5.187115
D_Region_D~e	.1794546	.0823328	2.18	0.039	.0098871	.3490221
D_World_De~e	-.230257	.191246	-1.20	0.240	-.6241354	.1636215

```
. nlcom _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

```
_nl_1:  _b[L_tot_oil_inc_interp]/_b[L_polity_s_interp]
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.0580823	.375959	0.15	0.878	-.7162198	.8323844

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE DIFFERENCE-IN-DIFFERENCES REGRESSIONS REPRODUCED IN TABLE 8, AS WELL AS SEVERAL ROBUSTNESS TESTS DISCUSSED IN DO NATURAL RESOURCES FUEL AUTHORITARIANISM? IT ALSO INCLUDES GMM INSTRUMENTAL VARIABLES REGRESSIONS (ONE OF WHICH APPEARS IN COLUMN 2 OF TABLE 8).

SEVERAL ROBUSTNESS TESTS, AND THE ACTUAL REGRESSION ESTIMATED, ARE DOCUMENTED HERE.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the LRM, we used the Delta Method, which were performed by Stata using the nlcom command. NOTE: This formula differs from the ECM Regression Formula because this is an ARDL Model in First Differences and expressed algebraically as an ARDL Model. Therefore, the formula is:
`nlcom(_b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
(_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined]))`.

As opposed to the LRM for the ECM's, we actually include the -1 implicitly in the nlcom script here so there is no need to perform further calculations.

NOTE BENE:

Estonia, Latvia and Lithuania only observed since 1991, after the dissolution of the USSR, and not before the emergence of the USSR in 1917, when they were independent states. This is because the ECM co-integration tests cannot be performed on data series with gaps. Therefore, these 3 countries are only observed since 1991.

NOTA BENE:

The models in the paper, and the regressions run for robustness and in the appendix, are usually calculated using Driscoll Kraay Standard Errors (although we also check for robustness by estimating robust standard errors). This is the Stata command `xtsce`. For the Newey West adjustment we use 1 lag length.

The GMM IV regressions use different adjustments for non-spherical errors and those are addressed below.

NOTA BENE:

In this section we reproduce all of the "conditions" that were outlined in the Panel Cointegration Tests and ECM regressions. Moreover, each of the models was run two ways: as a static model and as an infinitely distributed dynamic model. However, Table 8 only depicts 7 regressions. Therefore, in order to distinguish between regressions actually displayed in Table 8 and regressions mentioned in the paper and/or reproduced in the appendix, we describe in parentheses in what column the regression appears in Table 8 or, if it does not appear there, we call it a "robustness regression." This is the case even if it is discussed in the paper. In other words, "robustness regression simply means that it does not appear in Table 8).

UNCONDITIONAL STATIC MODEL (TABLE 8, COLUMN 1)

```

xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap
Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year      _Iyear_1777-2008      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
i.hmccode   _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)

```

```

Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   9909
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =   163
Group variable (i): hmccode                      F(402, 162)    =2690025.05
maximum lag: 1                                   Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.0220
                                                Root MSE      =   8.1596

```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_Total_Oi~e	-.0857407	.069669	-1.23	0.220	-.2233173	.0518358
D_logGDPpe~p	1.578853	1.79434	0.88	0.380	-1.964458	5.122165
Civil_War_~h	-.4741628	.5343159	-0.89	0.376	-1.529285	.5809593
D_REGION_D~E	-.1269432	.0407479	-3.12	0.002	-.2074087	-.0464777
D_WORLD_DE~E	.0069666	.0702461	0.10	0.921	-.1317496	.1456827

BENCHMARK: The static model without any instruments during the same time period (used to compare to Column 2 in Table 8 because of missing data in Column 2 due to lack of coverage on instruments)

```
xi: xtscd D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap
Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode if year
>1942 & reserves_billions != . & reserves_interp_area != . & sum_reserves_region != .,
lag(1)
```

```
i.year          _Iyear_1777-2008      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-950       (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   7087
Method: Pooled OLS                               Number of groups =   159
Group variable (i): hmccode                       F(405, 158)    =  291.19
maximum lag: 1                                    Prob > F       =  0.0000
                                                    R-squared      =  0.0255
                                                    Root MSE      =  8.5528
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_Total_Oi~e	-.0891779	.0701177	-1.27	0.205	-.2276669	.049311
D_logGDPpe~p	2.648342	2.351244	1.13	0.262	-1.995582	7.292266
Civil_War_~h	-.4099212	.5698597	-0.72	0.473	-1.535447	.7156041
D_REGION_D~E	-.1487534	.0414362	-3.59	0.000	-.2305938	-.0669131
D_WORLD_DE~E	-.6337572	.0525598	-12.06	0.000	-.7375677	-.5299467

WE NEED TO KNOW IF WE CAN USE REGULAR TWO STAGE LEAST SQUARES OR INSTEAD USE GMM

```
quietly xi: ivreg D_treatment_minus_combined D_logGDPpercap D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE Civil_War_Gledistsch i.hmccode i.year (D_Total_Oil_Income =
reserves_billions reserves_interp_area sum_reserves_region) if year >1942, r
cluster(hmccode)
```

```
ivhettest, ivlev all
IV heteroskedasticity test(s) using levels of IVs only
Ho: Disturbance is homoskedastic
Pagan-Hall general test statistic : 389.537 Chi-sq(355) P-value = 0.1001
Pagan-Hall test w/assumed normality : 5166.481 Chi-sq(355) P-value = 0.0000
White/Koenker nR2 test statistic : 406.135 Chi-sq(355) P-value = 0.0315
Breusch-Pagan/Godfrey/Cook-Weisberg : 5803.332 Chi-sq(355) P-value = 0.0000
```

```
abar, lag(1)
Arellano-Bond test for AR(1): z = 0.29 Pr > z = 0.7696
```

USE GMM METHOD WITH ROBUST OPTION TO ADDRESS HETEROSKEDASTICITY (NO SERIAL CORRELATION DETECTED). THIS IS THE REGRESSION REPRODUCED IN TABLE 8, COLUMN 2.

```
xi: ivregress gmm D_treatment_minus_combined D_logGDPpercap D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE Civil_War_Gledistsch i.hmccode i.year (D_Total_Oil_Income =
reserves_billions reserves_interp_area sum_reserves_region) if year >1942, r
cluster(hmccode) wmatrix(robust)
```

```
Instrumental variables (GMM) regression                                Number of obs = 7087
                                                                    Wald chi2(225) = 6.4e+08
                                                                    Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
                                                                    R-squared = 0.0008
                                                                    Root MSE = 8.5213

GMM weight matrix: Robust
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 159 clusters in hmccode)

	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_Total_Oi~e	-1.092771	.6365144	-1.72	0.086	-2.340317	.1547739
D_logGDPpe~p	5.175953	2.990544	1.73	0.083	-.6854049	11.03731
D_REGION_D~E	-.1501101	.0707165	-2.12	0.034	-.2887118	-.0115084
D_WORLD_DE~E	-.6190952	.1273114	-4.86	0.000	-.8686208	-.3695695
Civil_War_~h	-.3497395	.5664494	-0.62	0.537	-1.45996	.760481

F-test on Instruments:

```
( 1) reserves_billions = 0
( 2) reserves_interp_area = 0
( 3) sum_reserves_region = 0

F( 3, 158) = 8.53
Prob > F = 0.0000
```

First-stage regression summary statistics

Variable	R-sq.	Adjusted R-sq.	Partial R-sq.	Robust F(3,158)	Prob > F
D_Total_Oi~e	0.0576	0.0264	0.0017	8.53023	0.0000

(F statistic adjusted for 159 clusters in hmccode)

```
. estat endogenous
```

```
Test of endogeneity (orthogonality conditions)
Ho: variables are exogenous
```

```
GMM C statistic chi2(1) = .667257 (p = 0.4140)
. estat overid
Test of overidentifying restriction:
Hansen's J chi2(2) = 1.14037 (p = 0.5654)
```

ROBUSTNESS TEST ON POST 1972 ERA (Robustness Regression not in Table 8)

```
xi: ivregress gmm D_treatment_minus_combined D_logGDPpercap D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE Civil_War_Gledistsch i.hmccode i.year (D_Total_Oil_Income =
reserves_billions reserves_interp_area sum_reserves_region) if year >1972, r
cluster(hmccode) wmatrix(robust)
```

```
Instrumental variables (GMM) regression          Number of obs =    4715
                                                Wald chi2(195)= 1.1e+09
                                                Prob > chi2    = 0.0000
                                                R-squared     = 0.0265
GMM weight matrix: Robust                    Root MSE      = 8.3258
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 159 clusters in hmccode)

	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_Total_Oi~e	-.2650154	.7902921	-0.34	0.737	-1.813959	1.283929
D_logGDPpe~p	2.723398	4.371293	0.62	0.533	-5.844178	11.29097
D_REGION_D~E	-.0987805	.0859015	-1.15	0.250	-.2671444	.0695833
D_WORLD_DE~E	.0018865	.3271194	0.01	0.995	-.6392558	.6430288
Civil_War_~h	-1.150195	.8011517	-1.44	0.151	-2.720423	.4200337

F-test on Instruments:

- (1) reserves_billions = 0
- (2) reserves_interp_area = 0
- (3) sum_reserves_region = 0

F(3, 158) = 8.74
Prob > F = 0.0000

First-stage regression summary statistics

Variable	R-sq.	Adjusted R-sq.	Partial R-sq.	Robust F(3,158)	Prob > F
D_Total_Oi~e	0.0609	0.0199	0.0010	8.74318	0.0000

(F statistic adjusted for 159 clusters in hmccode)

estat endogenous

Test of endogeneity (orthogonality conditions)
Ho: variables are exogenous

GMM C statistic chi2(1) = .031394 (p = 0.8594)

. estat overid

Test of overidentifying restriction:

Hansen's J chi2(2) = .797056 (p = 0.6713)

ROBUSTNESS TEST: Post 1985 ERA (Robustness Regression not in Table 8)

```
xi: ivregress gmm D_treatment_minus_combined D_logGDPpercap D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE Civil_War_Gledistsch i.hmccode i.year (D_Total_Oil_Income =
reserves_billions reserves_interp_area sum_reserves_region) if year >1986, r
cluster(hmccode) wmatrix(robust)
```

```
Instrumental variables (GMM) regression          Number of obs =    2927
                                                Wald chi2(181)= 6.5e+08
                                                Prob > chi2    = 0.0000
                                                R-squared     = 0.0294
GMM weight matrix: Robust                    Root MSE      = 8.2829
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for 159 clusters in hmccode)

	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_Total_Oi~e	2.076064	3.968519	0.52	0.601	-5.70209	9.854218
D_logGDPpe~p	4.051847	3.854976	1.05	0.293	-3.503767	11.60746
D_REGION_D~E	-.083048	.0976301	-0.85	0.395	-.2743996	.1083035
D_WORLD_DE~E	.0402208	.2746869	0.15	0.884	-.4981557	.5785974
Civil_War_~h	-1.020076	.8555461	-1.19	0.233	-2.696916	.6567635

F-test on Instruments:

```
( 1) reserves_billions = 0
( 2) reserves_interp_area = 0
( 3) sum_reserves_region = 0

F( 3, 158) = 0.56
Prob > F = 0.6428
```

First-stage regression summary statistics

Variable	R-sq.	Adjusted R-sq.	Partial R-sq.	Robust F(3,158)	Prob > F
D_Total_Oi~e	0.1491	0.0923	0.0011	.559092	0.6428

(F statistic adjusted for 159 clusters in hmccode)

. estat endogenous

```
Test of endogeneity (orthogonality conditions)
Ho: variables are exogenous
```

```
GMM C statistic chi2(1) = .185401 (p = 0.6668)
```

. estat overid

```
Test of overidentifying restriction:
```

```
Hansen's J chi2(2) = .981581 (p = 0.6121)
```

DYNAMIC MODEL (NO LONGER IN IV FRAMEWORK; THIS IS THE REGRESSION IN TABLE 8, COLUMN 3)

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined L_D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income
L_D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode
i.year          _Iyear_1777-2008      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-950       (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   9783
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   163
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(404, 162)    = 9.00e+07
maximum lag: 4                                 Prob > F       = 0.0000
                                                R-squared      = 0.0243
                                                Root MSE      = 8.1623
```

```
-----+-----
```

	Drisc/Kraay				
D_treatment~d	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
L_D_treatm~d	.0152873	.0204837	0.75	0.457	-.0251621 .0557367
D_Total_Oi~e	-.0591937	.0520018	-1.14	0.257	-.1618824 .043495
L_D_Total_~e	.2843787	.0753104	3.78	0.000	.1356619 .4330954
D_logGDPpe~p	1.06415	1.693696	0.63	0.531	-2.280419 4.408718
Civil_War_~h	-.5285935	.4487964	-1.18	0.241	-1.414839 .3576519
D_REGION_D~E	-.1265935	.046282	-2.74	0.007	-.2179874 -.0351996
D_WORLD_DE~E	.0177055	.0750131	0.24	0.814	-.1304241 .1658351

```
-----+-----
```

```
nlcom(_b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
(_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined]))
```

```
      _nl_1: (_b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
(_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined]))
```

```
-----+-----
```

D_treatment~d	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.2286809	.065446	3.49	0.001	.0994436 .3579182

```
-----+-----
```

STATIC MODEL POST 1972 (ROBUSTNESS TEST NOT IN TABLE 8)

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap
Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year          _Iyear_1973-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1973 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4772
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   163
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(203, 162)    =   20.14
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.0262
                                                Root MSE      =   8.4228
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_Total_Oi~e	-.0741953	.0732494	-1.01	0.313	-.2188421	.0704516
D_logGDPpe~p	1.331108	2.591598	0.51	0.608	-3.786561	6.448778
Civil_War_~h	-1.038656	.7328297	-1.42	0.158	-2.485787	.4084741
D_REGION_D~E	-.0647423	.0499492	-1.30	0.197	-.1633779	.0338932
D_WORLD_DE~E	.0081617	.0522498	0.16	0.876	-.0950167	.1113402

DYNAMIC MODEL POST 1972 (ROBUSTNESS TEST NOT IN TABLE).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined L_D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income
L_D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode
i.year          _Iyear_1973-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1973 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-950      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   4603
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   163
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(205, 162)    =   19.31
maximum lag: 3                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.0305
                                                Root MSE      =   8.4151
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_D_treatm~d	.0048861	.0280376	0.17	0.862	-.0504802	.0602525
D_Total_Oi~e	-.0860493	.0655565	-1.31	0.191	-.2155216	.043423
L_D_Total_~e	.2885527	.0844569	3.42	0.001	.1217744	.455331
D_logGDPpe~p	.3989221	2.547568	0.16	0.876	-4.631801	5.429645
Civil_War_~h	-1.157225	.56537	-2.05	0.042	-2.273671	-.0407803
D_REGION_D~E	-.0649337	.0525427	-1.24	0.218	-.1686906	.0388232
D_WORLD_DE~E	-.0139921	.0544969	-0.26	0.798	-.1216081	.0936239

```
nlcom( _b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

```
      _nl_1:  (_b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.2034977	.1096054	1.86	0.065	-.0129419	.4199373

STATIC Threshold Model (Robustness Regression not in Table 8).

```
xi: xtscd D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap
Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode if
Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.338228, lag(1)
i.year          _Iyear_1777-2008      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-950       (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   945
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   42
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(402, 41)      = 371136.62
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.2513
                                                Root MSE       =   7.0499
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_treatment_minus_combined	-.0771959	.0683187	-1.13	0.265	-.2151683	.0607764
D_Total_Oil_Income	-4.925516	4.417241	-1.12	0.271	-13.84632	3.995283
D_logGDPpercap	-2.764638	2.591247	-1.07	0.292	-7.997767	2.468491
Civil_War_Gledistsch	-.6339132	.1339697	-4.73	0.000	-.9044706	-.3633559
D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE	-.523705	.0769766	-6.80	0.000	-.6791624	-.3682477

Dynamic Threshold Model (Robustness Regression not in Table 8).

```
xi: xtscd D_treatment_minus_combined L_D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income
L_D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode if L.Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.338228
i.year          _Iyear_1777-2008      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-950       (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   910
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   42
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(404, 41)      = 14999.41
maximum lag: 3                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.2707
                                                Root MSE       =   7.1051
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_D_treatment_minus_combined	-.0199736	.0449721	-0.44	0.659	-.1107966	.0708494
D_Total_Oil_Income	-.1380584	.0758953	-1.82	0.076	-.2913321	.0152154
L_D_Total_Oil_Income	.1861237	.0396858	4.69	0.000	.1059766	.2662708
D_logGDPpercap	-5.705832	3.702124	-1.54	0.131	-13.18242	1.77076
Civil_War_Gledistsch	-4.242903	2.469769	-1.72	0.093	-9.230704	.7448971
D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE	-.6060807	.1354481	-4.47	0.000	-.8796238	-.3325377
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE	-.5239477	.0678974	-7.72	0.000	-.6610693	-.3868261

```
nlcom( _b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

```
      _nl_1:  (_b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.0471241	.061384	0.77	0.447	-.0768434	.1710916

Threshold Model with oil reliant country years above the oil producers' average, STATIC (Robustness Regression not in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap
Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode if
Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.9708862, lag(1)
i.year          _Iyear_1777-2008      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-950       (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   528
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    28
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(402, 27)      =   547.87
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.5794
                                                Root MSE       =   4.3554
```

	Drisc/Kraay					
D_treatment~d	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_Total_Oi~e	-.0771364	.0510943	-1.51	0.143	-.1819734	.0277005
D_logGDPpe~p	-3.379698	2.161134	-1.56	0.129	-7.813979	1.054584
Civil_War_~h	3.949421	4.909079	0.80	0.428	-6.123177	14.02202
D_REGION_D~E	-.8643131	.2401711	-3.60	0.001	-1.357104	-.3715227
D_WORLD_DE~E	-2.890801	.2967251	-9.74	0.000	-3.499631	-2.281971

Threshold Model with oil reliant country years above the oil producers' average, DYNAMIC (Robustness Regression not in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined L_D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income
L_D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode if L.Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=.9708862
i.year          _Iyear_1777-2008      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-950       (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   505
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    27
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(404, 26)      =  5285.53
maximum lag: 3                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.5645
                                                Root MSE       =   4.4312
```

	Drisc/Kraay					
D_treatment~d	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_D_treatm~d	-.0437593	.0574422	-0.76	0.453	-.1618334	.0743147
D_Total_Oi~e	-.0881746	.049548	-1.78	0.087	-.190022	.0136727
L_D_Total_~e	.1208016	.0216479	5.58	0.000	.0763037	.1652996
D_logGDPpe~p	-3.27285	2.953519	-1.11	0.278	-9.343896	2.798196
Civil_War_~h	3.040622	3.53622	0.86	0.398	-4.228182	10.30943
D_REGION_D~E	-.8311794	.2238429	-3.71	0.001	-1.291295	-.3710638
D_WORLD_DE~E	.101724	.1624677	0.63	0.537	-.2322331	.4356811

```
nlcom( _b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
(_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined]))
```

```
      _nl_1:  (_b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
(_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined]))
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.0312591	.0555288	0.56	0.578	-.0828819	.1454002

Threshold Model with oil reliant country years above the oil producers' average, STATIC (Robustness Regression not in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap
Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode if
Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=2.954299, lag(1)
i.year          _Iyear_1777-2008      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-950       (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   296
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   14
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F(402, 13)      =   7.81
maximum lag: 1                                  Prob > F        =   0.0001
                                                R-squared       =   0.8246
                                                Root MSE       =   2.4209
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_treatment	-.0086617	.0321242	-0.27	0.792	-.0780618	.0607385
D_Total_Oil_Income	-1.135361	2.048213	-0.55	0.589	-5.560257	3.289535
D_logGDPpercap	5.006536	1.614904	3.10	0.008	1.517748	8.495325
Civil_War	-1.552664	.2971692	-5.22	0.000	-2.194659	-.9106689
D_REGION_DEM	-.7562917	.3886576	-1.95	0.074	-1.595935	.083352

Threshold Model with oil reliant country years above the oil producers' average, DYNAMIC (Robustness Regression not in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined L_D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income
L_D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode if L.Total_Oil_Income_PC_interp >=2.954299
i.year          _Iyear_1777-2008      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-950       (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   285
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   14
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F(404, 13)      =   51.26
maximum lag: 3                                  Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.8549
                                                Root MSE       =   2.2288
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_D_treatment	.0663559	.0303075	2.19	0.047	.0008805	.1318312
D_Total_Oil_Income	.0005409	.0295579	0.02	0.986	-.063315	.0643968
L_D_Total_Oil_Income	.0963816	.0530122	1.82	0.092	-.0181443	.2109074
D_logGDPpercap	-2.230413	2.32971	-0.96	0.356	-7.263446	2.802619
Civil_War	(dropped)					
D_REGION_DEM	-1.521764	.3036486	-5.01	0.000	-2.177757	-.8657708
D_WORLD_DEM	-1.130872	.4181685	-2.70	0.018	-2.03427	-.2274738

```
nlcom( _b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

```
_nl_1: ( _b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.1038109	.0601513	1.73	0.108	-.0261381	.23376

SUBSAHARAN AFRICA STATIC REGRESSIONS (Robustness Regression not in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap
Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year      _Iyear_1847-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1847 omitted)
i.hmccode   _Ihmccode_404-625 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_404 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1892
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    45
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(208, 44)     =  1969.61
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared     =   0.0505
                                                Root MSE     =   9.6489
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_Total_Oi~e	-.2071251	.2855447	-0.73	0.472	-.7826027	.3683525
D_logGDPpe~p	6.555591	3.818764	1.72	0.093	-1.140622	14.2518
Civil_War_~h	-1.035824	.8518749	-1.22	0.230	-2.752665	.6810168
D_REGION_D~E	-.8356059	.0019882	-420.29	0.000	-.8396128	-.831599
D_WORLD_DE~E	-1.617138	.0052764	-306.49	0.000	-1.627772	-1.606504

DYNAMIC SUBSAHARAN REGRESSIONS (Robustness Regression not in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined L_D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income
L_D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode
i.year      _Iyear_1847-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1847 omitted)
i.hmccode   _Ihmccode_404-625 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_404 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1849
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    45
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(210, 44)     = 20401.09
maximum lag: 3                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared     =   0.0517
                                                Root MSE     =   9.6497
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_D_treatm~d	.0263788	.0317088	0.83	0.410	-.0375262	.0902837
D_Total_Oi~e	-.3291071	.2767205	-1.19	0.241	-.8868007	.2285865
L_D_Total_~e	-.1299943	.22085	-0.59	0.559	-.5750882	.3150997
D_logGDPpe~p	6.647174	3.395551	1.96	0.057	-1.1961087	13.49046
Civil_War_~h	-1.027922	.7256539	-1.42	0.164	-2.490381	.4345376
D_REGION_D~E	-.8356536	.0017678	-472.70	0.000	-.8392164	-.8320907
D_WORLD_DE~E	-1.617012	.0046916	-344.66	0.000	-1.626467	-1.607556

```
nlcom( _b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

```
      _nl_1: ( _b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.47154	.4418183	-1.07	0.292	-1.361966	.4188862

LATIN AMERICAN REGRESSIONS STATIC (Robustness Regression not in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap
Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year      _Iyear_1811-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1811 omitted)
i.hmccode   _Ihmccode_40-165      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1903
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   20
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(221, 19)      =   1.44
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.1768
                                                R-squared       =   0.0553
                                                Root MSE       =   9.6960
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_Total_Oi~e	.1557198	.6216649	0.25	0.805	-1.14544	1.456879
D_logGDPpe~p	2.682449	4.227609	0.63	0.533	-6.166039	11.53094
Civil_War_~h	.1387815	1.317796	0.11	0.917	-2.619397	2.89696
D_REGION_D~E	.6687276	.4135618	1.62	0.122	-.1968672	1.534323
D_WORLD_DE~E	-.2642401	.0871991	-3.03	0.007	-.4467499	-.0817302

LATIN AMERICAN DYNAMIC (Robustness Regression not in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined L_D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income
L_D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode
i.year      _Iyear_1811-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1811 omitted)
i.hmccode   _Ihmccode_40-165      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1896
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   20
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(223, 19)      =   3.03
maximum lag: 4                                 Prob > F        =   0.0032
                                                R-squared       =   0.0535
                                                Root MSE       =   9.7050
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_D_treatm~d	-.0054279	.0313504	-0.17	0.864	-.071045	.0601892
D_Total_Oi~e	.1529587	.7412925	0.21	0.839	-1.398584	1.704502
L_D_Total_~e	.1586691	.4996854	0.32	0.754	-.8871845	1.204523
D_logGDPpe~p	2.51013	4.440571	0.57	0.579	-6.784091	11.80435
Civil_War_~h	.0703363	1.320336	0.05	0.958	-2.693159	2.833832
D_REGION_D~E	.6669846	.4615466	1.45	0.165	-.2990435	1.633013
D_WORLD_DE~E	-.2599123	.0843146	-3.08	0.006	-.4363849	-.0834398

```
nlcom( _b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

```
      _nl_1: ( _b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.3099455	1.025476	0.30	0.766	-1.8364	2.456291

MENA STATIC MODEL (Robustness Regression not in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap
Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year          _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_600-698 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_600 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   905
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   18
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(228, 17)      =   8.15
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.3326
                                                Root MSE       =   7.1369
```

	Drisc/Kraay				
D_treatment	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
D_Total_Oil_Income	-.0715637	.0493422	-1.45	0.165	-.1756667 .0325392
D_logGDPpercap	.0112727	3.800319	0.00	0.998	-8.006699 8.029244
Civil_War_Gledistsch	-.3185198	1.631492	-0.20	0.848	-3.760668 3.123628
D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE	7.149522	.1608034	44.46	0.000	6.810257 7.488788
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE	.0000757	.0255212	0.00	0.998	-.0537692 .0539207

MENA DYNAMIC MODEL (Robustness Regression not in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined L_D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income
L_D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode
i.year          _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_600-698 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_600 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   893
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   18
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(230, 17)      =   29.13
maximum lag: 3                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.3349
                                                Root MSE       =   7.1735
```

	Drisc/Kraay				
D_treatment	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
L_D_treatment	-.0261331	.0409027	-0.64	0.531	-.1124302 .060164
D_Total_Oil_Income	-.0666952	.0471563	-1.41	0.175	-.1661864 .0327959
L_D_Total_Oil_Income	.1057906	.0227511	4.65	0.000	.05779 .1537912
D_logGDPpercap	-.6842048	3.962323	-0.17	0.865	-9.043975 7.675565
Civil_War_Gledistsch	-.4003004	1.580311	-0.25	0.803	-3.734466 2.933865
D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE	7.213106	.1746344	41.30	0.000	6.844466 7.581552
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE	-.033405	.0517541	-0.65	0.527	-.1425965 .0757865

```
nlcom( _b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

```
_nl_1: ( _b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	.0380997	.0542104	0.70	0.492	-.0762741 .1524736

CENTRAL ASIA AND EASTERN EUROPE STATIC MODEL (Robustness Regression not in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap
Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year          _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_290-712 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_290 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   858
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   30
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(241, 29)      =  276.15
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =  0.0000
                                                R-squared       =  0.1602
                                                Root MSE       =  6.8281
```

D_treatment_minus_combined	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_Total_Oil_Income	.1376939	2.293533	0.06	0.953	-4.553107	4.828495
D_logGDPpercap	6.851465	4.417217	1.55	0.132	-2.182757	15.88569
Civil_War_Gledistsch	1.207299	1.494312	0.81	0.426	-1.848912	4.26351
D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE	1.08702	.3801548	2.86	0.008	.3095156	1.864523
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE	-.5094557	.0623627	-8.17	0.000	-.6370018	-.3819096

CENTRAL ASIA AND EASTERN EUROPE DYNAMIC MODEL (Robustness Regression not in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined L_D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income
L_D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode
i.year          _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_290-712 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_290 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   829
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   30
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(243, 29)      =  365.18
maximum lag: 3                                 Prob > F        =  0.0000
                                                R-squared       =  0.1663
                                                Root MSE       =  6.8828
```

D_treatment_minus_combined	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_D_treatment_minus_combined	-.0269833	.0662383	-0.41	0.687	-.1624559	.1084893
D_Total_Oil_Income	-.7074164	2.661952	-0.27	0.792	-6.15172	4.736887
L_D_Total_Oil_Income	4.772842	3.780949	1.26	0.217	-2.960066	12.50575
D_logGDPpercap	.2015154	3.149174	0.06	0.949	-6.239268	6.642299
Civil_War_Gledistsch	1.966242	1.311141	1.50	0.145	-.7153429	4.647828
D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE	1.060142	.287073	3.69	0.001	.4730121	1.647273
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE	-.4085715	.3143019	-1.30	0.204	-1.051391	.2342481

```
nlcom( _b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

```
_nl_1: ( _b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

D_treatment_minus_combined	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	3.958609	2.683771	1.48	0.151	-1.53032	9.447538

SOUTHEAST ASIA STATIC MODEL (Robustness Regression not in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap
Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year      _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
i.hmccode   _Ihmccode_775-860 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_775 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   463
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   10
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F(220, 9)       =   62.26
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.0843
                                                Root MSE       =   9.5110
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_Total_Oi~e	.7631779	3.589265	0.21	0.836	-7.356303	8.882659
D_logGDPpe~p	-11.40146	6.793927	-1.68	0.128	-26.77039	3.967467
Civil_War_~h	-.02166	1.682705	-0.01	0.990	-3.828202	3.784882
D_REGION_D~E	-.9129719	.0758423	-12.04	0.000	-1.084539	-.7414048
D_WORLD_DE~E	-.4662822	.4304345	-1.08	0.307	-1.439993	.5074284

SOUTHEAST ASIA DYNAMIC MODEL (Robustness Regression not in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined L_D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income
L_D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode
i.year      _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
i.hmccode   _Ihmccode_775-860 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_775 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   453
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   10
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F(222, 9)       =  105.01
maximum lag: 3                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.0897
                                                Root MSE       =   9.6066
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_D_treatm~d	-.0262956	.0757052	-0.35	0.736	-.1975527	.1449615
D_Total_Oi~e	-.0451747	5.243352	-0.01	0.993	-11.90646	11.81611
L_D_Total_~e	9.298683	3.057577	3.04	0.014	2.381963	16.2154
D_logGDPpe~p	-9.654029	6.330976	-1.52	0.162	-23.97569	4.667634
Civil_War_~h	.009817	1.649357	0.01	0.995	-3.721287	3.740921
D_REGION_D~E	-.9174421	.0750784	-12.22	0.000	-1.087281	-.747603
D_WORLD_DE~E	-.4674283	.4635336	-1.01	0.340	-1.516014	.5811575

```
. nlcom(_b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

```
      _nl_1: (_b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	9.016416	6.484528	1.39	0.198	-5.652607	23.68544

LOW INEQUALITY STATIC MODEL (Robustness Regression not In Table 8)

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap
Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year          _Iyear_1960-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-950 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2632
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    66
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(117, 65)     =   135.26
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =    0.0000
                                                R-squared     =    0.0507
                                                Root MSE     =    6.5567
```

D_treatment_minus_combined	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_Total_Oil_Income	-.0880688	.0793075	-1.11	0.271	-.2464569	.0703193
D_logGDPpercap	1.659192	2.342604	0.71	0.481	-3.019311	6.337695
Civil_War_Gledistsch	-1.230147	1.279853	-0.96	0.340	-3.78619	1.325897
D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE	-.1247517	.1181316	-1.06	0.295	-.3606768	.1111735
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE	-.0422445	.0942808	-0.45	0.656	-.2305362	.1460472

LOW INEQUALITY DYNAMIC MODEL (This is COLUMN 4, TABLE 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined L_D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income
L_D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode
i.year          _Iyear_1960-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-950 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2562
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    66
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(119, 65)     =  1905.41
maximum lag: 3                                 Prob > F       =    0.0000
                                                R-squared     =    0.0624
                                                Root MSE     =    6.5476
```

D_treatment_minus_combined	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_D_treatment_minus_combined	.0919229	.0332274	2.77	0.007	.0255631	.1582826
D_Total_Oil_Income	-.0350218	.0633765	-0.55	0.582	-.1615934	.0915499
L_D_Total_Oil_Income	.2489465	.0621622	4.00	0.000	.1248001	.3730929
D_logGDPpercap	.8683702	2.366011	0.37	0.715	-3.85688	5.59362
Civil_War_Gledistsch	-1.244019	1.102542	-1.13	0.263	-3.445947	.9579088
D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE	-.1097073	.1297128	-0.85	0.401	-.3687616	.1493471
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE	-.0531609	.0977268	-0.54	0.588	-.2483349	.142013

```
nlcom( _b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

```
      _nl_1:  (_b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

D_treatment_minus_combined	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.2355799	.0843974	2.79	0.007	.0670267	.4041331

HIGH INEQUALITY STATIC MODEL (Robustness Regression not shown in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap
Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year          _Iyear_1960-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_40-850 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2753
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    67
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(117, 66)     =   571.72
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =    0.0000
                                                R-squared      =    0.0204
                                                Root MSE      =   10.2340
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_Total_Oi~e	-.0707173	.0597896	-1.18	0.241	-.190091	.0486564
D_logGDPpe~p	2.552733	4.56316	0.56	0.578	-6.557909	11.66338
Civil_War_~h	-.0795022	.9344707	-0.09	0.932	-1.945233	1.786229
D_REGION_D~E	-.0986944	.0696972	-1.42	0.161	-.2378493	.0404605
D_WORLD_DE~E	-.4956715	.0754926	-6.57	0.000	-.6463974	-.3449457

HIGH INEQUALITY DYNAMIC MODEL (This is COLUMN 5, TABLE 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined L_D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income
L_D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode
i.year          _Iyear_1960-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_40-850 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2682
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    67
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(119, 66)     =   138.84
maximum lag: 3                                 Prob > F       =    0.0000
                                                R-squared      =    0.0245
                                                Root MSE      =   10.2350
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_D_treatm~d	-.0095246	.0242087	-0.39	0.695	-.0578589	.0388096
D_Total_Oi~e	-.0681312	.0422207	-1.61	0.111	-.1524275	.016165
L_D_Total_~e	.3279271	.100417	3.27	0.002	.1274382	.5284161
D_logGDPpe~p	1.930713	4.876154	0.40	0.693	-7.804842	11.66627
Civil_War_~h	-.2290586	.9378445	-0.24	0.808	-2.101526	1.643408
D_REGION_D~E	-.1198205	.065158	-1.84	0.070	-.2499126	.0102715
D_WORLD_DE~E	-.5053435	.0756543	-6.68	0.000	-.6563922	-.3542948

```
nlcom( _b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

```
      _nl_1:  (_b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.2573448	.0914821	2.81	0.006	.0746949	.4399947

EXTREME INEQUALITY STATIC (This is a robustness regression not shown in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap
Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year      _Iyear_1960-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
i.hmccode   _Ihmccode_90-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_90 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1235
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    30
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F( 80, 29)      =    6.52
maximum lag: 1                                  Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                R-squared       =    0.0383
                                                Root MSE       =   11.0077
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_Total_Oi~e	7.952046	13.71921	0.58	0.567	-20.1069	36.01099
D_logGDPpe~p	-2.586992	6.10139	-0.42	0.675	-15.06574	9.891752
Civil_War_~h	-.8701567	1.236826	-0.70	0.487	-3.399749	1.659436
D_REGION_D~E	.0581461	.0954543	0.61	0.547	-.1370799	.2533721
D_WORLD_DE~E	-.610532	.0975854	-6.26	0.000	-.8101165	-.4109475

EXTREME INEQUALITY DYNAMIC (This is a robustness regression not shown in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined L_D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income
L_D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode
i.year      _Iyear_1960-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
i.hmccode   _Ihmccode_90-850      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_90 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1205
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    30
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F( 82, 29)      =   77.93
maximum lag: 3                                  Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                R-squared       =    0.0419
                                                Root MSE       =   11.1008
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_D_treatm~d	-.0139371	.0341275	-0.41	0.686	-.0837356	.0558614
D_Total_Oi~e	8.153829	11.11646	0.73	0.469	-14.58189	30.88954
L_D_Total_~e	1.168709	5.652681	0.21	0.838	-10.39232	12.72974
D_logGDPpe~p	-3.098567	6.650317	-0.47	0.645	-16.69999	10.50286
Civil_War_~h	-1.120908	1.326022	-0.85	0.405	-3.832927	1.591112
D_REGION_D~E	.0661056	.099862	0.66	0.513	-.1381352	.2703464
D_WORLD_DE~E	-.6888798	.118612	-5.81	0.000	-.9314686	-.446291

```
nlcom( _b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

```
      _nl_1: ( _b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	9.194395	14.42447	0.64	0.529	-20.30695	38.69574

EQUALITY STATIC UTIP (This is a robustness Regression not shown in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap
Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year          _Iyear_1960-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-920      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2199
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    58
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(108, 57)     =  3475.33
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.0435
                                                Root MSE      =   6.1198
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_Total_Oi~e	-.5574145	.4229463	-1.32	0.193	-1.40435	.2895208
D_logGDPpe~p	-6.228599	4.613528	-1.35	0.182	-15.46703	3.009833
Civil_War_~h	1.455401	1.646063	0.88	0.380	-1.840783	4.751584
D_REGION_D~E	-.0417475	.0429397	-0.97	0.335	-.1277328	.0442378
D_WORLD_DE~E	-.3824809	.0552214	-6.93	0.000	-.4930597	-.271902

EQUALITY DYNAMIC UTIP (This is a robustness regression not shown in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined L_D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income
L_D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode
i.year          _Iyear_1960-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-920      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2132
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    58
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(110, 57)     =  269.31
maximum lag: 3                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.0495
                                                Root MSE      =   5.9982
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_D_treatm~d	.0114394	.0751621	0.15	0.880	-.13907	.1619488
D_Total_Oi~e	-.563236	.3786545	-1.49	0.142	-1.321479	.1950066
L_D_Total_~e	1.211488	.4651082	2.60	0.012	.2801248	2.142851
D_logGDPpe~p	-9.233618	4.700623	-1.96	0.054	-18.64645	.1792175
Civil_War_~h	1.797361	1.574626	1.14	0.258	-1.355773	4.950494
D_REGION_D~E	-.0473175	.0407632	-1.16	0.251	-.1289445	.0343095
D_WORLD_DE~E	-.3174731	.0536814	-5.91	0.000	-.4249681	-.209978

```
nlcom( _b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

```
      _nl_1:  (_b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.6557534	.364159	1.80	0.077	-.0734624	1.384969

HIGH INEQUALITY UTIP STATIC (This is a robustness regression not shown in Table 8).

```
xi: xtscd D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap
Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year          _Iyear_1960-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_41-950 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_41 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   3323
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    79
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F(132, 78)     =   19.04
maximum lag: 1                                  Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.0223
                                                Root MSE      =   9.9882
```

D_treatment_minus_combined	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_Total_Oil_Income	-.0933006	.0660739	-1.41	0.162	-.2248436	.0382425
D_logGDPpercap	5.525653	2.356223	2.35	0.022	.8347738	10.21653
Civil_War_Gledistsch	-.7641549	.7278726	-1.05	0.297	-2.213238	.6849281
D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE	-.0567613	.0846125	-0.67	0.504	-.2252119	.1116893
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE	-.3173131	.0785113	-4.04	0.000	-.473617	-.1610091

HIGH INEQUALITY UTIP DYNAMIC (This is a robustness regression not shown in Table 8).

```
xi: xtscd D_treatment_minus_combined L_D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income
L_D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode
i.year          _Iyear_1960-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_41-950 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_41 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   3244
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    79
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F(134, 78)     =   72.15
maximum lag: 3                                  Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.0263
                                                Root MSE      =  10.0372
```

D_treatment_minus_combined	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_D_treatment_minus_combined	.0049007	.0212353	0.23	0.818	-.0373756	.047177
D_Total_Oil_Income	-.0713429	.0448196	-1.59	0.115	-.1605718	.0178861
L_D_Total_Oil_Income	.2772211	.0836588	3.31	0.001	.1106693	.4437729
D_logGDPpercap	5.518152	2.305477	2.39	0.019	.9283002	10.108
Civil_War_Gledistsch	-.9000232	.7021996	-1.28	0.204	-2.297995	.4979488
D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE	-.057487	.0984291	-0.58	0.561	-.2534443	.1384704
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE	-.3692478	.0907336	-4.07	0.000	-.5498844	-.1886112

```
nlcom( _b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

```
      _nl_1:  (_b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

D_treatment_minus_combined	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.2068922	.0802982	2.58	0.012	.0470307	.3667537

HIGH INEQUALITY UTIP STATIC (This is a robustness regression not shown in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap
Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year      _Iyear_1960-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
i.hmccode   _Ihmccode_371-712 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_371 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   635
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   16
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F( 66, 15)      =   2.34
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0343
                                                R-squared       =   0.1029
                                                Root MSE       =   8.9432
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_Total_Oi~e	-.0845	.0738553	-1.14	0.271	-.2419189	.0729188
D_logGDPpe~p	.9786467	3.477614	0.28	0.782	-6.433712	8.391005
Civil_War_~h	-2.523559	2.068425	-1.22	0.241	-6.932303	1.885184
D_REGION_D~E	-.4675378	.3433795	-1.36	0.193	-1.199434	.2643582
D_WORLD_DE~E	1.557948	.2336076	6.67	0.000	1.060025	2.055871

HIGH INEQUALITY UTIP DYNAMIC (This is a robustness regression not shown in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined L_D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income
L_D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode
i.year      _Iyear_1960-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1960 omitted)
i.hmccode   _Ihmccode_371-712 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_371 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   617
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =   16
Group variable (i): hmccode                     F( 68, 15)      =   51.50
maximum lag: 3                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.1193
                                                Root MSE       =   8.8597
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_D_treatm~d	.0083273	.047062	0.18	0.862	-.091983	.1086376
D_Total_Oi~e	-.0682298	.0686358	-0.99	0.336	-.2145236	.0780641
L_D_Total_~e	.2016003	.0532217	3.79	0.002	.0881609	.3150397
D_logGDPpe~p	-.3997583	3.110829	-0.13	0.899	-7.030334	6.230817
Civil_War_~h	-2.6732	1.532492	-1.74	0.102	-5.93963	.59323
D_REGION_D~E	-.4554081	.3639483	-1.25	0.230	-1.231146	.3203294
D_WORLD_DE~E	1.715994	.2540048	6.76	0.000	1.174596	2.257392

```
. nlcom(_b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

```
      _nl_1: (_b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.1344905	.094336	1.43	0.174	-.066582	.335563

POOR COUNTRIES STATIC MODEL (This is a robustness regression not shown in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap
Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year      _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
i.hmccode   _Ihmccode_40-910 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2893
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    49
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(260, 48)      =   177.32
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                R-squared       =    0.0492
                                                Root MSE       =    9.1403
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_Total_Oi~e	-.1125205	.6322574	-0.18	0.859	-1.383759	1.158718
D_logGDPpe~p	.3057567	3.246139	0.09	0.925	-6.221044	6.832557
Civil_War_~h	-1.145665	1.00774	-1.14	0.261	-3.171862	.8805328
D_REGION_D~E	-.1814234	.0562622	-3.22	0.002	-.2945462	-.0683005
D_WORLD_DE~E	-.4104878	.0992403	-4.14	0.000	-.6100239	-.2109518

DYNAMIC MODEL (This is Column 6 of Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined L_D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income
L_D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode
i.year      _Iyear_1800-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
i.hmccode   _Ihmccode_40-910 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_40 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   2854
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    49
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(262, 48)      =   763.15
maximum lag: 4                                 Prob > F        =    0.0000
                                                R-squared       =    0.0504
                                                Root MSE       =    9.1430
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_D_treatm~d	.0210568	.0263808	0.80	0.429	-.0319854	.0740991
D_Total_Oi~e	-.2527518	.6674378	-0.38	0.707	-1.594725	1.089222
L_D_Total_~e	.3475816	.4320948	0.80	0.425	-.5212033	1.216367
D_logGDPpe~p	-.4053016	3.190759	-0.13	0.899	-6.820752	6.010149
Civil_War_~h	-1.301289	.9200866	-1.41	0.164	-3.151247	.5486691
D_REGION_D~E	-.1897693	.0749216	-2.53	0.015	-.3404093	-.0391293
D_WORLD_DE~E	-.3954623	.1027422	-3.85	0.000	-.6020393	-.1888854

```
nlcom( _b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

```
      _nl_1:  (_b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.0968695	.8234857	0.12	0.907	-1.55886	1.752599

VERY POOR COUNTRIES STATIC (This is a robustness regression not shown in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap
Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year      _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
i.hmccode   _Ihmccode_70-860      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_70 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1654
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    24
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(234, 23)      =   20.25
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.0769
                                                Root MSE       =   9.5444
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_Total_Oi~e	.6558199	.9920731	0.66	0.515	-1.39644	2.708079
D_logGDPpe~p	1.023978	4.87252	0.21	0.835	-9.055597	11.10355
Civil_War_~h	-1.104766	1.020458	-1.08	0.290	-3.215744	1.006212
D_REGION_D~E	-.114387	.1094774	-1.04	0.307	-.3408581	.1120842
D_WORLD_DE~E	-.2211304	.0888397	-2.49	0.020	-.4049093	-.0373515

DYNAMIC (This is a robustness regression not shown in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined L_D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income
L_D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode
i.year      _Iyear_1800-2006      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1800 omitted)
i.hmccode   _Ihmccode_70-860      (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_70 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   1638
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    24
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(236, 23)      =   58.00
maximum lag: 4                                 Prob > F        =   0.0000
                                                R-squared       =   0.0793
                                                Root MSE       =   9.5548
```

	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_D_treatm~d	.0403443	.0329373	1.22	0.233	-.0277917	.1084803
D_Total_Oi~e	.664243	1.07609	0.62	0.543	-1.561819	2.890305
L_D_Total_~e	.5184272	.7461868	0.69	0.494	-1.025178	2.062032
D_logGDPpe~p	.5437293	5.40817	0.10	0.921	-10.64392	11.73138
Civil_War_~h	-1.326782	.9648789	-1.38	0.182	-3.322786	.6692216
D_REGION_D~E	-.136122	.1095159	-1.24	0.226	-.3626729	.0904288
D_WORLD_DE~E	-.2164932	.0922538	-2.35	0.028	-.4073347	-.0256518

```
nlcom( _b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

```
      _nl_1:  (_b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	1.23239	1.733302	0.71	0.484	-2.353219	4.817999

WEALTHY COUNTRIES STATIC (This is a robustness regression not shown in Table 8).

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap
Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode, lag(1)
i.year          _Iyear_1797-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1797 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-920 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   3539
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    45
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(261, 44)     =   11.54
maximum lag: 1                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.0469
                                                Root MSE      =   6.8983
```

D_treatment_minus_combined	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
D_Total_Oil_Income	-.0269742	.0701145	-0.38	0.702	-.1682806	.1143323
D_logGDPpercap	.4857747	2.652533	0.18	0.856	-4.860055	5.831604
Civil_War_Gledistsch	-1.902343	1.503264	-1.27	0.212	-4.931971	1.127286
D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE	-.1605197	.1234537	-1.30	0.200	-.4093243	.0882849
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE	.0208602	.2693384	0.08	0.939	-.5219558	.5636761

DYNAMIC MODEL (This is Column 7 of Table 8)

```
xi: xtsc D_treatment_minus_combined L_D_treatment_minus_combined D_Total_Oil_Income
L_D_Total_Oil_Income D_logGDPpercap Civil_War_Gledistsch D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE i.year i.hmccode
i.year          _Iyear_1797-2006 (naturally coded; _Iyear_1797 omitted)
i.hmccode       _Ihmccode_2-920 (naturally coded; _Ihmccode_2 omitted)
```

```
Regression with Driscoll-Kraay standard errors   Number of obs   =   3509
Method: Pooled OLS                             Number of groups =    45
Group variable (i): hmccode                    F(263, 44)     =   811.06
maximum lag: 4                                 Prob > F       =   0.0000
                                                R-squared      =   0.0527
                                                Root MSE      =   6.8969
```

D_treatment_minus_combined	Coef.	Drisc/Kraay Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_D_treatment_minus_combined	.0725542	.0218447	3.32	0.002	.028529	.1165794
D_Total_Oil_Income	.0243566	.0431063	0.57	0.575	-.0625185	.1112316
L_D_Total_Oil_Income	.2747042	.1090973	2.52	0.016	.054833	.4945755
D_logGDPpercap	.1360705	2.656761	0.05	0.959	-5.21828	5.490421
Civil_War_Gledistsch	-1.775073	1.48992	-1.19	0.240	-4.777809	1.227664
D_REGION_DEM_DIFFUSE	-.1493656	.1289969	-1.16	0.253	-.4093417	.1106105
D_WORLD_DEM_DIFFUSE	-.0178578	.2815445	-0.06	0.950	-.5852734	.5495579

```
. nlcom( _b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

```
      _nl_1:  (_b[D_Total_Oil_Income]+_b[L_D_Total_Oil_Income])/(1-
_b[L_D_treatment_minus_combined])
```

D_treatment_minus_combined	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.3224564	.101949	3.16	0.003	.1169916	.5279211

THIS WORKSHEET DOCUMENTS THE CONDITIONAL LOGIT FIXED EFFECTS DYNAMIC REGRESSIONS TESTS FOR THE REGRESSION TABLE APPEARING IN THE ONLINE APPENDIX.

NOTE BENE:

To calculate the effect of the variables on the odds of a transition to democracy, we used the Delta Method by using Stata's nlcom command. We did not, however, multiply by -1 in this document. Because this is a trivial calculation, this final computation was not documented in these files. To get the correct sign, just switch the sign on the output from the Delta Method computations, So, simply multiply the following formula by -1:
nlcom _b[L_Total_Oil_Income_PC_thou]+_b[TOI_Autocracy]

NOTE BENE:

Time fixed effects estimated for full period with dummies from 1970-2002 (pre 1969 period as baseline); results robust to using 5 dummies of forty year periods; time fixed effects for 1973-2002 period estimated with yearly dummies (1973 as baseline), results robust to using twenty temporal splines.

NOTA BENE:

The models are calculated using robust clustered errors clustered by country. This is the Stata command regress with r cluster(hmccode).

NOTA BENE:

We make our REGIME variable equal to 1 if the regime is autocracy and 0 if it is a democracy. This matches the original way in which Przeworski et al. 2000 originally coded their REGIME variable.

NOTA BENE:

We generated several interactions between the lagged dependent variable and lagged independent variables.

generate TOI_Autocracy = L_reg_habmen_corrected_inv*L_Total_Oil_Income_PC_thou
(1911 missing values generated)

generate IncomePC_Autocracy = L_reg_habmen_corrected_inv*L_log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2
(5602 missing values generated)

generate CivilWar_Autocracy = L_reg_habmen_corrected_inv*L_Civil_War_Gledistsch
(2442 missing values generated)

generate Growthrate_Autocracy = L_reg_habmen_corrected_inv*L_Logarithmic_growthrate
(5755 missing values generated)

NOTA BENE:

Growth rate is in decimals. Move the coefficient two places to the left in order to have the interpretation be GDP growth in percentages.

Online Appendix Table 1, Column 1

xi: clogit reg_habmen_corrected_inv L_Total_Oil_Income_PC_thou
 L_log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 L_Logarithmic_growthrate L_Civil_War_Gledistsch
 L_reg_habmen_corrected_inv TOI_Autocracy IncomePC_Autocracy Growthrate_Autocracy
 CivilWar_Autocracy Dyear_1970-Dyear_2002, r group(hmccode) difficult
 note: multiple positive outcomes within groups encountered.
 note: 85 groups (3382 obs) dropped because of all positive or
 all negative outcomes.

Iteration 0: log pseudolikelihood = -582.99765
 Iteration 1: log pseudolikelihood = -564.63974
 Iteration 2: log pseudolikelihood = -562.48833
 Iteration 3: log pseudolikelihood = -562.46912
 Iteration 4: log pseudolikelihood = -562.46904
 Iteration 5: log pseudolikelihood = -562.46904

Conditional (fixed-effects) logistic regression Number of obs = 5934
 Wald chi2(42) = 2984.84
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
 Log pseudolikelihood = -562.46904 Pseudo R2 = 0.8290

(Std. Err. adjusted for clustering on hmccode)

reg_habmen~v	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_Total_Oi~u	-.561724	.5571114	-1.01	0.313	-1.653642	.5301943
L_log_gdp~2	-1.77722	.3310499	-5.37	0.000	-2.426066	-1.128374
L_Logarith~e	-2.137568	1.726016	-1.24	0.216	-5.520498	1.245362
L_Civil_Wa~h	.5191495	.403981	1.29	0.199	-.2726388	1.310938
L_reg_habm~v	5.578743	2.511446	2.22	0.026	.6564001	10.50109
TOI_Autocr~y	-.7644571	.2718483	-2.81	0.005	-1.29727	-.2316443
IncomePC_A~y	.0671741	.3226569	0.21	0.835	-.5652218	.6995699
Growthrate~y	5.32798	2.826175	1.89	0.059	-.2112215	10.86718
CivilWar_A~y	-.8249797	.5493887	-1.50	0.133	-1.901762	.2518023
Dyear_1970	.7530162	.1899856	3.96	0.000	.3806512	1.125381
Dyear_1971	.1436956	.6805377	0.21	0.833	-1.190134	1.477525
Dyear_1972	1.507669	1.092827	1.38	0.168	-.6342331	3.649572
Dyear_1973	1.614873	1.21655	1.33	0.184	-.7695207	3.999266
Dyear_1974	.3669093	.5898309	0.62	0.534	-.7891381	1.522957
Dyear_1975	1.066388	1.085029	0.98	0.326	-1.06023	3.193007
Dyear_1976	1.789855	1.111455	1.61	0.107	-.3885572	3.968266
Dyear_1977	1.953537	1.134595	1.72	0.085	-.2702284	4.177302
Dyear_1978	1.557893	.3229606	4.82	0.000	.9249019	2.190884
Dyear_1979	-.8466434	.5029545	-1.68	0.092	-1.832416	.1391294
Dyear_1980	1.121985	1.527721	0.73	0.463	-1.872293	4.116262
Dyear_1981	1.877501	.9098245	2.06	0.039	.0942783	3.660725
Dyear_1982	.3818531	.8756468	0.44	0.663	-1.334383	2.098089
Dyear_1983	-.2689008	.6227555	-0.43	0.666	-1.489479	.9516774
Dyear_1984	-.1890291	.6654156	-0.28	0.776	-1.49322	1.115161
Dyear_1985	.5806698	.6552531	0.89	0.376	-.7036028	1.864942
Dyear_1986	-.6743678	.54525	-1.24	0.216	-1.743038	.3943024
Dyear_1987	.360681	.3557786	1.01	0.311	-.3366322	1.057994
Dyear_1988	-.8388686	.5368212	-1.56	0.118	-1.891019	.2132817
Dyear_1989	-.6851206	.6983154	-0.98	0.327	-2.053794	.6835524
Dyear_1990	-1.507296	.7284721	-2.07	0.039	-2.935075	-.0795171
Dyear_1991	-1.817116	.6744693	-2.69	0.007	-3.139052	-.4951805
Dyear_1992	-2.545549	.5116066	-4.98	0.000	-3.54828	-1.542819
Dyear_1993	-2.743354	.5867244	-4.68	0.000	-3.893312	-1.593395
Dyear_1994	-2.596992	.6895914	-3.77	0.000	-3.948566	-1.245417
Dyear_1995	-.7293386	.6502199	-1.12	0.262	-2.003746	.5450691
Dyear_1996	-1.815237	1.286037	-1.41	0.158	-4.335824	.7053493
Dyear_1997	-.1160817	.5036001	-0.23	0.818	-1.10312	.8709564
Dyear_1998	-2.355506	.8321615	-2.83	0.005	-3.986512	-.7244994
Dyear_1999	-1.861549	1.117986	-1.67	0.096	-4.052761	.3296624
Dyear_2000	-3.158623	.9536072	-3.31	0.001	-5.027659	-1.289587
Dyear_2001	-1.712365	.7200671	-2.38	0.017	-3.12367	-.301059
Dyear_2002	-.6477769	.6413196	-1.01	0.312	-1.90474	.6091864

ONLINE APPENDIX, Column 2

NOW WE USE THE DELTA METHOD TO CALCULATE THE EFFECTS OF THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLES ON THE TRANSITION TO DEMOCRACY

THE EFFECT OF OIL RELIANCE ON TRANSITION TO DEMOCRACY

nlcom _b[L_Total_Oil_Income_PC_thou]+_b[TOI_Autocracy]

_nl_1: _b[L_Total_Oil_Income_PC_thou]+_b[TOI_Autocracy]

reg_habmen~v	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-1.326181	.6456163	-2.05	0.040	-2.591566 - .0607964

Note, Per Eckstein et al. (2006), a Wald Test also produces the same p-value

test (L_Total_Oil_Income_PC_thou + TOI_Autocracy) = 0

(1) [reg_habmen_corrected_inv]L_Total_Oil_Income_PC_thou + [reg_habmen_corrected_inv]TOI_Autocracy = 0

chi2(1) = 4.22
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0400

THE EFFECT OF INCOME PER CAPITA ON TRANSITION TO DEMOCRACY

nlcom _b[L_log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2]+_b[IncomePC_Autocracy]

_nl_1: _b[L_log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2]+_b[IncomePC_Autocracy]

reg_habmen~v	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-1.710046	.2994097	-5.71	0.000	-2.296879 -1.123214

THE EFFECT OF ECONOMIC GROWTH ON TRANSITION TO DEMOCRACY

nlcom _b[L_Logarithmic_growthrate]+_b[Growthrate_Autocracy]

_nl_1: _b[L_Logarithmic_growthrate]+_b[Growthrate_Autocracy]

reg_habmen~v	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	3.190412	1.948615	1.64	0.102	-.6288036 7.009627

THE EFFECT OF CIVIL WAR ON TRANSITION TO DEMOCRACY

nlcom _b[L_Civil_War_Gledistsch]+_b[CivilWar_Autocracy]

_nl_1: _b[L_Civil_War_Gledistsch]+_b[CivilWar_Autocracy]

reg_habmen~v	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
_nl_1	-.3058302	.4420476	-0.69	0.489	-1.172228 .5605672

Robustness Test that does not appear in online appendix

This result does not change much if we instead include four decade dummies (although note that there was no convergence of the log likelihood function):

```
generate time_dummy_1 = 1 if _Iyear_1800-_Iyear_1838 == 1
generate time_dummy_2 = 1 if _Iyear_1839-_Iyear_1879 == 1
generate time_dummy_3 = 1 if _Iyear_1880-_Iyear_1920 == 1
generate time_dummy_4 = 1 if _Iyear_1921-_Iyear_1961 == 1
generate time_dummy_5 = 1 if _Iyear_1962-_Iyear_2002 == 1
replace time_dummy_1 = 0 if time_dummy_1 == .
replace time_dummy_2 = 0 if time_dummy_2 == .
replace time_dummy_3 = 0 if time_dummy_3 == .
replace time_dummy_4 = 0 if time_dummy_4 == .
replace time_dummy_5 = 0 if time_dummy_5 == .
```

```
xi: clogit reg_habmen_corrected inv L Total_Oil_Income_PC_thou
L_log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 L Logarithmic_growthrate L_Civil_War_Gledistsch
L_reg_habmen_corrected inv TOI_Autocracy IncomePC_Autocracy Growthrate_Autocracy
CivilWar_Autocracy time_dummy_1-time_dummy_8, r group(hmccode) difficult iterate(15)
note: time_dummy_1 dropped because of collinearity
note: multiple positive outcomes within groups encountered.
note: 85 groups (3382 obs) dropped because of all positive or
all negative outcomes.
```

```
Conditional (fixed-effects) logistic regression   Number of obs   =   5934
                                                    Wald chi2(13)   =  24149.18
                                                    Prob > chi2     =   0.0000
Log pseudolikelihood = -620.43887                 Pseudo R2       =   0.8114
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for clustering on hmccode)

reg_habmen~v	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_Total_Oi~u	.2698169	.1867256	1.44	0.148	-.0961586	.6357923
L_log_gdp~2	-1.912106	.2226574	-8.59	0.000	-2.348506	-1.475706
L_Logarith~e	-1.68678	1.262042	-1.34	0.181	-4.160337	.7867767
L_Civil_Wa~h	.8036766	.279349	2.88	0.004	.2561627	1.351191
L_reg_habm~v	4.271508
TOI_Autocr~y	-.8620007	.2948073	-2.92	0.003	-1.439812	-.2841891
IncomePC_A~y	.227569	.0281925	8.07	0.000	.1723127	.2828253
Growthrate~y	5.737641
CivilWar_A~y	-1.435887	.4769189	-3.01	0.003	-2.370631	-.5011436
time_dummy_2	5.062261	.4524161	11.19	0.000	4.175542	5.94898
time_dummy_3	5.203694
time_dummy_4	.4514592	1.798707	0.25	0.802	-3.073943	3.976861
time_dummy_5	.5492128	1.598986	0.34	0.731	-2.584742	3.683168
time_dummy_6	-.5439161	1.18718	-0.46	0.647	-2.870747	1.782915
time_dummy_7	-.3815789	.6937217	0.55	0.582	-.9780906	1.741248
time_dummy_8	1.704939	1.254112	1.36	0.174	-.7530763	4.162954

Warning: convergence not achieved

```
nlcom _b[L_Total_Oil_Income_PC_thou]+_b[TOI_Autocracy]
```

```
_nl_1: _b[L_Total_Oil_Income_PC_thou]+_b[TOI_Autocracy]
```

reg_habmen~v	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-.5921839	.3101393	-1.91	0.056	-1.200046	.015678

REGRESSIONS FOR THE POST 1972 PERIOD, APPEAR IN COLUMN 3 OF THE ONLINE REGRESSION TABLE

```

xi: clogit reg_habmen_corrected_inv L_Total_Oil_Income_PC_thou
L_log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 L_Logarithmic_growthrate L_Civil_War_Gledistsch
L_reg_habmen_corrected_inv TOI_Autocracy IncomePC_Autocracy Growthrate_Autocracy
CivilWar_Autocracy i.year if year > 1972, r group(hmccode)
i.year          _Iyear_1777-2008      (naturally coded; _Iyear_1777 omitted)
note:  _Iyear_1973  dropped because of collinearity
note:  _Iyear_2003  dropped because of collinearity
note:  _Iyear_2004  dropped because of collinearity
note:  _Iyear_2005  dropped because of collinearity
note:  _Iyear_2006  dropped because of collinearity
note:  _Iyear_2007  dropped because of collinearity
note:  _Iyear_2008  dropped because of collinearity
note:  multiple positive outcomes within groups encountered.
note:  104 groups (2606 obs) dropped because of all positive or
      all negative outcomes.

```

```

Conditional (fixed-effects) logistic regression   Number of obs   =       1770
                                                  Wald chi2(38)   =       1633.70
                                                  Prob > chi2     =         0.0000
Log pseudolikelihood = -198.61394                Pseudo R2       =         0.7734

```

(Std. Err. adjusted for clustering on hmccode)

reg_habmen~v	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_Total_Oi~u	-3.517169	1.646399	-2.14	0.033	-6.744052	-.2902865
L_log_gdp~2	.7109224	1.022547	0.70	0.487	-1.293233	2.715078
L_Logarith~e	-10.65449	3.739752	-2.85	0.004	-17.98427	-3.324705
L_Civil_Wa~h	-.5078992	.6145084	-0.83	0.409	-1.712314	.6965151
L_reg_habm~v	6.003145	2.31733	2.59	0.010	1.461263	10.54503
TOI_Autocr~y	1.12152	.9184043	1.22	0.222	-.6785196	2.921559
IncomePC_A~y	-.1683996	.2840016	-0.59	0.553	-.7250324	.3882332
Growthrate~y	14.02669	4.603586	3.05	0.002	5.00383	23.04955
CivilWar_A~y	1.087996	.769853	1.41	0.158	-.4208881	2.59688
_Iyear_1974	-.5571464	.5770689	-0.97	0.334	-1.688181	.5738879
_Iyear_1975	-.1111673	.9021591	-0.12	0.902	-1.879367	1.657032
_Iyear_1976	.7503393	1.253626	0.60	0.549	-1.706722	3.207401
_Iyear_1977	.7712615	1.398169	0.55	0.581	-1.9691	3.511623
_Iyear_1978	.4708934	.8823675	0.53	0.594	-1.258515	2.200302
_Iyear_1979	-2.137303	.9591953	-2.23	0.026	-4.017292	-.2573152
_Iyear_1980	-.464388	1.512016	-0.31	0.759	-3.427884	2.499108
_Iyear_1981	.2207747	1.049469	0.21	0.833	-1.836147	2.277697
_Iyear_1982	-.978919	1.162467	-0.84	0.400	-3.257312	1.299474
_Iyear_1983	-1.814738	.8872444	-2.05	0.041	-3.553705	-.0757712
_Iyear_1984	-1.922443	.9923964	-1.94	0.053	-3.867504	.0226182
_Iyear_1985	-.9903633	1.411152	-0.70	0.483	-3.756171	1.775444
_Iyear_1986	-2.387077	1.011771	-2.36	0.018	-4.370112	-.4040422
_Iyear_1987	-1.407362	.9727273	-1.45	0.148	-3.313872	.4991489
_Iyear_1988	-2.717829	.9573794	-2.84	0.005	-4.594258	-.8413999
_Iyear_1989	-2.647231	1.070103	-2.47	0.013	-4.744595	-.5498667
_Iyear_1990	-3.545838	1.194154	-2.97	0.003	-5.886337	-1.20534
_Iyear_1991	-3.708892	1.129836	-3.28	0.001	-5.923329	-1.494455
_Iyear_1992	-4.517874	1.067779	-4.23	0.000	-6.610683	-2.425065
_Iyear_1993	-4.750932	1.10595	-4.30	0.000	-6.918554	-2.583309
_Iyear_1994	-4.890997	1.130795	-4.33	0.000	-7.107315	-2.674679
_Iyear_1995	-3.378638	1.094403	-3.09	0.002	-5.52363	-1.233647
_Iyear_1996	-4.105387	1.618639	-2.54	0.011	-7.277861	-.932912
_Iyear_1997	-2.803505	1.079471	-2.60	0.009	-4.91923	-.6877801
_Iyear_1998	-4.623105	1.204975	-3.84	0.000	-6.984812	-2.261398
_Iyear_1999	-4.304105	1.497264	-2.87	0.004	-7.238689	-1.36952
_Iyear_2000	-5.677069	1.458115	-3.89	0.000	-8.534922	-2.819217
_Iyear_2001	-4.314397	1.20822	-3.57	0.000	-6.682465	-1.94633
_Iyear_2002	-3.97064	1.241459	-3.20	0.001	-6.403855	-1.537425

ONLINE APPENDIX, Column 4

NOW WE USE THE DELTA METHOD TO CALCULATE THE EFFECTS OF THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLES ON THE TRANSITION TO DEMOCRACY

EFFECT OF OIL RELIANCE ON TRANSITION TO DEMOCRACY

nlcom _b[L_Total_Oil_Income_PC_thou]+_b[TOI_Autocracy]

_nl_1: _b[L_Total_Oil_Income_PC_thou]+_b[TOI_Autocracy]

reg_habmen~v	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-2.395649	1.427704	-1.68	0.093	-5.193897	.4025984

EFFECT OF GDP PER CAPITA

nlcom _b[L_log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2]+_b[IncomePC_Autocracy]

_nl_1: _b[L_log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2]+_b[IncomePC_Autocracy]

reg_habmen~v	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.5425228	.974364	0.56	0.578	-1.367196	2.452241

EFFECT OF ECONOMIC GROWTH

nlcom _b[L_Logarithmic_growthrate]+_b[Growthrate_Autocracy]

_nl_1: _b[L_Logarithmic_growthrate]+_b[Growthrate_Autocracy]

reg_habmen~v	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	3.372207	2.330904	1.45	0.148	-1.196282	7.940696

EFFECT OF CIVIL WAR

nlcom _b[L_Civil_War_Gledistsch]+_b[CivilWar_Autocracy]

_nl_1: _b[L_Civil_War_Gledistsch]+_b[CivilWar_Autocracy]

reg_habmen~v	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	.5800969	.590075	0.98	0.326	-.5764288	1.736623

ROBUSTNESS: RESULT HOLDS WITH SPLINES INSTEAD OF YEAR DUMMIES (Not shown in online appendix).

mxspline year 160 = year

```
xi: clogit reg_habmen_corrected_inv L_Total_Oil_Income_PC_thou
L_log_gdp_per_cap_haber_men_2 L_Logarithmic_growthrate L_Civil_War_Gledistsch
L_reg_habmen_corrected_inv TOI_Autocracy IncomePC_Autocracy Growthrate_Autocracy
CivilWar_Autocracy year1- year160 if year > 1972, r group(hmccode)
```

note: year135 dropped because of collinearity
note: year157 dropped because of collinearity
note: year158 dropped because of collinearity
note: year159 dropped because of collinearity
note: year160 dropped because of collinearity
note: multiple positive outcomes within groups encountered.
note: 104 groups (2606 obs) dropped because of all positive or all negative outcomes.

```
Conditional (fixed-effects) logistic regression   Number of obs   =       1770
                                                    Wald chi2(30)   =      1213.25
                                                    Prob > chi2     =       0.0000
Log pseudolikelihood = -202.31818                 Pseudo R2       =       0.7691
```

(Std. Err. adjusted for clustering on hmccode)

reg_habmen~v	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
L_Total_Oi~u	-3.538652	1.671473	-2.12	0.034	-6.814678	-.262626
L_log_gdp~2	.7048552	1.040263	0.68	0.498	-1.334024	2.743734
L_Logarith~e	-10.81787	3.839339	-2.82	0.005	-18.34283	-3.292901
L_Civil_Wa~h	-.4689717	.6135454	-0.76	0.445	-1.671499	.7335551
L_reg_habm~v	5.6902	2.206977	2.58	0.010	1.364606	10.01579
TOI_Autocr~y	1.218452	.9953096	1.22	0.221	-.732319	3.169223
IncomePC~y	-.1446265	.2751125	-0.53	0.599	-.683837	.394584
Growthrate~y	13.99442	4.76038	2.94	0.003	4.664252	23.3246
CivilWar~y	1.004884	.7330828	1.37	0.170	-.4319322	2.4417
year136	-2.242588	2.936544	-0.76	0.445	-7.998108	3.512932
year137	.3727756	1.16013	0.32	0.748	-1.901037	2.646588
year138	.7450066	1.103157	0.68	0.499	-1.417142	2.907155
year139	.1287275	.9756557	0.13	0.895	-1.783523	2.040978
year140	-2.34268	.8650414	-2.71	0.007	-4.038129	-.6472297
year141	2.130962	.8973418	2.37	0.018	.3722043	3.88972
year142	-1.158862	.9769394	-1.19	0.236	-3.073628	.7559041
year143	-.8632162	.7005726	-1.23	0.218	-2.236313	.5098809
year144	.7379962	.7496164	0.98	0.325	-.731225	2.207217
year145	-.6917479	.739788	-0.94	0.350	-2.141706	.7582099
year146	-.1095569	.7314246	-0.15	0.881	-1.543123	1.324009
year147	-.4145962	.683346	-0.61	0.544	-1.75393	.9247374
year148	-.4847872	.5920625	-0.82	0.413	-1.645208	.6756339
year149	-.5760788	.5519395	-1.04	0.297	-1.65786	.5057028
year150	-.4455583	.4929675	-0.90	0.366	-1.411757	.5206403
year151	1.00003	.5007049	2.00	0.046	.0186664	1.981394
year152	.2832636	.8209332	0.35	0.730	-1.325736	1.892263
year153	-.565451	.8978228	-0.63	0.529	-2.325151	1.194249
year154	-.7039991	.8054307	-0.87	0.382	-2.282614	.8746162
year155	.0793386	.7865311	0.10	0.920	-1.462234	1.620911
year156	.8534872	.7579233	1.13	0.260	-.6320152	2.33899

TRANSITION TO DEMOCRACY

```
. nlcom _b[L_Total_Oil_Income_PC_thou]+_b[TOI_Autocracy]
```

```
    _nl_1:  _b[L_Total_Oil_Income_PC_thou]+_b[TOI_Autocracy]
```

reg_habmen~v	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
_nl_1	-2.3202	1.39539	-1.66	0.096	-5.055114	.4147139